Invitation to Comment

Title	Appellate Procedure: Extension of Time to File Notice of Appeal (amend Cal. Rules of Court, rules 8.104, 8.108 and 8.823).
Summary	This proposal would amend the rules relating to extending the time to appeal to include extensions required by statute when a public entity defendant takes certain postjudgment actions. It would also modify the language of the rule regarding these extensions in Court of Appeal proceedings to make it more consistent with recent amendments to the rule on the time to file a notice of appeal.
Source	Appellate Advisory Committee Hon. Kathryn Doi Todd, Chair
Staff	Heather Anderson, Committee Counsel, 415-865-7691, heather.anderson@jud.ca.gov

Rule 8.104 of the California Rules of Court establishes the time for filing a notice of appeal in a civil case being appealed to the Court of Appeal. Currently, subdivision (c) in this rule provides for an extended time to appeal if a public entity defendant elects, under Government Code section 984 and rule 3.1804, to pay a judgment in periodic payments. All other extensions of the time to file a notice of appeal in such cases are addressed in rule 8.108. To bring together the provisions addressing extending the time to appeal, this proposal would delete subdivision (c) from rule 8.104 and move its substance to a new subdivision (f) in rule 8.108.

The extension of the time to appeal if a public entity defendant elects to pay a judgment in periodic payments is based on a provision in Government Code section 984 that directs the Judicial Council to adopt a rule providing for such an extension. There are two similar statutory provisions for which no rules have yet been adopted. Government Code section 962 provides that, in civil cases in which a verdict for damages of more than \$100,000 is returned against a public entity, the public entity may, within the time set for filing a motion for a new trial in section 659 of the Code of Civil Procedure, request a mandatory settlement conference for the purpose of discussing methods of satisfying the judgment, such as structured payment plans. Along similar lines, Government Code section 985 provides that in civil cases in which a verdict for damages is returned against a public entity, the public entity may, within the time set for filing a motion for a new trial in section 659 of the Code of Civil Procedure, move for a posttrial hearing on reducing the judgment based on the plaintiff's receipt of certain collateral source payments. Both sections 962 and 985 also provide that the Judicial Council "shall adopt rules providing for a reasonable extension of the time for filing the notice of appeal from a judgment on the

verdict" to permit a request under section 962 or a motion under section 985 and the mandatory settlement conference under section 962 or hearing under section 985 itself.

To encompass these two other statutorily required extensions, this proposal would expand the extension of time now provided for public entity elections to make periodic payments under Government Code section 984. The committee believes that applying this existing extension to these other situations is appropriate because Government Code section 962 and 984 require that elections for periodic payments be considered *after* any settlement conference under section 962 and *after* any deduction is made from the judgment under section 985. Since elections under section 984 are addressed last, the extension of time already provided for these elections should also be sufficient to also encompass situations in which there is a settlement conference under section 962 or a hearing on deductions under section 984.

Rule 8.823, which addresses extending the time to appeal in limited civil cases, would also be amended to include a new subdivision providing for extensions under Government Code sections 962, 984, and 985. The language of this provision would be identical to that in proposed rule 8.108(f), except that the extension would be shorter. This shorter time period reflects the fact that the underlying time to appeal in limited civil cases is shorter than the time to appeal in unlimited civil cases (see rules 8.104 and 8.822).

Last year, the Judicial Council amended rule 8.104 to provide that the time for filing a notice of appeal runs from when the superior court clerk "serves," rather than "mails," the judgment or notice of entry of the judgment. This change was made in response to a recent court decision, Citizens for Civic Accountability v. Town of Danville (2008) 167 Cal.App.4th 1158, which held that electronic service of a judgment by the court did not constitute mailing of the judgment within the meaning of rule 8.104 and thus did not start the time period for filing a notice of appeal. In several places, rule 8.108 contains language about the clerk mailing a judgment, notice of entry of a judgment, or other order similar to the language in rule 8.104 that was amended last year. This proposal would amend rule 8.108 to be consistent with the new language in rule 8.104 by providing that the extensions of the time for filing a notice of appeal run from when the superior court clerk "serves," rather than "mails," these documents. It is the committee's understanding that when trial court clerks mail documents to parties, they routinely comply with the requirements of Code of Civil Procedure sections 1013 and 1013a relating to service by mail and use of a clerks' certificate of mailing and, therefore, that changing "mails" to "serves" in this rule will not require a change in trial court practices. The committee would particularly appreciate comments about whether this understanding is accurate.

