

#### Judicial Council of California . Administrative Office of the Courts

455 Golden Gate Avenue · San Francisco, California 94102-3688 www.courts.ca.gov

#### REPORT TO THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL

For business meeting on January 24, 2012

Title

Family Law: Request for Order in Lieu of Existing Notice of Motion or Order to Show Cause, and Witness List for Use in Family Law Proceedings

Rules, Forms, Standards, or Statutes Affected Adopt Cal. Rules of Court, rule 5.92; amend rule 5.93; revoke forms FL-301 and FL-310; approve forms FL-300-INFO and FL-321; revise forms FL-115, FL-300, FL-305, FL-306, FL-315, FL-316, FL-320, FL-336, FL-337, FL-347

Recommended by

Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee Hon. Kimberly J. Nystrom-Geist, Cochair Hon. Dean Stout, Cochair

Elkins Family Law Implementation Task Force Hon. Laurie Zelon, Chair Agenda Item Type Action Required

Effective Date July 1, 2012

Date of Report January 5, 2012

Contact

Bonnie Hough, 415-865-7668 bonnie.hough@jud.ca.gov

#### **Executive Summary**

Proposed rule 5.92 of the California Rules of Court and a proposed new form, *Request for Order* (form FL-300), would implement the recommendation in the *Elkins Family Law Task Force Final Report and Recommendations* to simplify the forms for motions in family law proceedings. The task force recommended that the notice of motion and order to show cause should be combined

into a single *Request for Order* form that could be used for both purposes. In addition, new form *Witness List* (form FL-321) would provide an optional form to assist parties in complying with Family Code section 217(c), which requires a party seeking to present live testimony from witnesses other than the parties to file and serve a witness list with a brief description of the anticipated testimony.

#### Recommendation

The Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee and the Elkins Family Law Implementation Task Force recommend that the Judicial Council, effective July 1, 2012:

- 1. Adopt rule 5.92 of the California Rules of Court to require that a notice of motion or order to show cause in a family law case be filed on the revised *Request for Order* (form FL-300) except when another Judicial Council form is designated for a specific motion or order to show cause;
- 2. Revise the existing *Order to Show Cause* (form FL-300) to become the proposed *Request for* Order (form FL-300) form;
- 3. Approve the *Information Sheet for Request for Order* (form FL-300 INFO);
- 4. Revoke the *Application for Order and Supporting Declaration* (form FL-310) and incorporate its contents into the *Request for Order*;
- 5. Revoke the *Notice of Motion* (form FL-301);
- 6. Revise the following rule and forms to replace form references from *Notice of Motion* or *Order to Show Cause* to *Request for Order* or to add a reference to the *Request for Order* as appropriate: *Attorney's Fees and Costs* (rule 5.93) *Proof of Service of Summons* (form FL-115), *Temporary Emergency Court Orders* (form FL-305), *Application and Order for Reissuance of Order to Show Cause* (form FL-306), *Request for Orders Regarding Noncompliance With Disclosure Requirements* (form FL-316), *Order to Pay Waived Court Fees and Costs* (FL-336), *Application to Set Aside Order to Pay Waived Court Fees—Attachment* (form FL-337), and *Bifurcation of Status of Marriage or Domestic Partnership—Attachment* (form FL-347);
- 7. Revise mandatory form FL-320, *Responsive Declaration to Order to Show Cause or Notice of Motion*, to add a parenthetical reference to "parenting time" in item 2 and to change the form's title to *Responsive Declaration to Request for Order* to make it consistent with the change in title to form FL-300.
- 8. Change the name of *Application or Response to Application for Separate Trial* (form FL-315) to *Request or Response to Request for Separate Trial* (form FL-315) and change the reference from attaching to the *Application for Order* to the *Request for Order*; and

9. Approve Witness List (form FL-321) as an optional form.

The text of the proposed rule and the proposed forms are attached at pages 11–39.

#### **Previous Council Action**

The Judicial Council supported Assembly Bill 939 (Assem. Com. on Judiciary; Stats. 2010, ch. 352), which added section 217 to the Family Code with its requirement that parties seeking to present live testimony from witnesses other than the parties file and serve a witness list with a brief description of the anticipated testimony. On April 23, 2010, the council accepted the *Elkins Family Law Task Force Final Report and Recommendations*, which recommended the changes now incorporated in proposed rule 5.92 and the proposed new and revised forms.

#### **Rationale for Recommendation**

#### Rule 5.92 and related forms

The Judicial Council established the Elkins Family Law Task Force in response to the decision in *Elkins v. Superior Court* (2007) 41 Cal.4th 1337, which recommended that a task force be established to study and propose measures to assist trial courts in achieving efficiency and fairness in marital dissolution proceedings and to ensure access to justice for family law litigants, many of whom are self-represented.

The *Elkins Family Law Task Force Final Report and Recommendations* was accepted by the Judicial Council at its April 23, 2010, meeting. The Judicial Council also accepted the task force's recommendation that an implementation task force be established to assist in carrying out the recommendations contained in the final report. The final report contained a recommendation that the forms for motions in family law proceedings should be simplified and that the notice of motion and order to show cause should be combined into a *Request for Order* form to be used in family law proceedings.

#### The recommendation states:

Litigants in family law cases have two ways to bring an issue before the court: a notice of motion and an order to show cause. In most counties, these motions are used almost interchangeably. In other counties they are used for distinct purposes, although the intended differences are not written and may not be clear to self-represented litigants or attorneys.... To eliminate confusion and to standardize practice throughout the state, the Judicial Council should develop a new *Request for Order* that would replace the *Order to Show Cause* (FL-300) and the *Notice of Motion* (FL-301) for use in all matters except domestic violence and contempt (which currently have specific forms).

The new form would incorporate the current *Application for Order and Supporting Declaration* (FL-310), which is an attachment to those two documents. The *Request for* 

*Order* would also be used for those matters that are motions as defined in the Code of Civil Procedure.... Instructional materials regarding the *Request for Order* and its use should be developed.

The proposed rule and new and revised forms would implement the recommendation.

Proposed rule 5.92 requires that a notice of motion or order to show cause filed in a family law case be filed on the revised *Request for Order* (form FL-300) except when another Judicial Council form is designated for a specific motion or order to show cause.

The existing *Order to Show Cause* (form FL-300) would be revised to become the proposed *Request for Order* form. The *Application for Order and Supporting Declaration* (form FL-310) would be revoked and its contents made part of the proposed *Request for Order*. The *Notice of Motion* (form FL-301) form would be revoked. The proposed *Request for Order Information Sheet* (form FL-300-INFO) would assist self-represented litigants in understanding and completing the new form. This change would streamline family law practice, simplify training procedures for court clerks and eliminate the uncertainty reported by family law attorneys throughout the state about when to use the order to show cause or notice of motion. It would provide one comprehensive form and instructions.

Existing form FL-320, Responsive Declaration to Order to Show Cause or Notice of Motion, would be revised to add a parenthetical reference to "parenting time" in item 2 and to change the form's title to Responsive Declaration to Request for Order to make it consistent with the change in title to form FL-300.

The following forms would be revised to replace form references from *Notice of Motion* or *Order to Show Cause* to *Request for Order* or to add a reference to the *Request for Order* as appropriate: *Temporary Emergency Court Orders* (form FL-305) and *Bifurcation of Status of Marriage or Domestic Partnership* (form FL-347).

Implementation of this proposal necessitates technical changes to three forms that were not circulated for comment. *Proof of Service of Summons* (FL-115) refers to both FL-300, which has been renamed, and FL-310, which is being revoked in this proposal. *Request for Orders Regarding Noncompliance With Disclosure Requirements* (FL-316) refers both to FL-300, which has been renamed, and to FL-301, which is being revoked in this proposal. *Order to Pay Waived Court Fees and Costs* (FL-336) and *Application to Set Aside Order to Pay Waived Court Fees—Attachment* (form FL-337) refer to FL-300, which has been renamed. California Rule of Court 10.22 (d) (2) allows such a modification without circulation since this is a minor substantive change that is unlikely to create controversy. References to revoked forms are likely to cause confusion among the courts, attorneys and litigants, and thus, these changes should be made with the other forms changes.

The Application or Response to Application for Separate Trial (form FL-315) would be revised and renamed Request or Response to Request for Separate Trial and the reference on the form to attaching it to the Application for Order will be changed to the Request for Order.

Additionally, Rule 5.93 *Attorney's fees and costs*, which was adopted by the Judicial Council effective January 1, 2012, would be changed to reflect the new forms names.

#### Witness List

Effective January 1, 2011, AB 939 added section 217 to the Family Code, which requires that at hearings on orders to show cause or motions brought under the Family Code courts must receive competent live testimony that is relevant and within the scope of the hearing, unless the parties have stipulated otherwise or the court makes a finding of good cause to refuse to receive the live testimony. Section 217 also requires the Judicial Council to adopt a statewide rule of court listing the factors a court must consider in making a finding of good cause to refuse to receive live testimony at hearings on orders to show cause or motions filed under the Family Code. In addition, it sets out the requirement that parties seeking to present nonparty live testimony must file and serve a witness list or make an offer of proof with a brief description of the anticipated testimony.

Proposed family law form *Witness List* (form FL-321) would be an optional form for parties to use to submit their witness list to the court as required by Family Code section 217. The form asks for the name of the witness and a brief description of the subject and the anticipated testimony.

#### Comments, Alternatives Considered, and Policy Implications

#### **Comments**

The invitation to comment on the proposal was circulated from April 21 through June 20, 2011, to the standard mailing list for family and juvenile law proposals as well as to the regular rules and forms mailing list. These distribution lists include appellate presiding justices, appellate court administrators, trial court presiding judges, trial court executive officers, judges, child support commissioners, court administrators, attorneys, family law facilitators, court clerks, social workers, probation officers, mediators, the California Department of Child Support Services, local child support agency program directors, and other family and juvenile law professionals.

The committee and task force received 34 written comments. Of these comments, 8 agreed to the proposal as circulated, 23 agreed to the proposal if modified, and 3 did not indicate their position but suggested modifications to the proposed rule or forms. A chart setting out the comments received and the responses is attached at pages 36–124.

**Rule 5.92.** A number of comments concerned proposed rule 5.92. Many of the comments suggested that the rule be reorganized to provide greater clarity. The committees substantially reorganized the rule based on these comments.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/cgi-bin/displaycode?section=fam&group=00001-01000&file=210-217.

One commentator suggested that the rule clarify that the *Request for Order* must be filed with the court to obtain a court date or to obtain ex parte court orders before service on the responding party. In some courts, the practice has been to allow attorneys to obtain court dates for motions without filing the motion first. The committees decided that the better practice was to require filing before service and made that change.

Two commentators suggested that the rule make clear that the *Request for Order* can be used to order a party who has not made an appearance in the case to appear in court in the same way that an order to show cause can be used now. One commentator suggested that ordering a party to court is not necessary because a party can be ordered to court with a notice in lieu of a subpoena under the Code of Civil Procedure. The committees agreed that the *Request for Order* should have the same function as an order to show cause and changed the rule and the *Request for Order* to include an order to appear. In addition, the rule was changed to clarify that the *Request for Order* must be served in the manner of a summons if the responding party has not made an appearance in the action and is ordered to appear at the hearing or when there are temporary emergency orders regardless of whether the responding party has appeared at court.

Several commentators questioned why the rule prohibited the use of the *Request for Order* in Domestic Violence Prevention Act (DVPA) cases and child support cases filed by the local child support agencies. At least one comment suggested that in some courts parties in DVPA cases have been using the family law notice of motion or order to show cause to modify custody, visitation, or child support orders issued in DVPA cases. Several comments from family law facilitators and self-help staff noted that the parents in the child support cases can file motions to establish custody and visitation in the local child support agency actions and that they currently use a notice of motion or order to show cause to seek such relief. The committees modified the rule to permit, but not require, the use of the *Request for Order* to modify existing orders in DVPA actions and by parties other than the local child support agencies in cases filed by the local child support agencies. The committees will explore creating a request for order for the local child support agencies in conjunction with the state Department of Child Support Services in a future public comment cycle.

Three commentators questioned why the proposed rule required the filing of a *Property Declaration* (form FL-160) when the relief requested in the *Request for Order* included temporary control of property or orders to pay community debts. The commentators felt that they did not need a completed *Property Declaration* in every case in which property orders were requested and that the court could order that the parties file one if the court needed the information to make the requested orders. The committees agreed and removed the requirement that a *Property Declaration* be filed when property orders were requested.

**Request for Order** (form FL-300). The comments were overwhelmingly positive about creating a Request for Order to be used in lieu of the Notice of Motion and the Order to Show Cause. All but three of the commentators supported having one form to file either a notice of motion or an order to show cause in a family law case. A representative comment is, "Combining the Notice of

Motion forms with the Order to Show Cause forms makes sense and provides a simpler vehicle to make requests of the court."

