



JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

455 Golden Gate Avenue · San Francisco, California 94102-3688

www.courts.ca.gov

REPORT TO THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL

For business meeting on: July 28, 2015

Title

Child Support: Revise Base Funding Allocation for Fiscal Year 2015–2016 for the Family Law Facilitator Program

Agenda Item Type

Action Required

Effective Date

July 28, 2015

Rules, Forms, Standards, or Statutes Affected

None

Date of Report

July 17, 2015

Recommended by

Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee
Hon. Jerilyn L. Borack, Cochair
Hon. Mark A. Juhas, Cochair

Contact

Anna L. Maves, Senior Attorney
916-263-8624
anna.maves@jud.ca.gov

Executive Summary

The Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee recommends that the Judicial Council revise the fiscal year (FY) 2015–2016 allocation for the Family Law Facilitator Program that it approved on April 17, 2015. The revision adds an allocation to support facilitator services for the Superior Court of Trinity County and corrects minor technical errors.

Revised allocations were calculated using the same council-approved funding methodology applied to calculate the allocations approved by the Judicial Council on April 17. Some courts opted to maintain the same allocation they had in FY 2014–2015. Other courts requested an increase, and some requested a reduction. The revised allocations only affect the courts that requested additional funds for FY 2015–2016.

Recommendation

The Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee recommends that the Judicial Council revise

the allocation for the Family Law Facilitator Program for FY 2015–2016 to include an allocation to support facilitator services for the Superior Court of Trinity County, then use the same allocation methodology adopted by the council on April 17, 2015, to allocate remaining funding statewide.

Allocations revised to include Trinity funding are shown in Attachment A on page 5.

Previous Council Action

The Judicial Council is required annually to allocate Assembly Bill 1058 funding to the Family Law Facilitator Program, and has done so since 1997.¹ A cooperative agreement between the California Department of Child Support Services (DCSS) and the Judicial Council provides the funds for this program and requires the council to annually approve the funding allocation. Two-thirds of the funds are federal, and one-third comes from the state General Fund as part of the DCSS annual budget.

In addition, in FY 2007–2008, DCSS and the Judicial Council of California provided an optional mechanism for the courts to recover two-thirds of additional program costs beyond the contract maximum by participating in the federal drawdown funding. Courts that participate in the federal drawdown are required to provide a 34 percent match from local trial court funds. The contract amount does not include the local court’s share. This federal drawdown option continues to be available for FY 2015–2016.

On April 17, 2015, the Judicial Council allocated funds to the Family Law Facilitator Program for FY 2015–2016.

Rationale for Recommendation

The Judicial Council is responsible for the allocation of base program funding at the beginning of each fiscal year. Under an established procedure described in the standard agreement with each superior court, questionnaires are sent annually to each court requesting the information needed to evaluate appropriate funding levels. On April 17, 2015, the Judicial Council allocated base funding and federal drawdown for the Family Law Facilitator Program for FY 2015–2016. Unfortunately, the allocation for the Superior Court of Trinity County was inadvertently omitted. In addition, there were some minor mathematical errors in the allocation table. Affected courts were notified of the corrections by Judicial Council staff on April 28, 2015.

The committee recommends that the Judicial Council adopt a revised allocation to restore base funding and federal drawdown to support facilitator services for the Superior Court of Trinity

¹ AB 1058 added article 4 to chapter 2, of part 2, of division 9 of the Family Code, which at section 4252(b)(6) requires the Judicial Council to “[e]stablish procedures for the distribution of funding to the courts for child support commissioners, family law facilitators pursuant to [Fam. Code] Division 14 (commencing with Section 10000) and related allowable costs.”10000) and related allowable costs.”10000) and related allowable costs.”

County in the amount of \$24,833 in base funding and \$34,565 in federal drawdown, the same amount allocated for FY 2014–2015. Based on the terms of the intrabranch agreement between the Shasta and Trinity courts, the committee recommends that these funds be included in the allocation to the Superior Court of Shasta County.

