



Judicial Council of California. Administrative Office of the Courts

455 Golden Gate Avenue. San Francisco, California 94102-3688

www.courts.ca.gov

REPORT TO THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL

For business meeting on: February 28, 2012

Title	Agenda Item Type
Child Support: Midyear Funding Reallocation for Fiscal Year 2011–2012 and Base Funding Allocation for Fiscal Year 2012–2013 for the Child Support Commissioner and Family Law Facilitator Program	Action Required
	Effective Date
	February 28, 2012
	Date of Report
Rules, Forms, Standards, or Statutes Affected	February 10, 2012
None	
Recommended by	Contact
Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee	Michael Wright, 415-865-7619
Hon. Kimberly J. Nystrom-Geist, Cochair	michael.wright@jud.ca.gov
Hon. Dean Stout, Cochair	

Executive Summary

The Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee recommends that the Judicial Council approve the reallocation of funding for the Child Support Commissioner and Family Law Facilitator Program for the remainder of fiscal year 2011–2012. Additionally, the committee recommends that the Judicial Council approve the allocation of funding for the Child Support Commissioner and Family Law Facilitator Program for fiscal year 2012–2013 subject to funding. Under an established procedure described in the standard agreement with each superior court, the Judicial Council at midyear redistributes to courts that have a documented need for additional funds any unallocated funds and any available funds from courts that are projected not to spend their full grants that year. The courts are also offered an option to use local court funds up to an approved amount to draw down, or qualify for, federal matching funds.

The Judicial Council is required to annually allocate non-trial court funding to local courts for this program (Assem. Bill 1058; Stats. 1996, ch. 957). The funds are provided through a cooperative agreement between the California Department of Child Support Services (DCSS) and the Judicial Council.

Recommendation

The Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee recommends that the Judicial Council, effective February 28, 2012:

1. Approve the reallocation for funding of child support commissioners for fiscal year 2011–2012, subject to the state Budget Act;
2. Approve the reallocation for funding of family law facilitators for fiscal year 2011–2012, subject to the state Budget Act;
3. Approve the allocation for funding of child support commissioners for fiscal year 2012–2013, subject to the state Budget Act; and
4. Approve the allocation for funding of family law facilitators for fiscal year 2012–2013, subject to the state Budget Act.

Previous Council Action

The Judicial Council is required annually to allocate non-trial court funding to the Child Support Commissioner and Family Law Facilitator Program and has done so since 1997¹. A cooperative agreement between DCSS and the Judicial Council provides the funds for this program and requires the council to annually approve the funding allocation. Two-thirds of the funds are federal, and one-third comes from the state General Fund (non-trial court funding). Any funds left unspent during the fiscal year revert to the state General Fund and cannot be used in subsequent years.

Under an established procedure described in the standard agreement with each superior court, the Judicial Council at midyear redistributes to courts that have a documented need for additional funds any unallocated funds and any available funds from courts that are projected not to spend their full grants. In addition, in FY 2007–2008, DCSS and the Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC) provided a mechanism for the courts to recover two-thirds of additional program costs beyond the contract maximum covered by use of local trial court funds. This federal drawdown option continues to be available for FY 2012–2013.

¹AB 1058 added article 4 to chapter 2 of part 2 of division 9 of the Family Code, which at section 4252(b)(6) requires the Judicial Council to “[e]stablish procedures for the distribution of funding to the courts for child support commissioners, family law facilitators pursuant to [Family Code] Division 14 (commencing with Section 10000) and related allowable costs.”

Rationale for Recommendation

Midyear reallocation, FY 2011–2012

The midyear reallocation process is a review of each court's program funding, conducted once each fiscal year to determine if any adjustment is warranted. Midyear reallocation is primarily designed to meet one-time, nonrecurring special needs, such as equipment purchases or temporary help to clear work backlogs. However, due to the limited amount of funds available for reallocation this year, priority in reallocation has been given to courts that need additional funds to maintain current service levels due to the increased costs of doing business. In FY 2007–2008, an additional procedure—the federal drawdown option—was put in place to assist in covering the cost of maintaining current program service levels through the use of local trial court funds spent beyond the current contract maximum and used as a match to obtain additional federal funds for the program.

