

Collaborative Justice Courts Advisory Committee
Draft Annual Agenda—2013
Approved by E&P: January 22, 2013

I. COMMITTEE INFORMATION

Chair:	Judge Richard Vlavianos (chair) and Judge Rogelio Flores (vice-chair)
Staff:	Ms. Nancy Taylor and Ms. Francine Byrne, Center for Families, Children & the Courts
<p>Committee's Charge: Committee's Charge: Rule 10.56 of the California Rules of Court charges the Collaborative Justice Courts Advisory Committee to “make recommendations to the Judicial Council on criteria for identifying and evaluating collaborative justice courts and for improving the processing of cases in these courts, which include drug courts, domestic violence courts, youth courts, and other collaborative justice courts. Those recommendations shall include ‘best practices’ guidelines and methods for collecting data to evaluate the long-term effectiveness of collaborative justice courts.”</p> <p>Additional Duties included under Rule 10.56:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Assess and measure success and effectiveness of local collaborative justice courts;2. Identify and disseminate to trial courts locally generated best practices;3. Recommend minimum judicial education standards and educational activities to support those standards to the Governing Committee of the Center for Judiciary Education and Research (CJER);4. Advise the council of potential funding sources;5. Make recommendations regarding grant funding programs that are administered by the Administrative Office of the Courts for drug courts and other treatment courts; and6. Recommend appropriate outreach activities needed to support collaborative justice courts.	
<p>Committee Membership: The committee currently has 21 members (seven judges, two court administrators, one district attorney, one public defender, one law enforcement officer, one treatment court coordinator, one probation officer, one treatment provider, one treatment court graduate, one mental health treatment representative, two social services representatives, and two public members).</p>	
<p>Subcommittees/Working Groups: Veterans Courts and Military Families Working Group Juvenile Collaborative Justice Courts Working Group</p>	

Committee's Key Objectives for 2013:

1. Support local courts' efforts to increase effectiveness and efficiency of case processing for high risk/high needs cases by identifying and disseminating local court practices that apply collaborative justice to a broad range of high risk/high needs case types;
2. Support local court efforts to assess evidence based practices in local collaborative justice courts as core functions in court operations that address high risk/high needs cases through statewide evaluations of mental health courts and reentry courts;
3. Provide collaborative justice related expertise and support collaboration among justice system partners at the state and local levels through inter-branch efforts in areas such as parolee reentry courts, veterans courts and military families, and juvenile collaborative justice courts;
4. Identify potential funding and advise the Judicial Council regarding funding to sustain local collaborative justice courts during challenging times, including realigned drug court funding and funding through the Mental Health Services Act; and
5. Recommend and provide multi-disciplinary education that addresses the changing role of collaborative justice and application of collaborative justice principles across a broad range of case types, including elder law, family law, and mental health law.

II. COMMITTEE PROJECTS

#	Project ¹	Priority ²	Specifications	Completion Date/Status
1.	<p>Make recommendations to, and carry out the directives of, the Judicial Council regarding allocations and administration of the Collaborative Justice Substance Abuse Focus Grant, a legislatively mandated grant, distributing funds from the State budget that are earmarked for collaborative and drug court projects, and are available to local collaborative justice and drug courts throughout California.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Report to the Judicial Council on grant activities from fiscal year 2012-13. <i>Completion Date:</i> December 31, 2013 Recommend to the Judicial Council grant allocations to local courts based on allocation method approved by the Judicial Council in FY12-13. <i>Completion Date:</i> December 31, 2013 Review biannual reports regarding funding distribution, invoicing, and deliverables reports from local courts. <i>Completion Date:</i> October 31, 2013 Recommend methods of allocation and grants administration for next annual funding cycle using 	1	<p>Judicial Council Direction: <i>Strategic Plan:</i> Goal IV: Quality of Justice and Service to the Public <i>Operational Plan:</i> Objective 1. Foster excellence in public service to ensure that all court users receive satisfactory services and outcomes.</p> <p>Origin of Project: Legislative mandate reviewed annually by Judicial Council. The Substance Abuse Focus Grant was initiated in FY2000-01. Current year funding has been established through the Budget Act of 2012 (Stats. 2012, ch. 21; § 45.55.020, item 0250-101-0001).</p> <p>Resources: External legislatively earmarked funding for drug court implementation provides resources for committee activities for this project.</p> <p>To ensure that there is no duplication of effort and no new workload or fiscal burdens placed on trial courts or the Branch by these projects, the following offices and advisory bodies will be consulted: Fiscal Services Office, Trial Court Presiding Judges and Court Executives Advisory Committees, Trial Court Budget</p>	Recurring, with submission by December 31, 2013.