The committee recommends that the Judicial Council allocate base funding and federal drawdown funding statewide consistent with the funding methodology used by the council when it made its allocation on April 17, 2015.² By applying the methodology, courts would be funded at the same level received for FY 2014–2015³, unless they requested to reduce their base funding or federal drawdown participation. Then, available base and federal drawdown funds would be allocated among all the courts that requested additional funds, proportionate to their share of the total base funding. Applying this methodology provides courts with funds consistent with the funding they received in the prior fiscal year. Courts that have requested additional funds will receive some additional funding, although slightly less than allocated by the council on April 17, 2015.

Only courts that requested to augment their funding are impacted by the allocation revision to include Trinity County. Some courts only requested an increase to base funding, some courts only requested an increase in federal drawdown participation, while some courts requested additional base funding and federal drawdown participation.

The committee recommends the Judicial Council adopt the allocations for the Family Law Facilitator Program shown in Attachment A on page 5. Attachment A includes an allocation for the Superior Court of Trinity County that was inadvertently not included in the recommendation to the Judicial Council in the council’s allocation on April 17, 2015.

Comments, Alternatives Considered, and Policy Implications

This proposal was not circulated for public comment; however, a detailed funding questionnaire was completed by the courts wherein they indicated their request to maintain current funding levels, request additional funding, or request to reduce funding. These questionnaires were used to develop the original allocation recommendations adopted by the Judicial Council at the April 17, 2015 meeting.

Alternatives considered for allocating base funding, FY 2015–2016

Under Family Code 10002, each superior court is required to maintain an office of the family

²Attachment D on page 8 reflects the corrections to the allocations of April 17, 2015, for the Family Law Facilitator Program for FY 2015–2016 due to some minor mathematical errors. Affected courts were notified of the corrections by Judicial Council staff on April 28, 2015.

³Attachment B on page 6 shows the Judicial Council’s FY 2014-2015 allocation for the Family Law Facilitator Program, which includes an allocation for the Superior Court of Trinity County.

law facilitator. The committee considered not acting on this request to reconsider FY 2015–2016 allocations but because that would require the court to support facilitator services from its operating budget, the committee decided that seeking a reallocation was most appropriate. The committee considered waiting until the midyear reallocation and then reallocating funding to support the Superior Court of Trinity County facilitator services based on money returned by courts that do not expect to spend their full allocation, rather than revising the allocation at the beginning of the fiscal year. However, it is uncertain whether any funds would be available at that time to reallocate. Finally, the committee considered bringing this recommendation to the Judicial Council’s August meeting but the committee recommends expediting this revised allocation so that contracts can be distributed to the courts as close to the beginning of the fiscal year as possible. Courts cannot be reimbursed for expenses incurred for the Family Law Facilitator Program until a contract has been executed by the council and the local court.

Implementation Requirements, Costs, and Operational Impacts

There are no additional costs originating from this report. With this reallocation—as with the April 17, 2015 original allocation of FY 2015–2016 funds—in order for courts to draw down federal funds, federal provisions require payment of a state share of one-third of total expenditures. Therefore, each participating court will need to provide the one-third share of the court’s total cost to draw down two-thirds of total expenditures from federal participation.

Attachments

1. Attachment A. Recommended Allocation (Trinity funding allocation added to Shasta/Trinity allocation per intrabranch agreement), at page 5
2. Attachment B. Family Law Facilitator Program Allocation Adopted by the Judicial Council for FY 2014–2015, at page 6
3. Attachment C. Family Law Facilitator Program Allocation Adopted by the Judicial Council on April 17, 2015 for FY 2015–2016, at page 7
4. Attachment D. Family Law Facilitator Program Allocations with April 28, 2015 Corrections, FY 2015–2016, at page 8

Attachment A. Recommended Allocation (Trinity funding allocation added to Shasta/Trinity allocation per intrabranch agreement)