Many people supported revoking the *Application for Order and Supporting Declaration* (FL-310) and incorporating it into the *Request for Order*.

A number of substantive comments dealt with the form's content. Many suggested the addition of check boxes describing the relief requested. Many of these suggestions were made to help the clerks sort cases for calendar-setting purposes, but the form lacks sufficient space to accommodate all of them. The committees decided to add one box, which indicates that temporary emergency orders are attached, and to study whether a cover sheet, which would assist the clerks in sorting cases, should be developed and circulated for comment in the future.

Several commentators pointed out that the notice to the responding party at the bottom of the first page incorrectly stated that service of the responsive declarations was nine calendar days instead of nine court days. The committees made this change. Three commentators suggested that it was not clear to whom the same notice was addressed. The committees added language to the notice making it clear that it was for the responding party.

Four commentators suggested that the language on the first page of the form informing parties with unresolved custody issues of the requirement to attend mediation before or concurrent to the hearing and telling them of the date, time, and location of the mediation session should be moved from the court order section of the form up to just before the notice of the date, time, and location of the hearing. The committees did move up the language informing the parties of the mediation requirement as suggested but left the language that specifically ordered the parties to attend the custody services in the court order section.

Five commentators suggested removing the language "Do not complete this section unless you are asking for orders that will be in effect before the hearing date," which was located just before the court order section. They stated that court personnel, not the litigants or attorneys, should complete the court order section of the form. The committees agreed and removed the language from the form as suggested.

Two commentators suggested the inclusion of a statement that there are no filing fees for filing a responsive declaration, which is on the existing *Notice of Motion* and *Order to Show Cause* but not in the proposed *Request for Order*. They noted that the notice encourages parties to file responsive declarations. The committees agreed and restored the filing fee language.

Two commentators suggested that the proposed form is not simple enough for self-represented, low-literacy litigants. They suggested that the committees consider revising the *Request for Order* as a plain language form, similar to the domestic violence forms. A plain language form would be very different from the proposed form that was circulated for comment. The committees will

consider whether to develop a plain language form and circulate it for comment in a future public comment cycle.

Information Sheet for Request for Order (form FL-300-INFO). Several commentators suggested that the instructions concerning personal service and service by mail were incomplete and in some instances confusing. One commentator pointed out that the instructions did not explain the requirements for postjudgment service as specified in Family Code section 215. Another commentator suggested that the instructions clarify that temporary emergency orders should be personally served on the other party to be enforceable. Other commentators sought clarification on personal service on responding parties who had not previously appeared in the action but who were ordered to appear in court. The committees redrafted the service instructions to address those concerns.

Two commentators suggested adding the following language to item 1: "Check all boxes that apply to the order you are requesting. Mark the Modification box if you are requesting a change to an existing order." This language was added.

A commentator suggested that information about filing fees and fee waivers should be added to the form. The committees added this information.

Two commentators requested that the bulleted item concerning the additional forms to be attached for spousal and child support be separated into two bulleted items because, for spousal support requests, the party must complete and file an *Income and Expense Declaration* (form FL-150). The attachment for a request for child support may be accompanied by either an *Income and Expense Declaration* (form FL-150) or a *Financial Statement* (*Simplified*) (form FL-155). The committees made this change.

The committees made additional changes to the *Information Sheet for Request for Order* to conform to changes that were made to the *Request for Order* in response to the comments.

## Application and Order for Reissuance of Request for Order or Restraining Order (Juvenile) or Order to Show Cause (form FL-306/JV-251)

Several commentators stated that this form was confusing because it still referred to an *Order to Show Cause*. They suggested that the reference to *Order to Show Cause* be removed and that the form refer only to the *Request for Order*. One of the commentators who realized that the form was meant to be used in juvenile court proceedings as well as family law proceedings suggested that there should be separate forms for family law and juvenile court. The committees revised the form to clarify that it is designed to be used to reissue (1) an order to show cause that is issued on a form other than a *Request for Order*; (2) a temporary restraining order in juvenile court; or (3) a *Request for Order* in family court. The revisions deleted the repeated references to the forms that some of the commentators found confusing.

Request or Response to Request for Separate Trial (form FL-315). As circulated for comment, this form was titled "Application or Response to Application for Separate Trial." A commentator suggested that the title be changed to "Request or Response to Request for Separate Trial" because it was simpler language. The committees agreed and made the change.

Witness List (form FL-321). Several commentators suggested removing the column listing the prospective witnesses' contact information. The form as circulated had stated that providing the contact information for witnesses was optional. The commentators noted that contact information for witnesses is not routinely provided in civil and criminal cases. They further noted that contact information for witnesses can be obtained through discovery and that the court may continue a case if a party is prejudiced by not having the contact information. The committees agreed with these comments and removed the column requesting contact information.

Another commentator suggested removing the column requesting information about the witness' profession or relationship to the party. The commentator noted that Family Code section 217 requires only a brief description of the intended testimony. The committees agreed and removed the column.

A commentator suggested that the form should not just be an attachment to the *Request for Order* or the responsive declaration but rather a standalone form that can be filed separately from the request for order or the responsive declaration. Although rule 5.119(e) of the California Rules of Court states that a witness list must be attached to a request for order or a responsive declaration, the committees agreed that there may be times where the form might be filed separately and changed the form as suggested.

A commentator recommended the addition of a notice stating that it is a crime to harass or dissuade a witness from testifying. The committees decided that this notice was not necessary since they decided to remove the column for prospective witnesses' contact information.

#### Alternatives considered

Option 1: Adopt rule and approve and revise forms to be effective July 1, 2012, as recommended. The new Witness List form will help self-represented litigants comply with the new requirements in Family Code section 217(c) and reduce the number of hearing continuances resulting from the parties' failure to comply with the new requirements. The new rule and new and revised forms would make case processing of self-represented cases more uniform throughout the state and more efficient for courts because they will reduce the number of multiple filings resulting from errors in the documents submitted. Initial minor costs for implementation should be offset by savings achieved through more efficient case processing once the rule and forms are implemented and in use. The committees strongly believe that the efficiencies that will be gained by simplifying the procedures will greatly outweigh the costs of change.

*Option 2: Do not adopt rule or approve or revise forms*. This would mean that the courts and the Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC) would not incur any implementation costs, but self-

represented parties would not have a form to help them comply with the requirements of Family Code section 217(c) and court continuances could increase when parties fail to comply. Existing procedures for filing motions and orders to show cause would remain, and courts would not realize savings from efficiencies in case processing.

#### Implementation Requirements, Costs, and Operational Impacts

There will be minimal costs for implementing the new procedures and forms. AOC staff from the Center for Families, Children & the Courts will develop training materials on the new procedures and forms and, when requested by the courts, provide training to court staff including clerks and self-help center staff. Some courts may have to revise local rules and materials used by family law facilitators and self-help center staff. Initial costs would be offset by savings achieved through more efficient case processing once the rule and forms are implemented and in use.

#### Relevant Strategic Plan Goals and Operational Plan Objectives

The proposal supports the policies underlying Goal I, Access, Fairness, and Diversity, because it helps remove barriers to the courts for all parties, especially self-represented litigants. These recommendations also serve Goal III B: Modernization of Management and Administration by adopting streamlined practice for filings in family law cases. *The Request for Order* would make procedures more uniform in the various courts. The *Information Sheet for Request for Order* will give self-represented litigants better access to the courts by providing information on completing their forms. The *Information Sheet* will also help the courts because it will reduce the number of filings that court clerks have to handle multiple times because litigants have not completed their forms correctly. The *Witness List* will help litigants better present their cases and comply with new Family Code section 217(c) and reduce the number of continuances that are granted due to the parties having not given appropriate notice about the witnesses they intend to call.

#### **Attachments**

- 1. Cal. Rules of Court, rule 5.92 and 5.93 at pages 11–13
- 2. Proposed forms, at pages 14–35
- 3. Chart of comments, at pages 36–124

Rule 5.92 of the California Rules of Court is adopted, and rule 5.93 of the California Rules of Court is amend, effective July 1, 2012, to read:

1 Title 5. Family and Juvenile Rules 2 3 4 **Division 1. Family Rules** 5 **Chapter 6. Request for Order** 6 7 **Article 2. Filing and Service** 8 9 Rule 5.92. Request for court order; response 10 11 Request for order; procedures (a) 12 13 In a family law proceeding other than an action under the Domestic Violence (1) 14 Prevention Act or a local child support agency action under the Family Code, a 15 notice of motion or order to show cause must be filed on a Request for Order (form 16 FL-300), unless another Judicial Council form has been adopted or approved for the specific motion or order to show cause. 17 18 19 (2) In an action under the Domestic Violence Prevention Act, a notice of motion or order 20 to show cause to modify existing orders that were entered after a hearing may be 21 filed on a Request for Order (form FL-300). 22 23 In a local child support action under the Family Code, a notice of motion or order to (3) 24 show cause filed by any party other than the local child support agency may be filed 25 on a Request for Order (form FL-300). 26 27 <u>(4)</u> The Request for Order (form FL-300) must set forth facts sufficient to notify the other party of the declarant's contentions in support of the relief requested. 28 29 30 A completed *Income and Expense Declaration* (form FL-150) or *Financial* (5) 31 Statement (Simplified) (form FL-155) must be filed with the Request for Order (form 32 FL-300) when relevant to the relief requested unless a current form is on file with the 33 court. 34 The moving party must file the documents with the court to obtain a court date and 35 (6) 36 then serve a copy on the responding party. 37 38 (A) If the request for order seeks court orders pending a hearing or seeks an order 39 that the other party attend the hearing, the *Request for Order* (form FL-300) 40 and appropriate attachments must be served in the manner specified for the 41 service of a summons in Code of Civil Procedure section 413.10 et seq. 42 43 (B) If the Request for Order (form FL-300) is filed after entry of a judgment of 44 dissolution of marriage, nullity of marriage, legal separation of the parties, or

1				paternity, or after a permanent order in any other proceeding in which the
2				visitation, custody, or support of a child was at issue, it must be served as
3				specified in Family Code section 215.
4 5 6			<u>(C)</u>	All other requests for order and appropriate attachments may be served as specified in Code of Civil Procedure section 1010 et.seq.
7 8		<u>(7)</u>	The	documents served must include a blank copy of the following:
9			<u>(A)</u>	Responsive Declaration to Request for Order (form FL-320);
11 12 13 14			<u>(B</u> )	Income and Expense Declaration (form FL-150) or Financial Statement (Simplified) (form FL-155) when completed declarations are among the papers required to be served.
16 17	<u>(b)</u>	Res	ondir	ng papers
18		To r	espono	to the issues raised in the <i>Request for Order</i> (form FL-300) and attached
9			-	responding party must complete, file, and serve a <i>Responsive Declaration to</i>
20				r Order (form FL-320).
				<del></del>
22 23 24		(1)	suffi	Responsive Declaration to Request for Order (form FL-320) must set forth facts cient to notify the other party of the declarant's contentions in response to the est for order and in support of any relief requested.
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28		<u>(2)</u>	mov	responding papers may request relief related to the orders requested in the ing papers. Unrelated relief must be sought by filing a separate request for order secified in (a).
30 31 32 33		<u>(3)</u>	State Decl	impleted Income and Expense Declaration (form FL-150) or Financial ement (Simplified) (form FL-155) must be attached to the Responsive faration to Request for Order (form FL-320) when relevant to the relief ested.
35	<u>(c)</u>	Men	noran	dum of points and authorities
36 37		No r	nemor	andum of points and authorities need be filed with a <i>Request for Order</i> (form
38				a Responsive Declaration to Request for Order (form FL-320) unless required
39				rt on a case-by-case basis.
10 11	<u>(d)</u>	Add	<u>itiona</u>	l documents
12				
13			_	ed in these rules, the moving and responding parties may be required to
14		com	nlete. t	file, and serve additional papers to request or respond to a <i>Request for Order</i>

1 (form FL-300) about child custody and visitation (parenting time), attorney fees and costs, support, and other financial matters. 2 3 4 5 6 7 **Drafting Comment** Existing rule 5.118 addressing the subject of applications for court order and supporting declarations is repealed. Proposed new rule 5.92 includes content on the same subject. 8 9 **Division 1. Family Rules** 10 **Chapter 1. General Provisions** 11 12 Rule 5.93. Attorney's fees and costs 13 14 \*\*\* (a) 15 16 Request **(b)** 17 18 Except as provided in Family Code section 2031(b), to request attorney's fees and (1) 19 costs, a party must complete, file, and serve the following documents: 20 21 (A) Request for Order (form FL-300) Application for Order (form FL-310) 22 attached to an Order to Show Cause (form FL-300) or a Notice of Motion 23 (form FL-301); 24 25 \*\*\* (B)-(E)26 27 \*\*\* (2) 28 29 (c) **Response to request** 30 31 To respond to the request for attorney's fees and costs, a party must complete, file, and 32 serve the following documents: 33 34 (1) Responsive Declaration to Request for Order to Show Cause or Notice of Motion 35 (form FL-320); 36 37 (2) - (4) \*\*\*38 (d)-(e) \*\*\* 39 40