¹ All proposed projects for the year must be included on the Annual Agenda. If a project implements policy or is a program, identify it as *implementation* or a *program* in the project description and attach the Judicial Council authorization/assignment or prior approved Annual Agenda to this Annual Agenda.

² For non-rules and forms projects, select priority level 1 (must be done) or 2 (should be done). For rules and forms proposals, select one of the following priority levels: 1(a) Urgently needed to conform to the law; 1(b) Urgently needed to respond to a recent change in the law; 1(c) Adoption or amendment of rules or forms by a specified date required by statute or council decision; 1(d) Provides significant cost savings and efficiencies, generates significant revenue, or avoids a significant loss of revenue; 1(e) Urgently needed to remedy a problem that is causing significant cost or inconvenience to the courts or the public; 1(f) Otherwise urgent and necessary, such as a proposal that would mitigate exposure to immediate or severe financial or legal risk; 2(a) Useful, but not necessary, to implement statutory changes; 2(b) Helpful in otherwise advancing Judicial Council goals and objectives.

#	Project ¹	Priority ²	Specifications	Completion Date/Status
	Judicial Council approved allocation methodology. <i>Completion Date:</i> December 31, 2013		Advisory Committee. Key Objective Supported: 4	
2.	<p>Support local courts in implementing effective practices by providing oversight to internship program which, upon request from local courts, places law students and master's level graduate students in the mental health and policy research professions into local collaborative courts to help on the local level with issues such as identifying best practices in case processing and outcomes.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Committee members will identify law schools and schools for mental health and policy research practitioners for establishing intern program. <i>Completion Date:</i> June 30, 2013 Committee members will assist in outreach to schools and provide information to local courts for placement with a goal of making at least 3 student placements in geographically different regions. <i>Completion Date:</i> August 31, 2013 The committee will review a summary of placements and projects, identifying best practices in local courts and effective use of interns, and report its findings to the Judicial Council. Projects will be reviewed for decisions concerning inclusion in the Council report, with the committee completing its review by January 31, 2014. Staff to the committee will draft Council report to be submitted to the committee by March 1, 2014. The committee's input and revisions will be provided by March 31, 2014, and committee staff will make the requested changes and submit the 	1	<p>Judicial Council Direction: <i>Strategic Plan:</i> Goal I: Access, Fairness, & Diversity <i>Operational Plan:</i> Objective 2. Identify and eliminate barriers to court access at all levels of service; ensure interactions with the court are understandable, convenient, and perceived as fair.</p> <p><i>Strategic Plan:</i> Goal IV: Quality of Justice and Service to the Public <i>Operational Plan:</i> Objective 1. Foster excellence in public service to ensure that all court users receive satisfactory services and outcomes.</p> <p><i>Strategic Plan:</i> Goal V: Education for Branchwide Professional Excellence <i>Operational Plan:</i> Objective 1. Provide relevant and accessible education and professional development opportunities for all judicial officers (including court-appointed temporary judges) and court staff.</p> <p>Origin of Project: Proposed by the advisory committee and requests from local courts; approved by the Executive & Planning Committee for the committee's 2012 Annual Agenda.</p> <p>Resources: External funding for projects through the California Endowment, Bureau of Justice Assistance, and Mental Health Services Act provides resources</p>	Project initiated and will be on-going through June 30, 2014.

