



JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

455 Golden Gate Avenue · San Francisco, California 94102-3688

www.courts.ca.gov

REPORT TO THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL

For business meeting on: January 22, 2015

Title

Administrative Director's Report

Agenda Item Type

Information Only

Submitted by

Martin Hoshino

Administrative Director

Date of Report

January 20, 2015

Contact

Tina Carroll, 415-865-4242

tina.carroll@jud.ca.gov

Executive Summary

The following information outlines some of the many activities staff is engaged in to further the Judicial Council's goals and priorities for the judicial branch. The report focuses on action since the council's December meeting and is exclusive of issues on the January business meeting agenda.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Audit of the Judicial Council	3
Cross Swearing-In of Tribal and State Court Judges	3
Legislative Advocacy.....	3
Legislative Analyst’s Office Court Visits.....	3
Chief Justice Liaison Meetings.....	3
Proposition 47 Implementation.....	3
Criminal Justice Realignment/Senate Bill 678	3
Recidivism Reduction Fund Court Grant Program.....	4
Facilities	4
Capital Projects	
Real Estate and Facilities Management	
Technology	4
Telecommunications Infrastructure and Security	
Case Management Systems	
California Courts Protective Order Registry	
Human Resources	5
Labor and Employee Relations	
Trial Court Payroll Systems	
Classification and Compensation Study on Judicial Council Staff	
Accessible Judicial Council Forms	6
Judicial Resources and Technical Assistance Program	6
Keeping Kids in School and Out of Court Initiative.....	6
California Child Welfare Council.....	6
Advisory Committees, Task Forces, and Working Groups	7
Judicial Branch Education and Training Programs	9
Staffing Metrics	11
Judicial Appointments and Vacancies	13

Audit of the Judicial Council: At the direction of the audit work group appointed by the Chief Justice, the Executive Office and staff are working to respond to the auditor’s recommendations and, consistent with council oversight and approval, will take action to address the recommendations.

Cross Swearing-In of Tribal and State Court Judges: Initiated through the Tribal Court-State Court Forum and Programs, the judges of the Superior Court of El Dorado County were cross-sworn in to the Shingle Springs Band of Miwok Indians Tribal Court while the chief judge of the Tribal Court was cross-sworn in to Superior Court to jointly preside over cases involving tribal members—a first in American history.

Legislative Outreach:

- The Legislature returned from its winter recess on January 6. Governmental Affairs is conducting introductory meetings with the 35 first-time legislators to introduce them to judicial branch priority issues, and has been meeting with key committee chairs and coordinating the series of upcoming Judicial Council member meetings with legislators and their staff.
- Staff met with legislative representatives and the Administration to discuss the potential impact of the recent parole revocation case *Williams v. Superior Court of Orange County*, holding that, in parole revocation proceedings, a parolee is entitled to arraignment within 10 days of arrest, a probable cause hearing within 15 days of arrest, and a final hearing within 45 days of arrest.

Legislative Analyst Office Court Visits: Government Affairs worked with the Superior Courts of San Luis Obispo and Alameda Counties to host court visits by the Judicial Branch and Information Technology analysts from the Legislative Analyst’s Office in order to share information on case management system initiatives and provide insights into court operations and budgets.

Chief Justice Liaison Meetings: Governmental Affairs coordinated annual liaison meetings with the Chief Justice and judicial branch stakeholders for the following entities during November, December, and January: the Consumer Attorneys Association of California, the California Defense Counsel, the California District Attorneys’ Association, the California State Sheriffs Association, California Attorneys for Criminal Justice, and the Public Defenders Association.

Proposition 47 Implementation: Staff developed a Budget Change Proposal for Proposition 47 trial court funding and continued research and analysis of Proposition 47 and monitoring of case law developments.

Criminal Justice Realignment/Senate Bill 678: Criminal Justice Services provided probation revocation data to the Department of Finance for inclusion in the Governor’s Proposed Budget.

Staff revised the data collection tool used by Probation to include questions measuring the impact of Proposition 47 resentencing on supervised felony population.

Recidivism Reduction Fund Court Grant Program: This program allocates \$14.25 million to the trial courts for court programs known to reduce adult offender recidivism and includes collaborative courts, pretrial programs, and the use of risk and needs assessment information at sentencing. The Judicial Council received proposals for 38 programs from 33 courts. Funding recommendations for consideration and decision by the council will be provided at its February business meeting.