ATTORNEY OR PARTY	WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address):	FOR COURT USE ONLY	
<u> </u>			
TELEDI IONE N	FAV NO. (Ontingelly		
TELEPHONE N			DRAFT
E-MAIL ADDRESS (Option ATTORNEY FOR (Nat			
`	<u>'</u>		Not approved by the
STREET ADDRE	RT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF		Judicial Council
MAILING ADDRE	SS:		
CITY AND ZIP CO	DE:		
BRANCH NA	ME:		
PETITIONE	ER:		
RESPONDE	NT:		
	PROOF OF SERVICE OF SUMMONS		CASE NUMBER:
	TROOF OF GERVIOL OF GOMINIONS		
4 4 4 2			
	service I was at least 18 years of age and not a party		
	ily Law—Marriage: <i>Petition—Marriage</i> (form FL-100) n FL-120)	), Summons (form FL-	110), and blank Response—Marriage
(1011)	-or-		
b. Fam	ily Law—Domestic Partnership: Petition—Domestic	Partnership (form FL-1	103), Summons (form FL-110), and
blanl	Response—Domestic Partnership (form FL-123)		
	-or-		
	orm Parentage: Petition to Establish Parental Relation		Summons (form FL-210), and blank
Resp	oonse to Petition to Establish Parental Relationship (	form FL-220)	
. 🗀 🧸 .	-or-		FI 222) 2
	ody and Support: Petition for Custody and Support o Response to Petition for Custody and Support of M		
	and	•	,
e. (1)	Completed and blank Declaration Under	(5) Complet	ed and blank <i>Financial Statement</i>
e (·/	Uniform Child Custody Jurisdiction and		ed) (form FL-155)
	Enforcement Act (form FL-105)	(e) Complet	ted and blank <i>Property</i>
(2)	Completed and blank Declaration of		tion (form FL-160)
(-)	Disclosure (form FL-140)	(7) Reques	t for Order (form FL-300), and blank
(3)	Completed and blank Schedule of Assets and Debts (form FL-142)		sive Declaration to Request for Order
(4)	Completed and blank <i>Income and</i>	(8) Other (s	
(7)	Expense Declaration (form FL-150)	` / `	
0 4-1	, was an alout		
2. Address where	e respondent was served:		
3. I served the res	spondent by the following means (check proper box)	) <i>:</i>	
a. Pers	sonal service. I personally delivered the copies to the	e respondent (Code C	Civ. Proc., § 415.10)
on (	date): at (time	e):	
	<b>stituted service.</b> I left the copies with or in the prese is (specify title or relationship to respondent):	ence of (name):	
		b	a shares at the affice arrives 1.1.
(1)	(Business) a person at least 18 years of age business of the respondent. I informed him or		
(2)	(Home) a competent member of the househo	_	
(2)	informed him or her of the general nature of the		
	moment in the or the general nature of the	papo.o.	Page 1 of 2

PETITIONE	R:	CASE NUMBER:
RESPONDEN	NT:	
3. b. <i>(cont.)</i>	on (date): at (time):	
. J. (Jenny	I thereafter mailed additional copies (by first class, postage prepaid) to the responses were left (Code Civ. Proc., § 415.20b) on (date):	pondent at the place where the
с.	A declaration of diligence is attached, stating the actions taken to first attempt Mail and acknowledgment service. I mailed the copies to the respondent, and first-class mail, postage prepaid, on (date):  (1) with two copies of the Notice and Acknowledgment of Receipt (form envelope addressed to me. (Attach completed Notice and Acknowledgment (Code Civ. Proc., § 415.30.)	Idressed as shown in item 2, by from <i>(city):</i> n FL-117) and a postage-paid return
d	(2) to an address outside California (by registered or certified mail with return receipt or other evidence of actual delivery to the respondence (specify code section):  Continued on Attachment 3d.	
a b	CE TO THE PERSON SERVED" on the <i>Summons</i> was completed as follows (0 As an individual <b>or</b> On behalf of respondent who is a (1) minor. (Code Civ. Proc., § 416.60.) (2) ward or conservatee. (Code Civ. Proc., § 416.70.) (3) other (specify):	Code Civ. Proc., §§ 412.30, 415.10, 474):
5. Person wh	no served papers	
Name:		
Address:		
Telephone	number:	
This perso		
a	exempt from registration under Business and Professions Code section 22350	(b).
c	not a registered California process server.  a registered California process server: an employee or an inc  (1) Registration no.:	dependent contractor
	(2) County:  ee for service was (specify): \$	
6 I de	clare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the	foregoing is true and correct.
7. I am	a California sheriff, marshal, or constable, and I certify that the foregoing is	s true and correct.
Date:		
	(NAME OF PERSON WHO SERVED PAPERS) (SIGNATU	RE OF PERSON WHO SERVED PAPERS)
	(SIGNATII	KE OF PEKSON WHO SERVED PAPERS)

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address):	FOR COURT USE ONLY
TELEPHONE NO.: FAX NO. (Optional):  E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional):  ATTORNEY FOR (Name):  SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF  STREET ADDRESS:  MAILING ADDRESS:  CITY AND ZIP CODE:  BRANCH NAME:	DRAFT Not Approved by the Judicial Council
PETITIONER/PLAINTIFF: RESPONDENT/DEFENDANT:	
OTHER PARENT/PARTY:	CASS NUMBER
REQUEST FOR ORDER MODIFICATION Temporary Emergency Child Custody Visitation Court Order Child Support Spousal Support Other (specify): Attorney Fees and Costs	CASE NUMBER:
1. TO (name):	
2. A hearing on this <i>Request for Order</i> will be held as follows: <b>If child custody or visitation is an i</b> Code section 3170 requires mediation before or at the same time as the hearing (see item 7.)	ssue in this proceeding, Family
a. Date: Time: Dept.:	Room.:
b. Address of court same as noted above other (specify):	
3. Attachments to be served with this Request for Order:	
	al Statement (Simplified) (form k Financial Statement (Simplified) es
Date:	
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(SIGNATURE)
4. YOU ARE ORDERED TO APPEAR IN COURT AT THE DATE AND TIME LISTED IN ITE REASON WHY THE ORDERS REQUESTED SHOULD NOT BE GRANTED.	M 2 TO GIVE ANY LEGAL
5. Time for service hearing is shortened. Service must be on or before (c	date):
6. Any responsive declaration must be served on or before (date):	
7. The parties are ordered to attend mandatory custody services as follows:	
8 You are ordered to comply with the <i>Temporary Emergency Court Orders</i> (form FL-305) att	ached.
<ul><li>8. You are ordered to comply with the <i>Temporary Emergency Court Orders</i> (form FL-305) att</li><li>9. Other (specify):</li></ul>	ached.

To the person who received this Request for Order: If you wish to respond to this Request for Order, you must file a Responsive Declaration to Request for Order (form FL-320) and serve a copy on the other parties at least nine court days before the hearing date unless the court has ordered a shorter period of time. You do not have to pay a filing fee to file the Responsive Declaration to Request for Order (form FL-320) or any other declaration including an Income and Expense Declaration (form FL-150) or Financial Statement (Simplified) (form FL-155).

	FL-300
PETITIONER/PLAINTIFF:	CASE NUMBER:
RESPONDENT/DEFENDANT:	
OTHER PARENT/PARTY:	
REQUEST FOR ORDER AND SUPPORTING DECL	ARATION
Petitioner Respondent Other Parent/Party requests the follow	ving orders:
1. CHILD CUSTODY  a. Child's name and age  b. Legal custody to (name of person who makes decisions about health, education,	c. Physical custody to (name of etc.)  person with whom child will live)
d. As requested in form Child Custody and Visitation Application Attachment (form Children's Holiday Schedule Attachment (form FL-3 Other (Attachment 1d)	ders (form FL-312)  orm FL-341(C))  ttachment (form FL-341(D))
e. Modify existing order (1) filed on (date): (2) ordering (specify):	
2. CHILD VISITATION (PARENTING TIME)	ing the hearing
a. As requested in: (1) Attachment 2a (2) Child Custody and Vision	tation Application Attachment (form FL-311)
(3) Other (specify):  b. Modify existing order (1) filed on (date): (2) ordering (specify):	
Case No. (if known):  (2) Family: County/state:  (4) Other	
3. CHILD SUPPORT (An earnings assignment order may be issued.)	
	onthly amount requested (if not by guideline)
d. Modify existing order (1) filed on (date): (2) ordering (specify):	

Notice: The court is required to order child support based on the income of both parents. It normally continues until the child is 18. You must supply the court with information about your finances by filing an *Income and Expense Declaration* (form FL-150) or a *Financial Statement* (*Simplified*) (form FL-155). Otherwise, the child support order will be based on information about your income that the court receives from other sources, including the other parent.

PETITIONER/PLAINTIFF:	CASE NUMBER:
RESPONDENT/DEFENDANT:  OTHER PARENT/PARTY:	
4. SPOUSAL OR PARTNER SUPPORT (An earnings assignment order may be issue a. Amount requested (monthly): \$ b. Terminate existing order (1) filed on (date): (2) ordering (specify):  d. Spousal or Partner Support Declaration Attachment (form FL-157) is partner support after judgment only)  e. An Income and Expense Declaration (form FL-150) must be attached  5. ATTORNEY FEES AND COSTS are requested on Request for Attorney Fees and declaration that addresses the factors covered in that form. An Income and Expense attached. A Supporting Declaration for Attorney Fees and Costs Order Attachment	Modify existing order (1) filed on (date): (2) ordering (specify): s attached (for modification of spousal or  Costs Order Attachment (form FL-319) or a se Declaration (form FL-150) must be
addresses the factors covered in that form must also be attached.	,
6. PROPERTY RESTRAINT  To be ordered pending the hearing  a. The petitioner respondent claimant is restrained from concealing, or in any way disposing of any property, real or personal, whether separate, except in the usual course of business or for the necessities of life.  The applicant will be notified at least five business days before any property and an accounting of such will be made to the court.	
b. Both parties are restrained and enjoined from cashing, borrowing agains changing the beneficiaries of any insurance or other coverage, including held for the benefit of the parties or their minor children.	ng life, health, automobile, and disability,
c. Lad Neither party may incur any debts or liabilities for which the other may be ordinary course of business or for the necessities of life.	held responsible, other than in the
7. PROPERTY CONTROL To be ordered pending the hearing	
	e, possession, and control of the following
b. The petitioner respondent is ordered to make the following pay due while the order is in effect: <u>Debt</u> <u>Amount of payment</u>	ments on liens and encumbrances coming  Pay to
8. OTHER RELIEF (specify):	

NOTE: To obtain domestic violence restraining orders, you must use the forms *Request for Order* (*Domestic Violence Prevention*) (form DV-100), *Temporary Restraining Order (Domestic Violence*) (form DV-110), and *Notice of Court Hearing (Domestic Violence*) (form DV-109).

FL-300

		FL-300
PETITIONER/PLAINTIFF:	CASE NUMBER:	
RESPONDENT/DEFENDANT:		
OTHER PARENT/PARTY:		
9. I request that time for service of the Request for Order and accompanying be served no less than (specify number): days before order shortening time because of the facts specified in item 10 or the attack	the time set for the hearing. I need	
10. FACTS IN SUPPORT of orders requested and change of circumstances f	for any modification are (specify):	
Contained in the attached declaration. (You may use Attached Dec The attached declaration must not exceed 10 pages in length unleaded obtained from the court.)	claration (form MC-031) for this pu	
I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the fo	pregoing is true and correct.	
<u> </u>		
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT)	

# T

#### **Requests for Accommodations**

Assistive listening systems, computer-assisted real-time captioning, or sign language interpreter services are available if you ask at least five days before the proceeding. Contact the clerk's office or go to <a href="https://www.courts.ca.gov/forms">www.courts.ca.gov/forms</a> for Request for Accommodations by Persons With Disabilities and Response (form MC-410). (Civil Code, § 54.8.)

#### FL-300-INFO

#### Information Sheet for Request for Order

#### **General Instructions**

The Request for Order (FL-300) form replaces the old Notice of Motion and Order to Show Cause forms. Use the Request for Order form to ask for court orders in your family law case.

- 1. You must complete the top portion of page 1, including your name and address, the court address, case name, and number.
- 2. Check all the boxes that apply to the orders you are requesting. Check the Modification box if you are requesting a change to an existing order. Check the Temporary Emergency Court Order box if you are requesting that the court issue emergency orders that will be effective before the hearing date.
- 3. List the name of the other person in your case in item 1.
- 4. Leave item 2 blank. The court clerk will fill in the date, time, and location of the hearing.
- 5. In item 3 list all of the forms that you have completed and filed with the court. These are the forms that you will have to provide to the other party.
- 6. Check the box in front of "Court Order" on page 1 only when you are:
  - Asking the court for temporary orders to go into effect before the hearing; or
  - Asking that the court order the other person in your case to come to court; or
  - Need an order that allows you to give notice of the hearing after the deadline for giving notice has passed.