Rules 8.104, 8.108, and 8.823 of the California Rules of Court would be amended, effective January 1, 2011, to read:

1		Title 8. Appellate Rules
2		
3		Division 1. Rules Relating to the Supreme Court and Courts of Appeal
4 5		Chapter 2. Civil Appeals
6		Chapter 2. Civil appears
7		Article 1. Taking the Appeal
8		
9	Rul	e 8.104. Time to appeal
10		
11	(a) -	- (b) * * *
12	(a)	Daviadia narmant of indements against public antities
13 14	(e)	Periodic payment of judgments against public entities
15		If a public entity elects, under Government Code section 984 and rule 3.1804, to pay
16		a judgment in periodic payments, subdivision (a) of this rule governs the time to
17		appeal from that judgment but the periods prescribed in (a)(1) and (2) are each 90
18		days.
19		
20	(d) (e	<u>c)</u> * * *
21	\ <u> </u>	
22	(e) (c	1) * * *
23		
24	(f) (e)***
25		
26		
27	Rul	e 8.108. Extending the time to appeal
28		
29	(a)	Extension of time
30		
31		This rule operates only to extend the time to appeal otherwise prescribed in rule
32		8.104(a); it does not shorten the time to appeal. If the normal time to appeal stated in
33		rule 8.104(a) is longer than the time provided in this rule, the time to appeal stated in
34		rule 8.104(a) governs.
35	(1.)	That are the second of the sec
36	(b)	Motion for new trial
3738		If any party serves and files a valid notice of intention to move for a new trial, the
39		time to appeal from the judgment is extended for all parties as follows:
5)		anne to appear from the judgment is extended for all parties as follows.

1			
2		(1)	If the motion is denied, until the earliest of:
3			
4 5			(A) 30 days after the superior court clerk mails, or a party serves , an order denying the motion or a notice of entry of that order;
6			
7			(B) 30 days after denial of the motion by operation of law; or
8			
9			(C) 180 days after entry of judgment.
10		(2)	
11		(2)	If any party serves an acceptance of a conditionally ordered additur or remittitur
12			of damages pursuant to a trial court finding of excessive or inadequate
13 14			damages, until 30 days after the date the party serves the acceptance.
15	(c)	Mot	ion to vacate judgment
16	(C)	MIOU	ion to vacate juugment
17		If w	ithin the time prescribed by rule 8.104 to appeal from the judgment, any party
18			es and files a valid notice of intention to move—or a valid motion—to vacate the
19			ment, the time to appeal from the judgment is extended for all parties until the
20		-	est of:
21		Curii	
22		(1)	30 days after the superior court clerk mails, or a party serves , an order denying
23		(1)	the motion or a notice of entry of that order;
24			the motion of a notice of entry of that order,
25		(2)	90 days after the first notice of intention to move—or motion—is filed; or
26		()	
27		(3)	180 days after entry of judgment.
28		. ,	
29	(d)	Mot	ion for judgment notwithstanding the verdict
30			
31		(1)	If any party serves and files a valid motion for judgment notwithstanding the
32			verdict and the motion is denied, the time to appeal from the judgment is
33			extended for all parties until the earliest of:
34			
35			(A) 30 days after the superior court clerk mails, or a party serves, an order
36			denying the motion or a notice of entry of that order;
37			
38			(B) 30 days after denial of the motion by operation of law; or
39			
40			(C) 180 days after entry of judgment.
41			

1 2		(2)	Unless extended by (e)(2), the time to appeal from an order denying a motion for judgment notwithstanding the verdict is governed by rule 8.104.
3 4 5	(e)	Mot	ion to reconsider appealable order
5 6 7 8 9		Cod	by party serves and files a valid motion to reconsider an appealable order under e of Civil Procedure section 1008, subdivision (a), the time to appeal from that it is extended for all parties until the earliest of:
10 11 12		(1)	30 days after the superior court clerk mails, or a party serves, an order denying the motion or a notice of entry of that order;
13 14		(2)	90 days after the first motion to reconsider is filed; or
15 16		(3)	180 days after entry of the appealable order.
17	<u>(f)</u>	Pub	lic entity elections or motions under Government Code sections 962, 984, or
18		985	
19			
20		If a	public entity defendant serves and files a valid request for a mandatory
21			ement conference on methods of satisfying a judgment under Government Code
22			on 962, a motion for a posttrial hearing on reducing a judgment under
23			ernment Code section 985, or an election to pay a judgment in periodic payments
24			er Government Code section 984 and rule 3.1804, the time to appeal from the
25			ment is extended for all parties until the earliest of:
26		, ,	•
27		(1)	90 days after the superior court clerk serves the party filing the notice of appeal
28			with a document entitled "Notice of Entry" of judgment or a file-stamped copy
29			of the judgment, showing the date either was served;
30			
31		<u>(2)</u>	90 days after the party filing the notice of appeal serves or is served by a party
32			with a document entitled "Notice of Entry" of judgment or a file-stamped copy
33			of the judgment, accompanied by proof of service; or
34			
35		<u>(3)</u>	180 days after entry of judgment.
36			
37	(f)(g	<u>()</u> Cr	oss-appeal
38			
39		(1)	If an appellant timely appeals from a judgment or appealable order, the time for
40			any other party to appeal from the same judgment or order is extended until 20
41			days after the superior court clerk mails serves notification of the first appeal.
42			

