



Judicial Council of California
ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS

455 Golden Gate Avenue • San Francisco, California 94102-3688

Telephone 415-865-4200 • Fax 415-865-4205 • TDD 415-865-4272

MEMORANDUM

Date	Action Requested
April 22, 2013	For Information Only
To	Deadline
Members of the Judicial Council	N/A
From	Contact
Steven Jahr	Tina Carroll
Administrative Director of the Courts	Executive Office Liaison
	415-865-4242 phone
Subject	Tina.Carroll@jud.ca.gov
Report on Activities of the Administrative Office of the Courts	

The following information outlines some of the many activities the Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC) is engaged in to further the Judicial Council's goals and priorities for the judicial branch. The report focuses on action since the council's February meeting and is exclusive of issues on the April business meeting agenda.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

State of the Judiciary Address and Legislative Visits.....3

Budget Meetings with Legislative Leadership3

Court Budget Snapshots.....3

Chief Justice Liaison Meeting with the California State Sheriffs’ Association3

Chief Justice Meeting with the Service Employees International Union3

AOC/Department of Finance Monthly Meeting3

Assembly Budget Subcommittee 5 on Public Safety4

Senate Budget Subcommittee 5 (Corrections, Public Safety and the Judiciary)4

Joint Legislative Audit Committee: Firearms Reporting.....5

Judicial Council-Sponsored Legislation5

Trial Court Presiding Judges and Executive Officers Regional Meetings5

Stakeholder Briefings on Proposed New Trial Court Funding Methodology6

Civic Learning California Summit—Making Democracy Work.....6

California Safe at Home Program.....6

Criminal Justice Realignment.....6

California Risk Assessment Pilot Project7

Parolee Reentry Courts7

Court Appointed Special Advocates Program7

Cross-Cultural Tribal/State Court Exchange7

Tribal Law Education, Stanford University.....7

Juvenile Dependency Counsel Collection Program.....7

Establishment of the AOC Office of Appellate Court Services8

California Appellate Court Clerks Association8

Appellate Project Directors’ Meeting8

State-Federal Judicial Council8

Facilities8

Human Resources9

Phoenix Fiscal and HR Management Systems9

Technology10

Advisory Committees, Task Forces, and Working Groups11

Judicial Branch Education Programs13

AOC Staffing Metrics19

Judicial Appointments and Judicial Vacancies.....24

State of the Judiciary Address and Legislative Visits: The Chief Justice delivered a powerful address to the joint houses of the Legislature on judicial branch budget issues, reduction impacts, and the role of the courts and the public's right of equal access to timely justice. Earlier that day, 12 council members joined 33 Bench-Bar Coalition members for scheduled visits with 30 legislators and another 34 legislative staff, reinforcing the branch's message on the need for a reinvestment of General Funds.

Budget Meetings with Legislative Leadership: At a series of legislative meetings, the AOC continued to underscore the central theme of the branch's budget advocacy. As has been the case with the receptions at different legislative hearings, there is a general appreciation of the reality of the branch's funding issues. Meetings were held with legislative members and/or senior staff of the Assembly Judiciary Committee; the Senate Budget and Fiscal Review Committee and Subcommittee 5 on Corrections, Public Safety, and Judiciary; and Assembly and Senate Republican floor leaders, among others.

Court Budget Snapshots: In addition to near-daily meetings with legislative and executive branch leadership, the AOC is working with the courts to develop and publish budget snapshots to tell individual courts' stories effectively and succinctly. To date, 33 snapshots are complete and circulating throughout the Capitol. The Office of Governmental Affairs is working to complete and post online snapshots for all courts. Legislators have been referencing the budget snapshots in committee hearings, in their Sacramento offices, and in their districts. The snapshots are available on the California Courts website at: <http://www.courts.ca.gov/partners/1494.htm>

Chief Justice Liaison Meeting with the California State Sheriffs' Association: The Chief Justice, Policy Coordination and Liaison Committee Chair Justice Marvin Baxter, and AOC executives met with the president and other representatives of the California State Sheriffs' Association to discuss issues of mutual interest. The topics for the meeting included updates on the judicial branch budget and criminal justice realignment, court security, staffing for new courthouse construction, video appearances by inmates (telejustice), parole revocation changes, and increased use of split sentences.

Chief Justice Meeting with Service Employees International Union: The Chief Justice and AOC executives met with labor representatives and had constructive discussion on issues that included transparency in the budget process and clarifying the AOC's role in assisting with local labor negotiations.

AOC/Department of Finance Monthly Meeting: At the regular meetings with senior staff from the Department of Finance, information sharing is ongoing on a range of issues including cash flow, benefits, budget change proposals, and the general direction on branch budget issues in

moving toward the May Budget Revision. The meetings are serving as a useful touch point for timely communication.

Assembly Budget Subcommittee 5 on Public Safety: In its hearing on April 3, at which the judicial branch budget was reviewed, the subcommittee approved inclusion of \$418 million in the 2013–2014 budget for the branch; this merely confirmed what was already in the Governor's proposed budget for the upcoming fiscal year. No additional funds were augmented to the budget of the branch beyond what was already known, therefore, consistent with the Governor's budget, and absent any further action by the Legislature or the Governor, the trial courts will endure an additional reduction of \$261 million in the 2013–2014 fiscal year. Members approved 3 of 11 proposed statutory efficiencies: court-ordered debt collection, exemplification of a record, and small claims mailings. The additional efficiency proposals remain open for further discussion. The members also voted to hold open the item on trial court reserves pending review of specific trailer bill language.

Senate Budget Subcommittee 5 (Corrections, Public Safety and the Judiciary):

- At the March 14 hearing chaired by Senator Loni Hancock, the AOC was supported in its presentation about the impacts of ongoing cuts to the branch by San Bernardino Presiding Judge Marsha Slough, and Contra Costa Presiding Judge Barry Goode, with AOC division chiefs addressing fiscal and facilities issues as the hearing progressed. The emphasis at the hearing was on the budget themes contained in the Chief Justice's State of the Judiciary address. Judge Slough's compelling presentation focused on the significant challenges facing the people of San Bernardino due to impending court closures. Judge Goode presented a well-crafted explanation about how the courts' budgets have not been flat during the past five years of cuts to the branch and explained that any cuts that need to be made because of reduced allocations to trial courts must fall disproportionately on the funds that are within the discretion of presiding judges.
- The subcommittee also focused on the 10 efficiency proposals that are to be included in Trailer Bill Language related to the Governor's 2013–2014 budget, among which are fee increases. The AOC made clear that the council would not be seeking added fees but for the branch's dire circumstances and the uncertainty surrounding the budget. The efficiencies were not voted on/approved by the committee; rather, this agenda item was left open for future discussion. The hearing and subsequent public testimony provided a litmus test to see which proposals appeared to have support, and which may need ongoing discussion or negotiation.
- Also with committee staff support, the AOC raised the New Long Beach Courthouse project and the Governor's proposed budget recommendation that the first year payment come from our Immediate and Critical Needs Account. That item was left open for ongoing discussion.

Joint Legislative Audit Committee—Firearms Reporting: At a March hearing, the committee approved a request from Assembly Members Archadjian and Mansoor to have the State Auditor examine whether information regarding mentally ill persons prohibited from possessing firearms is being reported by the courts to the Department of Justice (DOJ) in accordance with state law, and whether DOJ's Armed Prohibited Persons System is up to date. The State Auditor indicated that that her office could begin seven audits over the next three months. Further, as part of the audit, the auditor is contemplating selection of three courts for a sample review of related policies and procedures, but may include more. The committee did not assign priority to any of the audits, but typically the auditor begins audits involving public safety as soon as resources are available. In 2012, the courts reported approximately 7,000 individuals to the DOJ.