Leave items 5-9 blank. The court clerk will fill in the information.

- 7. Complete the sections on pages 2 and 3 that apply to the orders that you are asking the court to make.
- 8. Date and sign on pages 1 and 3 of the form.
- 9. Complete any additional forms that you will need to file with your *Request for Order*.
- 10. File your completed *Request for Order* and other forms with the court clerk. (You may have to pay a filing fee. If you can't afford to pay the filing fee, you can ask the court to waive the fee by completing and filing a *Request to Waive Court Fees* (form FW-001)).

#### For example:

• If you are asking the court to make child custody orders, check the box marked Child Custody in the box just above item 1 on the first page and complete item 1 on page 2.

- If you are asking the court to make custody orders that go into effect before the hearing date, check the box "To be ordered pending the hearing" in item 1 on page 2 and check the box marked Temporary Emergency Court Order in the box just above number 1 on page 1.
- Complete the *Temporary Emergency Court Orders* (form FL-305) and file it with the *Request for Order*.
- Ask the family law facilitator or the self-help center staff to explain the procedures for requesting temporary emergency court orders at your court and follow those procedures.

#### Other forms to file with this Request for Order:

- If you are asking the court to make temporary orders that which will go into effect before the hearing date: a completed *Temporary Emergency Court Orders* (form FL-305).
- If you are asking the court to order spousal support: a completed *Income and Expense Declaration* (form FL-150).
- If you are asking the court to order child support: A completed *Income and Expense Declaration* (form FL-150) or a completed *Financial Statement* (*Simplified*) (form Fl-155).
- If you are asking the court for child custody orders: See item 1e on page 2 of the *Request for Order* (form FL-300) for the list of forms that you may have to complete.
- If you plan on having witnesses testify at your hearing: a completed *Witness List* (form FL-321).

## Note: Do not use *Request for Order* (FL-300) if you are filing a motion or order to show cause:

- For a contempt action in a family law case (use *Order to Show Cause and Affidavit for Contempt* (see form FL-410))
- To set aside a child support order (see form FL-361 or FL-640) or a voluntary declaration of paternity (see form FL-280)
- For a domestic violence protective order under the Domestic Violence Protection Act (see form DV-100). Note: You can use the *Request for Order* (form FL-300) in a domestic violence protective order case, but only if you have child custody, visitation, or support orders that you need modified.
- Other types of cases for which there are other Judicial Council forms just for those cases.

If you have a question about whether this is the right form for your situation or whether you need to complete additional forms, ask the family law facilitator, self-help center, or the clerk's office at the court.

## **Instructions for Giving the Other Party Notice (Service)**

#### **Service by Personal Delivery**

- After you file the *Request for Order* and other forms with the court clerk, you will get them back with a court date and time stamped on the first page of the *Request for Order*. You must make sure that the other party receives a copy of the *Request for Order* and all the other forms so that he or she has notice of the date, time, and location of the hearing and of the orders that you are asking the court to make. This means that you must "serve" a copy of the *Request for Order* and all the other documents on the other party. If you completed and filed an *Income and Expense Declaration* (form FL-150) or a completed *Financial Statement* (*Simplified*) (form FL-155), you must include a blank copy of these forms for the other party to complete and file.
- In general, the other party must be served with the *Request for Order* and other forms at least 16 court days prior to the hearing. If service is by mail, you must add 5 days. The court may order that the time for service on the other party can be shorter (See item 9 on the *Request for Order* (form FL-300)).

#### Service by Personal Delivery

If you have asked the court for temporary emergency court orders or other orders that will go into effect before the hearing, or you have asked the court to order the other party to attend the hearing and the judicial officer has signed the "Court Order" portion of the *Request for Hearing* form:

- Have someone else (who is at least 18) personally give a copy the *Request for Order* with the other forms and blank responsive forms to the other party.
- After the person gives the forms to the other party, he or she should complete a *Proof of Personal Service* (form FL-330). *Information Sheet for Proof of Personal Service* (form FL-330-INFO) has instructions to help the person complete the form.
- You then file the *Proof of Personal Service* with the clerk of the court 5 court days before the hearing date.

#### Service by Mail

If you have not asked the court for orders that will go into effect before the hearing, or you have not asked the court to order the other party to attend the hearing and the "Court Order" portion on page 1 of the *Request for Order* has not been completed or signed by the judicial officer:

- You can ask another person (who is at least 18) to mail the *Request for Order* with the appropriate attachments and blank responsive forms to the other party.
- If you filed the *Request for Order* asking for orders after the judgment was entered in your case or after permanent orders were made in your case, you will need to verify the address of the person who is being served and file proof of the verification with the court.
- After the person mails the forms, he or she should complete a *Proof of Service by Mail* (form FL-335). *Information Sheet for Proof of Service by Mail* (form FL-335-INFO) has instructions to help the person complete the form.
- You then file the completed *Proof of Service by Mail* (form FL-335) with the clerk of the court 5 court days before the hearing date.

For more information about giving notice, see *Information Sheet for Proof of Personal Service* (FL-330-INFO) or *Information Sheet for Proof of Service by Mail* (FL-335-INFO).

If you have questions about service or need additional assistance, contact the family law facilitator or self-help center in your county.

DRAFT Not Approved by the Judicial Council	FL-305
PETITIONER/PLAINTIFF: RESPONDENT/DEFENDANT: OTHER PARENT/PARTY:	CASE NUMBER:
TEMPORARY EMERGENCY COURT ORDER Attachment to Request for Order (FL-300)	S
The court makes the following orders, which are effective immediately and until the hearing:	
1. PROPERTY RESTRAINT	
<ul> <li>a. Petitioner Respondent Claimant is restrained from transficoncealing, or in any way disposing of any property, real or personal, wheth separate, except in the usual course of business or for the necessities of life. The other party is to be notified of any proposed extraordinary expendible be made to the court.</li> <li>b. Both parties are restrained and enjoined from cashing, borrowing against, or</li> </ul>	e. ditures, and an accounting of such is to canceling, transferring, disposing of, or
changing the beneficiaries of any insurance or other coverage, including life held for the benefit of the parties or their minor child or children.	
c. Neither party may incur any debts or liabilities for which the other may be he ordinary course of business or for the necessities of life.	eid responsible, other than in the
<ol> <li>PROPERTY CONTROL</li> <li>a. Petitioner Respondent is given the exclusive temporary use, possiproperty that the parties own or are buying (specify):</li> </ol>	session, and control of the following
b. Petitioner Respondent is ordered to make the following payments while the order is in effect:	-
Debt Amount of payment	Pay to
a. Petitioner Respondent will have the temporary physical custody, the parties subject to the other party's rights of visitation as follows:  b. Petitioner Respondent must not remove the minor child or children (1) from the state of California. (2) from the following counties (specify): (3) other (specify):  c. Child abduction prevention orders are attached (see form FL-341(B)).  d. (1) Jurisdiction: This court has jurisdiction to make child custody orders in this case Custody Jurisdiction and Enforcement Act (part 3 of the California Family Coccession).  (2) Notice and opportunity to be heard: The responding party was given notice are provided by the laws of the State of California.  (3) Country of habitual residence: The country of habitual residence of the child of the United States of America other (specify):  (4) Penalties for violating this order: If you violate this order, you may be supported.	en of the parties  se under the Uniform Child de, commencing with section 3400). and an opportunity to be heard as or children is
4. OTHER ORDERS (specify):	
Additional orders are listed on Attachment 4.	
Date:	UDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
5. The date of the court hearing is (insert date when known):	
CLERK'S CERTIFICATE  I certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of	the original on file in my office.

Date: Clerk, by , Deputy

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address):	FOR COURT USE ONLY
TELEPHONE NO.: FAX NO. (Optional):	DRAFT
E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional):	
ATTORNEY FOR (Name):	Not Approved by the
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF	Judicial Council
STREET ADDRESS:  MAILING ADDRESS:	
CITY AND ZIP CODE:  BRANCH NAME:	
PETITIONER/PLAINTIFF:	
RESPONDENT/DEFENDANT:	
OTHER PARENT/PARTY:	
APPLICATION AND ORDER FOR REISSUANCE OF	CASE NUMBER(S):
REQUEST FOR ORDER RESTRAINING ORDER (JUVENILE)	
☐ ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE	
Name of Applicant:	
<ul><li>2. Applicant requests the court to reissue the:</li><li>a. Request for Order and Temporary Emergency Court Orders</li></ul>	
b. Restraining Order – Juvenile	
c. Order to Show Cause (specify):	
3. The orders were originally issued on (date):	
4. The last hearing date was (date):	
5. Number of times the orders have been reissued:	
6. Applicant requests reissuance of the orders because:	
a. Respondent/Defendant Petitioner/Plaintiff Person to be rest served as required before the hearing date.	rained Other party could not be
b. The hearing was continued because the parties were referred to a court med	iator or family court
c. Other (specify):	·
I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing	g is true and correct.
Date:	
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(SIGNATURE)
ORDER	
7. IT IS ORDERED that the Request for Order and Temporary Emergency	Court Orders or Restraining Order
(Juvenile) or Order to Show Cause issued as shown in item 3 above and any ord changes them. The hearing is reset as follows:	
Date: Time: Dept.:	Room:
at the street address of the court shown above.	
8. Other (specify):	
All orders will end on the date and time shown in the box above unless the court extend	s the time.
Date:	JUDICIAL OFFICER Page 1 of 1

### DRAFT Not Approved by the Judicial Council

FL-315

	PETITIO	NER:							CASE NUMBI	ER:	
	RESPONE	DENT:									
		REQUEST	FOR S	SEPARAT	E TRIAL	OR			NSE TO RE ATE TRIAL	QUEST FOR	
	Atta	chment to		Request (form FL-	for Order -300)			nsive Decla FL-320)	aration to R	equest for Order	
1.	I am the (bifurcate		tioner an early	•	ondent and ate trial on the		quest issue or		the request	that the court sever	
	а. 🔲	Permaner	t custod	y and visitat	tion of the chi	ldren of the	e marriaç	ge or domestic	c partnership		
	b	Date of se	paration	of the partic	es						
	с. 🗀	Alternate	/aluation	date for pro	operty						
	d	Validity of	agreeme	ent entered	into before or	during the	marriag	e or domestic	partnership		
	e	(1) I will s Sche	serve wit dule of A ously ser	h this applic ssets and <i>E</i> ved or the p	Debts (form Fl parties have s	onse my pi 142) <i>and</i> tipulated ir	reliminar Income writing	y Declaration and Expense to defer servi	Declaration ( ce.	(form FL-140) and com FL-150) unless they hav w or on attachment 1e(2	e been
		preclu FL-31 (4) I unde	ded or m 8-INFO) rstand th	nade unnecento determinate the court	essary as a m e if a joinder i	atter of laving is required.  The orders some	v. (See F ) pecified	Retirement Pla	an Joinder—II	eeding, unless joinder is nformation Sheet (form nd 3 if the motion is gran	
		(5)			ourt make the				d 3 and anv a	ttachments.	
		E: A reques	t for an e	arly termina		narital or p	artnersh	ip status may	have a signifi	cant impact on your	
	f	Other (spe		,	,			, <b>3</b>	,	•	
2.	b	I request t	hat the c	g, ask the co	ot this separat						
ა.		ons in suppo emorandum				rting decla	rations a	attached.			

FL-315 CASE NUMBER: PETITIONER: RESPONDENT: 4. Conditions relating to bifurcation of the status of the marriage or partnership: a. I understand that the court must enter an order to preserve the claims of each spouse or domestic partner in all retirement plan benefits upon entry of judgment granting a dissolution of the status of the marriage or domestic partnership. b. I request that the court order the following as a condition of granting the bifurcation and ending the marriage upon an early and separate trial: (1) Division of property The petitioner respondent and his or her estate must indemnify and hold me harmless from any taxes, reassessments, interest, and penalties that I have to pay in connection with the division of the community estate that I would not have had to pay if we were still married or in a domestic partnership at the time the division was made. (2) Health insurance Until a judgment has been entered and filed on the remaining issues, the \_\_\_\_\_ petitioner \_\_\_\_ respondent must maintain all existing health and medical insurance coverage for me and any minor children as named dependents as long as he or she is eligible to do so. If at any time during this period, he or she is not eligible to maintain that coverage, he or she must, at his or her sole expense, provide and maintain health and medical insurance coverage that is comparable to the existing health and medical insurance coverage to the extent it is available. To the extent that coverage is not available, the petitioner respondent paying, and demonstrate to the court's satisfaction the ability to pay, for health and medical care for me and the minor children to the extent that care would have been covered by the existing insurance coverage but for the dissolution of marital status or domestic partnership, and must otherwise indemnify and hold me harmless from any adverse consequences resulting from the loss or reduction of the existing coverage. (3) Probate homestead Until a judgment has been entered and filed on all remaining issues, the \_\_\_\_\_\_ petitioner \_\_\_\_\_ respondent must indemnify and hold me harmless from any adverse consequences if the bifurcation results in a termination of my right to a probate homestead in the residence in which I am residing at the time the severance is granted. (4) Probate family allowance Until a judgment has been entered and filed on all remaining issues, the petitioner respondent must indemnify and hold me harmless from any adverse consequences if the bifurcation results in the loss of my right to a probate family allowance as the surviving spouse or surviving domestic partner. Retirement benefits Until a judgment has been entered and filed on all remaining issues, the petitioner respondent must indemnify and hold me harmless from any adverse consequences if the bifurcation results in the loss of my

consequences if the bifurcation results in the loss of rights to social security benefits or elections to the extent that I would have been entitled to those benefits or elections as the surviving spouse or surviving domestic partner.

must indemnify and hold me harmless from any adverse

partner.