#	Project ¹	Priority ²	Specifications	Completion Date/Status
	<p>revised report to the committee for final be review and approval. The final report will be submitted to the Judicial Council by June 30, 2014. <i>Completion Date:</i> June 30, 2014</p>		<p>for these committee activities.</p> <p>To ensure that there is no duplication of effort and no new workload or fiscal burdens placed on trial courts or the Branch by these projects, the following offices and advisory bodies will be consulted: Office of Communications and Trial Court Presiding Judges and Court Executives Advisory Committees.</p> <p>Key Objectives Supported: 1, 2</p>	
3.	<p>Make recommendations to the CJER Governing Committee for judicial and multidisciplinary education curricula in the area of collaborative justice; to assist in implementing the recommendations, at the request of the CJER Governing Committee, provide guidance to committee staff about preparation of educational toolkits and job aids, development and review of content, and identification of faculty for delivery of multidisciplinary programs for local collaborative court teams that address effective practices and cost efficient collaborative justice models for high risk/high needs cases as well as effective responses to realignment of funding, services, and case supervision in collaborative courts.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identify areas of realigned funding and services in core collaborative justice areas of criminal, juvenile, family and probate, mental health, and drug and alcohol related cases. <p><i>Completion Date:</i> December 31, 2013</p>	1	<p>Judicial Council Direction: <i>Strategic Plan:</i> Goal III: Modernization of management and administration <i>Operational Plan:</i> Objective 2. Evaluate and improve management techniques, allocation of funds, internal operations, and services; support the sharing of effective management practices branch wide.</p> <p>Origin of Project: Approved by the Executive & Planning Committee for the committee's 2012 Annual Agenda; recommended by committee members to fulfill the following mandates: Comprehensive Drug Court Implementation Act (H&S Code 11970.1 – 11970.35) and Drug Court Partnership Act (H&S Code 11970.45), SB X318, AB109, and 2012-13 Budget Act</p> <p>Resources: External funding through the Mental Health Services Act and legislatively earmarked drug court implementation funding provides resources for these activities.</p> <p>To ensure that there is no duplication of effort and no</p>	<p>Project initiated and will be on-going through December 31, 2014.</p>

#	Project ¹	Priority ²	Specifications	Completion Date/Status
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Confer with relevant CJER judicial education planning committees in criminal law and mental health, and juvenile and family law to address mental health, reentry, and juvenile drug courts. <i>Completion Date:</i> December 31, 2014 At the request of the CJER Governing Committee, provide guidance to committee staff regarding training activities developed in collaboration with the California Association of Drug Court Professionals (CADCP) offered at quarterly meetings and trainings for collaborative court coordinators and court teams that identify emerging models, such as veterans and reentry courts, and use of cost/benefit tracking tools developed by the committee. <i>Completion Date:</i> December 31, 2013 At the request of the CJER Governing Committee, serve as subject matter experts to provide guidance to staff in order to assist local collaborative justice court coordinators with access to webinars on Dependency Drug Courts and Adult Drug Court Assessment Tools through the Center for Court Innovation and the National Drug Court Institute. <i>Completion Date:</i> December 31, 2013 At the request of the CJER Governing Committee, serve as subject matter experts to provide guidance to staff and assist in developing content for a workshop on collaborative justice models and Business Process Reengineering at Family Law Institute/Family Dispute Resolution Conference. <i>Completion Date:</i> May 31, 2013 		<p>new workload or fiscal burdens placed on trial courts or the Branch by these projects, the following offices and advisory bodies will be consulted: Center for Judiciary Education and Research (CJER), Office of Governmental Affairs, Criminal Justice Court Services Office, Criminal Law Advisory Committee, and Trial Court Presiding Judges and Court Executives Advisory Committees.</p> <p>Key Objectives Supported: 1, 5</p>	