FAMILY LAW FACILITATOR PROGRAM ALLOCATION, FY 2015-2016							
	A	B	C	D	E	F	
COURT	Recommended Base Funding Allocation	Recommended Federal Drawdown Option	Federal Share 66% (Column B x .66)	Court Share 34% (Column B x .34)	Total Allocation (Column A + B)	Contract Amount (Column A + C)	
1	Alameda	369,025	160,062	105,641	54,421	529,087	474,666
2	Alpine	See El Dorado					
3	Amador	See Calaveras					
4	Butte	103,647	44,095	29,103	14,992	147,742	132,750
5	Calaveras/Amador	119,392	10,925	7,211	3,715	130,317	126,603
6	Colusa	53,598	22,261	14,692	7,569	75,859	68,291
7	Contra Costa	351,312	2,848	1,880	968	354,161	353,192
8	Del Norte	50,932	5,551	3,664	1,887	56,483	54,596
9	El Dorado/Alpine	108,010	45,738	30,187	15,551	153,748	138,197
10	Fresno	400,028	169,391	111,798	57,593	569,419	511,826
11	Glenn	77,218	32,697	21,580	11,117	109,915	98,798
12	Humboldt	90,844	37,730	24,902	12,828	128,574	115,746
13	Imperial	53,598	22,696	14,979	7,716	76,294	68,577
14	Inyo	58,249	24,666	16,280	8,387	82,915	74,528
15	Kern	360,065	152,467	100,629	51,839	512,532	460,694
16	Kings	59,411	25,159	16,605	8,554	84,570	76,016
17	Lake	58,640	24,948	16,466	8,482	83,588	75,106
18	Lassen	79,131	47,352	31,252	16,100	126,483	110,383
19	Los Angeles	1,916,241	746,897	492,952	253,945	2,663,138	2,409,193
20	Madera	82,062	23,043	15,208	7,835	105,105	97,270
21	Marin	139,122	-	-	-	139,122	139,122
22	Mariposa	46,234	-	-	-	46,234	46,234
23	Mendocino	61,300	26,589	17,549	9,040	87,889	78,849
24	Merced	100,217	42,636	28,140	14,496	142,853	128,357
25	Modoc	72,130	1,247	823	424	73,377	72,953
26	Mono	49,055	1,255	828	427	50,310	49,884
27	Monterey	122,582	51,907	34,259	17,648	174,489	156,840
28	Napa	62,790	26,589	17,549	9,040	89,380	80,339
29	Nevada/Sierra	118,168	50,273	33,180	17,093	168,441	151,348
30	Orange	547,203	231,711	152,929	78,782	778,914	700,132
31	Placer	91,293	38,657	25,514	13,143	129,950	116,807
32	Plumas	56,866	7,254	4,788	2,466	64,120	61,654
33	Riverside	674,668	285,687	188,554	97,134	960,355	863,221
34	Sacramento	313,890	132,917	87,725	45,192	446,807	401,615
35	San Benito	61,300	26,080	17,213	8,867	87,380	78,513
36	San Bernardino	465,711	197,204	130,155	67,049	662,915	595,865
37	San Diego	617,210	230,230	151,952	78,278	847,440	769,162
38	San Francisco	249,820	105,787	69,819	35,967	355,607	319,639
39	San Joaquin	217,745	68,636	45,300	23,336	286,381	263,045
40	San Luis Obispo	68,133	28,850	19,041	9,809	96,984	87,175
41	San Mateo	129,159	56,021	36,974	19,047	185,180	166,133
42	Santa Barbara	173,072	71,882	47,442	24,440	244,954	220,514
43	Santa Clara	451,723	191,283	126,247	65,036	643,005	577,969
44	Santa Cruz	75,365	31,913	21,063	10,850	107,278	96,428
45	Shasta/Trinity	188,897	104,037	68,665	35,373	292,935	257,562
46	Sierra	See Nevada					
47	Siskiyou	75,822	32,258	21,290	10,968	108,080	97,112
48	Solano	131,471	36,916	24,365	12,551	168,387	155,836
49	Sonoma	140,457	59,478	39,255	20,222	199,935	179,712
50	Stanislaus	223,137	94,930	62,654	32,276	318,067	285,791
51	Sutter	67,333	28,513	18,819	9,694	95,846	86,152
52	Tehama	27,802	3,286	2,169	1,117	31,088	29,971
53	Trinity	See Shasta					
54	Tulare	312,151	120,095	79,263	40,832	432,246	391,414
55	Tuolumne	65,735	27,967	18,458	9,509	93,702	84,193
56	Ventura	256,956	108,807	71,813	36,995	365,764	328,769
57	Yolo	77,666	32,888	21,706	11,182	110,553	99,371
58	Yuba	66,769	28,274	18,661	9,613	95,043	85,430
Totals	10,990,357	4,180,585	2,759,186	1,421,399	15,170,942	13,749,543	