Judicial Council-Sponsored Legislation: AOC staff continue to work with legislators and their staff to assist in moving Judicial Council-sponsored legislation through the legislative process:

- AB 648 (Jones-Sawyer) – court reporter fee clean-up: Set to be heard before the Assembly Judiciary Committee on April 23, 2013. Some opposition has arisen to the bill, but staff is continuing to work with the author and with opposition to move forward.
- AB 1004 (Gray) – electronic signatures on arrest warrants: Referred to Assembly Committee on Public Safety. No hearing date has been set.
- AB 1293 (Bloom) – court efficiencies not accepted by the Department of Finance: Set for hearing on April 30, 2013, in the Assembly Judiciary Committee. As of April 18, the California State Association of Counties opposes two provisions of the bill. Also, some concerns have been voiced by Assembly leadership, the Bureau of State Audits, and Assembly Judiciary Committee staff related to the provision on temporary suspension of the audit requirement until funding is made available. Bill language discussions are under way with Assembly Judiciary staff.
- AB 1352 (Levine) – court records retention: Set to be heard in the Assembly Judiciary Committee on April 23, 2013. There is no opposition to the bill at this time.
- SB 406 (Evans) – Tribal Court Civil Judgment Act: Currently in the Senate Judiciary Committee. No hearing date has been set.

Trial Court Presiding Judges and Executive Officers Regional Meetings:

- The AOC sponsors regional meetings that offer judicial branch leaders an opportunity to plan and discuss topics of interest for courts in their region. Meetings were held in March and April (San Francisco: 15 of 16 courts attended; Sacramento: 30 of 31 courts attended; Burbank: All 11 courts attended.)
- Court leaders received updates from the AOC Executive Office on the judicial branch budget, AOC restructuring, the branch's capital construction program, and legislative outreach. A majority of the meeting was devoted to presentation of a proposed trial court funding methodology by the Trial Court Budget Working Group Funding Methodology

Subcommittee. The presentation provided court leaders with the opportunity to submit their feedback on the proposed methodology.

Stakeholder Briefings on Proposed New Trial Court Funding Methodology: On April 19, 2013, members of the Funding Methodology Subcommittee presented a series of three briefings for members of the Legislature and other judicial branch partners and stakeholders on the proposed budget and allocation methodology for funding the trial courts.

Civic Learning California Summit—Making Democracy Work: More than 200 judges, lawyers, teachers, politicians, and local community leaders participated in the summit convened by the Chief Justice to focus on improving civic learning and civic engagement in California. The summit was used to announce the establishment of a K-12 Civic Learning Task Force. The State Bar also used the opportunity to announce the introduction of three pieces of civic education legislation in this session, and the first Civic Learning Awards were presented to 22 schools from around the state. Retired U.S. Supreme Court Justice Sandra Day O'Connor served as keynote speaker. Funding for the summit was provided by the California Bar Foundation and State Bar of California.

California Safe at Home Program: AOC executives met with the Secretary of State's Office regarding its Safe at Home program, which enables people to maintain confidential addresses to protect against stalking and domestic violence. Discussion centered on how the program is made known to court users, and ideas for broadening awareness for potential beneficiaries. The council and the AOC support the program through court rules and forms, training to assist courts in addressing the program's requirements, and by linking to the Safe at Home webpage through the California Courts' self-help website. The AOC will contact family law facilitators/self-help centers again to reconfirm that they provide information about Safe at Home to customers, as appropriate, and will identify any additional points of contact. The Secretary's Office will explore with the California District Attorneys Association how information on the program may be shared via individual district attorney offices throughout the state.

Criminal Justice Realignment:

- In collaboration with several criminal law judges, staff developed a frequently asked questions document and flowchart related to the courts upcoming responsibilities for parole revocation hearings commencing July 1, 2013. The documents are available on the public website and have been disseminated widely.
- Staff also conducted two webinars on criminal justice realignment data collection. To date, 43 courts have participated in the trainings. Staff also provided direct technical assistance on realignment data collection to ten courts.

California Risk Assessment Pilot Project: Staff presented on evidence-based supervision practices at the Association for Criminal Justice Research conference, highlighting successes and challenges associated with the project.

Parolee Reentry Courts: Staff created and distributed evaluation data reports to the six pilot courts funded by Byrne/JAG federal grants. The reports will be used to assist the courts in identifying effective program practices and outcomes.

Court Appointed Special Advocates (CASA) Program: Staff conducted a technical assistance visit to the dual-county program, CASA of Monterey/San Benito. The AOC is facilitating the transition to two distinct programs by 2013–2014. AOC staff partner with the California CASA Association, who provide technical expertise and collect and disseminate promising practices statewide. One goal of the visit was to support compliance with California Rule of Court 5.655 and the National CASA Standards. Focus groups were held at each site with judicial officers, CASA volunteers, program staff, board members, minor's counsel, parent's attorneys, and stakeholders from the Department of Children and Family Services.

Cross-Cultural Tribal/State Court Exchange: As a project of the California Tribal Court/State Court Forum, the first of three exchanges were co-hosted by a tribal court judge and state court judge on tribal lands. Judge Claudette White, Chief Judge of the Quechan Tribal Court, and Judge Juan Ulloa, Superior Court Judge in Imperial County, led the discussion and problem-solving effort on local court concerns relating to domestic violence, sexual assault, stalking, teen dating violence, and elder abuse in the tribal community. The project is funded by the California Emergency Management Agency.

Tribal Law Education Event at Stanford University: AOC staff presented on a panel at a Stanford University Law School event, *Tribal Law and Order: How State, Tribal, and Federal Relations are Evolving to Address Justice and Health Disparities in Native American Communities*.

Juvenile Dependency Counsel Collection Program: AOC staff hosted two conference calls to provide technical assistance to staff in courts implementing the program to collect reimbursements for the cost of providing court-appointed counsel in juvenile dependency proceedings. To date, 26 courts that have implemented the program; 16 of which have already remitted funds collected through the program to the state. Staff has provided technical assistance to another five courts in the process of implementing the program. Staff also updated the program guidelines, forms, and reporting templates on the public website at: <http://www.courts.ca.gov/cfcc-dependency.htm#acc21148>. The first report on program implementation is due from all courts on September 1, 2013.

Establishment of the AOC Office of Appellate Court Services: With the goal of improving AOC customer service to the Supreme Court and Courts of Appeal, a separate Office of Appellate Court Services was established within the AOC, effective April 1, 2013. The office has assumed primary responsibility for the coordination of all services to the Supreme Court and Courts of Appeal.

California Appellate Court Clerks Association: At their meeting, the clerk/administrators of the appellate courts discussed the operation of the new Office of Appellate Court Services, heard updates from various offices within the AOC, including Fiscal Services, Human Resources Services, and Legal Services, and discussed numerous appellate court operational issues. The members also paid warm tribute to their colleague Steve Kelly, 4th District Clerk/Administrator, who passed away suddenly in February.

Appellate Project Directors' Meeting: Participants and staff from the Office of Appellate Court Services discussed court-appointed counsel program issues that affect the program budget, including the fiscal impact of the case hours associated with additional litigation due to the passage of Proposition 36 (three-strikes sentencing).