At the beginning of the current fiscal year, 12 courts voluntarily reduced the court contract amount for the Child Support Commissioner Program, resulting in a total of \$9,904 in base funds and \$654,074 in federal drawdown option funds available for reallocation to other courts as part of the midyear reallocation. Four courts voluntarily reduced the court contract amount for the Family Law Facilitator Program, resulting in \$37,434 in base funds and \$343,606 in federal drawdown option funds available for midyear reallocation for this component of the program. Funds allocated at the beginning of this fiscal year under the federal drawdown option but returned by courts unable to use all of these funds are also proposed for reallocation during this midyear process. As a result of the midyear reallocation process, six courts have volunteered to return \$3,048 in base funds and \$115,178 in federal drawdown option funds for the Child Support Commissioner Program and four courts have volunteered to return \$37,923 in federal drawdown option for the Family Law Facilitator Program.

Under an established procedure described in the standard agreement with each superior court, a questionnaire is sent to each court requesting the information needed to evaluate appropriate funding levels. In addition to compiling questionnaire responses, AOC staff gather information on each court's historical spending patterns and calculate projected spending based on invoices received to date for the current fiscal year. Review workgroups and the Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee then recommend proposed funding changes. The criteria for consideration of court requests are need, funds available for redistribution, historical spending patterns, workload, and staffing levels. Funds returned by courts with a historical pattern of underspending, funds voluntarily returned, and funds held in reserve are redistributed to courts with documented needs.

This midyear reallocation process ensures that the highest proportion of total funds allocated to the courts is spent where funding is needed. This process also minimizes the amount of unspent funds that revert to the state General Fund.

A total of \$782,204 from all child support program grant sources was available for reallocation to the child support commissioner component of the program. A total of 25 courts requested no change to their allocations, and six courts offered to return funds.

A total of \$418,963 from all child support program grant sources was available for reallocation to the family law facilitator component of the program. A total of 25 courts requested no change to their allocations, and four courts offered to return funds.

In light of current fiscal circumstances, DCSS has indicated that it will reduce the amount of base funding by \$562,500 for FY 2011–2012 and \$1,125,000 for FY 2012–2013. The recommendation is that this reduction be allocated proportionately among all the trial courts, for an approximate reduction of 1.3% each in FY 2011–2012 and 2.6% each in FY 2012–2013. However, DCSS has also agreed to increase the cap on the federal drawdown option by the amount of the reduction to the base funding. This approach will allow courts who choose to participate in the federal drawdown at an increased level to mitigate the impact of the reduction in base funding. The committee recommends that the increased federal drawdown also be allocated proportionately among all trial courts who choose to participate in the federal drawdown option.

Because statewide funding has been reduced, only special requests that were necessary for the courts to continue their mandated duties were considered. An upward adjustment in federal drawdown funds was recommended for one court due to a caseload increase of over 100% since the program began in 1997. Similar adjustments have been made for other courts when there has been such a substantial shift in caseload. One court agreed to return the entirety of its federal drawdown funds; this will enable a shift in funds to the court with the caseload increase. All other allocations were based on proportionately allocating the reduction in base funding and the increase in federal drawdown funds among the courts. Under the established allocation procedures for this program, the request was reviewed by a workgroup consisting of local court staff and by the Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee. Both of these groups unanimously recommended the proposed allocations.

Base funding, FY 2012–2013

The Judicial Council is also responsible for the allocation of base program funding at the beginning of each fiscal year. In 1997, the Judicial Council established staffing standards for child support commissioners under Family Code section 4252(b)(3). Staffing standards are based on the number of local child support agency cases that have established child support orders. In addition, under an established procedure described in the standard agreement with each superior court, questionnaires are sent annually to each court requesting the information needed to evaluate appropriate funding levels in case of any exceptional needs.

Funding for FY 2012–2013 for the child support commissioner component of the program will be \$31.6 million base allocation and \$13.1 million from the federal drawdown option; funding for the family law facilitator component will be \$10.8 million base allocation and \$4.4 million

from federal drawdown option, for a total program base allocation of \$42.4 million and total federal drawdown allocation of \$17.5 million. Allocations were based upon proportionately allocating the reduction in base funding among all courts and allocating any additional federal draw down funds among the courts who indicated that they wanted to participate in that option.