#	Project ¹	Priority ²	Specifications	Completion Date/Status
4.	<p>Make recommendations to the CJER Governing Committee for judicial education and court assistance materials in the areas of court-involved military personnel and veterans, the needs of military families, veterans courts, and the legislative amendment of Penal Code §1170.9; at the request of the CJER Governing Committee, provide guidance to committee staff during each stage of content development, dissemination, and review.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Make recommendations to develop and disseminate judicial education job aids, including “Veterans in Criminal Court: A decision map of Penal Code 1170.9” to assist veterans courts, homeless courts, and others in the court system to implement PC1170.9. <i>Completion Date:</i> August 31, 2013 Develop proposal for an optional form to assist the courts in the identification of veterans involved in cases within the court system. <i>Completion Date:</i> December 31, 2013 Identify educational materials and, at the request of the CJER Governing Committee, serve as subject matter experts for preparation of toolkit for areas pertaining to military families and veterans courts, such as implementation of 1170.9 by providing guidance to committee staff during each stage of content development, dissemination, and review. <i>Completion Date:</i> August 31, 2013 At the request of the CJER Governing Committee, serve as subject matter experts and 	1	<p>Judicial Council Direction: <i>Strategic Plan:</i> Goal IV: Quality of Justice and Service to the Public <i>Operational Plan:</i> Objective 1. Foster excellence in public service to ensure that all court users receive satisfactory services and outcomes.</p> <p>Origin of Project: Project originated at the request of an Appellate Court Justice who served on the Judicial Council Task Force for Criminal Justice Collaboration on Mental Health Issues and members of the Mental Health Issues Implementation Task Force, and local courts. Project was recommended by committee members to assist courts in responding to mandates of PC 1170.9.</p> <p>Resources: Federal Department of Justice/Bureau of Justice Assistance funding was obtained to support the project.</p> <p>To ensure that there is no duplication of effort and no new workload or fiscal burdens placed on trial courts or the Branch by these projects, the following offices and advisory bodies will be consulted: Criminal Law Advisory Committee; Criminal Justice Court Services Office, Office of Communications, and CJER.</p> <p>Key Objective Supported: 3, 5</p>	Ongoing through December 31, 2013.

#	Project ¹	Priority ²	Specifications	Completion Date/Status
	<p>provide guidance in the development of educational programs, identification of faculty, and review of content linked with CJER functions, such as Military Families and Veterans Court programs at the Juvenile Law Institute and Family Law Institute by providing guidance to committee staff during each stage of content development, dissemination, and review.</p> <p><i>Completion Date:</i> December 31, 2014</p>			
5.	<p>Identify priority policy issues and best practices regarding juvenile collaborative justice courts in areas such truancy, youth courts, trafficking, girls' court, and delinquency and dependency drug court; propose to the Executive and Planning Committee and the Rules and Projects Committee that a joint working group be established with the Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee and Mental Health Issues Implementation Task Force to address juvenile competency.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Develop proposal to revise rule 5.645 to define appropriate evaluation tools for use with juveniles in determining competency and propose legislative changes to address competency issues. <p><i>Completion Date:</i> December 31, 2013</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Partner with National Association of Drug Court Professionals (NADCP) to develop evidence based treatment training for judicial officers and juvenile drug court teams. <p><i>Completion Date:</i> December 31, 2013</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provide subject matter expertise and guidance to 	1	<p>Judicial Council Direction: <i>Strategic Plan: Goal IV:</i> Quality of Justice and Service to the Public <i>Operational Plan:</i> Objective 1: Foster excellence in public service to ensure that all court users receive satisfactory services and outcomes.</p> <p>Origin of Project: Approved by the Executive & Planning Committee for the committee's 2012 Annual Agenda; Recommended by committee members to fulfill the following mandates: Comprehensive Drug Court Implementation Act (H&S Code 11970.1 – 11970.35); SB X318; AB109, 2012-13 Budget Act; and Mental Health Services Act.</p> <p>Resources: Funding from the Mental Health Services Act will be used to support committee activities related to this project.</p> <p>To ensure that there is no duplication of effort and no new workload or fiscal burdens placed on trial courts or the Branch by these projects, the following offices and advisory bodies will be consulted: Office of</p>	December 31, 2013.