State-Federal Judicial Council: The agenda for the council meeting, co-chaired by Chief Justice Cantil-Sakauye and federal justice Arthur Alarcón and jointly staffed by the AOC and staff for the Ninth Circuit, included discussions on the coordination of large cases/resources; capital habeas corpus; civil/prisoner pro se litigation; jury improvement recommendations; public confidence in the judiciary; and tribal court relations.

Facilities

Award: The Richard E. Arnason Justice Center in Contra Costa County has been awarded the 2013 Award of Excellence Citation by the American Institute of Architects' Academy of Architecture for Justice.

Facility Modifications: As of April 2013, there are 357 court facility modifications in progress at a total estimated cost of \$42,755,456, a combination of fiscal year 2011–2012 and 2012–2013 projects.

SB 1407 Capital Projects:

- There are 36 active capital projects totaling over \$4.6 billion. Eight projects, totaling over \$1.2 billion are currently in construction; another eight will begin construction in 2013.
- In accordance with direction received from the Judicial Council, in the event funding is restored in the final Budget Act, staff submitted to the Department of Finance 2013–2014 funding requests for all projects that could move forward to the next phase.

Groundbreaking for Yolo County Courthouse: Court, city, county officials, and key AOC staff attended the groundbreaking ceremony in Woodland. The Chief Justice delivered an address in which she praised legislative leaders, the leaders of the community, and leaders of the Yolo Superior Court, including Judge David Rosenberg, for working constructively together and with the AOC team to bring this important court facility to the people of Yolo County. The Yolo courthouse is the first project to make it to the construction phase with monies provided by court user fees and fines (Senate Bill 1407). No state General Fund monies will be used to fund the \$161.4 million project.

Human Resources

Labor Relations/Negotiations: The AOC is currently supporting ten trial courts in labor negotiations and two court interpreter regions in bargaining sessions. Negotiations have recently concluded in four courts. Staff are providing support to two trial courts in responding to a labor charge with the Public Employee Relations Board.

Trial Court Employee Relations: Employee relations assistance is currently being provided to 17 courts. Requests for assistance with investigations have increased and AOC employee relations staff are guiding ten investigations.

Phoenix Fiscal and HR Management Systems Services

Court-Ordered Debt Task Force: The AOC and the State Controller's Office partnered to provide statewide training for county, court, city, and parking entity staff who participate in revenue distribution activities. The training was conducted in order to promote a common approach after the results of a recent survey concluded a lack of uniformity regarding revenue distribution processes. Trainings were held in San Francisco, Sacramento, Fresno, and Pomona, and also offered via webinar in March.

Financial Services Examples:

- Superior Court of Amador County: Accounting assistance is being provided in the absence of the court's primary accountant, including preparation of the criminal fines and fees report, distribution to the county, and drafting of instructions to enable the court to prepare the report to the county in the future.
- Superior Court of Alameda County: The AOC worked with court staff to transition an interest bearing trust account to the Phoenix fiscal management system.

System Enhancements: A portal upgrade was completed for all six participating courts, enhancing the human resources employee self-service/manager self-service functions and transitioning the system to a more current, stable, and supportable software platform.

Technology

Nevada Interim Case Management System: In June 2011, the Judicial Council provided \$674,628 from the Trial Court Improvement Fund to the Superior Court of Nevada County to deploy CourtView as an interim case management system. At that time, the court's legacy case management systems were 1980s-era mainframe applications running on outdated hardware, operating systems and software environments and the court had been notified that the sole county information technology staff was retiring. An intra-branch agreement was established between the court and the AOC for reimbursement of deployment costs for the court to implement the CourtView case management system. The Superior Court of Nevada County successfully completed its deployment of CourtView.

Telecommunications Infrastructure and Security Program: Six new courts subscribed to managed security services.

Data Integration Program: Implementation and transition to a new test environment at the California Courts Technology Center was completed on a program that provides courts with the framework to enable secure and reliable information exchange between the courts and integration partners.

California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System: This system provides secure access to criminal justice databases for the benefit of the trial courts. No-cost software licenses continue to be available, as is support for obtaining Department of Justice approval and deployment. In this period, staff completed training for courts that have experienced staffing changes; provided support for courts that have audit and increased database access needs, as well as new county agencies that will be submitting restraining and protective orders through the California Courts Protective Order Registry.

California Courts Protective Order Registry: The Superior Courts of Merced and Mendocino Counties are now using the system, bringing the total number of participating courts to 23.

Web Services:

- Three courts (Orange, Inyo, and Mono) received new user-centric web templates to begin the process of redesigning their websites. Santa Barbara is completing migration to the new design. Fourteen courts are using the templates developed by the AOC.

- Findings from a 30-day online customer satisfaction survey posted to the California Courts public website in February were overwhelmingly positive. Of the 424 users who responded to the survey.
 - 74% reported that they found the information they were looking for;
 - 55% reported that the site was easy to use; and
 - 69% reported that information was very understandable.

Advisory Committees/Task Forces/Working Groups

Advisory committees will hold only one in-person meeting per year until the fiscal situation improves. Other meetings will be convened using video- or audio-conferencing.

The following committees met since the Judicial Council's February meeting:

1. Administrative Presiding Justices Advisory Committee
2. Appellate Advisory Committee
3. Appellate Indigent Defense Oversight Advisory Committee
4. Civil and Small Claims Advisory Committee
5. Court Executives Advisory Committee/Conference of Court Executives
6. Court Technology Advisory Committee
7. Criminal Law Advisory Committee
8. Traffic Advisory Committee
9. Trial Court Budget Working Group
10. Trial Court Presiding Judges Advisory Committee

Meeting Details

Administrative Presiding Justices Advisory Committee:

- Discussed the formation and function of the new AOC Office of Appellate Court Services.
- Received a budget update from the Fiscal Services Office.
- Decided to sponsor a proposed rule of court clarifying the appellate courts' statutory role in reporting attorney misconduct to the State Bar.

Appellate Advisory Committee:

- Approved for submission to the council's Rules and Project Committee for circulation for public comment rule and form proposals, including proposals to:
 - Address problems with the procedures relating to deposits for court reporter's transcripts in civil cases;
 - Improve procedures for preparation of the record in appellate division proceedings;
 - Clarify procedures for handling sealed and confidential records; and
 - Clarify when original signatures are required on filed documents.

Appellate Indigent Defense Oversight Advisory Committee:

- Audited 140 compensation claims paid to individual attorneys between October 2012 and January 2013. Eleven adjustment letters were approved and one case was held over until the June audit meeting.
- Staff presented and discussed quarterly reports that analyzed cost trends, program expenditures, and training programs.

Civil and Small Claims Advisory Committee:

- Approved a recommendation that the council adopt, effective July 1, 2013, new and revised Disability Access Litigation forms and revised Wage Garnishment and Enforcement of Judgment forms to implement recent legislative changes.
- Approved for submission to the Rules and Project Committee for circulation for public comment several rule proposals to promote court efficiencies including proposals to streamline discovery motion papers, reduce the required use of recycled paper, and expand telephonic appearances to include hearings on ex parte applications.