FLF Base Funds 10,990,357
 FLF Federal Drawdown 4,180,585
 Total Funding Available 15,170,942

Attachment B. Family Law Facilitator Program Allocation Adopted by the Judicial Council for FY 2014-2015

FAMILY LAW FACILITATOR PROGRAM ALLOCATION, FY 2014-2015						
	A	B	C	D	E	F
County	Recommended Base Funding Allocation	Recommended Federal Drawdown Option	Federal Share 66% (Column B x .66)	Court Share 34% (Column B x .34)	Total Allocation (Column A + B)	Contract Amount (Column A + C)
Alameda	369,025	156,997	103,618	53,379	526,022	472,643
Alpine	See El Dorado					
Amador	See Calaveras					
Butte	103,647	44,095	29,103	14,992	147,742	132,750
Calaveras/Amador	119,392	10,926	7,211	3,715	130,318	126,603
Colusa	52,326	22,261	14,692	7,569	74,587	67,018
Contra Costa	342,973		-	-	342,973	342,973
Del Norte	49,723	5,138	3,391	1,747	54,861	53,114
El Dorado/Alpine	105,446	44,862	29,609	15,253	150,308	135,055
Fresno	390,532	166,148	109,658	56,490	556,680	500,190
Glenn	75,385	32,071	21,167	10,904	107,456	96,552
Humboldt	88,688	37,730	24,902	12,828	126,418	113,590
Imperial	52,326	22,261	14,692	7,569	74,587	67,018
Inyo	56,866	24,194	15,968	8,226	81,060	72,834
Kern	351,518	149,548	98,702	50,846	501,066	450,220
Kings	58,001	24,677	16,287	8,390	82,678	74,288
Lake	58,640	24,948	16,466	8,482	83,588	75,106
Lassen	111,304	47,352	31,252	16,100	158,656	142,556
Los Angeles	1,870,754	746,897	492,952	253,945	2,617,651	2,363,706
Madera	82,062	34,914	23,043	11,871	116,976	105,105
Marin	139,122	59,186	39,063	20,123	198,308	178,185
Mariposa	46,234	-	-	-	46,234	46,234
Mendocino	61,300	26,080	17,213	8,867	87,380	78,513
Merced	100,217	42,636	28,140	14,496	142,853	128,357
Modoc	72,130	1,889	1,247	642	74,019	73,377
Mono	47,891	1,255	828	427	49,146	48,719
Monterey	119,672	50,914	33,603	17,311	170,586	153,275
Napa	61,300	26,080	17,213	8,867	87,380	78,513
Nevada/Sierra	118,168	50,273	33,180	17,093	168,441	151,348
Orange	534,214	227,274	150,001	77,273	761,488	684,215
Placer	89,126	37,917	25,025	12,892	127,043	114,151
Plumas	56,866	7,254	4,788	2,466	64,120	61,654
Riverside	658,653	280,217	184,943	95,274	938,870	843,596
Sacramento	306,439	130,373	86,046	44,327	436,812	392,485
San Benito	61,300	26,080	17,213	8,867	87,380	78,513
San Bernardino	454,656	193,427	127,662	65,765	648,083	582,318
San Diego	602,559	225,226	148,649	76,577	827,785	751,208
San Francisco	243,890	103,761	68,482	35,279	347,651	312,372
San Joaquin	217,745	68,636	45,300	23,336	286,381	263,045
San Luis Obispo	66,516	28,298	18,677	9,621	94,814	85,193
San Mateo	129,159	54,948	36,266	18,682	184,107	165,425
Santa Barbara	168,964	71,882	47,442	24,440	240,846	216,406
Santa Clara	441,000	187,620	123,829	63,791	628,620	564,829
Santa Cruz	73,576	31,302	20,659	10,643	104,878	94,235
Shasta	160,170	68,142	44,974	23,168	228,312	205,144
Siskiyou	75,822	32,258	21,290	10,968	108,080	97,112
Sierra	See Nevada					
Solano	131,471	55,933	36,916	19,017	187,404	168,387
Sonoma	137,123	58,339	38,504	19,835	195,462	175,627
Stanislaus	223,137	94,930	62,654	32,276	318,067	285,791
Sutter	65,735	27,967	18,458	9,509	93,702	84,193
Tehama	27,802	3,286	2,169	1,117	31,088	29,971
Trinity	24,833	34,565	22,813	11,752	59,398	47,646
Tulare	312,151	117,503	77,552	39,951	429,654	389,703
Tuolumne	65,735	27,967	18,458	9,509	93,702	84,193
Ventura	250,857	106,724	70,438	36,286	357,581	321,295
Yolo	75,822	32,258	21,290	10,968	108,080	97,112
Yuba	65,184	27,733	18,304	9,429	92,917	83,488
TOTALS	10,825,147	4,215,154	2,782,002	1,433,152	15,040,301	13,607,149