(2) If an appellant timely appeals from an order granting a motion for new trial, an order granting—within 150 days after entry of judgment—a motion to vacate the judgment, or a judgment notwithstanding the verdict, the time for any other party to appeal from the original judgment or from an order denying a motion for judgment notwithstanding the verdict is extended until 20 days after the clerk mails serves notification of the first appeal.

(g)(h) Showing date of order or noticeService; proof of service

 An order or notice mailed by the clerk under this rule must show the date it was mailed. Service under this rule may be by any method permitted by the Code of Civil Procedure, including electronic service when permitted under Code of Civil Procedure section 1010.6 and rules 2.250–2.261. An order or notice that is served by a party must be accompanied by proof of service.

Advisory Committee Comment

Subdivisions (b)—(e)(f) operate only when a party serves and files a "valid" motion, election, or notice of intent to move for the relief in question. As used in these provisions, the word "valid" means only that the motion election, or notice complies with all procedural requirements; it does not mean that the motion, election, or notice must also be substantively meritorious. For example, under the rule a timely new trial motion on the ground of excessive damages (Code Civ. Proc., § 657) extends the time to appeal from the judgment even if the trial court ultimately determines the damages were not excessive. Similarly, a timely motion to reconsider (id., § 1008) extends the time to appeal from an appealable order for which reconsideration was sought even if the trial court ultimately determines the motion was not "based upon new or different facts, circumstances, or law," as subdivision (a) of section 1008 requires.

Subdivision (b). Subdivision (b)(1) provides that the denial of a motion for new trial triggers a 30-day extension of the time to appeal from the judgment beginning on the date that the superior court clerk mails, or a party serves, either the order of denial or a notice of entry of that order. This provision is intended to eliminate a trap for litigants and to make the rule consistent with the primary rule on the time to appeal from the judgment (rule 8.104(a)).

Subdivision (c). The Code of Civil Procedure provides two distinct statutory motions to vacate a judgment: (1) a motion to vacate a judgment and enter "another and different judgment" because of judicial error (*id.*, § 663), which requires a notice of intention to move to vacate (*id.*, § 663a); and (2) a motion to vacate a judgment because of mistake, inadvertence, surprise, or neglect, which requires a motion to vacate but not a notice of intention to so move (*id.*, § 473, subd. (b)). The courts also recognize certain nonstatutory motions to vacate a judgment, e.g., when the judgment is void on the face of the record or was obtained by extrinsic fraud. (See 8 Witkin, Cal. Procedure (4th ed. 1997) Attack on Judgment in Trial Court, §§ 222–236, pp. 726–750.) Subdivision (c) is intended to apply to all such motions.

In subdivision (c) the phrase "within the time prescribed by rule 8.104 to appeal from the judgment" is intended to incorporate in full the provisions of rule 8.104(a).

Under subdivision (c)(1), the 30-day extension of the time to appeal from the judgment begins when the superior court clerk mails, or a party serves, the order denying the motion or notice of entry of that order. This provision is discussed further under subdivision (b) of this comment.

Subdivision (d). Subdivision (d)(1) provides an extension of time after an order denying a motion for judgment notwithstanding the verdict regardless of whether the moving party also moved unsuccessfully for a new trial.

Subdivision (d) further specifies the times to appeal when, as often occurs, a motion for judgment notwithstanding the verdict is joined with a motion for new trial and both motions are denied. Under subdivision (b), the appellant has 30 days after notice of the denial of the new trial motion to appeal from the judgment. Subdivision (d) allows the appellant the longer time provided by rule 8.104 to appeal from the order denying the motion for judgment notwithstanding the verdict, subject to that time being further extended in the circumstances covered by subdivision (f)(2).

Under subdivision (d)(1)(A), the 30-day extension of the time to appeal from the judgment begins when the superior court clerk mails, or a party serves, the order denying the motion or notice of entry of that order. This provision is discussed further under subdivision (b) of this comment.