Court Executives Advisory Committee (CEAC)/Conference of Court Executives:

- Discussed outcomes from the recent Assembly Judiciary hearing on the judicial branch budget; updates on the latest round of meetings of the Trial Court Funding Workgroup, the work of the Funding Methodology Subcommittee of the Trial Court Budget Working Group, and a status report on the projected year-end balance of the Trial Court Trust Fund.
- Provided updates on the new court parole revocation responsibilities commencing July 2013.
- The Superior Court of Santa Clara County presented the court's latest planning efforts and best practices for implementing new requirements for revocation of post-release community supervision.
- Heard updates on trial court collaborative opportunities for master service agreements for statewide case management systems.

Joint Appellate Advisory Committee/CEAC Joint Working Group on Reporter's Transcript Issues:

- Submitted proposed revisions to the Rules and Projects Committee for rule 8.130 intended to generate revenue for trial courts and provide costs savings and efficiencies for trial courts and for litigants.

Court Technology Advisory Committee:

- Reviewed the committee's draft annual agenda, clarified committee objectives, eliminated redundancy with other groups, and identified project milestones and timelines.
- Restructured three subcommittees: Rules and Policy (formerly E-Business), Projects (formerly Technology Services), and Outreach.

Criminal Law Advisory Committee:

- Conducted five conference calls to review and recommend council positions on pending criminal law legislation.

Traffic Advisory Committee:

- Considered pending legislation to make recommendations to the Policy Coordination and Liaison Committee.

Trial Court Budget Working Group:

- Funding Methodology Subcommittee—comprised of 16 members, including seven presiding judges and nine court executive officers from counties of variable size, geographic location and funding need—met several times since late February in an effort to develop a new workload-based allocation and funding methodology for the trial courts.
- Full budget working group approved a process for presentation to the Judicial Council that would include a phased-in approach of a workload-based allocation and funding model over a five-year period, as well as a methodology for allocating any new funding received for court operations.

Trial Court Presiding Judges Advisory Committee:

- Updates and discussion focused on budget status, efficiency proposals, funding allocation methodologies, the Resource Assessment Study II model status, legislative outreach, and new judge education.
- Reviewed and approved moving rule proposals forward to the Rules and Projects Committee that will address: providing notice to the State Bar regarding attorney misconduct, handling complaints and notice requirements for subordinate judicial officers, and changes to the nomination process for committee chair.
- Heard liaison reports from representatives of the presiding judges/court executive officers Trial Court Business Process Reengineering Working Group, the Judicial Council Technology Committee, the Probate and Mental Health Advisory Committee, the Court Interpreters Advisory Panel, the Court Facilities Working Group, and the Mental Health Issues Implementation Task Force.

Judicial Branch Education Programs

Judicial Education

1. Advanced Felony Sentencing
2. *Crawford* Update
3. Criminal Law Orientation
4. Death Penalty Trials

5. Handling Sexual Assault Cases
6. New Judge Orientation
7. Parole Revocation Hearings Course
8. Primary Assignment Orientation Courses (for civil, criminal, family, juvenile delinquency, and probate law judges)
9. Sentencing Drug-Involved Offenders

Judicial, Court Employee, and Justice System Stakeholder Education

1. Building Teams, and Developing Effective Written Materials (for the California Courts' Association)
2. Court Clerk Training Institute (for court personnel)
3. Computer Training: Excel and Windows (for appellate court personnel)
4. Domestic Violence On-the-Job Training
5. Extended Foster Care Trainings
6. Handling Sex Crimes Issues
7. Institute for Court Management (ICM) Courses—Education, Training, and Development; Managing Technology Projects and Technology Resources; Purposes and Responsibilities of Courts
8. Juvenile Court Local Trainings
9. Statewide Revenue Distribution Training (for court and government personnel)

Broadcasts

10. Demystifying the Appeals Process (for trial court personnel)
11. Leading Change (for trial and appellate court supervisors/managers)
12. Providing Copies: Confidentiality, Redaction, and Certification (for all court personnel)
13. The Work of the Judicial Council (for trial and appellate court supervisors/managers)
14. Today's Law: Family Law Update

Updated Online Resources

15. Courtroom Control
16. Determining Income
17. Dividing Property
18. Ethics for New Judges
19. Ethics for Temporary Judges
20. Real World Judicial Ethics
21. Self-Represented Litigants: Special Challenges
22. Traffic Cases

New Online Resources

23. Criminal Discovery

24. Common Motions in a Criminal Calendar
25. Child and Spousal Support
26. Determining Income
27. Domestic Violence Restraining Orders
28. Records Management
29. Restraining Orders Against Harassment, Abuse, or Violence

Publications

Updated and Revised Benchguides:

30. Benchguide 81: DUI Proceedings
31. Benchguide 83: Restitution
32. Domestic Violence Cases in Criminal Court, 2013 edition

Updated Handbooks:

33. The Indian Child Welfare Act

Video Production

34. *Crawford* Update Part 1: The Rule
35. Judicial Canons
36. Sexual Harassment Prevention

Program Details

California Courts Association Training Day: The AOC provided training for 35 participants on team building and on developing effective written materials.

Computer Classes: Multiple sessions were provided for approximately 78 participants at the Second District Court of Appeal to support a recent operating system upgrade. The sessions addressed the basic features of Windows 7. Using videoconferencing, a further two sessions were provided for appellate court personnel, addressing the features and effective use of Microsoft Excel.

Court Clerk Training Institute: The first week of this two-week program (split in two and moved to the AOC's Sacramento office to minimize costs), was attended by 72 courtroom and legal process clerks. The program provided courses on criminal, family, and juvenile counter and courtroom procedures.

Death Penalty Trials: Death penalty trials make extraordinary demands on judges. Such trials require knowledge of a highly-specialized body of law and procedures. Due to the high stakes involved, the quantity of motion work before trial is greatly increased. The emotional context of the trial increases in the courtroom and makes demands on the court's management skills. This

intensive course helps judges become familiar with and keep up to date in this complex area focusing on issues that are most difficult, specialized, and likely to present a risk of mistrial or reversal.

Domestic Violence On-the-Job Training: This training for child custody mediators, recommending counselors, and evaluators involves a one-hour webinar focused on recent efforts to improve screening, followed by on-the-job implementation and another one-hour webinar interactive discussion about their experiences and next steps for implementing promising approaches to handling these complex cases. By using distance learning technology and including content that can immediately be applied on the job, cost-savings are immediate, time away from court is limited, and training mandates are met.

Extended Foster Care (AB 12) Trainings: Training was conducted for the Superior Courts of Mendocino, Sonoma, and Lake Counties. In addition, the presiding juvenile judge of Alameda County invited AOC attorneys to a convening of stakeholders to discuss the court's current practice in non-minor dependent cases.

Handling Sex Crimes Issues: This two-day course emphasized key issues in sexual assault cases and provided a guide for a judge managing a sex crimes trial from arraignment through sentencing. Areas of emphasis included: the unique body of substantive and procedural law not necessarily applicable in other criminal cases, the dynamics of sexual assault cases, the needs of the victim and specially mandated accommodations, and myths and misconceptions about sexual assault victims and offenders.

Institute for Court Management Course (ICM)—Education Training and Development: A three-day program for court leaders taught the fundamentals of adult education and instructional design as well as different approaches to employee development. The courts' unique educational environment was explored, and participants learned how to approach education not only for the purpose of effectively performing daily work but also as a key factor in achieving the court's mission or strategic plan. In addition, participants assessed ways to support education by using existing resources and learned how to seek new resources, when necessary. Participants also assessed the status of their courts' educational efforts and made improvement plans, where needed.