FLF Base Funds 10,825,147
 FLF Federal Drawdown 4,215,154
 Total Funding Available 15,040,301

Attachment C. Family Law Facilitator Program Allocation Adopted by the Judicial Council on April 17, 2015 for FY 2015-2016

FAMILY LAW FACILITATOR PROGRAM ALLOCATION, FY 2015-16							
	A	B	C	D	E	F	
COURT	Recommended Base Funding Allocation	Recommended Federal Drawdown Option	Federal Share 66% (Column B x .66)	Court Share 34% (Column B x .34)	Total Allocation (Column A + B)	Contract Amount (Column A + C)	
1	Alameda	369,025	161,948	107,336	55,294	531,656	476,361
2	Alpine	See El Dorado					
3	Amador	See Calaveras					
4	Butte	103,647	44,095	29,103	14,992	147,742	132,750
5	Calaveras/Amador	119,392	10,925	7,211	3,715	130,317	126,603
6	Colusa	53,758	22,261	14,692	7,569	76,180	68,611
7	Contra Costa	352,361	4,602	3,456	1,780	358,651	356,870
8	Del Norte	51,084	5,805	3,892	2,005	57,134	55,129
9	El Dorado/Alpine	108,332	46,277	30,671	15,800	155,128	139,328
10	Fresno	401,222	171,388	113,593	58,517	574,532	516,014
11	Glenn	77,449	33,082	21,926	11,295	110,902	99,606
12	Humboldt	91,116	37,730	24,902	12,828	129,118	116,290
13	Imperial	53,758	22,963	15,219	7,840	76,979	69,139
14	Inyo	58,423	24,957	16,541	8,521	83,659	75,138
15	Kern	361,140	154,265	102,244	52,671	517,134	464,463
16	Kings	59,589	25,455	16,871	8,691	85,329	76,638
17	Lake	58,640	24,948	16,466	8,482	83,588	75,106
18	Lassen	79,131	47,352	31,252	16,100	126,483	110,383
19	Los Angeles	1,921,963	746,897	492,952	253,945	2,674,605	2,420,660
20	Madera	82,062	23,043	15,208	7,835	105,105	97,270
21	Marin	139,122	-	-	-	139,122	139,122
22	Mariposa	46,234	-	-	-	46,234	46,234
23	Mendocino	61,300	26,903	17,830	9,185	88,316	79,130
24	Merced	100,217	42,636	28,140	14,496	142,853	128,357
25	Modoc	72,130	1,247	823	424	73,377	72,953
26	Mono	49,203	1,255	828	427	50,604	50,177
27	Monterey	122,948	52,519	34,808	17,932	176,055	158,124
28	Napa	62,978	26,904	17,830	9,185	90,182	80,997