(6) Social security benefits

The \_\_\_\_\_ petitioner \_\_\_\_\_ respondent

rights with respect to any retirement, survivor, or deferred compensation benefits under any plan, fund, or

arrangement, or to any elections or options associated those benefits, to the extent that I would have been entitled to those benefits or elections as the spouse or surviving spouse or the domestic partner or surviving domestic

PETITIONER: RESPONDENT:	CASE NUMBER:
(7) Beneficiary designation—nonprobate transfer  The petitioner respondent must maintain the beneficial Nonprobate Transfer Asset (Probate Code section 5000) identified on the a (See Attachment 7 (not a form), which lists each asset and proposed perceduntil judgment has been entered with respect to the community ownership of been distributed to me.	entage.) This designation must stay in effect
(8) Individual Retirement Accounts	
To preserve the ability of the nonowner to defer the distribution of an Individual upon the death of the owner, the court should make the attached orders as interest of petitioner respondent in each listed IRA to the which lists names of IRAs, account numbers, and amount to be awarded.)	
(9) Enforcement of community property rights	
Because it will be difficult to enforce either of our community property rights distribution or compliance with any court-ordered payment of any communit the attached order to provide enforcement security for petitioner a form), which specifies the security interest to be ordered as provided by F	ty property interest, the court should make respondent. (See attachment 9 (not
(10) Other conditions that are just and equitable	
The court makes the following additional orders:	
5. Number of pages attached after this page:	
I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing	is true and correct.
Date:	
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(SIGNATURE OF DECLARANT)

PETITIONER:	CASE NUMBER:
RESPONDENT:	

#### REQUEST FOR ORDERS REGARDING NONCOMPLIANCE WITH DISCLOSURE REQUIREMENTS

		Attachment to Request for Orde	r (form FL-300)		
1.	filed Deci	titioner Respondent has complied with mandatory arration Regarding Service of Declaration of Disclosure and Indian order that titioner respondent	·		our/
	а. 🔲	provide a (1) preliminary declaration of disclosure under Family (2) final declaration of disclosure under Family Code			
	b	provide a further response to his or her preliminary Code section 2107(b)(1).	final declaration	n of disclosure under Family	
	c	has failed to comply with disclosure requirements and is prev should have been covered in the declaration of disclosure un	-		
	d	be granted for good cause his or her request for voluntary was declaration of disclosure under Family Code section 2107(b)	-	oreliminary final	
	e	for the reasons described below, be ordered to pay money sarequirements. The amount of the money sanctions should be the conduct or comparable conduct, including reasonable atte that the noncomplying party acted with substantial justification sanction unjust. (Family Code, § 2107(c).)	in an amount sufficient to orney fees, costs incurred	deter him or her from repea, or both, unless the court fin	nds
	f	be granted his or her request to set aside the judgment under	Family Code section 210	07(d).	
	g	be ordered to comply with other, or alternative, relief, request	ed (specify):		
2.	FAC	TS IN SUPPORT of relief requested are (specify):  Contained in the attached declaration. (You may use Attach	ed Declaration (form MC-	031) for this purpose).	
	eclare und	er penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California th	at the foregoing is true ar	nd correct.	
		(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(SIGNATUI	RE OF APPLICANT) Pa	ige 1 of 1

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address):	FOR COURT USE ONLY
<u>L</u>	
TELEBURA DE LA COLONIA DE LA C	
TELEPHONE NO.: FAX NO. (Optional):	
E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional):  ATTORNEY FOR (Name):	Draft
	Not approved by the
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF	Judicial Council
STREET ADDRESS:	oddiolai oddiloli
MAILING ADDRESS:  CITY AND ZIP CODE:	
BRANCH NAME:	
PETITIONER/PLAINTIFF:	
DEGROUDENT/DEFENDANT	
RESPONDENT/DEFENDANT:	
OTHER PARTY:	
	CASE NUMBER:
RESPONSIVE DECLARATION TO REQUEST FOR ORDER	
HEARING DATE: TIME: DEPARTMENT OR ROOM:	
1. CHILD CUSTODY	
a. I consent to the order requested.	
b. I do not consent to the order requested, but I consent to the following order	er:
2. LL CHILD VISITATION (PARENTING TIME)	
a. L I consent to the order requested.	
b. I do not consent to the order requested, but I consent to the following order	er:
2 CUIL D QUIDDODT	
3. L CHILD SUPPORT	
<ul><li>a.  I consent to the order requested.</li><li>b. I consent to guideline support.</li></ul>	
<ul> <li>b.  I consent to guideline support.</li> <li>c.  I do not consent to the order requested, but I consent to the following order</li> </ul>	ar.
(1) Guideline	
(2) Other (specify):	
(-) (-)	
4. SPOUSAL OR PARTNER SUPPORT	
a. I consent to the order requested.	
b. I do not consent to the order requested.	
c I consent to the following order:	

www.courts.ca.gov

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing and all attachments are true and correct.

Date:

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)

(SIGNATURE OF DECLARANT)

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name and address):	FOR COURT USE ONLY
<u> </u>	
TELEPHONE NO.: FAX NO. (optional):	DRAFT
ATTORNEY FOR (Name):	Not Approved by the
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF	Judicial Council
STREET ADDRESS:	Judiciai Codricii
MAILING ADDRESS:	
CITY AND ZIP CODE:	
BRANCH NAME:	1
PETITIONER/PLAINTIFF:	
RESPONDENT/DEFENDANT:	
OTHER:	
ORDER TO PAY WAIVED COURT FEES AND COSTS	CASE NUMBER:
(Superior Court)	
(ouperior odurt)	
1. This proceeding was heard as follows: Default or uncontested By declar	aration under Family Code section 2336
Contested	•
on (date): at (time): in Dept.:	Room:
a. by Judge (name):	e
b. Petitioner/plaintiff present Attorney present	
c. Respondent/defendant present Attorney present	
d. Other present Attorney present	
e. On the request for order filed (date):	
2. THE COURT FINDS	
a. The court made an order waiving court fees and costs for petitioner	respondent in this matter on
(date):	Toopondon III this matter on
b. The court made an order for support payable by petitioner resp	condent tonetitioner
respondent on (date):	petitioner
c. After considering information in the court file and other evidence, petitioner	respondent has the ability to pay all o
part of the waived court fees and costs.	respondent. Thas the ability to pay all of
part of the warved boart root and coole.	
3. THE COURT ORDERS	
a. Petitioner Respondent must pay his or her own the	e other party's previously waived court fees
in the total amount of (specify):	, F h
b. Payment be made:	
(1) \$ per month until paid in full, beginning (date):	
(2) Within 10 days from the date of service of this <i>Order to Pay Waived Col</i>	urt Fees and Costs
(see attached Proof of Service).	ant rees and costs
(3) After all current support and accrued support arrears have been paid (if orders	ed to pay the other party's waived court fees).
(Gov. Code, § 68637(d).)	
(4) Other (specify):	
c. Payment be sent to (specify):	

	1 L-330
_ PETITIONER:	CASE NUMBER:
RESPONDENT:	
<ul> <li>4. NOTICE TO:</li> <li>Petitioner Respondent Initial fee waiver recipient, ordered to</li> <li>Petitioner Support obligor ordered to pay the incourt fees and costs</li> <li>The party ordered to pay fees and costs who did not receive the initial fee waive hearing when the court ordered payment of waived court fees and costs.</li> </ul>	nitial fee waiver recipient's waived
YOU HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY FOR A HEARING TO R THE COURT SET ASIDE THE ORDER TO PAY WAIVED COU	
<ul> <li>a. To request a hearing, complete and file with the court clerk:</li> <li>(1) Request for Order (form FL-300) and</li> <li>(2) Application to Set Aside Order to Pay Waived Court Fees—Attachment (form</li> </ul>	n FL-337)
b. The forms specified in item a must be completed and filed with the court clerk wi this Order to Pay Waived Court Fees and Costs (see attached Proof of Service).	
<ul> <li>c. In addition, the party requesting the hearing must serve the other party with:</li> <li>(1) Copies of the documents in item a filed with the court; and</li> <li>(2) A blank Responsive Declaration to Order to Show Cause or Notice of Motion You can obtain these forms from the clerk of the court, your county law library, or</li> </ul>	· ·
d. If a request for hearing is filed with the court clerk within the time specified in iter and costs will not be enforced until after the hearing.	m b, the order to pay waived court fees
WARNING: The court has ordered you to pay court fees and cos the court fees and costs, the court can institute collection proce you interest and a collection fee.	
Date:	JUDICIAL OFFICER

Draft Not approved by the Judicial Council	FL-337
PETITIONER:	CASE NUMBER:
RESPONDENT:	
APPLICATION TO SET ASIDE ORDER TO PAY WAIVED CO	OURT FEES—ATTACHMENT
Attachment to Request for Order (form	FL-300)
1. I am the petitioner respondent . I request that the court set asid	e the Order to Pay Waived Court Fees
<ol><li>In making this request, I ask the court to consider the information in the court's cas this application, the information specified in the supporting declaration, and the evid</li></ol>	
NOTICE	
To request a hearing, the party must complete and file with the court clerk the follow (2) Application to Set Aside Order to Pay Waived Court Fees (Family Law) (Form Fl filed with the court clerk within 30 days from the date of personal service of the Order days from the date the Order to Pay Waived Court Fees was served by mail.	L-337). These forms must be completed and er to Pay Waived Court Fees <b>OR</b> within 35
In addition, the party requesting the hearing must serve the other party with (1) Cop the court and (2) A <b>blank</b> Responsive Declaration to Order to Request for Order (for forms at the clerk of the court, your county law library, or at www.courts.ca.gov/form	rm FL-320). You may obtain Judicial Council
If the request for hearing is filed with the court clerk within this time, the <i>Order to Pagafter</i> the hearing.	y Waived Court Fee will not be enforced until
Supporting declarations attached. You may use Attached Declaration (form	n MC-031).
I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the fore	egoing is true and correct.
Date:	
<b>k</b>	
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(SIGNATURE OF DECLARANT)  Page 1 of 1

	DRAFT Not approved by the Judicial Council	FL-347
_	PETITIONER:	ASE NUMBER:
	RESPONDENT:	
	BIFURCATION OF STATUS OF MARRIAGE OR DOMESTIC PA	ARTNERSHIP
	ATTACHMENT TO JUDGMENT (FL-180) FINDINGS AND ORDE	R AFTER HEARING (FL-340)
	ne court grants the request of petitioner respondent to bifurcate and grant a solution of the status of the marriage or domestic partnership apart from other issues.	a separate trial on the issue of the
Da	ate marital or domestic partnership status ends (specify):	
ТН	HE COURT FINDS	
1.	A preliminary declaration of disclosure with a completed schedule of assets and debts and in been served on the nonmoving party, or the parties have stipulated in writing to defer service disclosure until a later time.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
2.	Each retirement or pension plan of the parties has been joined as a party to the proceeding f precluded or made unnecessary by applicable law.	or dissolution unless joinder is
ТН	HE COURT ORDERS	
3.	a. To preserve the claims of each party in all retirement plan benefits on entry of judgment g the marriage or domestic partnership, the court makes one of the following orders for eac is a participant:	
	(1) A final domestic relations order or qualified domestic relations order under Family Co party's interest in retirement plan benefits, including survivor and death benefits.	ode section 2610 disposing of each
	(2) An interim order preserving the nonemployee party's right to retirement plan benefits pending entry of judgment on all remaining issues.	-
	(3) A provisional order on Pension Benefits—Attachment to Judgment (form FL-348) inc judgment of dissolution of the status of marriage or domestic partnership (Judgment provisionally awards to each party a one-half interest in all retirement benefits attribu marriage or domestic partnership.	(Family Law)(form FL-180)). This order
	b. Name of plan:	Type of order attached
		3a(1) 3a(2) 3a(3)
	See attachment 3b for additional plans.	
	<ul> <li>The moving party must promptly serve on the retirement or pension plan administrator a cand be above and a copy of the judgment granting dissolution of the status of the marriage</li> </ul>	
4.	Jurisdiction is reserved for later determination of all other pending issues in this case.	
5.	The court makes the following additional orders as conditions for granting the severance on t marriage or domestic partnership. In the case of the moving party's death, the order continue estate and will be enforceable against any asset, including the proceeds thereof, to the same have been enforceable before the person's death.	es to be binding on that moving party's
	a. Division of property  The petitioner respondent must indemnify and hold the other party har reassessments, interest, and penalties payable by the other party	armless from any taxes, y in connection with the division of the

the division was made.

community estate that would not have been payable if the parties were still married or domestic partners at the time

# Beneficiary designation— Nonprobate transfer Attachment 5(g), Order Re: Beneficiary Designation for Nonprobate Transfer Assets, will remain in effect for each covered asset until the division of any community interest therein has been completed. **Individual Retirement Account** Attachment 5(h), Order Re: Division of IRA Under Internal Revenue Code Section 408(d)(6), has been issued to preserve the ability of \_\_\_\_\_ petitioner \_\_\_\_\_ respondent to defer distribution of his or her community interest on the death of the IRA owner.