ICM Course—Managing Technology Projects and Resources: In this three-day program, participants learned how technology can be used in all of the National Association for Court Management's core competencies, as well as the role technology plays in organizational performance.

ICM Course—Purposes and Responsibilities of Courts: The three-day program provided historical and societal context for the development of the current court system and helped participants understand the tensions inherent in the courts' ability to fulfill its purposes and responsibilities.

Juvenile Court Local Trainings: Through federal Court Improvement Program funding, AOC attorneys are able to develop curriculum and provide trainings on relevant topics at the request of juvenile courts. During this reporting period, the AOC provided the following trainings to superior courts in the counties of San Bernardino, Sonoma, Fresno, San Joaquin, and Marin on: "Breaking through the Barriers/Communication with Clients," "Confidentiality and Sharing Information about Youth in Foster Care," and "Elimination of Bias."

Parole Revocation Hearings: This course was held over a day and a half for approximately 70 judges and commissioners to conduct arraignments and hearings on parole violation petitions, which are shifting to the courts on July 1, 2013. Topics included law and procedure, tips for streamlining the petition process and interfacing with parole, and cost-savings analyses of system models. Faculty included representatives from the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation and judges from counties with diverse procedural approaches and offender populations.

New Broadcasts

Leading Change: This program for court supervisors and managers, described the cycle of change, explained skills and techniques for managing change, identified stakeholder concerns and needs, defined the impact of change on staff, budgets, and performance, and provided strategies to address change proactively.

Providing Copies: Confidentiality, Redaction, and Certification: The broadcast for court personnel in civil and criminal areas, addressed confidentiality guidelines, identified documents that can be provided to the public as certified copies, explained when redaction is necessary, and described the impact of not following appropriate guidelines when providing documents to the public.

The Work of the Judicial Council: This session for court supervisors and managers reviewed the history of the Judicial Council, discussed council responsibilities, explained the process of becoming a council member, and described how the council meeting agenda is developed.

Videos

***Crawford* Update:** In 2004, the *Crawford v. Washington* opinion changing the analysis of “testimonial” hearsay in criminal trial evidence. Part one of a two-part judicial education video features Judge Linda R. Clark reviewing the *Crawford* opinion and detailing what constitutes an inadmissible “testimonial” hearsay statement as defined by subsequent case law.

Administrative Office of the Courts Staffing Report as of March 31, 2013

STAFFING	Executive Office	Office of Gov't Affairs	Legal Services Office	JC Support Services	Office of Communications	Special Project Office	Trial Court Liaison Office	Center for Families, Child. & Courts	Court Ops Special Svc Office	Criminal Justice Court Svc Office	Center for Judiciary Education & Research	Office of JB Capital Programs	Office of Security	Fiscal Services Office	HR Services Office	Information Technology Services Office	Office of Admin Services	Office of Real Estate & Fac. Mgmt	TC Admin Services Office	AOC
Authorized Position (FTE)	8.00	12.00	63.00	12.80	9.00	2.00	9.00	72.00	49.90	5.00	68.50	60.00	10.00	95.00	38.00	135.90	7.00	66.00	93.00	816.10
Filled Authorized Position (FTE)	6.95	10.00	50.40	10.60	7.00	2.00	8.00	68.00	38.20	5.00	62.30	50.90	8.00	81.00	29.00	102.88	7.00	64.50	86.00	697.73
Headcount - Employees	7	10	51	11	7	2	8	70	39	6	64	51	8	81	29	103	7	65	86	705.00
Vacancy (FTE)	1.05	2.00	12.60	2.20	2.00	0.00	1.00	4.00	11.70	0.00	6.20	9.10	2.00	14.00	9.00	33.03	0.00	1.50	7.00	118.38
Vacancy Rate (FTE)	13.1%	16.7%	20.0%	17.2%	22.2%	0.0%	11.1%	5.6%	23.4%	0.0%	9.1%	15.2%	20.0%	14.7%	23.7%	24.3%	0.0%	2.3%	7.5%	14.5%
AOC Temporary Employee (909)	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	1	0	2	1	0	2	0	0	15.00
*Employment Agency Temporary Worker (FTE)	0.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	9.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	26.50
Contractors (FTE)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	53.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	62.50
TOTAL WORKFORCE <i>(based on FTE, 909s, Agency Temps & Contractors)</i>	7.95	11.00	51.40	10.60	7.00	2.00	8.00	68.00	38.70	6.00	70.30	59.90	8.00	92.00	35.00	156.38	9.00	74.50	86.00	801.73

Definitions:

Authorized Position (FTE)	Authorized positions include all regular ongoing positions approved in the Budget Act for that year. The number is based on the position's approved full time equivalency.
Filled Authorized Position (FTE)	Filled authorized positions are the number of authorized positions filled based on the employee's full time equivalency.
Headcount	The actual count of persons employed by the AOC, regardless of FTE. This number could be more than the FTE count due to part-time employees being counted as "1". This count does not include AOC Temporary Employees (909) or Employment Agency Temporary Workers.
Vacancy (FTE)	The number of vacancies is the number of authorized positions minus the number of filled authorized positions.
Vacancy Rate (FTE)	Vacancy Rate is calculated by dividing the number of authorized positions by the number of vacant authorized positions. This number excludes AOC temporary employees ("909" funded employees). See definition of AOC temporary employees below.
AOC Temporary Employees (909)	The "909" category is the State Controller code the AOC uses to reference a temporary position or a temporary employee. 909 Position - it is a position that may not be funded through the Budget Act and it is categorized by the Office of the State Controller as a temporary position used in the absence of an authorized position. 909 positions may be occupied by regular full-time employees due to the unavailability of an authorized vacant position. 909 Employee - An employee whose salary is not funded through the Budget Act. 909 employees may receive benefits if employed at least half-time and the term of employment is for more than six months. Types of "909" Temporary Employees include: Retired Annuitants: A retired annuitant is a retiree who is hired by his or her former employer or by another employer that participates in the same retirement system as the former employer. This includes a former participant in a state retirement system who has previously retired and who is currently receiving retirement benefits. Temporary: Employees employed by the AOC on a temporary basis - they do not receive full benefits (but do receive CalPERS retirement service credit).
Employment Agency Temporary Worker (FTE)	These are workers from an employment agency. They are employees of the employment agency, not the AOC, but provide short-term support for AOC workload.
Contractor (FTE)	Individuals augmenting the work of the AOC and providing services for a limited period of time or on a specific project, where a particular skill set is required that is either (1) not within an existing AOC classification and/or job description or (2) where recruitment issues require the use of a contractor.
Full Time Equivalency (FTE)	Full Time Equivalency is the number of total maximum compensable hours designated in a year divided by actual hours worked in a year. For example, the work year for the AOC is defined as 2,080 hours; one employee occupying a paid full time job all year would consume one FTE. One employee working for 1,040 hours each would consume .5 FTE.
Time Base	Full time: Employee is scheduled to work 40 hours per week. Receives full benefits. Part time: Employee is scheduled to work less than 40 hours per week. Employees that work more than 20 hours per week receive full benefits. Intermittent: Employees have no established work schedule and work on an as-needed basis that varies from one pay period to the next. Eligibility for certain benefits may be limited for these employees.
Regular Employee	Commonly referred to as "permanent employees" – They receive full benefits.
Limited Term	Limited Term Position – It is a position that is funded through the Budget Act with a specific end date. The position is counted as an authorized position. Employee in limited term positions may be regular or temporary.