29	Nevada/Sierra	118,168	50,273	33,180	17,093	168,441	151,348
30	Orange	548,837	234,442	155,384	80,046	785,908	705,861
31	Placer	91,566	39,113	25,923	13,354	131,117	117,763
32	Plumas	56,866	7,254	4,788	2,466	64,120	61,654
33	Riverside	676,683	289,055	184,943	95,274	938,870	843,596
34	Sacramento	314,827	134,484	89,133	45,917	450,819	404,902
35	San Benito	61,300	26,800	17,213	8,867	87,380	78,513
36	San Bernardino	467,102	199,528	132,244	68,125	668,867	600,741
37	San Diego	619,053	233,311	154,721	79,705	855,329	775,624
38	San Francisco	250,566	107,033	70,940	36,545	358,800	322,255
39	San Joaquin	217,745	68,636	45,300	23,336	286,381	263,045
40	San Luis Obispo	68,337	29,190	19,347	9,967	97,855	87,888
41	San Mateo	129,159	56,681	37,567	19,353	186,079	166,726
42	Santa Barbara	173,589	71,882	47,442	24,440	245,990	221,550
43	Santa Clara	453,072	193,537	128,273	66,080	648,779	582,699
44	Santa Cruz	75,590	32,289	21,401	11,025	108,241	97,217
45	Shasta/Trinity	164,554	70,291	44,974	23,168	228,312	205,144
46	Sierra	See Nevada					
47	Siskiyou	75,822	32,258	21,290	10,968	108,080	97,112
48	Solano	131,471	36,916	24,365	12,551	168,387	155,836
49	Sonoma	140,877	60,179	39,885	20,547	201,730	181,183
50	Stanislaus	223,137	94,930	62,654	32,276	318,067	285,791
51	Sutter	67,534	28,849	19,121	9,850	96,707	86,857
52	Tehama	27,802	3,286	2,169	1,117	31,088	29,971
53	Trinity	See Shasta					
54	Tulare	312,151	121,691	80,697	41,571	434,420	392,848
55	Tuolumne	65,735	27,967	18,458	9,509	93,702	84,193
56	Ventura	257,724	110,090	72,965	37,588	369,048	331,460
57	Yolo	77,898	33,275	22,054	11,361	111,546	100,185
58	Yuba	66,968	28,608	18,961	9,768	95,897	86,129
Totals	10,990,357	4,180,585	2,759,186	1,421,399	15,170,942	13,749,543	

FLF Base Funds 10,990,357
 FLF Federal Drawdown 4,180,585
 Total Funding Available 15,170,942

Attachment D. Family Law Facilitator Program Allocations with April 28, 2015 Corrections, FY 2015-2016