Facilities

Capital Projects: There are 30 active capital projects totaling \$3.3 billion, and 3 projects that remain in the warranty, or project close-out phase. A total of 11 projects, totaling over \$1.7 billion, are currently in construction.

Facility Modifications: There are 450 facility modifications in progress, at a total value of \$71,293,916.

During this reporting period, 15 real estate transactions were completed, including court-funded leases, revenue leases and licenses, event licenses, and lease terminations, as follows:

- Lease renewal: Hall of Records court-funded expense lease, Stanislaus County
- Lease termination: Court-funded expense lease for juror selection for multi-defendant trial, Santa Barbara County
- Revenue licenses
 - New Modesto Courthouse (City of Modesto), Stanislaus County
 - San Bernardino Justice Center (Arrowhead Credit Union), San Bernardino County
 - Juvenile Court (County), San Diego County
 - Carol Miller Justice Center (ABM Parking Services), Sacramento County
- Revenue lease/license terminations: Carol Miller Justice Center (Central Parking System), Sacramento County
- Event licenses: Eight short-term event licenses were executed

Technology

Telecommunications Infrastructure and Security: Seventeen superior courts are participating in an equipment replacement program to be completed by May 2015. Replacement programs for the Superior Courts of Kern and Tulare Counties were completed during this reporting period. The replacement program is currently in progress in five additional courts.

Case Management Systems:

- *Criminal and Traffic (Fresno):* Staff continues to support the Superior Court of Fresno County's conversion to Tyler's Odyssey case management system. Staff delivered the V2

database with modifications to show requested additional fund distribution information for every case, for Fresno's validation.

- *Civil, Small Claims, Probate, and Mental Health (V3)*: This case management system is used by the Superior Courts of Orange, Sacramento, San Diego, San Joaquin, and Ventura Counties, and processes 25 percent of all civil cases statewide. Software updates were deployed into production at the California Courts Technology Center, with improvements in e-filing, case participant, case disposition, and additional court level configuration to support business processes. Development and internal testing was completed on a major upgrade which involves updates to almost every vendor-supplied software component to ensure compliance with vendor standards for support.
- *Sustain Justice Edition*: For this case management system hosted for eight courts at the California Courts Technology Center, completed year-end updates included: the 2015 Uniform Bail Schedule; Red Light Distributions; Child Seat and Unattended Child Fine; Removal of Priors Assessment Fee; Traffic School Disposition; Subsequent Action Codes for Department of Justice Reporting for Dismissals, and Conviction Set Aside/Dismissals; and Proposition 47.

California Courts Protective Order Registry: Forty courts and their respective law enforcement agencies as well as 12 tribal courts are now using the registry. The most recent counties to begin using the system are San Francisco, Trinity, Madera, Sierra, Nevada, Modoc, and Shasta, and Napa in mid-January.

Human Resources

Labor and Employee Relations:

- *Labor Relations/Negotiations*: Staff has been assisting 8 trial courts in 13 separate labor negotiations, some of which are re-openers triggered by the allocation distribution recommended by the Judicial Council. In the past two months, 3 Memoranda of Understanding and 1 side letter have been agreed upon and ratified. Support is being provided to 10 trial courts in responding to eleven different labor matters (e.g., assisting with a grievance, responding to the Public Employee Relations Board, or advising on contract interpretation).
- *Trial Court Employee Relations*: Support is being provided to 8 trial courts on separate matters involving employee investigations, discipline matters, and leaves of absence.

Trial Court Payroll Systems:

- *Superior Court of San Diego County*: The court is now live on their new payroll system. Staff of the Trial Court Administrative Services office assisted with the transition from the county-provided service and will continue to assist where needed.
- *HR Payroll Deployment – Superior Court of Trinity County*: The court requested assistance to transition from the county-administered payroll to the Judicial Council-administered

Phoenix payroll system. Staff is assisting the court with a transition agreement with the county, while beginning a deployment project. The court's first payroll on the Phoenix System is to coincide with the beginning of the new fiscal year in July 2015.

- *Payroll Assistance – Superior Court of Kern County:* Kern County has asked the Kern Superior Court to begin transitioning payroll services away from the county. Staff is assisting the court with payment of their payroll taxes for the Internal Revenue Service and the Employment Development Department. The court's goal is to remain on the county system for the next calendar year after assuming this responsibility.