Comments, Alternatives Considered, and Policy Implications

This proposal was not circulated for public comment because the existing committee approval process for program funding provides sufficient input from all relevant stakeholders. The committee considered taking no action, but rejected this option as inconsistent with Judicial Council goals because it would result in the reversion of unspent funds to the General Fund. Taking no action would also deprive courts of the option of using federal financial participation to cover two-thirds of some of the existing court contributions to the program.

A number of courts commented in their questionnaires about continued shortfalls in program funding, and these concerns have been forwarded to DCSS.

Implementation Requirements, Costs, and Operational Impacts

To draw down federal funds, federal provisions require payment of a state share of one-third of total expenditures. Therefore, each participating court will need to provide the one-third share of the court's total cost to draw down two-thirds of total expenditures from federal participation.

Attachments

1. Child Support Commissioner Program Reallocation (FY 2011–2012)
2. Family Law Facilitator Program Reallocation (FY 2011–2012)
3. Child Support Commissioner Program Allocation (FY 2012–2013)
4. Family Law Facilitator Program Allocation (FY 2012–2013)

CHILD SUPPORT COMMISSIONER PROGRAM MID-YEAR REALLOCATION FISCAL YEAR 2011-2012										
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J
COUNTY	Beginning Base Funding Allocation	Beginning Federal Drawdown Option	Mid-Year Change to Base Allocation	Mid-Year Change to Federal Drawdown Option	Recommended Base Allocation (Columns A + C)	Recommended Federal Drawdown Option Allocation (Columns B+D)	Federal Share 66% (Column F x .66)	Court Share 34% (Column F x .34)	Total Allocation (Columns E + F)	Contract Amount (Columns E + G)
Alameda	1,083,753	449,453	(13,367)	46,511	1,070,386	495,964	327,336	168,628	1,566,350	1,397,722
Amador	146,306	60,676	(1,899)	1,899	144,407	62,575	41,300	21,276	206,982	185,707
Butte	373,375	40,625	(4,845)	4,845	368,530	45,470	30,010	15,460	414,000	398,540
Calaveras	137,084	33,651	(1,779)	1,779	135,305	35,430	23,384	12,046	170,735	158,689
Colusa	47,213	17,907	(613)	613	46,600	18,520	12,223	6,297	65,120	58,823
Contra Costa	1,041,088	431,758	(13,510)	13,510	1,027,578	445,268	293,877	151,391	1,472,846	1,321,455
Del Norte	49,603	20,571	(644)	644	48,959	21,215	14,002	7,213	70,174	62,961
El Dorado	211,940	87,895	(2,614)	9,095	209,326	96,990	64,013	32,977	306,316	273,339
Fresno	1,599,054	663,157	(19,722)	68,625	1,579,332	731,782	482,976	248,806	2,311,114	2,062,308
Glenn	121,753	50,493	(1,502)	5,225	120,251	55,718	36,774	18,944	175,969	157,025
Humboldt	126,262	52,363	(1,638)	1,638	124,624	54,001	35,641	18,360	178,625	160,265
Imperial	168,110	69,718	(2,074)	7,215	166,036	76,933	50,776	26,157	242,969	216,812
Inyo	80,400	16,242	(1,043)	(6,242)	79,357	10,000	6,600	3,400	89,357	85,957
Kern	662,792	274,872	(8,175)	28,445	654,617	303,317	200,189	103,128	957,934	854,806
Kings	301,993	125,242	(3,725)	12,961	298,268	138,203	91,214	46,989	436,471	389,482
Lake	161,824	17,818	(2,100)	2,100	159,724	19,918	13,146	6,772	179,642	172,870
Lassen	97,402	17,710	(1,264)	(17,710)	96,138	-	-	-	96,138	96,138
Los Angeles	5,229,183	2,168,640	(67,859)	67,859	5,161,324	2,236,499	1,476,089	760,410	7,397,823	6,637,413