Administrative Office of the Courts Report on Vacancies of Six Months or More, as of March 31, 2013

Despite the elimination of many vacant positions, the AOC continues to have a large number of positions that have been vacant for more than six months due to the extended budget crisis and the hiring freeze on all but critical positions, in place since February 2008. The current vacancy rate is 14.5%.

	Office Name	Unit Name	Position Number	Class Title	Standard Hours	Months Vacant	Location
Executive Division							
1	Executive Office	Executive Office	1597	Special Consultant	40	9.01	San Francisco
Judicial Council and Court Leadership Services Division							
2	Judicial Council Support Services	Editing and Graphics Group	764	Supervising Editor	40	35.99	San Francisco
3	Judicial Council Support Services	Editing and Graphics Group	2243	Senior Editor	40	19.01	San Francisco
4	Legal Services Office	Legal Services Office	656	Admin. Coordinator II	40	135.13	San Francisco
5	Legal Services Office	Trial Court Facilities Unit - Office of General Counsel	1973	Attorney	40	31.28	San Francisco
6	Legal Services Office	Legal Services Office	2132	Attorney	40	28.98	San Francisco
7	Legal Services Office	Legal Services Office	699	Senior Attorney	40	10.99	San Francisco
8	Legal Services Office	Regional Office Assistance Group	1246	Attorney	40	10.72	San Francisco
9	Legal Services Office	Legal Services Office	1829	Senior Attorney	40	10.03	San Francisco
10	Legal Services Office	Regional Office Assistance Group	1832	Senior Attorney	40	7.76	San Francisco
11	Office of Communication	Office of Communication	649	Public Information Officer	40	7.99	San Francisco
12	Trial Court Liaison Office	Trial Court Liaison Office	2532	Admin. Coordinator II	40	10.20	Sacramento
Judicial and Court Operations Services Division							
13	Center for Judiciary Education and Research	Trial Court Facilities Education Unit	2067	Sr AVVideo System Tech Analys	40	51.64	San Francisco
14	Center for Judiciary Education and Research	Conference Center Support Services Unit	659	Sr. Admin. Coordinator	40	15.99	San Francisco
15	Center for Judiciary Education and Research	Center for Judiciary Education and Research	800	Admin. Coordinator I	40	8.98	San Francisco
16	Center for Judiciary Education and Research	Center for Judiciary Education and Research	582	Manager	40	7.80	San Francisco
17	Court Operations Special Services Office	Court Operation Special Services Office	1894	Administrative Secretary	20	30.95	San Francisco
18	Court Operations Special Services Office	Court Interpreter's Program Unit	1939	Court Services Analyst	40	30.03	San Francisco
19	Court Operations Special Services Office	Court Interpreter's Program Unit	1935	Sr. Court Services Analyst	40	22.17	San Francisco
20	Court Operations Special Services Office	Office of Court Research Unit	2558	Sr. Research Analyst	40	14.97	San Francisco
21	Court Operations Special Services Office	Planning Unit	1809	Court Services Analyst	40	12.86	San Francisco
22	Court Operations Special Services Office	Office of Court Research Unit	2123	Research Analyst	40	11.94	San Francisco
23	Court Operations Special Services Office	Court Interpreter's Program Unit	642	Court Services Analyst	40	9.97	San Francisco
24	Court Operations Special Services Office	Assigned Judges Program Unit	1866	Supvg. Court Services Analyst	40	7.43	San Francisco

Administrative Director's Report to the Judicial Council

April 22, 2013

Page 22

25	Court Operations Special Services Office	Office of Court Research Unit	705	Admin. Coordinator II	40	6.45	San Francisco
26	Court Operations Special Services Office	Promising and Effective Programs Unit	1621	Court Services Analyst	40	6.12	San Francisco
27	Office of Judicial Branch Capital Programs	Design and Construction Unit	2841	Design & Const Project Mgr III	40	45.03	San Francisco
28	Office of Judicial Branch Capital Programs	Design and Construction Unit	2835	Senior Construction Inspector	40	45.03	San Francisco
29	Office of Judicial Branch Capital Programs	Design and Construction Unit	2836	Senior Construction Inspector	40	45.03	San Francisco
30	Office of Judicial Branch Capital Programs	Planning and Policy Unit	1631	Admin. Coordinator I	40	25.16	Burbank
31	Office of Judicial Branch Capital Programs	Design and Construction Unit	2152	Design & Const Project Mgr III	40	18.06	San Francisco
32	Office of Judicial Branch Capital Programs	Design and Construction Unit	2148	Design & Const Project Mgr III	40	9.51	San Francisco
33	Office of Security	Emergency Response and Security Unit	511	Manager	40	28.39	San Francisco
34	Office of Security	Emergency Response and Security Unit	2593	Security Coordinator	40	16.97	San Francisco
Judicial and Court Administrative Services Division							
35	Fiscal Services Office	Accounting Unit	776	Accountant	40	56.02	San Francisco
36	Fiscal Services Office	Accounting Unit	778	Accounting Technician	40	55.56	San Francisco
37	Fiscal Services Office	Trial Court Facilities - Business Services Unit	2859	Sr Contract Specialist	40	45.03	San Francisco
38	Fiscal Services Office	Accounting Unit	863	Senior Accountant	40	27.04	San Francisco
39	Fiscal Services Office	Trial Court Facilities - Business Services Unit	2059	Senior Contract Specialist	40	17.34	San Francisco
40	Fiscal Services Office	Business Services Unit	2135	Senior Contract Specialist	40	16.02	San Francisco
41	Fiscal Services Office	Trial Court Facilities - Budget Administration Unit	1963	Sr Budget Analyst	40	15.99	Sacramento
42	Fiscal Services Office	Audit Services Unit	2136	Internal Auditor I	40	15.72	San Francisco
43	Fiscal Services Office	Accounting Unit	2295	Manager	40	15.03	San Francisco
44	Fiscal Services Office	Audit Services Unit	1441	Senior Internal Auditor	40	15.03	San Francisco
45	Fiscal Services Office	Trial Court Improvement Fund - Enhanced Collections Unit	2019	Senior Manager	40	12.66	Burbank
46	Fiscal Services Office	Accounting Unit	780	Accounting Technician	40	8.36	San Francisco
47	Human Resources Services Office	Labor and Employee Relations Unit	1811	Staff Analyst I	40	16.02	San Francisco
48	Human Resources Services Office	Compensation and Benefits Unit	1792	Sr. Human Resources Analyst	40	15.99	San Francisco
49	Human Resources Services Office	Labor and Employee Relations Unit	1453	Labor & Employee Rel Officer I	40	8.95	San Francisco
50	Human Resources Services Office	Regional Human Resources Support Unit	1981	Sr. Human Resources Analyst	40	8.95	San Francisco
51	Human Resources Services Office	Compensation and Benefits Unit	1783	Human Res. Analyst	40	8.09	San Francisco
52	Information Technology Services Office	Network Infrastructure and Security Architecture Unit	2662	Sr. Technical Analyst	40	66.05	San Francisco
53	Information Technology Services Office	Web Development Unit	1629	Business Systems Analyst	40	65.46	San Francisco
54	Information Technology Services Office	Phoenix Development Interface Unit	2322	Sr. Application Dev't Analyst	40	51.41	San Francisco
55	Information Technology Services Office	Data Integration California Case Management System Unit	2604	Senior Manager	40	37.99	San Francisco