#	Project ¹	Priority ²	Specifications	Completion Date/Status
	<p>committee staff to develop content, and identify faculty for the California Blue Ribbon Commission on Children in Foster Care Youth Court Summit meeting with a focus on truancy prevention in partnership with the California Association of Youth Courts. <i>Completion Date:</i> June 30, 2013</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provide subject matter expertise and guidance to committee staff in development of briefing papers on evidence based practices on assessments, girls' court, and human trafficking. <i>Completion Date:</i> October 31, 2013 		<p>Communications, Family/Juvenile Law Advisory Committee, California Blue Ribbon Commission on Children in Foster Care, Child Welfare Council, and Mental Health Issues Implementation Task Force.</p> <p>Key Objective Supported: 1, 2, 3, 5</p>	
6.	<p>Identify methods to assist local courts in improving case outcomes through the broad application of evidence-based collaborative justice principles and practices across a variety of case types including local parolee reentry courts, homeless and veterans courts, elder courts, adult and juvenile mental health courts, dependency and delinquency drug courts, youth and truancy courts, and DUI courts in order to develop recommendations to the Judicial Council.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provide guidance and act as subject matter experts for the development of resource guide for judges on elder abuse developed in partnership with University of California, Irvine Medical School. <i>Completion Date:</i> May 31, 2013 Provide guidance and act as subject matter experts to advise committee staff regarding a reentry court 	2	<p>Judicial Council Direction: <i>Strategic Plan:</i> Goal IV: Quality of Justice and Service to the Public <i>Operational Plan:</i> Objective 1: Foster excellence in public service to ensure that all court users receive satisfactory services and outcomes.</p> <p>Origin of Project: Approved by the Executive & Planning Committee for the committee's 2012 Annual Agenda; recommended by committee members to fulfill the following mandates: Comprehensive Drug Court Implementation Act (H&S Code 11970.1 – 11970.35); SB X318; AB109, 2012-13 Budget Act; and Mental Health Services Act.</p> <p>Resources: External funding from the California Endowment, the Archstone Foundation, and the Bureau of Justice Assistance was obtained to support these projects.</p>	On-going through December 31, 2014.

#	Project ¹	Priority ²	Specifications	Completion Date/Status
	<p>cost study with briefing paper of initial results. <i>Completion Date:</i> December 31, 2014</p>		<p>To ensure that there is no duplication of effort and no new workload or fiscal burdens placed on trial courts or the Branch by these projects, the following offices and advisory bodies will be consulted: Office of Communications, Criminal Justice Court Services Office, CJER, Probate and Mental Health Advisory Committee, Criminal Law Advisory Committee, Family/Juvenile Law Advisory Committee, and Mental Health Issues Implementation Task Force.</p> <p>Key Objective Supported: 1, 2, 3, 5</p>	
7.	<p>Assist local courts, upon their request, to obtain funding for local collaborative court projects and to determine local data collection needs. Data resources for local courts will be developed so as to be compatible with national and statewide databases in order for local courts to meet funding and reporting requirements of potential funders, including the national Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) dependency drug court relational database, statewide drug court database, statewide realignment and reentry databases, and local databases for homeless and youth courts.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Assist the existing 5 reentry courts in preparing and submitting funding requests to the Bureau of Justice Assistance and the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation. <p><i>Completion Date:</i> June 30, 2014</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Assist local courts in identifying appropriate 	2	<p>Judicial Council Direction: <i>Strategic Plan:</i> Goal III: Modernization of Management and Administration <i>Operational Plan:</i> Objective 2. Evaluate and improve management techniques, allocation of funds, internal operations, and services; support the sharing of effective management practices branch wide.</p> <p><i>Strategic Plan:</i> Goal VI: Branch wide Infrastructure for Service Excellence <i>Operational Plan:</i> Objective 4. Implement new tools to support the electronic exchange of court information while balancing privacy and security.</p> <p>Origin of Project: Requested by local courts and justice system partners, including the California Association of Drug Court Professionals, California Association of Youth Courts, and Homeless Court Network; approved by the Executive & Planning Committee for the committee's 2012 Annual</p>	<p>Project initiated and on-going through December 31, 2014.</p>