Madera	220,958	91,636	(2,725)	9,482	218,233	101,118	66,738	34,380	319,351	284,971
Marin	142,358	-	-	2,001	142,358	2,001	1,321	680	144,359	143,679
Mariposa	78,463	25,033	(1,018)	1,018	77,445	26,051	17,194	8,857	103,496	94,639
Mendocino	177,620	62,742	(2,305)	(14,883)	175,315	47,859	31,587	16,272	223,174	206,902
Merced	563,034	233,501	(6,944)	24,163	556,090	257,664	170,058	87,606	813,754	726,148
Modoc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mono	45,878	-	(3,643)	-	42,235	-	-	-	42,235	42,235
Monterey	381,148	138,134	(4,946)	4,946	376,202	143,080	94,433	48,647	519,282	470,635
Napa	184,762	76,624	(2,279)	7,930	182,483	84,554	55,806	28,748	267,037	238,289
Nevada	341,737	141,725	(4,215)	14,666	337,522	156,391	103,218	53,173	493,913	440,740
Orange	2,332,104	742,335	(28,763)	100,085	2,303,341	842,420	555,997	286,423	3,145,761	2,859,338
Placer	376,931	103,237	(4,891)	(45,266)	372,040	57,971	38,261	19,710	430,011	410,301
Plumas	96,230	10,470	(1,249)	1,249	94,981	11,719	7,735	3,984	106,700	102,716
Riverside	993,801	174,306	(12,896)	12,896	980,905	187,202	123,553	63,649	1,168,107	1,104,458
Sacramento	1,059,488	439,389	(13,067)	212,047	1,046,421	651,436	429,948	221,488	1,697,857	1,476,369
San Benito	139,890	34,164	(1,815)	(19,164)	138,075	15,000	9,900	5,100	153,075	147,975
San Bernardino	2,612,496	1,083,451	(32,221)	112,118	2,580,275	1,195,569	789,076	406,493	3,775,844	3,369,351
San Diego	1,817,325	753,679	(22,414)	77,992	1,794,911	831,671	548,903	282,768	2,626,582	2,343,814
San Francisco	915,399	367,831	(11,290)	39,285	904,109	407,116	268,697	138,419	1,311,225	1,172,806
San Joaquin	707,805	-	(9,185)	9,185	698,620	9,185	6,062	3,123	707,805	704,682
San Luis Obispo	231,781	96,124	(3,008)	3,008	228,773	99,132	65,427	33,705	327,905	294,200
San Mateo	406,490	168,579	(5,013)	17,445	401,477	186,024	122,776	63,248	587,501	524,253
Santa Barbara	473,188	196,240	(5,836)	20,308	467,352	216,548	142,922	73,626	683,900	610,274
Santa Clara	1,753,316	459,901	(21,625)	75,246	1,731,691	535,147	353,197	181,950	2,266,838	2,084,888
Santa Cruz	192,813	16,819	(2,502)	2,502	190,311	19,321	12,752	6,569	209,632	203,063
Shasta	434,666	180,264	(5,361)	18,655	429,305	198,919	131,287	67,632	628,224	560,592
Sierra	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Siskiyou	239,481	99,317	(2,954)	10,278	236,527	109,595	72,333	37,262	346,122	308,860
Solano	538,088	139,761	(6,637)	23,093	531,451	162,854	107,484	55,370	694,305	638,935
Sonoma	501,158	207,840	(6,180)	21,507	494,978	229,347	151,369	77,978	724,325	646,347
Stanislaus	804,403	174,194	(9,921)	34,522	794,482	208,716	137,753	70,963	1,003,198	932,235
Sutter	200,534	83,165	(2,602)	2,602	197,932	85,767	56,606	29,161	283,699	254,538
Tehama	94,696	39,272	(1,229)	1,229	93,467	40,501	26,731	13,770	133,968	120,198
Trinity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tulare	567,579	165,000	(7,365)	7,365	560,214	172,365	113,761	58,604	732,579	673,975
Tuolumne	165,412	68,600	(2,147)	2,147	163,265	70,747	46,693	24,054	234,012	209,958
Ventura	578,328	239,844	(7,133)	24,820	571,195	264,664	174,678	89,986	835,859	745,873
Yolo	198,404	82,282	(2,575)	2,575	195,829	84,857	56,006	28,851	280,686	251,835
Yuba	204,111	84,649	(2,518)	8,760	201,593	93,409	61,650	31,759	295,002	263,243
Totals	32,411,010	11,578,899	(408,845)	1,074,824	32,002,165	12,653,723	8,351,462	4,302,262	44,655,888	40,353,627