Administrative Director's Report to the Judicial Council

April 22, 2013

Page 23

56	Information Technology Services Office	Administrative System Development Unit	1305	Sr. Business Systems Analyst	40	35.07	San Francisco
57	Information Technology Services Office	California Case Management System Trial Court Services Unit	2229	Senior Business App. Analyst	40	33.36	Burbank
58	Information Technology Services Office	Phoenix Infrastructure Branchwide Unit	2326	Sr. Application Dev't Analyst	40	32.07	San Francisco
59	Information Technology Services Office	California Case Management System Program Management Office	2721	Senior Business App. Analyst	40	24.05	Burbank
60	Information Technology Services Office	California Case Management System Product Assurance Unit	2553	Senior Business App. Analyst	40	19.74	Burbank
61	Information Technology Services Office	Appellate Court Case Management System Unit	727	Sr Application Dev't Analyst	40	12.50	San Francisco
62	Information Technology Services Office	Phoenix Infrastructure Branchwide Unit	2446	Sr. Application Dev't Analyst	40	11.91	San Francisco
63	Information Technology Services Office	Data Integration/CCMS Deployment Temp Unit	2335	Sr Manager	40	9.97	San Francisco
64	Information Technology Services Office	Network and Security Architecture CCMS Unit	2344	Sr. Technical Analyst	40	9.77	San Francisco
65	Information Technology Services Office	Phoenix Development Interface Unit	2325	Sr. Business Systems Analyst	40	9.01	San Francisco
66	Information Technology Services Office	California Case Management System Product Assurance Unit	2228	Manager	40	9.01	Burbank
67	Information Technology Services Office	California Case Management System Development Support Unit	2559	Sr. Business Systems Analyst	40	8.98	San Francisco
68	Information Technology Services Office	California Case Management System Deployment Unit	2601	Sr. Application Dev't Analyst	40	8.98	San Francisco
69	Information Technology Services Office	Integrated Services Backbone CCMS Unit	2562	Sr. Application Dev't Analyst	40	8.98	San Francisco
70	Information Technology Services Office	California Case Management System Program Management Office	2334	Manager	40	8.98	Burbank
71	Information Technology Services Office	California Case Management System Product Development Unit	630	Senior Manager	40	8.98	Burbank
72	Information Technology Services Office	California Case Management System Product Development Unit	2337	Senior Business App. Analyst	40	8.98	Burbank
73	Information Technology Services Office	California Case Management System Trial Court Services Unit	2784	Manager	40	8.98	Burbank
74	Information Technology Services Office	TIBCO Development California Case Management System Unit	2231	Sr. Application Dev't Analyst	40	8.95	San Francisco
75	Information Technology Services Office	Technology Committee Support Unit	1309	Sr Manager	40	7.99	San Francisco
76	Information Technology Services Office	Integrated Services Backbone Support Unit	2609	Sr. Technical Analyst	40	7.66	San Francisco
77	Information Technology Services Office	Information Technology Services Office	726	Division Director	40	6.74	San Francisco
78	Trial Court Administrative Services Office	Trial Court Administrative Services Division	2476	Accountant	40	31.64	Sacramento
79	Trial Court Administrative Services Office	Trial Court Administrative Services Division	2204	Accountant	40	30.99	Sacramento
80	Trial Court Administrative Services Office	Trial Court Administrative Services Division	2195	Senior Accountant	40	30.59	Sacramento
81	Trial Court Administrative Services Office	Trial Court Administrative Services Division	1898	Accountant	40	29.90	Sacramento
82	Trial Court Administrative Services Office	Trial Court Administrative Services Division	2475	Senior Accountant	40	26.91	Sacramento
83	Trial Court Administrative Services Office	Trial Court Administrative Services Division	2771	Division Director	40	13.52	Sacramento
84	Trial Court Administrative Services Office	Trial Court Administrative Services Division	2025	Accounting Technician	40	10.00	Sacramento
			84				

New Judgeships and Judicial Vacancy Report

Number of Judgeships Authorized, Filled, and Vacant as of **April 15, 2013**.

TYPE OF COURT	NUMBER OF COURTS	NUMBER OF JUDGESHIPS					
		Authorized	Filled	Vacant	Vacant (AB 159 positions)	Filled(Last Month)	Vacant(Last Month)
Supreme Court	1	7	7	0	0	7	0
Courts of Appeal	6	105	101	4	0	102	3
Superior Courts	58	1695	1571	74	50*	1581	114
All Courts	65	1807	1679	128		1690	117

*Authorized January 1, 2008, 50 new (AB 159) judgeships are added, however, funding has not yet been provided.

New Vacancies that occurred in March and April 2013

JUDICIAL VACANCIES: APPELLATE COURTS

Appellate District	Vacancies	Reason for Vacancy	Justice to be Replaced	Last Day In Office
First Appellate District, Division One	1	Retirement	Hon. James J. Marchiano	03/15/13
Second Appellate District, Division Two	2	Retirement	Hon. Kathryn Doi Todd	01/22/13
Second Appellate District, Division Six		Retirement	Hon. Paul H. Coffee	01/31/12
Third Appellate District	1	Elevated	Hon. Tani G. Cantil-Sakauye	01/02/11
TOTAL VACANCIES	4			

JUDICIAL VACANCIES: SUPERIOR COURTS

County	Vacancies	Reason for Vacancy	Judge to be Replaced	Last Day In Office
Alameda	4	Retirement	Hon. Joseph Hurley	03/25/13
Alameda		To Fed Court	Hon. Jon S. Tigar	01/17/13
Alameda		Retirement	Hon. David E. Hunter	09/03/12

Alameda		Retirement	Hon. Robert K. Kurtz	07/10/12
Butte	1	Retirement	Hon. Gerald Hermansen	03/31/12
Calaveras	1	Retirement	Hon. Douglas V. Mewhinney	03/01/12
Contra Costa	1	Retirement	Hon. Joyce M. Cram	03/08/13
Fresno	1	Elevated	Hon. Rosendo Pena, Jr.	12/19/12
Los Angeles	22	Retirement	Hon. Stephanie Sautner	04/05/13
Los Angeles		Retirement	Hon. Linda K. Lefkowitz	04/05/13
Los Angeles		Retirement	Hon. Richard A. Adler	04/01/13
Los Angeles		Retirement	Hon. Peter Joseph Meeka	03/31/13
Los Angeles		Retirement	Hon. John S. Fisher	02/22/13
Los Angeles		Retirement	Hon. Dudley W. Gray II	02/19/13
Los Angeles		Retirement	Hon. Jan Greenberg Levine	02/13/13
Los Angeles		Retirement	Hon. Philip H. Hickok	02/04/13
Los Angeles		Retirement	Hon. Joseph F. De Vanon, Jr.	01/31/13
Los Angeles		Converted	New Position	12/13/12
Los Angeles		Converted	New Position	12/13/12
Los Angeles		Converted	New Position	12/13/12
Los Angeles		Converted	New Position	12/13/12
Los Angeles		Converted	New Position	12/13/12
Los Angeles		Converted	New Position	12/13/12
Los Angeles		Retirement	Hon. Charles D. Sheldon	11/13/12
Los Angeles		Retirement	Hon. Lyle Michael MacKenzie	09/07/12
Los Angeles		Retirement	Hon. Gary E. Daigh	07/16/12
Los Angeles		Retirement	Hon. Joan Comparet-Cassani	05/11/12
Los Angeles		Retirement	Hon. Rose Hom	03/27/12
Los Angeles		Retirement	Hon. Gary R. Hahn	03/07/12
Los Angeles		Retirement	Hon. Carl J. West	02/29/12
Marin	1	Converted	New Position	09/27/12
Monterey	2	Elevated	Hon. Adrienne M. Grover	12/19/12
Monterey		Retirement	Hon. Terrance R. Duncan	08/17/11
Orange	8	Retirement	Hon. Francisco F. Firmat	02/25/13
Orange		Retirement	Hon. Craig E. Robison	01/07/13
Orange		Converted	New Position	10/02/12