FAMILY LAW FACILITATOR PROGRAM ALLOCATION, FY 2015-16						
	A	B	C	D	E	F
COURT	Recommended Base Funding Allocation	Recommended Federal Drawdown Option	Federal Share 66% (Column B x .66)	Court Share 34% (Column B x .34)	Total Allocation (Column A + B)	Contract Amount (Column A + C)*
1	Alameda	369,025	161,948	106,886	55,062	475,911
2	Alpine	See El Dorado				
3	Amador	See Calaveras				
4	Butte	103,647	44,095	29,103	14,992	132,750
5	Calaveras/Amador	119,392	10,925	7,211	3,715	126,603
6	Colusa	53,758	22,261	14,692	7,569	68,450
7	Contra Costa	352,361	4,602	3,037	1,565	355,398
8	Del Norte	51,084	5,805	3,831	1,974	54,915
9	El Dorado/Alpine	108,332	46,277	30,543	15,734	138,875
10	Fresno	401,222	171,388	113,116	58,272	514,338
11	Glenn	77,449	33,082	21,834	11,248	99,283
12	Humboldt	91,116	37,730	24,902	12,828	116,018
13	Imperial	53,758	22,963	15,156	7,807	68,914
14	Inyo	58,423	24,957	16,472	8,485	74,895
15	Kern	361,140	154,265	101,815	52,450	462,955
16	Kings	59,589	25,455	16,800	8,655	76,389
17	Lake	58,640	24,948	16,466	8,482	75,106
18	Lassen	79,131	47,352	31,252	16,100	110,383
19	Los Angeles	1,921,963	746,897	492,952	253,945	2,414,915
20	Madera	82,062	23,043	15,208	7,835	97,270
21	Marin	139,122	-	-	-	139,122
22	Mariposa	46,234	-	-	-	46,234
23	Mendocino	61,300	26,903	17,756	9,147	79,056
24	Merced	100,217	42,636	28,140	14,496	128,357
25	Modoc	72,130	1,247	823	424	72,953
26	Mono	49,203	1,255	828	427	50,031
27	Monterey	122,948	52,519	34,663	17,856	157,611
28	Napa	62,978	26,904	17,757	9,147	80,735
29	Nevada/Sierra	118,168	50,273	33,180	17,093	151,348
30	Orange	548,837	234,442	154,732	79,710	703,569
31	Placer	91,566	39,113	25,815	13,298	117,381
32	Plumas	56,866	7,254	4,788	2,466	61,654
33	Riverside	676,683	289,055	190,776	98,279	867,459
34	Sacramento	314,827	134,484	88,759	45,725	403,586
35	San Benito	61,300	26,080	17,213	8,867	78,513
36	San Bernardino	467,102	199,528	131,688	67,840	598,790
37	San Diego	619,053	233,311	153,985	79,326	773,038
38	San Francisco	250,566	107,033	70,642	36,391	321,208
39	San Joaquin	217,745	68,636	45,300	23,336	263,045
40	San Luis Obispo	68,337	29,190	19,265	9,925	87,602
41	San Mateo	129,159	56,681	37,409	19,272	166,568
42	Santa Barbara	173,589	71,882	47,442	24,440	221,031
43	Santa Clara	453,072	193,537	127,734	65,803	580,806
44	Santa Cruz	75,590	32,289	21,311	10,978	96,901
45	Shasta/Trinity	164,554	70,291	46,392	23,899	210,946
46	Sierra	See Nevada				
47	Siskiyou	75,822	32,258	21,290	10,968	97,112
48	Solano	131,471	36,916	24,365	12,551	155,836
49	Sonoma	140,877	60,179	39,718	20,461	180,595
50	Stanislaus	223,137	94,930	62,654	32,276	285,791
51	Sutter	67,534	28,849	19,040	9,809	86,574
52	Tehama	27,802	3,286	2,169	1,117	29,971
53	Trinity	See Shasta				
54	Tulare	312,151	121,691	80,316	41,375	392,467
55	Tuolumne	65,735	27,967	18,458	9,509	84,193
56	Ventura	257,724	110,090	72,659	37,431	330,383
57	Yolo	77,898	33,275	21,962	11,314	99,860
58	Yuba	66,968	28,608	18,881	9,727	85,849
Totals	10,990,357	4,180,585	2,759,186	1,421,399	15,170,942	13,749,543

FLF Base Funds 10,990,357
 FLF Federal Drawdown 4,180,585
 Total Funding Available 15,170,942

*Allocations reflect revisions to April 17, 2015 Judicial Council report per April 28, 2015 letter to courts.