Classification and Compensation Study on Judicial Council Staff: The vendor, Fox Lawson, is finalizing classification specifications and recommendations for allocation of existing positions within those classifications including allocations under the Fair Labor Standards Act.

Accessible Judicial Council Forms: Staff at the Center for Families, Children & the Courts worked with the trial courts to update over 40 HotDocs programs to implement changes required for 11 revised family law forms, effective January 1, 2015. The programs now enable litigants to complete filing documents regarding dissolution and legal separation for marriage, domestic partnership or both, and are gender neutral. Staff worked closely with the courts to analyze and consistently implement form changes across all programs. The project involved extensive revision of business rules, interview language, and testing. The participating courts include the following: Los Angeles, San Bernardino, Orange, Yolo, and San Mateo. The programs are used in court self-help centers and some are publicly available on court websites.

Judicial Resources and Technical Assistance Program: A staff attorney visited the juvenile court at the courts' invitation in Placer, Contra Costa, and Nevada Counties to conduct a courtesy file review of foster care cases. Judicial Council staff attorneys advise and consult with juvenile court bench officers, court staff, and agency stakeholders on practices and procedures required by federal and California law to protect children from abuse and neglect and prevent the loss of federal foster care funding.

Keeping Kids in School and Out of Court Meeting: The Keeping Kids in School and Out of Court Initiative Steering Committee held a quarterly meeting and heard a report on initial findings from a CALYouth project that will measure the success of youth who voluntarily remain in the foster care system under legislation that extended the option for services until 21. The committee worked on strategies to support the 32 county teams formed at the Keeping Kids in School Summit in 2013 as well as activities to increase public awareness and communications about the disproportionate impact of exclusionary school discipline in the school setting.

California Child Welfare Council: The Child Welfare Council, which has several judicial officer members and includes the Judicial Council's Administrative Director and staff representation, held its quarterly meeting at the Judicial Council's Sacramento office. Governmental Affairs reviewed new laws that will affect child welfare in 2015. The council

discussed an extensive report on the use of psychotropic drugs for foster children, and heard an update from its Action Team on Commercially Sexually Exploited Children on progress in designing a statewide multisystem response to this burgeoning problem.

Advisory Committees/Task Forces/Working Groups

The following committees met in person or by phone since the council's December meeting:

1. Civil Jury Instructions Advisory Committee
2. Collaborative Justice Courts Advisory Committee
3. Court Technology Advisory Committee
4. Criminal Law Advisory Committee
5. Traffic Advisory Committee
6. Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee
7. Trial Court Facility Modifications Advisory Committee
8. Trial Court Presiding Judges and Court Executives Advisory Committees Joint Working Group on Courts Fees
9. Tribal Court-State Court Forum

Meeting Details

Civil Jury Instructions Advisory Committee

- Considered proposals for new and revised civil jury instructions (CACI). Proposed changes and additions that the committee approved are posted for public comment through February 27, 2015, and expected to be recommended for approval to the Judicial Council at its June meeting.

Collaborative Justice Courts Advisory Committee

- Circulated an invitation to comment on a proposal to revise *Notification of Military Status* form (MIL-100) in response to recent legislation that requires use of the form during arraignments. Circulation period ends January 23.

Court Technology Advisory Committee

- Focused on development of the 2015 annual agenda.
- Working with the Judicial Council Technology Committee on the transition from the current committee to the new Information Technology Advisory Committee defined in the governance structure approved by the Judicial Council in 2014. This transition will include a change to the rule of court, expected later in the year.
- Rules and Policy Subcommittee is drafting proposed amendments to rule 2.251 to authorize e-service on a trial court; and to remove the sunset language from rule 4.220, allowing trial courts to conduct remote video proceedings in traffic infraction cases after January 1, 2016.

Criminal Law Advisory Committee

- Reviewed a legislative proposal developed by the Chief Probation Officers of California to govern the collection and distribution of fines, fees, and restitution after intercounty transfer under Penal Code section 1203.9.
- Staff worked on the development of a legislative proposal in response to *Williams*, the recent parole revocation case.

Traffic Advisory Committee

- Prepared a response to an invitation to comment and recommendations for revisions of the rule of court and council forms for notice to appear citations, including filing of electronic citations.
- Discussed proposals for revision of rules and forms to improve efficiency and promote use of technology to modernize processing of traffic cases.

Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee

- Discussed the Governor's budget proposal for 2015–2016 for the judicial branch, and current fund conditions for the Trial Court Trust Fund (TCTF) and State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund (IMF), and considered the IMF Working Group's recommendations on technology-related allocations for fiscal year 2015–2016.
- Considered the Court Security Working Group's recommendations on a Budget Change Proposal for funding trial court security costs.
- Considered recommendations of the Children's Waiting Room Work Group regarding changes and additions to the Judicial Council's policy.
- Considered the 2 Percent Methodology Work Group's recommendations regarding amendments to the statute requiring a 2 percent reserve held in the TCTF.

Trial Court Facility Modifications Advisory Committee

- Reviewed and approved facility modification projects with a total potential cost of \$14,372,573. Under the current spending plan, \$16 million is available to fund facilities needs between December 2014 and the end of the fiscal year, with final project approvals in May 2015.

Trial Court Presiding Judges and Court Executives Advisory Committees Joint Working Group on Courts Fees

- Convened for the first time to discuss the charge of the working group as approved by the Executive and Planning Committee, and received staff updates on the myriad of issues related to court fees.
- Set as short-term goals: gathering input from justice partners and stakeholders issue; exploring possible rule of court changes to better define the application of manual search fees; and working with Governmental Affairs to respond to any possible legislation that may be introduced in the 2015 session.
- Longer-term goals include developing guidelines for courts to calculate actual costs associated with electronic searches, and additional training for courts.

Tribal Court-State Court Forum

- Discussed: 1) the [National Indian Nations Conference](#); 2) Memo to the Center for Judiciary Education and Research Governing Committee on Jurisdictional Issues in Cases Involving Federal Indian Law; 3) State/Tribal Education, Partnerships, and Services (STEPS) to Justice— Domestic Violence; 4) the [Attorney General Holder Indian Child Welfare Act \(ICWA\) Initiative](#); 5) Report on the Los Angeles County ICWA Roundtable; 6) ICWA Proposed Draft Transfer Rule; 7) [Notice of Proposed Rule Making: Flexibility, Efficiency, and Modernization in Child Support Enforcement Programs](#) and proposed comment; and 8) a proposal to amend the California Code of Judicial Ethics.

Judicial Branch Education and Training

Summary

Judicial Education

1. New Judge Orientation Program
2. Primary Assignment Orientations (in Family Law, Juvenile Dependency, Probate, Criminal Law and Civil Law, Basic)
3. Ethics and Self-Represented Litigants in Domestic Violence Cases

Judicial Officer, Court Employee, and Justice System Stakeholder Education

4. Juvenile Courtroom Activity Tracker System Training (for Dependency Representation, Administration, Funding, and Training Program attorneys in Alameda County)
5. Legal Update Seminar (for Appellate Project attorneys)
6. Preventing and Responding to Sexual Harassment (live and broadcast) (for court commissioners, referees, managers, supervisors and leads)
7. Security Training (Superior Court of San Joaquin County)

Updated Publications

8. Benchguide 62: Deferred Entry of Judgment/Diversion
9. Benchguide 63: Competence to Stand Trial
10. Benchguide 74: Sentencing Guidelines for Common Misdemeanors and Infractions

New Online Resource

11. Small Claims Caseflow Basics Tutorial (for trial court personnel)

Updates to Online Resources

12. Introduction to Fairness and Access Toolkit
13. Introduction to Civil Toolkit

Video Production

14. Committee on Judicial Appointments Hearings (four total)

Program Details

Ethics and Self-Represented Litigants in Domestic Violence Cases. This three-day course began with a half-day segment on the “nuts and bolts” of restraining and protective order proceedings, and concluded with an opportunity for participants to demonstrate and practice demeanor and communication skills during a taping and feedback session. The remainder of the course focused on general judicial ethics issues that arise in domestic violence cases involving self-represented litigants.

First District Appellate Project Training Seminar: A Legal Update Seminar was provided for panel attorneys under the Courts of Appeal Court Appointed Counsel Program. Approximately 180 attorneys attended.

Juvenile Courtroom Activity Tracker System Training for Dependency Representation, Administration, Funding, and Training Program Attorneys – Alameda: At the request of court-appointed counsel, Judicial Council staff provided a training via webinar on caseload management to prepare new attorneys for using the web-based system to track calendar management, workflow, statistical data, and financials.