CSC Base Funds \$32,002,165
CSC Federal Drawdown \$12,653,723
Total Funding Available \$44,655,888

FAMILY LAW FACILITATOR PROGRAM MID-YEAR REALLOCATION FISCAL YEAR 2011-2012

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J
COUNTY	Beginning Base Funding Allocation	Beginning Federal Drawdown Option	Mid-Year Change to Base Allocation	Mid-Year Change to Federal Drawdown Option	Recommended Base Allocation (Columns A + C)	Recommended Federal Drawdown Option Allocation (Columns B+D)	Federal Share 66% (Column F x .66)	Court Share 34% (Column F x .34)	Total Allocation (Columns E + F)	Contract Amount (Columns E + G)
Alameda	378,689	147,333	(2,522)	28,379	376,167	175,712	115,970	59,742	551,879	492,137
Amador	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Butte	106,361	41,381	(1,357)	1,357	105,004	42,738	28,207	14,531	147,742	133,211
Calaveras	122,518	7,800	(1,563)	1,563	120,955	9,363	6,180	3,183	130,318	127,135
Colusa	53,696	20,891	(357)	4,024	53,339	24,915	16,444	8,471	78,254	69,783
Contra Costa	351,955	121,369	(4,491)	4,491	347,464	125,860	83,068	42,792	473,324	430,532
Del Norte	51,025	3,836	(651)	651	50,374	4,487	2,961	1,526	54,861	53,335
El Dorado	108,208	42,100	(1,381)	1,381	106,827	43,481	28,697	14,784	150,308	135,524
Fresno	400,760	155,920	(2,669)	30,033	398,091	185,953	122,729	63,224	584,044	520,820
Glenn	77,359	30,097	(987)	987	76,372	31,084	20,515	10,569	107,456	96,887
Humboldt	91,010	35,408	(1,161)	1,161	89,849	36,569	24,136	12,433	126,418	113,985
Imperial	53,696	20,891	(357)	4,024	53,339	24,915	16,444	8,471	78,254	69,783
Inyo	58,356	22,704	(389)	4,373	57,967	27,077	17,871	9,206	85,044	75,838
Kern	360,724	140,343	(2,402)	27,033	358,322	167,376	110,468	56,908	525,698	468,790
Kings	59,521	23,157	(397)	4,461	59,124	27,618	18,228	9,390	86,742	77,352
Lake	60,176	23,412	(768)	(9,732)	59,408	13,680	9,029	4,651	73,088	68,437
Lassen	114,218	44,438	(1,457)	1,457	112,761	45,895	30,291	15,604	158,656	143,052
Los Angeles	1,919,748	746,897	(24,497)	24,497	1,895,251	771,394	509,120	262,274	2,666,645	2,404,371
Madera	84,212	32,763	(561)	6,311	83,651	39,074	25,789	13,285	122,725	109,440
Marin	142,765	47,980	(1,821)	1,821	140,944	49,801	32,869	16,932	190,745	173,813
Mariposa	47,444	8,317	(605)	605	46,839	8,922	5,889	3,033	55,761	52,728
Mendocino	62,906	24,474	(419)	4,714	62,487	29,188	19,264	9,924	91,675	81,751
Merced	102,841	40,012	(1,312)	(16,541)	101,529	23,471	15,491	7,980	125,000	117,020
Modoc	74,019	-	(945)	-	73,074	-	-	-	73,074	73,074
Mono	49,145	-	(627)	627	48,518	627	414	213	49,145	48,932
Monterey	122,806	47,779	(1,567)	1,567	121,239	49,346	32,568	16,778	170,585	153,807
