



JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA MEETING

Open to the Public Unless Indicated as Closed (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 10.6(a))

Ronald M. George State Office Complex

William C. Vickrey Judicial Council Conference Center

Malcolm M. Lucas Board Room

455 Golden Gate Avenue • San Francisco, California 94102-3688

Tuesday, March 27, 2012 • 9:00 a.m.–5:50 p.m.

Meeting materials will be hyperlinked to agenda titles as soon as possible after receipt by the Judicial Council Secretariat. Please check the agenda at <http://www.courts.ca.gov/jcmeetings.htm> for recent postings of hyperlinked reports.

TUESDAY, MARCH 27, 2012 AGENDA

OPEN MEETING (RULE 10.6(A))—BUSINESS MEETING

9:00–9:05 a.m.

Chief Justice's Report

Chief Justice Tani G. Cantil-Sakauye will report.

9:05–10:05 a.m.

Public Comment

[See Cal. Rules of Court, rules 10.6(d) and 10.6(e).]

Note: The Chief Justice has waived certain requirements under Rule 10.6(d) for requests to speak at this meeting. If you are requesting the opportunity to comment at the meeting, please e-mail your request to judicialcouncil@jud.ca.gov or mail or deliver your request to the Judicial Council of California, 455 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94102-3688, Attention: Nancy Carlisle. A request must pertain to an item on the business agenda and be received by **1 p.m., Monday, March 26, 2012**. In the request, please state:

- The speaker's name, occupation, and (if applicable) name of the entity that the speaker represents;
- The speaker's email address, telephone number, and mailing address; and

NOTE: Time is estimated. Actual start and end times may vary.

- The agenda item on which the speaker wishes to comment. If the requestor wants to speak on a matter generally affecting judicial administration, state the nature of the comment in a few sentences

The amount of time allocated to each speaker will be no more than five minutes, the specific time allocation to be determined based on the number of speakers and available time.

The Judicial Council is the policy-making body for the judicial branch. Comments pertaining to a specific court case will not be received.

Written Comments Received

Written comments pertaining to an item on this agenda may be e-mailed to judicialcouncil@jud.ca.gov or mailed or delivered to the Judicial Council of California, 455 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94102-3688, Attention: Nancy Carlisle. Only written comments received by **1 p.m. on Monday, March 26, 2012**, will be distributed to council members at the meeting.

DISCUSSION AGENDA (ITEMS A-G)

Item A 10:05–10:10 a.m.

Introduction (No Action Required. There are no materials for this item.)

The Chair of the Judicial Council’s CCMS Internal Committee will introduce today’s special meeting.

Presentation (5 minutes)

Speaker: Hon. James E. Herman, Chair, Judicial Council CCMS Internal Committee

Item B 10:10–10:45 a.m.

Judicial Branch Budget: Current California Fiscal Environment and Judicial Branch Budget Status Update (No Action Required. There are no materials for this item.)

The Administrative Office of the Courts will provide council members with information on the current California fiscal environment and the status of the fiscal year 2012–2013 state and judicial branch budgets and provide an update on upcoming legislative budget hearings and discussions.

Presentation (20 minutes) • Discussion (15 minutes)

Speakers: Mr. Curtis L. Child, Director, Office of Governmental Affairs
 Mr. Zlatko Theodorovic, Chief Financial Officer and Director, Finance Division

Option 2 - Pause CCMS Activities for Twelve Months then continue Deployment to the Superior Court of California, County of San Luis Obispo

Pause CCMS activities for 12 months and then deploy CCMS to one early adopter court, the Superior Court of San Luis Obispo County, followed by the Grant Thornton recommended 10 court, Phase 2 strategy that balances economic return, diversity, and needs of courts.

Option 3 - Terminate V4 and Leverage CCMS Technology

Option 3 would end V4 as a statewide program for the California trial courts. This option recognizes the current fiscal environment and proposes to analyze and potentially leverage the technical infrastructure, application functionality, processes and artifacts, ensuring the assets owned by the judicial branch will be used to meet ongoing technology solutions for the California trial courts.

Presentation (90 minutes) • Discussion (120 minutes)

Speakers: Hon. James E. Herman, Chair, Judicial Council CCMS Internal Committee
Mr. Curt Soderlund, Interim Chief Deputy Director
Mr. Mark Dusman, Director, Information Services Division
Ms. Renea Hatcher, Information Services Division

Afternoon Break 2:45–3:00 p.m. (approx.)

Item F 5:00–5:30 p.m.

[California Court Case Management System: Maintenance and Operations Costs for Courts with V2 and V3 Interim Case Management Systems \(Action Required\)](#)

Maintenance and operations support for the Interim Criminal and Traffic Case Management System (V2) deployed in the Superior Court of Fresno County in 2006 is provided by the Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC). The Civil, Small Claims, and Probate and Mental Health Interim Case Management System (V3), deployed in six superior courts between 2006 and 2008—Los Angeles, Orange, Sacramento, San Diego, San Joaquin, and Ventura—is also supported by the AOC. Both case management systems were supported by software development vendors before maintenance and support operations transitioned to the AOC to achieve cost savings and establish a foundation for ongoing support. The delay in deploying the California Court Case Management System requires the existing support model for the V2 and V3 programs be reexamined. Funding is required on an annual basis to maintain and support V2 and V3.

Presentation (20 minutes) • Discussion (10 minutes)

Speakers: Hon. James E. Herman, Chair, Judicial Council CCMS Internal Committee
Mr. Curt Soderlund, Interim Chief Deputy Director
Mr. Mark Dusman, Director, Information Services Division
Ms. Virginia Sanders-Hinds, Information Services Division.

NOTE: Time is estimated. Actual start and end times may vary.

Item G **5:30–5:50 p.m.**

California Court Case Management System: Election of Delay Cost Reimbursement on Development Contract (No Action Required)

Due to a delay caused by the vendor, Deloitte Consulting, LLP, in the development of the California Court Case Management System (CCMS), the Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC) negotiated two options for the reimbursement of the delay-related costs to be paid by the vendor: a CCMS deployment to the Superior Court of California, County of Fresno, or a payment of \$16 million. The deployment to the Superior Court of Fresno County was predicated on a contract amendment being in place by March 31, 2012. Otherwise, the AOC would be deemed to have elected to receive the payment. Since the deployment agreement cannot be in place by that date, the California Court Case Management System (CCMS) Internal Committee and the AOC are notifying the Judicial Council that the cost reimbursement from Deloitte Consulting, LLP, will be the payment of \$16 million.

Presentation (10 minutes) • Discussion (10 minutes)

Speakers: Hon. James E. Herman, Chair, Judicial Council CCMS Internal Committee
 Mr. Curt Soderlund, Interim Chief Deputy Director
 Mr. Mark Dusman, Director, Information Services Division
 Les Butler, CCMS Program Management Office

Circulating Orders since the last business meeting
[Circulating Orders Tab]

Appointment Orders since the last business meeting
[Appointment Orders Tab]