Security Training: The Office of Court Security provided crime prevention and active shooter training for court employees in the Superior Court of San Joaquin County.

National Indian Nations Conference: The Tribal Court-State Court Forum was well represented at this conference on the reservation of the Agua Caliente Band of Cahuilla Indians, California, with the theme, “Generational Voices Uniting for Safety, Justice, and Healing.” Forum members, presented their joint jurisdictional court model and on other forum-related projects. Staff from the council’s Center for Families, Children & the Courts staffed a resource table sharing information about tribal/state collaboration in California. The conference was sponsored by the U.S. Department of Justice’s Office for Victims of Crime and coordinated by the Tribal Law and Policy Institute.

Staffing Report as of December 31, 2014

See definition of terms on the following page.

STAFFING	Leadership Services Division								Operations and Programs Division					Administrative Division							Judicial Council
	Executive Office	Governmental Affairs	Audit Services	Legal Services	Judicial Council Support	Communications	Special Projects	Trial Court Liaison	Center for Families, Child. & Courts	Court Operations Services	Criminal Justice Services	Center for Judiciary Education & Research	Appellate Court Services	Capital Programs	Finance	Human Resources	Information Technology	Admin Support	Real Estate & Facilities Mgmt	Trial Court Admin Services	
Authorized Position (FTE)	8.00	12.00	14.00	59.00	11.80	8.00	7.00	8.00	68.00	43.40	15.00	48.50	8.00	56.00	82.00	39.00	127.00	30.00	83.00	87.00	814.70
Filled Authorized Position (FTE)	6.95	11.00	14.00	43.90	11.60	7.00	6.00	8.00	54.35	38.40	13.30	41.30	5.00	48.00	74.00	36.00	107.88	28.80	75.80	82.88	714.16
Headcount - Employees	7	11	14	44	12	7	6	8	55	39	14	43	5	48	74	36	108	28	76	83	718.00
Vacancy (FTE)	1.05	1.00	0.00	15.10	0.20	1.00	1.00	0.00	13.65	5.00	1.70	7.20	3.00	8.00	8.00	3.00	19.13	1.20	7.20	4.13	100.56
Vacancy Rate (FTE)	13.1%	8.3%	0.0%	25.6%	1.7%	12.5%	14.3%	0.0%	20.1%	11.5%	11.3%	14.8%	37.5%	14.3%	9.8%	7.7%	15.1%	4.0%	8.7%	4.7%	12.3%
Temporary Employee (909)	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.50
*Employment Agency Temporary Worker (FTE)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.00
Contractors (FTE)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.0	0.0	1.0	51.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	60.00
TOTAL WORKFORCE (based on FTE, 909s, Agency Temps & Contractors)	7.95	11.00	14.00	43.90	11.60	7.00	6.00	8.00	54.35	39.40	14.80	42.30	5.00	55.00	76.00	37.00	158.88	28.80	75.80	82.88	779.66