Subdivision (e). The scope of subdivision (e) is specific. It applies to any "appealable order," whether made before or after judgment (see Code Civ. Proc., § 904.1, subd. (a)(2)–(12)), but it extends only the time to appeal "from that order." The subdivision thus takes no position on whether a judgment is subject to a motion to reconsider (see, e.g., *Ramon v. Aerospace Corp.* (1996) 50 Cal.App.4th 1233, 1236–1238 [postjudgment motion to reconsider order granting summary judgment did not extend time to appeal from judgment because trial court had no power to rule on such motion after entry of judgment]), or whether an order denying a motion to reconsider is itself appealable (compare *Santee v. Santa Clara County Office of Education* (1990) 220 Cal.App.3d 702, 710–711 [order appealable if motion based on new facts] with *Rojes v. Riverside General Hospital* (1988) 203 Cal.App.3d 1151, 1160–1161 [order not appealable under any circumstances]). Both these issues are legislative matters.

Subdivision (e) applies only when a "party" makes a valid motion to "reconsider" an appealable order under subdivision (a) of Code of Civil Procedure section 1008; it therefore does not apply when a court reconsiders an order on its own motion (*id.*, subd. (d)) or when a party makes "a subsequent application for the same order" (*id.*, subd. (c)). The statute provides no time limits within which either of the latter events must occur.

Under subdivision (e)(1), the 30-day extension of the time to appeal from the order begins when the superior court clerk mails, or a party serves, the order denying the motion or notice of entry of that order. The purpose of this provision is discussed further under subdivision (b) of this comment.

Among its alternative periods of extension of the time to appeal, subdivision (e) provides in paragraph (2) for a 90-day period beginning on the filing of the motion to reconsider or, if there is more than one such motion, the filing of the first such motion. The provision is consistent with subdivision (c)(2), governing motions to vacate judgment; as in the case of those motions, there is no time limit for a ruling on a motion to reconsider.

Subdivision (f)(g). Consistent with case law, subdivision (f)(g)(1) extends the time to appeal after another party appeals only if the later appeal is taken "from the same order or judgment as the first appeal." (Commercial & Farmers Nat. Bank v. Edwards (1979) 91 Cal.App.3d 699, 704.)

The former rule (former rule 3(c), second sentence) provided an extension of time for filing a protective cross-appeal from the judgment when the trial court granted a motion for new trial or a motion to vacate the judgment, but did not provide the same extension when the trial court granted a motion for judgment notwithstanding the verdict. One case declined to infer that the omission was unintentional, but suggested that the Judicial Council might consider amending the rule to fill the gap. (*Lippert v. AVCO Community Developers, Inc.* (1976) 60 Cal.App.3d 775, 778 & fn. 3.) Rule 8.108(e)(2) fills the gap thus identified.

Subdivision (g)(h). Under subdivision (g)(h), an order or notice mailed by the clerk under this rule must show the date on which the clerk mailed the document, analogously to the clerk's "certificate of mailing" currently in use in many superior courts. This provision is intended to establish the date when an extension of the time to appeal begins to run after the clerk mails such an order or notice.

Subdivision (g) also requires that an order or notice that is served by a party under this rule must be accompanied by proof of service. The proof of service establishes the date when an extension of the time to appeal begins to run after the party serves service of such an order or notice.

Division 2. Rules Relating to the Superior Court Appellate Division

Chapter 2. Appeals and Records in Limited Civil Cases

Article 1. Taking Civil Appeals

Rule 8.823. Extending the time to appeal

(a) Extension of time

This rule operates only to increase the time to appeal otherwise prescribed in rule 8.822(a); it does not shorten the time to appeal. If the normal time to appeal stated in rule 8.822(a) would be longer than the time provided in this rule, the time to appeal stated in rule 8.822(a) governs.

(b) Motion for a new trial

If any party serves and files a valid notice of intention to move for a new trial, the time to appeal from the judgment is extended for all parties as follows:

- (1) If the motion is denied, until the earliest of:
 - (A) 15 days after the trial court clerk mails, or a party serves, an order denying the motion or a notice of entry of that order;