Napa	62,906	24,474	(419)	4,714	62,487	29,188	19,264	9,924	91,675	81,751
Nevada	121,262	47,178	(807)	9,087	120,455	56,265	37,135	19,130	176,720	157,590
Orange	548,204	213,284	(3,651)	41,082	544,553	254,366	167,882	86,484	798,919	712,435
Placer	91,460	35,583	(609)	6,854	90,851	42,437	28,008	14,429	133,288	118,859
Plumas	58,356	5,764	(745)	745	57,611	6,509	4,296	2,213	64,120	61,907
Riverside	629,844	-	-	-	629,844	-	-	-	629,844	629,844
Sacramento	314,465	122,346	(2,095)	23,566	312,370	145,912	96,302	49,610	458,282	408,672
San Benito	62,906	24,474	(803)	803	62,103	25,277	16,683	8,594	87,380	78,786
San Bernardino	466,563	181,521	(3,107)	34,964	463,456	216,485	142,880	73,605	679,941	606,336
San Diego	618,339	209,446	(4,118)	46,338	614,221	255,784	168,817	86,967	870,005	783,038
San Francisco	250,278	97,373	(1,667)	18,756	248,611	116,129	76,645	39,484	364,740	325,256
San Joaquin	223,447	-	(2,851)	2,851	220,596	2,851	1,882	969	223,447	222,478
San Luis Obispo	68,258	26,556	(871)	871	67,387	27,427	18,102	9,325	94,814	85,489
San Mateo	132,541	51,566	(882)	9,932	131,659	61,498	40,589	20,909	193,157	172,248
Santa Barbara	173,388	67,458	(1,154)	12,993	172,234	80,451	53,098	27,353	252,685	225,332
Santa Clara	452,550	176,069	(3,014)	33,914	449,536	209,983	138,589	71,394	659,519	588,125
Santa Cruz	75,502	29,375	(963)	963	74,539	30,338	20,023	10,315	104,877	94,562
Shasta	164,364	63,948	(1,094)	12,317	163,270	76,265	50,335	25,930	239,535	213,605
Sierra	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Siskiyou	77,808	30,272	(518)	5,831	77,290	36,103	23,828	12,275	113,393	101,118
Solano	134,914	52,490	(899)	10,111	134,015	62,601	41,317	21,284	196,616	175,332
Sonoma	140,715	54,747	(938)	10,546	139,777	65,293	43,093	22,200	205,070	182,870
Stanislaus	228,981	89,087	(1,525)	17,160	227,456	106,247	70,123	36,124	333,703	297,579
Sutter	67,457	26,245	(861)	861	66,596	27,106	17,890	9,216	93,702	84,486
Tehama	28,530	2,559	(364)	364	28,166	2,923	1,929	994	31,089	30,095
Trinity	25,483	33,915	(325)	325	25,158	34,240	22,598	11,642	59,398	47,756
Tulare	320,325	109,329	(2,133)	24,005	318,192	133,334	88,000	45,334	451,526	406,192
Tuolumne	67,457	26,245	(861)	861	66,596	27,106	17,890	9,216	93,702	84,486
Ventura	257,427	100,154	(1,715)	19,292	255,712	119,446	78,834	40,612	375,158	334,546
Yolo	77,808	30,272	(993)	993	76,815	31,265	20,635	10,630	108,080	97,450
Yuba	66,892	26,025	(446)	5,013	66,446	31,038	20,485	10,553	97,484	86,931
Totals	11,062,588	3,787,057	(95,691)	485,356	10,966,897	4,272,413	2,819,794	1,452,619	15,239,310	13,786,691