Definitions:	
Authorized Position (FTE)	Authorized positions include all regular ongoing positions approved in the Budget Act for that year. The number is based on the position's approved full time equivalency.
Filled Authorized Position (FTE)	Filled authorized positions are the number of authorized positions filled based on the employee's full time equivalency.
Headcount	The actual count of persons employed, regardless of FTE. This number could be more than the FTE count due to part-time employees being counted as "1". It does not include Temporary Employees (909) or Employment Agency Temporary Workers.
Vacancy (FTE)	The number of vacancies is the number of authorized positions minus the number of filled authorized positions.
Vacancy Rate (FTE)	Vacancy Rate is calculated by dividing the number of authorized positions by the number of vacant authorized positions. This number excludes temporary employees ("909" funded employees). See definition of temporary employees below.
Temporary Employees (909)	The 909 category is the State Controller code used to reference a temporary position or temporary employee. A 909 position may not be funded through the Budget Act. It is categorized as a temporary position in the absence of an authorized position. 909 positions may be occupied by regular full-time employees due to the unavailability of an authorized vacant position and may receive benefits if employed at least half-time for more than six months. Types of "909" Employees include: Retired Annuitants: A retiree hired by a former employer or other employer that participates in the same retirement system as the former employer. This includes a former participant in a state retirement system who previously retired and currently receives retirement benefits. Temporary Employees: Employed on a temporary basis - they do not receive full benefits (but do receive Calpers retirement service credit).
Employment Agency Temp. Worker (FTE)	These are workers from an employment agency who provide short-term support for workload.
Contractor (FTE)	Individuals augmenting the work of the organization and providing services for a limited period of time or on a specific project, where a particular skill set is required that is either (1) not within an existing classification and/or job description or (2) where recruitment issues require the use of a contractor.
Full Time Equivalency (FTE)	Full Time Equivalency is the number of total maximum compensable hours designated in a year divided by actual hours worked in a year. For example, the work year is defined as 2,080 hours; one employee occupying a paid full time job all year would consume one FTE. One employee working for 1,040 hours each would consume .5 FTE.
Time Base	Full time: Employee is scheduled to work 40 hours per week. Receives full benefits. Part time: Employee is scheduled to work less than 40 hours per week. Employees that work more than 20 hours per week receive full benefits. Intermittent: Employees have no established work schedule and work on an as-needed basis that varies from one pay period to the next. Eligibility for certain benefits may be limited for these employees.
Regular Employee	Commonly referred to as "permanent employees" – They receive full benefits.
Limited Term	Limited Term Position – A position funded through the Budget Act with a specific end date and counted as an authorized position. Employee in limited term positions may be regular or temporary.

New Judgeships and Vacancies Report

NUMBER OF JUDGESHIPS AUTHORIZED, FILLED AND VACANT AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2014

TYPE OF COURT	NUMBER OF COURTS	NUMBER OF JUDGESHIPS					
		Authorized	Filled	Vacant	Vacant (AB 159 positions)	<i>Filled(Last Month**)</i>	<i>Vacant(Last Month**)</i>
Supreme Court	1	7	6	1	0	6	1
Courts of Appeal	6	105	99	6	0	96	9
Superior Courts	58	1713	1590	73	50*	1578	135
All Courts	65	1825	1695	130		1680	145

*Authorized January 1, 2008, 50 new (AB 159) judgeships are added. However, funding for the 50 positions has not been provided.

**As of November 30, 2014

Below: **New Vacancies that occurred in December 2014**

JUDICIAL VACANCIES: SUPREME COURT AS OF JANUARY 14, 2015

	Vacancies	Reason for Vacancy	Justice to be Replaced	Last Day In Office
TOTAL VACANCIES	0			

JUDICIAL VACANCIES: APPELLATE COURTS AS OF JANUARY 14, 2015

Appellate District	Vacancies	Reason for Vacancy	Justice to be Replaced	Last Day In Office
Second Appellate District, Division One	5	Elevated	Hon. Frances Rothschild	07/16/14
Second Appellate District, Division Three		Deceased	Hon. H. Walter Croskey	08/29/14
Second Appellate District, Division Five		Retirement	Hon. Orville A. Armstrong	07/31/13
Second Appellate District, Division Six		Retirement	Hon. Paul H. Coffee	01/31/12

Second Appellate District, Division Seven		Retirement	Hon. Frank Y. Jackson	06/30/13
TOTAL VACANCIES	5			

JUDICIAL VACANCIES: SUPERIOR COURTS AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2014

County	Vacancies	Reason for Vacancy	Judge to be Replaced	Last Day In Office
Alameda	4	Retirement	Hon. Kenneth Mark Burr	12/27/14
Alameda		Retirement	Hon. Gary M. Picetti	11/30/14
Alameda		Retirement	Hon. Hugh A. Walker	02/18/14
Alameda		Retirement	Hon. Joan S. Cartwright	01/31/14
Butte	1	Deceased	Hon. Denny R. Forland	12/20/14
Contra Costa	1	Retirement	Hon. David B. Flinn	04/30/14
El Dorado	1	Retirement	Hon. Douglas C. Phimister	07/17/14
Fresno	1	Elevated	Hon. M. Bruce Smith	12/09/14
Los Angeles	29	Retirement	Hon. Bob S. Bowers, Jr.	11/14/14
Los Angeles		Retirement	Hon. Leslie A. Dunn	11/07/14
Los Angeles		Retirement	Hon. Arthur M. Lew	10/15/14
Los Angeles		Retirement	Hon. Daniel S. Lopez	10/01/14
Los Angeles		Retirement	Hon. James A. Steele	09/30/14
Los Angeles		Retirement	Hon. Steven D. Ogden	09/24/14
Los Angeles		Retirement	Hon. Cesar C. Sarmiento	09/16/14
Los Angeles		Retirement	Hon. Antonio Barreto, Jr.	09/05/14
Los Angeles		Elevated	Hon. Brian M. Hoffstadt	08/27/14
Los Angeles		Retirement	Hon. Rex Heeseman	08/25/14
Los Angeles		Retirement	Hon. Joseph E. DiLoreto	07/31/14
Los Angeles		Retirement	Hon. Harvey Giss	07/16/14
Los Angeles		Converted	New Position	07/01/14
Los Angeles		Converted	New Position	07/01/14