#	Project ¹	Priority ²	Specifications	Completion Date/Status
	<p>federal grant opportunities and preparing applications for funding of collaborative courts through the federal funding cycle, with committee staff to track local court requests and assistance and provide an annual status report. <i>Completion Date:</i> June 30, 2013</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Share outcomes of federally funded data definitions study with collaborative court coordinators in quarterly meetings to assist local courts in seeking local, federal, and private funding. <i>Completion Date:</i> December 31, 2013 		<p>Agenda; recommended by committee members to fulfill the following mandates: SB X318; AB109, 2012-13 Budget Act; and Mental Health Services Act, President's Office of National Drug Control Policy reporting duties; California Endowment and Department of Justice/Bureau of Justice Assistance funding.</p> <p>Resources: Funding from the Mental Health Services Act will be used to support committee activities related to this project.</p> <p>To ensure that there is no duplication of effort and no new workload or fiscal burdens placed on trial courts or the Branch by these projects, the following offices and advisory bodies will be consulted: Office of Court Research, Criminal Justice Court Services Office, CJER, Mental Health Issues Implementation Task Force, Blue Ribbon Commission, and the Child Welfare Council.</p>	

III. STATUS OF 2012 PROJECTS:

#	Project	Completion Date/Status
1	Objective: Develop recommendations regarding judicial education institutes, multidisciplinary symposia, distance learning and 'just in time' learning opportunities, and externships for judicial officers, attorneys, law students, and justice system partners regarding promising and cost effective collaborative justice principles and models that can be applied broadly throughout the court system.	Status: Substantially Complete, December 31, 2012. http://www.courts.ca.gov/3079.htm
2	Objective: Disseminate information about California's collaborative courts and the use of collaborative court principles to bar associations and law schools and encourage the development of law school courses, externships and continuing education classes on collaborative court subjects.	Status: Substantially complete and ongoing, December 31, 2012. (2013 Annual Agenda # 1)
3	Objective: Coordinate data collection project geared towards developing a statewide collaborative justice court data collection system that maintains continuity of data collection subsequent to drug court realignment and establishes initial data collection activities that determine data capacity and needs for collaborative courts throughout the state.	Status: Initial Phase Complete, Data Collection Initiated, December 31, 2012. (2013 Annual Agenda # 8)
4	Objective: Make recommendations to the Judicial Council regarding the Collaborative Justice Substance Abuse Focus Grant	Status: Complete, December 31, 2012. (2013 Annual Agenda # 1)
5	Objective: Coordinate an information sharing project to inform policymakers, media and members of the public about the work of the committee, local collaborative justice courts, and funding and policy issues.	Status: Complete, December 31, 2012.
6	Objective: Promote and support the institutionalization of evidence-based collaborative justice principles and practices through the broad application of these principles throughout the court system by integrating evidence-based collaborative justice principles and practices into related projects. Related projects include, but are not limited to, the Parolee Re-entry Court Project, the Evidence Based Practices Approach Initiative, the Shriver	Status: Initial Phase Substantially Complete, December 31, 2012. http://www.courts.ca.gov/documents/bpai.pdf http://www.courts.ca.gov/cfcc-delinquency.htm http://www.courts.ca.gov/documents/MHC_Eval_Fact_Sheet_5-11_FINAL.pdf

	Project, and the Dependency Drug Court Statewide Expansion Project.	
7	Objective: Coordinate the development of judicial education materials that support implementation of veterans' courts and veterans' court calendars through the dissemination of information about Penal Code 1170.9, veteran's issues in the courts, and best practices for addressing veteran's issues in the court system.	Status: Initial Phase Complete, December 31, 2012. (2013 Annual Agenda # 5) http://www.courts.ca.gov/11181.htm

IV. RESOURCE INFORMATION

[For the committee year (11/1/2012 - 10/31/2013), provide the position classifications and hours spent by staff relating to this committee (including subcommittee and working group activities) in the table below broken out between logistical versus substantive activities.]