		FL-34
	PETITIONER:	CASE NUMBER:
	RESPONDENT:	
5.	i. Enforcement of community property rights	
	Good cause exists to make additional orders as set out in Family Code section 2337(	(c)(9). See Attachment 5(i).
	j. Other conditions that are just and equitable	
	Other:	
6.	Number of attachments:	

**WARNING:** *Judgment (Family Law)* (form FL-180) (status only) must be completed in addition to this form for the status of the marriage or domestic partnership to be ended.

Family Law: Request for Order in Lieu of Notice of Motion or Order to Show Cause and Witness List for Use in Family Law Proceedings (adopt Cal. Rules of Court, rule 5.92; revise forms FL-300, FL-305, FL-306, FL-315, FL-320, FL-340, and FL-347; adopt FL-300-INFO and FL- 321; revoke FL-301 and FL-310)

All comments are verbatim unless indicated by an asterisk (\*).

	Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
1.	Hon. Irma Poole Asberry, Supervising Judge Superior Court of Riverside County Riverside	A	Excellent	No response required.
2.	Association of Certified Family Law Specialist (ACFLS) Diane Wasznicky, President San Rafael	AM	1. Rule 5.92(a)(1)(A) is vague and confusing. It leads one to believe that you do not have to file with the court the Request for Order (to obtain a hearing date) prior to serving it, if temporary orders are not being sought. Although some counties may allow for insertion of the court date (allowing for serving prior to filing) before actually filing the pleadings, some do not allow this and the motion (Request for Order) must be filed to obtain a valid date. This provision needs to be modified to clarify that a Request for Order must be filed, and a court date obtained, prior to serving it.  2. Form FL-306 is confusing as it allows for reissuance of Order to Show Cause, which has been eliminated under the proposed Rule of Court. To the extent that there remains certain motions which still are entitled Order to Show Cause (i.e. contempt), the re-issuance forms should be separate.	The committee revised the form to clarify FL-306/JV-251 is designed to be used to reissue an order to show cause that is issued on a form other than a <i>Request for Order</i> , a <i>Temporary Restraining Order</i> in juvenile court as well as a <i>Request for Order</i> in family court.
2	Day Ago Local Aid	ANG	•	The committee has shounded Dule 5.02 to slowify
3.	Bay Area Legal Aid by Jerel McCrary Family Law Regional Counsel Oakland	AM	Rule 5.92 (a) (1) (A) should be changed to read "unless the other party has made an appearance in the action, in which case service may be made on the attorney of record, if the other party is	The committee has changed Rule 5.92 to clarify that a <i>Request for Order</i> that has court orders issued pending a hearing or orders a party to appear in court must be served in the manner specified for the service of a summons in Code of

**SPR11-38** 

Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
		represented."	Civil Procedure section 413.10 et. seq.
		I would change the order of sections (a)(3) and (a) (4), since section (a) (2) describes documents which must be filed, as does the current (a)(4). (a) (3) describes something that need not be filed.	The order of the subsections has been changed.
		I would also change the language in current section (a) (3) so that is simply reads: "No memorandum of points and authorities need be filed with a request for orders unless required by the court." (Eliminate the language "on a case by case basis".)	The language "on case by case basis" is intended to emphasize that a court may not make a blanket rule that points and authorities are required in all cases.
		For clarity Section (a) (5) should be modified to read: "The moving party must file documents with the court and serve a copy on the person against whom relief is requested, as specified in sections (a) (1) (A) and (B), above"	The committee has changed the order of the subsections and clarified the service requirements.
		(b) Responding Papers The section begins by saying that to respond a party must file a form 320, but the form is also listed as a subsection under the statement: "Respondent may also be required to complete the following: The Responsive Declaration to Request for Order" To avoid confusion, the section should say: "To respond to the issues raised in Request for Order (FL-300) and attached papers, the party must complete, file and serve a Responsive	Rule 5.92(b) has been changed.

**SPR11-38** 

All comments are verbatim unless indicated by an asterisk (\*).

Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
		Declaration to Request for Order (form FL-320). The Responsive Declaration to Request for Order (form FL 320) must set forth facts sufficient to notify the other party of the declarant's contentions in response to the request for order and in support of any requested relief.	
		Many people, including some attorneys, request unrelated relief in response to requests for orders, so in this section, I would change the wording of the current second sentence to read. "The responding papers may only request relief related to the orders requested in the moving papers."	The committee has made this change.
		(Then Eliminate section (b) (3).) For consistency sake I would then reverse the order of current sections (b) (1) and (b) (2).	The committee has revised this subsection.
		FL 300 The advisory section at the bottom of the first page misstates the requirement for service of responsive papers in CCP 1005. They must be served at least 9 court days before the hearing date.	The committee has made this change.
		FL 300, page 2. The notice section relates to income information necessary for the court to make support orders. The text of new Rule 5.92 makes clear that parties must file completed	The committee has made this change.

**SPR11-38** 

Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
		Income and Expense Forms if support is at issue. The notice should read "You must supply the court with information about your finances. Otherwise it seems like it is simply permissible, but not mandatory.	
		FL 300-INFO The information concerning service is incomplete. It implies that you can serve any request by mail as long as you are not requesting temporary orders. It does not take into consideration post-judgment service as provided in FC Section 215.	The section on service has been redrafted to address this issue.
		FL 306/JV-251 This form needs extensive revision. Because the form attempts to combine current Juvenile Form 251 with a new Application for Reissuance of Request for Order, it has become impossibly confusing and will be seriously misleading, particularly for self-represented litigants. Since it refers to reissuing "Temporary Restraining Orders", some people will be tempted to use it to re-issue DVPAS. When an attempt has been made to rid family law of the terminology "Order to Show Cause" a new form using that terminology is not helpful.	The committee revised the form to clarify FL-306/JV-251 is designed to be used to reissue an order to show cause that is issued on a form other than a <i>Request for Order</i> in a family law case, a <i>Temporary Restraining Order</i> in juvenile court as well as a <i>Request for Order</i> in family court
		The current juvenile court form should not be modified. In none of the other areas Family Law, UPA or Governmental will there be	

**SPR11-38** 

	Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
			"Temporary Restraining Orders" or "Orders to Show Cause"—except as related to contempts. (There is no attempt to modify the current form to reissue DVPAs, nor should there be a modification of the juvenile court reissuance form.)  Therefore, all references to "Order to Show Cause" should be eliminated from this form. Temporary Restraining Order should be changed to "Temporary Orders" The statement of what area of law the form applies to should	
			be changed.	
4.	Hon. John Chemeleski Trial Court Commissioner Superior Court of Los Angeles Long Beach	A	Rule 5.92(a)(1)(A) is unnecessarily complicated. It should only refer to requests for orders with a pendente lite request and the "or seeks an order for the other party to attend" should be deleted. Compelling the attendance of a party is handled by a notice in lieu of subpoena under the CCP and having this language in this rule serves no legal function.	Other commentators have noted that the Order to Show Cause has been traditionally used to order the appearance of the other party. "An order to show cause is a notice of motion and a citation to the party to appear at a stated time and place to show cause why a motion should not be granted." (Difani v. Riverside County Oil Co. (1927) 201 Cal. 210, 213-214 (emphasis added).) This is critical in cases where the respondent has not appeared, as the order serves "not only as a notice of hearing but also as a citation or summons, giving the court personal jurisdiction when served" on the party. (Sarracino v. Super. Ct. (Sarracino) (1974) 13 Cal.3d 1, 8, fn. 6.). The committee intends that the Request for Order be used in lieu of an Order to Show Cause.

**Family Law: Request for Order in Lieu of Notice of Motion or Order to Show Cause and Witness List for Use in Family Law Proceedings** (adopt Cal. Rules of Court, rule 5.92; revise forms FL-300, FL-305, FL-306, FL-315, FL-320, FL-340, and FL-347; adopt FL-300-INFO and FL- 321; revoke FL-301 and FL-310)

	Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
			The sentence about service on the attorney of record should be modified as follows: " in which case service may be made on the attorney of record, if any, or on the party, as prescribed in CCP §§1010-1013."	The committee has changed the rule to address this issue.
5.	Christine N. Donovan, CFLS Senior Staff Attorney Superior Court of Solano County Fairfield	AM	Rule 5.92 I agree with the spirit of what Rule 5.92 is trying to accomplish, as having two forms is confusing to many litigants. However, I believe the rule needs to be modified to address one issue.	The committee has redrafted the rule and form FL-300 to address the issues raised by this commenter.
			I fear that Rule 5.92 is a departure from case law concerning issuance and service of orders to show cause on parties who have not yet appeared in the case. "An order to show cause is a notice of motion <i>and a citation to the party</i> to appear at a stated time and place to show cause why a motion should not be granted." ( <i>Difani v. Riverside County Oil Co.</i> (1927) 201 Cal. 210, 213-214 (emphasis	
			added).) This is critical in cases where the respondent has not appeared, as the order serves "not only as a notice of hearing but also as a citation or summons, giving the court personal jurisdiction when served" on the party. ( <i>Sarracino v. Super. Ct. (Sarracino)</i> (1974) 13 Cal.3d 1, 8, fn. 6.) This personal jurisdiction is absolutely required in order for the court to	

**SPR11-38** 

Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
		make binding orders concerning the issues raised in the <i>Request for Order</i> on individuals who have not yet appeared in the case.	
		Unfortunately, both the proposed rule and the proposed form implementing this rule don't provide the option of making the Request for Order the equivalent of an OSC when necessary. For example, Rule 5.92's language in (a)(1)(A) seems to suggest that the Temporary Court Orders form should be used when a party's personal appearance is needed at the hearing. It is confusing to require a separate form simply to order a party to appear in court. I suggest that this be revised. As for the form itself, there is no place on the proposed FL-300 to make the Request for Order into the equivalent of a citation, e.g. an order that requires the other party to appear without making other temporary orders. I suggest this option be included.	
		I have included suggested language below for both the rule and the forms.	
		Rule 5.92. Application for court order; response (a) Application for order; procedures (1) In a family law proceeding, other than an action under the Domestic Violence Prevention Act, local child support agency actions under the Family Code, or a contempt proceeding	

**SPR11-38** 

Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
		relating to family law, a notice of motion or	
		order to show cause must be filed on a <i>Request</i>	
		for Order (form FL-300), unless another	
		Judicial Council form has been adopted or	
		approved for the specific motion or order to	
		show cause.	
		(A) If the request for order seeks an order that	
		the other party attend the hearing, but does not	
		request any other temporary orders pending the	
		hearing, the Request for Order (form FL-300)	
		and attachments as appropriate to the case must be filed with the court before service on the	
		other party. It must be served in the manner	
		specified for the service of a summons in Code	
		of Civil Procedure section 413.10 et seq., unless	
		the other party has made an appearance in the	
		action, in which case service may be made on	
		the attorney of record.	
		(B) (A) If the request for order seeks court	
		orders pending a hearing or seeks an order that	
		the other party attend the hearing, the Request	
		for Order (form FL-300), the Page 3 of	
		Temporary Orders (form FL-305), and	
		attachments as appropriate to the case must be	
		filed with the court before service on the other	
		party. A complete copy of the Request for Order	
		and a copy of the Temporary Orders (form FL	
		305) endorsed by the clerk must be served in the	
		manner specified for the service of a summons	

**SPR11-38** 

Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
		in Code of Civil Procedure section  413.10.4.13.10 et seq., unless the other party has made an appearance in the action, in which case service may be made on the attorney of record.  (CB) If the Request for Order (form FL-300) is filed after entry of a judgment of dissolution of marriage, nullity of marriage, legal separation of the parties, or paternity, or after a permanent order in any other proceeding in which the visitation, custody, or support of a child was at issue, it must be served as specified in Family Code section 215.  (2)-(5) ***  (b)-(c) ***	
		Form FL-300 Overall, I like very much that the Request for Order and the Application for Order and Supporting Declaration are now one form. It will be much easier to use this way.	No response required.
		• Page 1 I suggest the phrase just above the words "Court Order" be revised as follows:	The language above the court order was deleted in response to comments made by others.
		Do not complete this section unless you are asking the court to make orders that will be in effect before the hearing date or order that a party appear at the hearing. I suggest that the following be added immediately under Item (7):  8.   You are ordered to appear in this court at	

**SPR11-38** 

Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
		the date, time, and place stated above to give any legal reason why the relief sought in the attached application should not be granted.	
		The proposed box of instructions on the bottom of Page 1 is confusing, in that the word "you" at the top part of the form refers to the moving party, but "you" in the box apparently refers to the responding party.	The language in the box has been changed to clarify that it is addressed to the responding party.
		The service deadline is inconsistent with CCP § 1005, subdivision (b), which requires service nine court days, not nine calendar days, prior to the hearing. It also does not warn the receiving party of the consequences of failing to respond. I suggest revising it as follows:	The committee has made this change.
		To the person served with this Request for Order: If you wish to respond to this Request for Order, yYou must file a responsive declaration with the court. The original responsive declaration must be filed with the court and a copy of the responsive declaration must be served on the other party at least nine court calendar days before the hearing date unless the court has ordered a shorter period of time.  Add five calendar days if you serve the other party by mail within California. (See Code of Civil Procedure section 1005 for other situations.) If you do not respond, the court may	The committee changed the advisory substantially in response to all the comments.