Los Angeles		Converted	New Position	07/01/14
Los Angeles		Converted	New Position	07/01/14
Los Angeles		Converted	New Position	07/01/14
Los Angeles		Converted	New Position	07/01/14
Los Angeles		Converted	New Position	07/01/14
Los Angeles		Retirement	Hon. Wendy L. Kohn	06/06/14
Los Angeles		Retirement	Hon. Carlos A. Uranga	04/30/14
Los Angeles		Retirement	Hon. Ronald M. Sohigian	04/16/14
Los Angeles		Retirement	Hon. Candace J. Beason	04/15/14
Los Angeles		Retirement	Hon. R. Bruce Minto	03/31/14
Los Angeles		Retirement	Hon. Joseph S. Biderman	03/18/14
Los Angeles		Retirement	Hon. David Sherman Milton	03/15/14
Los Angeles		Retirement	Hon. John Vernon Meigs	03/07/14
Los Angeles		Retirement	Hon. Jessica Perrin Silvers	02/19/14
Los Angeles		Retirement	Hon. Michael C. Solner	02/19/14
Marin	1	Retirement	Hon. Lynn Duryee	02/28/14
Mariposa	1	Retirement	Hon. Wayne R. Parrish	10/31/14
Monterey	2	Retirement	Hon. Russell D. Scott	12/26/14
Monterey		Retirement	Hon. Susan M. Dauphiné	03/05/14
Orange	7	Retirement	Hon. William R. Froeberg	11/05/14
Orange		Retirement	Hon. Francisco P. Briseño	09/02/14
Orange		Retirement	Hon. Ronald P. Kreber	05/05/14
Orange		Retirement	Hon. Luis A. Rodriguez	04/30/14
Orange		Retirement	Hon. Marjorie Laird Carter	03/31/14
Orange		Retirement	Hon. Wendy Lindley	02/19/14
Orange		Retirement	Hon. Nancy Wieben Stock	02/11/14
Riverside	3	Retirement	Hon. Albert J. Wojcik	07/01/14
Riverside		Retirement	Hon. Elisabeth Sichel	05/29/14
Riverside		Retirement	Hon. Sherrill A. Ellsworth	03/01/14
Sacramento	1	Retirement	Hon. Roland L. Candee	05/15/13

San Bernardino	4	Retirement	Hon. Kenneth Barr	11/03/14
San Bernardino		Retirement	Hon. Jules E. Fleuret	11/01/14
San Bernardino		Retirement	Hon. Larry W. Allen	11/30/13
San Bernardino		Retirement	Hon. Shahla S. Sabet	11/29/13
San Diego	5	Retirement	Hon. Christine K. Goldsmith	10/10/14
San Diego		Retirement	Hon. William J. McGrath, Jr.	08/15/14
San Diego		To Fed Court	Hon. Cynthia A. Bashant	05/07/14
San Diego		Retirement	Hon. Susan D. Huguenor	03/12/14
San Diego		Retirement	Hon. Allan J. Preckel	03/08/14
San Francisco	3	Retirement	Hon. John E. Munter	12/31/14
San Francisco		Elevated	Hon. Marla J. Miller	12/09/14
San Francisco		Retirement	Hon. Julie M. Tang	09/07/14
San Joaquin	1	Retirement	Hon. Terrence R. Van Oss	02/28/14
San Luis Obispo	1	Retirement	Hon. Earle Jeffrey Burke	12/31/14
San Mateo	1	Dis Retirement	Hon. Stephen M. Hall	10/01/14
Santa Clara	3	Dis Retirement	Hon. Kurt E. Kumli	06/26/14
Santa Clara		Retirement	Hon. Kevin E. McKenney	04/30/14
Santa Clara		Retirement	Hon. James P. Kleinberg	04/15/14
Tulare	2	Retirement	Hon. Paul Anthony Vortmann	08/01/14
Tulare		Retirement	Hon. Joseph A. Kalashian	03/13/14
Ventura	1	Retirement	Hon. James P. Cloninger	06/03/14
SUBTOTAL:	73			

Authorized January 1, 2008, 50 new (AB 159) judgeships.