Office	Position Classification	Hours/Year		Total Hours/Year
		Logistical	Substantive	
CFCC	Manager		100	100
CFCC	Supervising Research Analyst		150	150
CFCC	Supervising Attorney		100	100
CFCC	Analyst		500	500
CFCC	Research Analyst		500	500
CFCC	Attorney		100	100
CFCC	Administrative Coordinator	60		60

V. COST INFORMATION

[Provide the following estimated cost information for the committee year (11/1/2012 - 10/31/2013), as well as separate cost information (if appropriate) for any subcommittees or working groups.]

	In-person meeting(s) Number: 1	Video- /teleconference(s) Number: 0 videoconference/ 10 teleconference	Total
TRAVEL			
Airfare	\$ 2800	\$	2800
Hotel/Meals (allowable per diem)	\$ 1120	\$	1120
All other travel costs (mileage, parking etc.)	\$ 681	\$	681
Total Cost for Travel Expenses	\$4601	\$	\$ 4601
CATERING			
Total Catering Costs	\$ 230	\$	\$ 230
MATERIALS/MAILING/OTHER			
	\$	\$	
<i>[Specify]</i>	\$	\$	
<i>[Specify]</i>	\$	\$	
Total Materials/Mailing/Other	\$	\$	
Grand Total	4831	\$	\$ 4831

VI. Subcommittees/Working Groups - Detail

Subcommittees/Working Groups: *[For each group listed in section I, include the below information. For working groups that include members who are not on this advisory group, provide information about the additional members (e.g., from which other advisory committees), and include the number of representatives from this advisory group as well as additional members on the working group.]*

Subcommittee or working group name: Veterans' Courts and Military Families Working Group

Purpose of subcommittee or working group: To provide recommendations to the Collaborative Justice Courts Advisory Committee regarding veterans and military families in the courts. To identify and disseminate best practices regarding court responses to veterans and military families in the court system primarily focused on high risk/high needs cases, including veterans' courts, child support and family safety/reunification issues, and responses to legislative changes and mandates.

Number of advisory group members: 4

Number and description of additional members (not on this advisory group): The Veterans' Courts and Military Families Working Group was originally formed as a joint working group between the CJCAC and the Task Force for Criminal Justice Collaboration on Mental Health Issues, subsequently the Mental Health Issues Implementation Task Force. Eleven members of the working group are on other advisory groups or serve as liaisons and subject matter experts. Two of the additional members belonged to the Task Force (one of whom is an Appellate Justice and veteran); two are veterans' court judges; one is a member of the Access and Fairness Advisory Committee; one is the Judicial Branch representative to the Governor's advisory body on veteran's issues; one is a court executive officer and veteran; one is a veterans' court coordinator; two represent legal services and the State Bar; and one is USMCR.

Date formed: November 2010

Number of meetings or how often the group meets: One in-person per year, quarterly conference calls

Ongoing or date work is expected to be completed: Completion December 31, 2014

Subcommittee or working group name: Juvenile Collaborative Justice Courts Working Group

Purpose of subcommittee or working group: To provide recommendations to the Collaborative Justice Courts Advisory Committee regarding collaborative justice courts that address the needs of children and families, with a focus on juvenile collaborative justice courts addressing high risk/high needs cases.

Number of advisory group members: 6

Number and description of additional members (not on this advisory group): All are Collaborative Justice Courts Advisory Committee members at this time, although collaboration and joint meetings with representatives of the Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee will be held on an as needed basis.

Date formed: March 2012

Number of meetings or how often the group meets: One annual in-person meeting held in conjunction with either the Youth Court Summit or the Collaborative Justice Courts Advisory Committee's in person meeting. Bimonthly conference calls.

Ongoing or date work is expected to be completed: On-going, with review for continuation to occur by December 31, 2014