**SPR11-38** 

Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
Commentator	Position	make orders based solely on the Request for Order and any testimony at the hearing.  • Page 2  I like that the form now includes a notice regarding the length of a child support obligation immediately following Item (3). However, I believe it could benefit from one additional clarification as follows:	
		"Notice: The court is required to order child support based on the income of both parents. It normally continues until the child is 18 and no longer in high school. You should supply the court with information about your finances. Otherwise, the child support order will be based on information about your income that the court receives from other sources, including the other parent."  This change is proposed because many self-represented litigants could mistakenly read the form to understand that support terminates on the child's 18th birthday, even though the child is still a full-time high school student.	The committee believes that the existing language is less confusing for self represented litigants. The notice is primarily intended to inform the responding party that the amount of support is determined by both parties' income and that the party should submit his or her income information to ensure an accurate order. Adding "no longer in high school" does not inform the self represented litigant when the order will terminate. The word "normally" in the notice indicates that there are exceptions to the general rule that child support terminates at age 18.
		FL-300-INFO General comments: I like the idea of an information sheet for the FL-300. I think it will prove useful for self-represented litigants as a checklist of sorts for them to follow.	No response required.

**SPR11-38** 

Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
		The font on this form is Times New Roman, whereas the font on the FL-300 is Arial. Is this deliberate?	The font for the forms conforms to the requirements of the <i>Judicial Council Forms Manual</i> . Plain language forms are Times New Roman and other forms are Arial.
		I suggest the following revisions: General Instructions: ***  1. ***  2. Complete the court order section on page 1 of the form (items 4–86) only when you are asking the court for temporary orders to go into effect before the hearing or for an order requiring the other party to appear at the hearing.	
		At the bottom of the first column, there is a list of situations in which the <i>Request for Order</i> shouldn't be used. Although the list generally conforms with the proposed CRC 5.92, the statement "To set aside a child support order or a voluntary declaration of paternity" does not. Rule 5.92 does not list set aside of a child support order or voluntary declaration of paternity as being exempt from the <i>Request for Order</i> process. Is the reference to "setting aside" the child support order related to the <i>Notice and Motion to Cancel (Set Aside)</i> Support Order Based on Presumed Income	There are specific Judicial Council forms for setting aside a child support order (FL-361, FL-640) or a voluntary declaration of paternity (FL-280) and therefore these actions come within the exception specified in the rule. The form name and number has been added.
		(form FL-640)? If so, I suggest this be clarified. Otherwise, it doesn't make sense to tell a litigant not to use the FL-300. What else should	

**SPR11-38** 

	Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
			they be using, if not the FL-300? The INFO sheet is otherwise clear that the Request for Order can't be used for specific kinds of situations. But, it would be helpful to a litigant if the form pointed them to other forms:	
			Note: Do not use <i>Request for Order</i> (FL-300) if you are filing a motion or order to show cause: In a paternity or child support case filed by the local child support agency (see forms FL-600 et seq.) To set aside a child support order or a voluntary declaration of paternity (see form FL-640) For a domestic violence protective order under the Domestic Violence Protection Act (see forms DV-100 et seq.) <i>or</i> Other types of cases for which there are other Judicial Council forms	The committee has made this change
			1. FL-320, Caption box. I suggest the phrase "Other Party" be revised to read "Joined Party/Other Parent."	1. The committee and task force recommend leaving it as "Other Party" in the caption box on form FL-320. The committee and task force recommend using the term "other party" instead of "joined party" or "other parent" in all of the proposed forms to be consistent.
			2. FL-340. Caption box. I suggest the phrase "Other" be revised to read "Joined Party/Other Parent."	2. For consistency purposes, as described above, the committee and task force recommend changing the phrase "Other" to "Other Party".
6.	Family Violence Law Center Kristie Whitehorse,	A	How would the service requirements be changed?	The committee does not intend to change the service requirements for motions and orders to

Family Law: Request for Order in Lieu of Notice of Motion or Order to Show Cause and Witness List for Use in Family Law Proceedings (adopt Cal. Rules of Court, rule 5.92; revise forms FL-300, FL-305, FL-306, FL-315, FL-320, FL-340, and FL-347; adopt FL-300-INFO and FL-321; revoke FL-301 and FL-310)

	Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
	Managing Attorney Oakland			show cause.
7.	Roberta Fitzpatrick San Jose	AM	Witnesses: Each party should be entitled to witnesses who can directly testify to facts. If a party chooses, a sworn statement, subject to objection and requiring the witness to appear. Under no circumstances should a Family Court Services staff person be allowed to speak for persons, especially to make accusations about one party with no documentation to verify that information. Family Court must not be allowed to deteriorate into a kangaroo court. Parties must be given ample explanations of procedures and time to gather witnesses. I have spent many hours observing and experiencing the hostile, rude, and punitive behavior of clerks/attorneys toward the poor and traumatized. You need better and ongoing training and supervision in the self help and clerk's offices.	Family Code section 217 specifies that the parties are entitled to present live testimony unless the court finds good cause not to allow it. California Rule of Court 5.119 specifies the factors the court must consider in determining whether good cause exists to exclude live testimony. The committee agrees that self help and clerk's office staff should receive ongoing training and supervision.
8.	Susan Groves, Family Law Facilitator Superior Court of San Diego County San Diego	NI	Page 4, line 27: last sentence of Rule 5.92.(a)(A) – on the attorney of record, if any.  Page 5, line 16: (b)(1) – A memorandum of points and authorities, if ordered by the court.  Form FL-300:	The committee has redrafted Rule 5.92 to address these comments.
			After Item 7, add: Item 8. Other:  Form FL-300-INFO: This form needs	The committee has made this change.

Family Law: Request for Order in Lieu of Notice of Motion or Order to Show Cause and Witness List for Use in Family Law Proceedings (adopt Cal. Rules of Court, rule 5.92; revise forms FL-300, FL-305, FL-306, FL-315, FL-320, FL-340, and FL-347; adopt FL-300-INFO and FL- 321; revoke FL-301 and FL-310)
All comments are verbatim unless indicated by an asterisk (\*).

Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
		substantial revision.	
		Page 1, left column, after #3, add:	
		4. If you are asking the court for orders that will go into effect before the hearing or you are asking the court to order the other party to attend the hearing, ask the court clerk to have the judicial officer sign the "Court Order" portion of the Request for Order and return it and the other forms to you. [this section is being moved from the right column, the first paragraph under Notice (Service) by Personal Delivery with slight changes in wording as well]	The committees revised the form to address this issue.
		Page 1, right column, second bullet: Other types of cases for which there are other special Judicial Council forms just for those cases.	The committee has made this change.
		Page 1, right column, Instructions for giving the other party notice (Service) – needs to reformatted and rearranged as it does not flow logically. Suggestion contained below:	The committee has revised this section of the form FL-300 INFO.
		Notice to the other party (Service) [Change title from "Notice (Service) by Mail"]	
		You must inform the other party of your request. After you have filed your Request for Order and other forms with the court and have	

received a court date and time stamped on your

Family Law: Request for Order in Lieu of Notice of Motion or Order to Show Cause and Witness List for Use in Family Law Proceedings (adopt Cal. Rules of Court, rule 5.92; revise forms FL-300, FL-305, FL-306, FL-315, FL-320, FL-340, and FL-347; adopt FL-300-INFO and FL- 321; revoke FL-301 and FL-310)
All comments are verbatim unless indicated by an asterisk (\*).

Commentator	Position	Comment	<b>Committee Response</b>
		paperwork by the clerk of the court, you must SERVE a copy of all the documents upon the other party.	
		Service By Personal Delivery [changed title by	

deleting "Notice]

If you have asked the court for orders that will go into effect before the hearing or you have asked the court to order the other party to attend the hearing (the judicial officer will have signed the "Court Order" portion of the Request for Hearing form):

- Have someone else (who is at least 18) personally give the papers to the other party.
- After the person **personally delivers** [original version says "mails" even though service is personal] the forms, he or she should complete a Proof of Service (FL-330).
- You then file the completed Proof of Service with the clerk of the court before the hearing date.

<u>Service by Mail [changed title by deleting "Notice"]</u>

51

If you have not asked the court for orders that will go into effect before the hearing or that the

**SPR11-38** 

	Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
			<ul> <li>Other party be ordered to attend the hearing:</li> <li>You can ask another person (who is at least 18) to mail the Request for Order, with any accompanying attachments, and a blank responsive form to the other party.</li> <li>After the person mails the forms, he or she should complete a Proof of Service by Mail (FL-335) [do you want to say something about post judgment modification of child custody/visitation and support being served by mail with verification?]</li> <li>You then file the completed Proof of Service by Mail with the clerk of the court before the hearing date.</li> <li>Form FL-321</li> <li>Suggest adding to the header:   Other Parent/Party:</li> </ul>	The committee has made this change.
9.	Harriett Buhai Center Erin Dabbs Senior Staff Attorney Los Angeles	AM	Proposed Rule of Court, Rule 5.92:  Item (a)(5)(A): Form FL-320 is listed as "Responsive Declaration to Request for Order." However, it does not appear that a new FL-320 is being proposed. Thus, the correct name of the form is still "Responsive Declaration to Order to Show Cause or Notice of Motion." We	Form FL-320 was changed to <i>Responsive</i> Declaration for Request for Order and circulated for comment as part of the Family Law: Attorney Fees and Cost rules package (SPR11-35). It is now included in this package to take effect at the same time that the name of the FL-300 changes.

**SPR11-38** 

Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
		recommend that the Judicial Council either rename FL-320 or use the correct name of the form here in the Rules of Court.	
		Item (b) Responding Papers: The last sentence of the first paragraph should be changed to "The responding party may also be required to complete the following:" Currently it reads "The Respondent may also be" This is incorrect; it is the responding party that needs to file these documents. The Petitioner could in fact be the responding party if the Respondent filed the OSC. The word "Respondent" should only be used when describing the person who has responded to the underlying action. Further, to be consistent, the word "party" in the first sentence of this item should be changed to "responding party."	The committee has made this change.
		Item(b)(3): We suggest deleting this section because it is redundant. The introductory paragraph to this item already states that the responding party must file a <i>Responsive Declaration to Request for Order</i> (same comment as above under item (a)(5)(A) that the FL-320 name has not been changed).  Proposed Request for Order (FL-300):	The committee has made this change.
		Page 1, Item 6: We recommend moving the information about custody and visitation	The committee moved the information about custody mediation to item 2 on the Request for

**SPR11-38** 

Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
		mediation at item 6 up to item 2 as it is currently listed on the existing <i>Order to Show Cause</i> (FL-300) form. It is confusing to list the requirement that the parties attend conciliation court as a court order. Litigants who are not asking for ex parte orders might stop reading at item 3 because there is a note stating that they do not need to complete the below section unless they are asking for orders pending the hearing. There is no reason to list conciliation court here, where a litigant is unlikely to even notice it. Instead, conciliation court should be listed above, creating a new item 3 (and adjusting all subsequent numbers accordingly). Additionally, we noticed that there is no note that the Judicial Council is revoking the existing FL-300, <i>Order to Show Cause</i> . There is a note that it is revoking the existing FL-301, <i>Notice of Motion</i> . Presumably the <i>Order to Show Cause</i> form needs to be revoked as well, unless it will still be used in other types of cases.  Proposed FL-306/JV-251:	Order, but put the actual court order that the parties attend mediation as item 7 under the "court order" section.  The existing FL-300 <i>Order to Show Cause</i> would be revised to become the new <i>Request for Order</i> and not revoked.
		As noted above, we assume that the Judicial Council intends to revoke the current FL-300, <i>Order to Show Cause</i> , as it has introduced a new FL-300, <i>Request for Order</i> . Consequently, all references to the <i>Order to Show Cause</i> should be removed, unless there is a special <i>Juvenile Order to Show Cause</i> that is different	The committee revised the form to clarify FL-306/JV-251 is designed to be used to reissue an order to show cause that is issued on a form other than a <i>Request for Order</i> , a <i>Temporary Restraining Order</i> in juvenile court as well as a <i>Request for Order</i> in family court.