Funding for these 50 positions has not been provided.

Fresno	2	(AB 159)*	New Positions	1/1/2008
Humboldt	1	(AB 159)*	New Position	1/1/2008
Imperial	1	(AB 159)*	New Position	1/1/2008
Kern	3	(AB 159)*	New Positions	1/1/2008
Kings	1	(AB 159)*	New Position	1/1/2008
Los Angeles	2	(AB 159)*	New Positions	1/1/2008
Merced	2	(AB 159)*	New Positions	1/1/2008
Orange	2	(AB 159)*	New Positions	1/1/2008
Placer	2	(AB 159)*	New Positions	1/1/2008
Riverside	9	(AB 159)*	New Positions	1/1/2008
Sacramento	3	(AB 159)*	New Positions	1/1/2008
San Bernardino	9	(AB 159)*	New Positions	1/1/2008
San Joaquin	3	(AB 159)*	New Positions	1/1/2008
Shasta	1	(AB 159)*	New Position	1/1/2008
Solano	1	(AB 159)*	New Position	1/1/2008
Sonoma	1	(AB 159)*	New Position	1/1/2008
Stanislaus	3	(AB 159)*	New Positions	1/1/2008
Sutter	1	(AB 159)*	New Positions	1/1/2008
Tulare	1	(AB 159)*	New Position	1/1/2008
Ventura	2	(AB 159)*	New Positions	1/1/2008
TOTAL VACANCIES:	123			

Number of Judgeships Authorized, Filled and Vacant as of the End of Each Month: From December 2012 through December 2014 (two years)*

Month	Superior Courts				Courts of Appeal			
	Authorized	Filled	Vacancy	Vacancy Rate	Authorized	Filled	Vacancy	Vacancy Rate
Dec-12	1,693	1,583	110	6.5%	105	103	2	1.9%
Jan-13	1,694	1,590	107	6.3%	105	102	3	2.9%
Feb-13	1,695	1,581	114	6.7%	105	102	3	2.9%
Mar-13	1,695	1,574	125	7.4%	105	101	4	3.8%
Apr-13	1,695	1,567	128	7.6%	105	101	4	3.8%
May-13	1,695	1,576	119	7.0%	105	101	4	3.8%
Jun-13	1,695	1,571	124	7.3%	105	100	5	4.8%
Jul-13	1,695	1,579	116	6.8%	105	98	7	6.7%
Aug-13	1,703	1,582	121	7.1%	105	98	7	6.7%
Sep-13	1,703	1,579	124	7.3%	105	98	7	6.7%
Oct-13	1,704	1,575	129	7.6%	105	97	8	7.6%
Nov-13	1,705	1,570	135	7.9%	105	97	8	7.6%
Dec-13	1,705	1,601	104	6.1%	105	97	8	7.6%
Jan-14	1,705	1,601	104	6.1%	105	97	8	7.6%
Feb-14	1,706	1,591	115	6.7%	105	95	10	9.5%
Mar-14	1,706	1,580	126	7.4%	105	95	10	9.5%
Apr-14	1,706	1,572	134	7.9%	105	95	10	9.5%
May-14	1,706	1,568	138	8.1%	105	95	10	9.5%
Jun-14	1,706	1,579	127	7.4%	105	94	11	10.5%
Jul-14	1,713	1,586	127	7.4%	105	96	9	8.6%
Aug-14	1,713	1,582	131	7.6%	105	96	9	8.6%
Sep-14	1,713	1,577	136	7.9%	105	96	9	8.6%
Oct-14	1,713	1,572	141	8.2%	105	96	9	8.6%
Nov-14	1,713	1,578	135	7.9%	105	96	9	8.6%
Dec-14	1,713	1,590	123	7.2%	105	99	6	5.7%

* As of December 31, 2014

Authorized Judgeships and Vacancies in the Superior Courts