1			
2			(B) 15 days after denial of the motion by operation of law; or
3			
4			(C) 90 days after entry of judgment; or
5		(2)	
6 7		(2)	If any party serves an acceptance of a conditionally ordered additur or remittitur of damages under a trial court finding of excessive or inadequate damages,
8			until 15 days after the date the party serves the acceptance.
9			until 13 days after the date the party serves the acceptance.
10	(c)	Mot	ion to vacate judgment
11	` /		
12			vithin the time prescribed by rule 8.822 to appeal from the judgment, any party
13			es and files a valid notice of intention to move to vacate the judgment or a valid
14			ion to vacate the judgment, the time to appeal from the judgment is extended for
15		all p	parties until the earliest of:
16 17		(1)	15 days often the trial count along mails, on a newty service, an order denying the
18		(1)	15 days after the trial court clerk mails, or a party serves, an order denying the motion or a notice of entry of that order;
19			motion of a notice of entry of that order,
20		(2)	45 days after the first notice of intention to move or motion is filed; or
21		, ,	
22		(3)	90 days after entry of judgment.
23			
24	(d)	Mot	ion for judgment notwithstanding the verdict
25		(1)	
26 27		(1)	If any party serves and files a valid motion for judgment notwithstanding the verdict and the motion is denied, the time to appeal from the judgment is
28			extended for all parties until the earliest of:
29			extended for an parties until the earnest of.
30			(A) 15 days after the trial court clerk mails, or a party serves, an order denying
31			the motion or a notice of entry of that order;
32			
33			(B) 15 days after denial of the motion by operation of law; or
34			
35			(C) 90 days after entry of judgment.
36		(2)	Unless system ded by (a)(2) the time to arread from an ender denying a metion
37 38		(2)	Unless extended by (e)(2), the time to appeal from an order denying a motion for judgment notwithstanding the verdict is governed by rule 8.822
36 39			for judgment notwithstanding the verdict is governed by rule 8.822.
40			

1 (e) Motion to reconsider appealable order 2 3 If any party serves and files a valid motion to reconsider an appealable order under 4 Code of Civil Procedure section 1008(a), the time to appeal from that order is 5 extended for all parties until the earliest of: 6 7 15 days after the superior court clerk mails, or a party serves, an order denying (1) 8 the motion or a notice of entry of that order; 9 10 (2) 45 days after the first motion to reconsider is filed; or 11 12 90 days after entry of the appealable order. (3) 13 14 **(f)** Public entity elections or motions under Government Code sections 962, 984, or 15 985 16 17 If a public entity defendant serves and files a valid request for a mandatory 18 settlement conference on methods of satisfying a judgment under Government Code 19 section 962, a motion for a posttrial hearing on reducing a judgment under 20 Government Code section 985, or an election to pay a judgment in periodic payments 21 under Government Code section 984 and rule 3.1804, the time to appeal from the 22 judgment is extended for all parties until the earliest of: 23 24 (1) 60 days after the superior court clerk serves the party filing the notice of appeal with a document entitled "Notice of Entry" of judgment or a file-stamped copy 25 of the judgment, showing the date either was served; 26 27 28 (2) 60 days after the party filing the notice of appeal serves or is served by a party with a document entitled "Notice of Entry" of judgment or a file-stamped copy 29 of the judgment, accompanied by proof of service; or 30 31 32 (3) 90 days after entry of judgment. 33 (f)(g) Cross-appeal 34 35 36 If an appellant timely appeals from a judgment or appealable order, the time for 37 any other party to appeal from the same judgment or order is extended until 10 38 days after the trial court clerk mails notification of the first appeal. 39

(2) If an appellant timely appeals from an order granting a motion for a new trial,

an order granting—within 75 days after entry of judgment—a motion to vacate

the judgment, or a judgment notwithstanding the verdict, the time for any other

40 41

party to appeal from the original judgment or from an order denying a motion for judgment notwithstanding the verdict is extended until 10 days after the clerk mails notification of the first appeal. (g)(h) Showing date of order or notice; proof of service

An order or notice mailed by the clerk under this rule must show the date it was mailed. An order or notice served by a party must be accompanied by proof of service.

Item SPR10-11 Response Form

	Cal. Rules of Court, rules 8.104, 8.108 and 8.823)
	Agree with proposed changes
	Agree with proposed changes if modified
	Do not agree with proposed changes
Commer	nts:
Name:_	Title:
Organiz	ation:
	Commenting on behalf of an organization
Address	3:
City, Sta	ate, Zip:
To Subr Commentare not conthe proportion	nit Comments ts may be submitted online, written on this form, or prepared in a letter format. If you ommenting directly on this form, please include the information requested above and isal number for identification purposes. Please submit your comments online or email, ax comments. You are welcome to email your comments as an attachment.
Internet	t: http://www.courtinfo.ca.gov/invitationstocomment/
Email: Mail:	invitations@jud.ca.gov Ms. Camilla Kieliger Judicial Council, 455 Golden Gate Avenue
Fax:	San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 865-7664, Attn: Camilla Kieliger

Circulation for comment does not imply endorsement by the Judicial Council or the Rules and Projects Committee. All comments will become part of the public record of the council's action.

DEADLINE FOR COMMENT: 5:00 p.m., Friday, June 18, 2010