FLF Base Funds Available \$10,966,897
 FLF Federal Drawdown Available \$4,272,413
 Total Funding Available \$15,239,310

CHILD SUPPORT COMMISSIONER PROGRAM, FISCAL YEAR 2012-2013

	A	B	C	D	E	F
COUNTY	Base Allocation	Federal Drawdown Allocation	Federal Share 66% (Column B x .66)	Court Share 34% (Column B x .34)	Total Allocation (Columns A + B)	Contract Amount (Columns A + C)
Alameda	1,055,625	477,581	315,203	162,378	1,533,206	1,370,828
Amador	142,508	64,474	42,553	21,921	206,982	185,061
Butte	363,685	50,315	33,208	17,107	414,000	396,893
Calaveras	133,526	37,209	24,558	12,651	170,735	158,084
Colusa	45,987	19,133	12,628	6,505	65,120	58,615
Contra Costa	1,014,068	458,778	302,793	155,985	1,472,846	1,316,861
Del Norte	48,315	21,859	14,427	7,432	70,174	62,742
El Dorado	206,440	93,395	61,641	31,754	299,835	268,081
Fresno	1,557,552	704,659	465,075	239,584	2,262,211	2,022,627
Glenn	118,593	53,653	35,411	18,242	172,246	154,004
Humboldt	122,985	55,640	36,722	18,918	178,625	159,707
Imperial	163,746	74,082	48,894	25,188	237,828	212,640
Inyo	78,314	18,328	12,096	6,232	96,642	90,410
Kern	645,590	292,074	192,769	99,305	937,664	838,359
Kings	294,155	133,080	87,833	45,247	427,235	381,988
Lake	157,624	22,018	14,532	7,486	179,642	172,156
Lassen	94,874	16,731	11,042	5,689	111,605	105,916
Los Angeles	5,093,465	2,304,358	1,520,876	783,482	7,397,823	6,614,341
Madera	215,224	97,370	64,264	33,106	312,594	279,488
Marin	150,260	6,866	4,532	2,334	157,126	154,792
Mariposa	76,427	34,576	22,820	11,756	111,003	99,247
Mendocino	173,010	50,000	33,000	17,000	223,010	206,010
Merced	548,422	248,113	163,755	84,358	796,535	712,177
Modoc	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mono	44,688	20,216	13,343	6,873	64,904	58,031
Monterey	371,256	167,961	110,854	57,107	539,217	482,110
Napa	179,966	81,420	53,737	27,683	261,386	233,703
Nevada	332,867	150,595	99,393	51,202	483,462	432,260
Orange	2,271,576	802,863	529,890	272,973	3,074,439	2,801,466
Placer	367,149	106,535	70,313	36,222	473,684	437,462
Plumas	93,732	12,968	8,559	4,409	106,700	102,291
Riverside	968,009	437,940	289,040	148,900	1,405,949	1,257,049
Sacramento	1,031,990	466,887	308,145	158,742	1,498,877	1,340,135
San Benito	136,260	37,794	24,944	12,850	174,054	161,204
San Bernardino	2,544,692	1,151,255	759,828	391,427	3,695,947	3,304,520
San Diego	1,770,159	800,845	528,558	272,287	2,571,004	2,298,717
San Francisco	891,641	479,952	316,768	163,184	1,371,593	1,208,409
San Joaquin	689,435	70,349	46,430	23,919	759,784	735,865
San Luis Obispo	225,765	102,140	67,412	34,728	327,905	293,177
San Mateo	395,940	179,129	118,225	60,904	575,069	514,165
Santa Barbara	460,907	208,521	137,624	70,897	669,428	598,531

Santa Clara	1,707,810	505,407	333,569	171,838	2,213,217	2,041,379
Santa Cruz	187,809	76,731	50,642	26,089	264,540	238,451
Shasta	423,384	191,546	126,420	65,126	614,930	549,804
Sierra	-	-	-	-	-	-
Siskiyou	233,265	105,533	69,652	35,881	338,798	302,917
Solano	524,122	153,727	101,460	52,267	677,849	625,582
Sonoma	488,152	220,846	145,758	75,088	708,998	633,910
Stanislaus	783,525	195,072	128,748	66,324	978,597	912,273
Sutter	195,330	88,369	58,324	30,045	283,699	253,654
Tehama	92,238	41,730	27,542	14,188	133,968	119,780
Trinity	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tulare	552,849	179,730	118,622	61,108	732,579	671,471
Tuolumne	161,119	72,893	48,109	24,784	234,012	209,228
Ventura	563,318	254,854	168,204	86,650	818,172	731,522
Yolo	193,254	87,432	57,705	29,727	280,686	250,959
Yuba	198,813	89,947	59,365	30,582	288,760	258,178
Totals	31,581,415	12,875,479	8,497,815	4,377,664	44,456,894	40,079,230

CSC Base Funds Available 31,581,415
CSC Federal Drawdown Available 13,074,473

Unallocated CSC Base -
Unallocated CSC Federal Drawdown 198,994
(Unallocated reserves will be distributed midyear 2012-2013)

FAMILY LAW FACILITATOR PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 2012-2013