Orange		Converted	New Position	08/24/12
Orange		Elevated	Hon. David A. Thompson	06/27/12
Orange		Retirement	Hon. Richard W. Stanford, Jr.	05/16/12
Orange		Retirement	Hon. Nancy A. Pollard	03/22/12
Orange		Converted	New Position	01/01/12
Riverside	4	Retirement	Hon. Jean Pfeiffer Leonard	03/29/13
Riverside		Converted	New Position	01/23/13
Riverside		Retirement	Hon. Randall D. White	12/30/12
Riverside		Converted	New Position	10/12/12
Sacramento	3	To Fed Court	Hon. Troy L. Nunley	03/25/13
Sacramento		Retirement	Hon. Lloyd G. Connelly	12/31/12
Sacramento		Retirement	Hon. Brian R. Van Camp	09/30/12
San Bernardino	2	Retirement	Hon. James Michael Welch	11/21/12
San Bernardino		Retirement	Hon. Douglas M. Elwell	11/13/12
San Diego	5	Retirement	Hon. Lisa Foster	02/28/13
San Diego		Retirement	Hon. Luis R. Vargas	01/06/13
San Diego		Deceased	Hon. George W. Clarke	11/13/12
San Diego		Converted	New Position	11/13/12
San Diego		To Fed Court	Hon. Gonzalo P. Curiel	09/30/12
San Francisco	6	Retirement	Hon. Patrick J. Mahoney	02/28/13
San Francisco		Retirement	Hon. Katherine A. Feinstein	02/01/13
San Francisco		Retirement	Hon. Ellen Chaitin	11/02/12
San Francisco		Dis Retirement	Hon. Kevin M. McCarthy	10/17/12
San Francisco		Retirement	Hon. Donna A. Little	08/31/12
San Francisco		Retirement	Hon. Mary Carolyn Morgan	03/03/11
San Mateo	1	Retirement	Hon. H. James Ellis	08/31/11
Santa Barbara	1	Deceased	Hon. Edward H. Bullard	03/10/13
Santa Clara	5	Retirement	Hon. Diane Northway	03/16/13
Santa Clara		Retirement	Hon. Jerome S. Nadler	01/18/13
Santa Clara		Retirement	Hon. Joyce Allegro	01/03/13
Santa Clara		Retirement	Hon. Marcel B. Poché	08/13/12
Santa Clara		Retirement	Hon. Kenneth L. Shapero	07/31/12
Shasta	1	Retirement	Hon. James Ruggiero	01/31/13

Solano	1	Retirement	Hon. Allan P. Carter	02/25/11
Sonoma	1	Retirement	Hon. Mark Tansil	10/15/12
Trinity	1	Retirement	Hon. James P. Woodward	01/05/13
Tulare	1	Retirement	Hon. Gerald F. Sevier	09/16/12
Tuolumne	1	Retirement	Hon. Eric L. DuTemple	12/31/12
SUBTOTAL:	74			

Authorized January 1, 2008, 50 new (AB 159) judgeships. Funding has not yet been provided.

Butte	1	(AB 159)*	New Position	1/1/2008
Contra Costa	1	(AB 159)*	New Position	1/1/2008
Del Norte	1	(AB 159)*	New Position	1/1/2008
Fresno	4	(AB 159)*	New Positions	1/1/2008
Kern	3	(AB 159)*	New Positions	1/1/2008
Kings	1	(AB 159)*	New Position	1/1/2008
Los Angeles	1	(AB 159)*	New Position	1/1/2008
Madera	1	(AB 159)*	New Position	1/1/2008
Merced	2	(AB 159)*	New Positions	1/1/2008
Monterey	1	(AB 159)*	New Position	1/1/2008
Orange	1	(AB 159)*	New Position	1/1/2008
Placer	2	(AB 159)*	New Positions	1/1/2008
Riverside	7	(AB 159)*	New Positions	1/1/2008
Sacramento	6	(AB 159)*	New Positions	1/1/2008
San Bernardino	7	(AB 159)*	New Positions	1/1/2008
San Joaquin	3	(AB 159)*	New Positions	1/1/2008
Shasta	1	(AB 159)*	New Position	1/1/2008
Solano	1	(AB 159)*	New Position	1/1/2008
Sonoma	1	(AB 159)*	New Position	1/1/2008
Stanislaus	2	(AB 159)*	New Positions	1/1/2008
Tulare	2	(AB 159)*	New Positions	1/1/2008
Yolo	1	(AB 159)*	New Position	1/1/2008
TOTAL VACANCIES:	124			

Number of Judgeships Authorized, Filled and Vacant as of the End of Each Month, from March 2011 through March 2013 (two years)*

Month	Superior Court				Court of Appeal			
	Authorized	Filled	Vacancy	Vacancy Rate	Authorized	Filled	Vacancy	Vacancy Rate
Mar-11	1,662	1,594	68	4.1%	105	103	2	1.9%
Apr-11	1,662	1,592	70	4.2%	105	103	2	1.9%
May-11	1,662	1,590	72	4.3%	105	103	2	1.9%
Jun-11	1,662	1,584	78	4.7%	105	102	3	2.9%
Jul-11	1,673	1,581	92	5.5%	105	102	3	2.9%
Aug-11	1,673	1,578	95	5.7%	105	102	3	2.9%
Sep-11	1,673	1,572	101	6.0%	105	102	3	2.9%
Oct-11	1,673	1,565	108	6.5%	105	101	4	3.8%
Nov-11	1,673	1,563	110	6.6%	105	101	4	3.8%
Dec-11	1,674	1,572	102	6.1%	105	101	4	3.8%
Jan-12	1,675	1,567	108	6.4%	105	101	4	3.8%
Feb-12	1,679	1,566	113	6.7%	105	100	5	4.8%
Mar-12	1,680	1,562	118	7.0%	105	99	6	5.7%
Apr-12	1,680	1,554	126	7.5%	105	99	6	5.7%
May-12	1,680	1,568	112	6.7%	105	98	7	6.7%
Jun-12	1,682	1,566	116	6.9%	105	100	5	4.8%
Jul-12	1,682	1,560	122	7.3%	105	100	5	4.8%
Aug-12	1,684	1,561	123	7.3%	105	100	5	4.8%
Sep-12	1,685	1,554	131	7.8%	105	100	5	4.8%
Oct-12	1,686	1,553	133	7.9%	105	100	5	4.8%
Nov-12	1,687	1,565	122	7.2%	105	100	5	4.8%
Dec-12	1,693	1,583	110	6.5%	105	103	2	1.9%
Jan-13	1,694	1,590	107	6.3%	105	102	3	2.9%
Feb-13	1,695	1,581	114	6.7%	105	102	3	2.9%
Mar-13	1,695	1,574	125	7.4%	105	101	4	3.8%

* As of March, 2013

Authorized Judgeships and Vacancies in Superior Courts



