



JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA MEETINGS

Open to the Public Unless Indicated as Closed (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 10.6(a))

Ronald M. George State Office Complex

William C. Vickrey Judicial Council Conference Center

Malcolm M. Lucas Board Room

455 Golden Gate Avenue • San Francisco, California 94102-3688

Thursday, July 25, 2013 • 10:00 a.m.–12:50 p.m.

Meeting materials will be hyperlinked to agenda titles as soon as possible after receipt by Judicial Council Support Services. Please check the agenda at <http://www.courts.ca.gov/22767.htm> for recent postings of hyperlinked reports.

THURSDAY, JULY 25, 2013 AGENDA

BUSINESS MEETING

10:00–10:05 a.m. Member Roll Call

Judicial Council members attending in-person and via teleconference.

10:05–10:15 a.m. Chief Justice's Report

Chief Justice Tani G. Cantil-Sakauye will report.

10:15–11:15 a.m. Public Comment

[See Cal. Rules of Court, rules 10.6(d) and 10.6(e).]

Note: The Chief Justice has waived certain requirements under Rule 10.6(d) for requests to speak at this meeting. If you are requesting the opportunity to comment at the meeting, please e-mail your request to

judicialcouncil@jud.ca.gov or mail or deliver your request to the Judicial Council of California, 455 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94102-3688, Attention: Roma Cheadle. A request must pertain to a matter affecting judicial administration or an item on the business agenda and be received by **4 p.m., Tuesday, July 23, 2013**. In the request, please state:

NOTE: Time is estimated. Actual start and end times may vary.

- The speaker's name, occupation, and (if applicable) name of the entity that the speaker represents;
- The speaker's e-mail address, telephone number, and mailing address; and
- The agenda item on which the speaker wishes to comment. If the requestor wants to speak on a matter generally affecting judicial administration, state the nature of the comment in a few sentences.

Time is reserved for public comment about consent agenda items or matters generally affecting the administration of justice at the beginning of the meeting. Time is reserved for public comment about discussion agenda items at the beginning of the presentation on each item. The amount of time allocated to each speaker will be no more than five minutes, the specific time allocation to be determined based on the number of speakers and available time.

The Judicial Council is the policy-making body for the judicial branch. Comments pertaining to a specific court case will not be received.

Written Comments Received

Written comments pertaining to a matter affecting judicial administration or an item on this agenda may be e-mailed to judicialcouncil@jud.ca.gov or mailed or delivered to the Judicial Council of California, 455 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94102-3688, Attention: Roma Cheadle.

Only written comments received by **1 p.m. on Wednesday, July 24, 2013**, will be distributed to council members at the meeting. All comments received will be posted directly to the public Judicial Council web page.

CONSENT AGENDA (ITEMS A–B)

A council member who wishes to request that any item be moved from the Consent Agenda to the Discussion Agenda is asked to please notify Nancy Spero at 415-865-7915 at least 48 hours before the meeting.

Item A Funding for Parolee Reentry Court Programs through the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation (Action Required)

The Collaborative Justice Courts Advisory Committee recommends that the Judicial Council, through the Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC), enter into an interagency agreement with the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation (CDCR). The interagency agreement will effectuate a provision in the Budget Act of 2013 by transferring \$3 million in

funding from CDCR to the AOC for the support of currently existing parolee reentry courts. The AOC will allocate funding to the Superior Courts of Alameda, Los Angeles, Santa Clara, and San Diego Counties for the purpose of expanding or enhancing their reentry court programs with the goal of reducing recidivism among the parolee population.

Hon. Richard Vlavianos, Chair, Collaborative Justice Courts Advisory Committee

Ms. Shelley Curran, Criminal Justice Court Services Office

Ms. Nancy Taylor, Center for Families, Children & the Courts

Item B Judicial Branch Administration: Audit Report for Judicial Council Acceptance (Action Required)

The Advisory Committee on Financial Accountability and Efficiency for the Judicial Branch and the AOC recommend that the Judicial Council accept the audit report entitled Audit of the Superior Court of California, County of Santa Barbara. This acceptance complies with the policy approved by the Judicial Council on August 27, 2010, which specifies Judicial Council acceptance of audit reports as the last step to finalization of the reports before their placement on the California Courts public website to facilitate public access. Acceptance and publication of these reports will enhance accountability and provide the courts with information to minimize financial, compliance, and operational risk.

Hon. Richard D. Huffman, Chair, Advisory Committee on Financial Accountability and Efficiency for the Judicial Branch

Mr. John A. Judnick, Senior Manager, Internal Audit Services

Break 11:15–11:30 a.m. (approx.)

DISCUSSION AGENDA (ITEMS C–D)

Item C 11:30 a.m.–12:30 p.m.

Trial Court Allocations: Base and Other Allocations for 2013–2014 (Action Required)

For fiscal year 2013–2014, the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee (TCBAC) recommends the allocation of each court’s share of an ongoing \$261 million reduction, a statewide net zero reallocation of 10 percent of courts’ current base funding for court operations using the Workload-based Allocation and Funding Methodology, each court’s share of \$60 million in new funding, a statewide net zero reallocation of \$60 million in current base funding, each court’s contribution toward a 2 percent reserve of \$35.2 million, and \$129.8 million in funding for reimbursement of various trial court costs, including court-appointed dependency counsel. All the allocations are related to the Trial Court Trust Fund and the Program 45.10 expenditure authority for support of operations of the trial courts.

NOTE: Time is estimated. Actual start and end times may vary.

Public Comment and Presentation (20 minutes) • Discussion (40 minutes)

Speakers: Mr. Zlatko Theodorovic, Co-Chair, Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee
Mr. Alan Carlson, Member, TCBAC Funding Methodology Subcommittee

Item D 12:30–12:50 p.m.

[2013 Court Statistics Report: Summary of FY 2011–2012 Data and Caseload Trends \(No Action Required\)](#)

The 2013 Court Statistics Report (CSR) provides detailed caseload information for the most recent 2011–2012 fiscal year, and also combines 10-year statewide summaries of superior court filings and dispositions with similar workload indicators for the California Supreme Court and Courts of Appeal. The CSR is published each year in compliance with Article VI, section 6 of the state Constitution which requires the Judicial Council to survey the conditions and business of the California courts. As the official source of information on court operations, the CSR is used by the Legislature, the Governor, and the public to understand court workload, resources, and operations.

Public Comment and Presentation (15 minutes) • Discussion (5 minutes)

Speaker: Mr. Christopher Belloli, Office of Court Research

INFORMATION ONLY ITEMS (NO ACTION REQUIRED)

[INFO 1 Government Code Section 68106: Public Notice by Courts of Closures or Reduced Clerks' Office Hours \(Gov. Code, § 68106—Report No. 20\)](#)

Government Code section 68106 directs (1) trial courts to notify the public and the Judicial Council before closing courtrooms or clerks' offices or reducing clerks' regular office hours, and (2) the council to post all such notices on its website and also relay them to the Legislature. This is the 20th report to date listing the latest court notices received by the council under this statutory requirement; since the previous report, five superior courts—those of Tehama, Monterey, Fresno, Riverside, and Trinity Counties—have issued new notices.

**Circulating Orders since the last business meeting
[Circulating Orders Tab]**

**[Appointment Orders since the last business meeting](#)
[Appointment Orders Tab]**