	A	B	C	D	E	F
COUNTY	Base Allocation	Federal Drawdown Allocation	Federal Share 66% (Column B x .66)	Court Share 34% (Column B x .34)	Total Allocation (Columns A + B)	Contract Amount (Columns A + C)
Alameda	369,025	156,997	103,618	53,379	526,022	472,643
Amador	-	-	-	-	-	-
Butte	103,647	44,095	29,103	14,992	147,742	132,750
Calaveras	119,392	10,926	7,211	3,715	130,318	126,603
Colusa	52,326	22,261	14,692	7,569	74,587	67,018
Contra Costa	342,973	130,351	86,032	44,319	473,324	429,005
Del Norte	49,723	5,138	3,391	1,747	54,861	53,114
El Dorado	105,446	44,862	29,609	15,253	150,308	135,055
Fresno	390,532	166,148	109,658	56,490	556,680	500,190
Glenn	75,385	32,071	21,167	10,904	107,456	96,552
Humboldt	88,688	37,730	24,902	12,828	126,418	113,590
Imperial	52,326	22,261	14,692	7,569	74,587	67,018
Inyo	56,866	24,194	15,968	8,226	81,060	72,834
Kern	351,518	149,549	98,702	50,847	501,067	450,220
Kings	58,001	24,677	16,287	8,390	82,678	74,288
Lake	58,640	24,948	16,466	8,482	83,588	75,106
Lassen	111,304	42,922	28,329	14,593	154,226	139,633
Los Angeles	1,870,754	795,891	525,288	270,603	2,666,645	2,396,042
Madera	82,062	34,913	23,043	11,870	116,975	105,105
Marin	139,122	51,622	34,071	17,551	190,744	173,193
Mariposa	46,234	19,668	12,981	6,687	65,902	59,215
Mendocino	61,300	26,080	17,213	8,867	87,380	78,513
Merced	100,217	24,783	16,357	8,426	125,000	116,574
Modoc	72,130	-	-	-	72,130	72,130
Mono	47,891	1,254	828	426	49,145	48,719
Monterey	119,672	50,913	33,603	17,310	170,585	153,275
Napa	61,300	26,080	17,213	8,867	87,380	78,513
Nevada	118,168	50,272	33,180	17,092	168,440	151,348
Orange	534,214	227,274	150,001	77,273	761,488	684,215
Placer	89,126	37,917	25,025	12,892	127,043	114,151
Plumas	56,866	7,254	4,788	2,466	64,120	61,654
Riverside	658,653	280,217	184,943	95,274	938,870	843,596
Sacramento	306,439	130,372	86,046	44,326	436,811	392,485
San Benito	61,300	26,080	17,213	8,867	87,380	78,513
San Bernardino	454,656	193,428	127,662	65,766	648,084	582,318
San Diego	602,559	225,226	148,649	76,577	827,785	751,208
San Francisco	243,890	103,761	68,482	35,279	347,651	312,372
San Joaquin	217,745	68,636	45,300	23,336	286,381	263,045
San Luis Obispo	66,516	28,298	18,677	9,621	94,814	85,193
San Mateo	129,159	54,948	36,266	18,682	184,107	165,425
Santa Barbara	168,964	71,882	47,442	24,440	240,846	216,406

Santa Clara	441,000	187,619	123,829	63,790	628,619	564,829
Santa Cruz	73,576	31,301	20,659	10,642	104,877	94,235
Shasta	160,170	68,142	44,974	23,168	228,312	205,144
Sierra	-	-	-	-	-	-
Siskiyou	75,822	32,258	21,290	10,968	108,080	97,112
Solano	131,471	55,933	36,916	19,017	187,404	168,387
Sonoma	137,123	58,339	38,504	19,835	195,462	175,627
Stanislaus	223,137	94,931	62,654	32,277	318,068	285,791
Sutter	65,735	27,967	18,458	9,509	93,702	84,193
Tehama	27,802	3,287	2,169	1,118	31,089	29,971
Trinity	24,833	34,565	22,813	11,752	59,398	47,646
Tulare	312,151	117,503	77,552	39,951	429,654	389,703
Tuolumne	65,735	27,967	18,458	9,509	93,702	84,193
Ventura	250,857	106,724	70,438	36,286	357,581	321,295
Yolo	75,822	32,258	21,290	10,968	108,080	97,112
Yuba	65,184	27,733	18,304	9,429	92,917	83,488
Totals	10,825,147	4,382,426	2,892,406	1,490,020	15,207,573	13,717,553

FLF Base Funds Available 10,825,147
FLF Federal Drawdown Available 4,414,163

Unallocated FLF Base -
Unallocated FLF Federal Drawdown 31,737
(Unallocated reserves will be distributed midyear 2012-2013)