**SPR11-38** 

Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
Commentator	1 distribution	from the FL-300.  Additionally, as the form appears to also apply to reissuances of <i>Temporary Restraining Orders</i> as these are listed at items 2 and 4b, it seems that "Temporary Restraining Order" should also be listed as an option in the caption rather than	The committee added <i>Temporary Restraining Order-Juvenile</i> to the caption and substantially revised the form to clarify that the Restraining Order –Juvenile is one of the orders that may be reissued by using this form.
		just Order to Show Cause and Request for Order. Further, if this form is meant to be used to reissue a Temporary Restraining Order in some context, this should also be added to item 4, where currently only Order to Show Cause and Request for Order are listed. As one further correction on this point, at item 2, the word "or" should follow Request for Order to indicate that the Temporary Restraining Order is a third option.	reissued by using this form.
		Witness List (FL-321):  This form would benefit from a note at the bottom explaining that if the litigant has more than three witnesses, he or she could attach additional witness lists. Perhaps add a short note at the bottom along with a box to check that states "Additional witnesses are listed on attached FL-321."	The committee revised the Witness List form to provide space for 6 witnesses.
		FL-320, Item 5. Add boxes at items a, b and c, after the letter, and before the text, to be consistent with all other items on the form.	This committee and task force agree to make this change.

Family Law: Request for Order in Lieu of Notice of Motion or Order to Show Cause and Witness List for Use in Family Law Proceedings (adopt Cal. Rules of Court, rule 5.92; revise forms FL-300, FL-305, FL-306, FL-315, FL-320, FL-340, and FL-347; adopt FL-300-INFO and FL-321; revoke FL-301 and FL-310)

All comments are verbatim unless indicated by an asterisk (\*).

	Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
10.	Robert K. Holmes, CFLS Attorney at Law Holmes & Holmes Glendale	A	Well thought out changes.	No response required.
11.	Legal Advocates for Children and Youth (LACY) Andrew Cain, Supervising Attorney San Jose	A	FL-321: The Judicial Council asked for ways to reconcile concerns about providing contact information of potential witnesses. LACY believes the Judicial Council chose the appropriate approach in allowing the option to provide contact information. Should a party lack access to a witness, the trial court can weigh the various interests at issue and determine whether, upon request of that party, disclosure of contact information is required and/or a continuance is needed. The need to make these considerations on a case-by-case basis suggests that a uniform statewide rule would be inappropriate.	The committee decided to delete the column seeking contact information based upon other comments.
12.	Los Angeles Center For Law And Justice (LACLJ) Suma Mathai, Supervising Family Law Attorney Los Angeles	AM	Form FL-300 On Page 1, Item 6 should be moved above the litigant's signature and re-numbered as Item 4 (and all subsequent items re-numbered accordingly). Underneath the litigant's signature line is a new advisory instructing the litigant not to complete the section below unless s/he is requesting temporary orders. However, Item 6 – which applies to every litigant requesting custody and visitation orders, not just those requesting orders pending the hearing date – is underneath this section. This placement may give litigants the impression that they are	The committee moved the information about custody mediation to item 2 on the Request for Order, but put the actual court order that the parties attend mediation as item 7 under the "court order" section.

**SPR11-38** 

Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
Commentator	Position	not required to attend mediation if they are not requesting temporary orders, so we suggest that this item be moved for clarity.  Form FL-300-INFO Regarding the instructions for service by mail, these instructions do not provide adequate information in the event that the opposing party has not been served with the initial Petition, or	The committee revised this section to address this comment.
		not yet made a general appearance or had default entered against them. In these cases, personal service of the OSC on the non-moving party is required. Thus, we propose that the language be revised as follows:  "Notice(Service) by Mail If you are not asking the court orders that will go into effect before the hearing and the other party has already filed their Petition or Response:"	
		"Notice (Service) by Personal Delivery If you are asking the court for orders that will go into effect before the hearing or the other party has not yet filed a Response you are asking the court to order the other party to attend the hearing:"	
		Form FL-321 For the protection of those litigants and/or potential witnesses who are victims of domestic violence, we strongly advocate that the	The committee decided to delete the column seeking contact information based upon other comments.

**SPR11-38** 

Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
		inclusion of contact information for witnesses remain optional. In these cases, several options may be viable for the other party that wishes to depose and/or contact potential witnesses:  (1) On the date of the hearing, a party may request a short continuance and, after having met and conferred with the moving party, request that the court order the potential witness to appear at a deposition or provide information to the other party. At that time, either party may raise objections with the court, which can make orders prohibiting witness tampering or proscribing the scope or manner of witness examination.	
Los Angeles County Bar Association – Family Law Section Executive Committee, David P. Shebby, Esq. Claudia Ribet, Esq. Los Angeles	AM	SPR 11-38 - Rule 5.92 Application for Court Order; Response.  Rule 5.92: The LACBA Family Law Section supports the new proposed Rule 5.92.  Form FL-300 The proposed form FL-300 (the "proposed form") consolidates the current forms FL-300 (OSC), FL-30 I (Notice of Motion) and FL-310 (Application for Order and Supporting Declaration). However, the proposed form does not contain any language whereby a party may be ordered to appear at the hearing as is the case with the current FL-300. Nor does the proposed form instruct the responding party that, should	No response required.  The committee has added language ordering the party to appear.

**SPR11-38** 

Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
		they fail to appear at the hearing, adverse orders may be made in their absence. We believe the Proposed form should be amended to permit a party to be ordered to appear at a hearing. Similarly, we believe the proposed form should be amended to include a warning the responding party of the consequence of failing to timely file and serve a response or attend the hearing.	
		The proposed form, in the box at the bottom of page 1 of 3, states that "the original responsive declaration must be filed with the Court and a copy of the Responsive Declaration must be served on the other party 9 calendar days before the hearing date unless the Court has ordered a shorter period of time." (Emphasis added). This is an incorrect statement of law. Code of Civil Procedure §1005(b) provides that a response is due 9 court days before the hearing date. We believe the Proposed form should therefore be amended to replace the term "calendar" with the term "court."	The committee has changed 9 "calendar" to 9 "court" days.
		The proposed form, in the box at the bottom of page 1 of 3, also instructs a party serving responsive papers to "add 5 calendar days if you serve the other party by mail within California." This is also incorrect statement of law. Code of Civil Procedure §1005(c) provides that responsive papers "shall be served in a manner reasonably calculated to ensure delivery to	The committee has changed the information concerning service of responsive papers to conform to Code of Civil Procedure §1005(c).

**SPR11-38** 

All comments are verbatim unless indicated by an asterisk (*).
--

	Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
			the other party not later than the close of the next business day" after the response is filed. The 5 calendar days referred to in the proposed form are only applicable to papers giving initial notice. Code of Civil Procedure §1005(b). See also R. Weil and I Brown Jr. California Practice Guide; Civil Procedure Before Trial (The Rutter Group 2011) at §9: 105.1, p 9(1) -75. We believe the proposed form should therefore be modified to state that the responsive papers must be served in a manner reasonably calculated to ensure delivery no later than the business day subsequent to filing.	
14.	Sasha Morgan Managing Attorney, Self Help Center/ Family Law Facilitator Superior Court of Santa Cruz County Watsonville	AM	This is great new process, which will make filing a motion much simpler. I do not understand a section on the Information Sheet – bottom left Note: Do not use I do not understand why this form will not be allowed in a DCSS case. Currently if a SRL wants to request a modification of their support in a DCSS case or a variety of other things such as determine arrears we use the OSC or NOM. If we can't use this new form what will we use in a DCSS case? I was wondering if this note meant that DCSS cannot use it, but a SRL could. This was confusing.	The committee has changed the language to clarify that while DCSS and local child support agencies may continue to use the governmental child support forms for <i>Notice of Motion</i> and <i>Order to Show Cause</i> instead of the <i>Request for Order</i> , parents and other parties may use the new <i>Request for Order</i> in their DCSS cases.
15.	Kathleen Murphy Assistant Senior Family Law Facilitator	AM	Why can't this form also be used by a party trying to modify or get custody/visitation orders in a case filed by the LCSA (a DCSS case). So	The committee has changed the language to clarify that while DCSS and local child support agencies may continue to use the governmental

**SPR11-38** 

	Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
	Superior Court of Contra Costa County Martinez		long as venue is appropriate, parties are litigating custody/visitation in the DCSS case now, so why make them use a different form than everyone else? And what form would that be?	child support forms for <i>Notice of Motion</i> and <i>Order to Show Cause</i> instead of the <i>Request for Order</i> , parents and other parties may use the new <i>Request for Order</i> in their DCSS cases.
			All the forms should have Other in the caption and where necessary throughout the body of the forms (along with Petitioner and Respondent) so there is room for all the parties.	The committee has added "Other Parent/Party" to all of the forms, which a person other than petitioner or respondent might use.
			On the FL-300 INFO under the section for Notice (Service) by Personal Delivery, the 3rd bullet point reads: "After the person mails the forms" It should read "After the person gives the forms to the other party"	The committee has made this change.
16.	Neighborhood Legal Services of Los Angeles County Carmen Goldberg, Esq. Pacoima	NI	Comment Regarding all Forms in this Packet: NLSLA understands that part of the purpose of the Elkins committee was to create rules and forms using language that would be more accessible to a self-represented litigant. Other than replacing the term "Order to show Cause" with "Request for Order" on the FL- 300, the Committee has not done anything to make these new forms easier to use for the self- represented litigant. NLSLA proposes that the Committee rewrite these forms for use by self- represented litigants.	The committee will consider creating a simplified version of the <i>Request for Order</i> in a future RUPRO cycle in which the form would be circulated for comment.
			I. Form FL-321 Witness List	

**SPR11-38** 

Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
		A. Response to Specific Committee Question re Form FL-321 Witness List:	
		1. Witness Contact Information: Family Code §217 does not require that parties provide contact information for witnesses. Therefore, we feel this column is inappropriate in any case, regardless of whether there is domestic violence in the case or not. F.C. §217 only requires that the parties give a brief description of the anticipated testimony. Therefore, the Form FL-321 should only have two columns, one for "Name" and one for a "Brief Description of Testimony or What the Witness will Say."	The committee has deleted the column requesting contact information for the witnesses.
		An attorney representing a client (or a self-represented litigant who has learned about this procedure) can obtain witness contact information through discovery, such as interrogatories. If the court sees that a party has been unfairly prejudiced by a newly offered witness, the court has the ability to make orders ameliorating the prejudice, such as continuing the hearing.	
		If the Committee was inclined to keep a witness contact column on this form, NLSLA believes that there must be an exception for domestic violence cases, where giving the Respondent	

**SPR11-38** 

Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
		notice of the witnesses' contact information could place the witnesses in danger. Again, if the Court saw that a Respondent was unfairly prejudiced because they did not have contact information of witnesses (although the Code does not require it), the Court has the ability to make orders directly addressing that issue.	
		B. Other Comments re Form FL-321 Witness List:	
		1. "Attachment to: We anticipate that this form will not always be used as an attachment. Neither does F.C. §217 require that the witness list be served/filed along with the Request for Order or Response. Often, litigants do not know whom they can or will be calling as a witness when they file their Request or Response. Many self-represented litigants "SRL" may have no idea of this extra requirement of a witness list, and will be preparing and filing it at the hearing. Therefore, this form should be designed with a caption including a box for a file stamp. The form could contain its own proof of service section, or the parties could use the general proof of service form.	Rule 5.119(e) of the California Rules of Court adopted by the Judicial Council effective July1, 2011 states that "[w]itness lists required by Family Code section 217 must be served along with the order to show cause, notice motion, or responsive papers in the manner required for service of those documents. If no witness list has been served, the court may require an offer of proof before allowing any nonparty witness to testify." Nevertheless, the committee has redesigned the form as suggested.
		2. We note that there is no equivalent form to be used in DVPA cases, although DVPA parties	Parties in DVPA cases may use this form.

**SPR11-38** 

Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
		are also subject to F.C. §217.  II. Proposed Rule 5.92:	
		Comment re 5.92(a)(1): The Rule says, "In a family law proceeding, other than an action under the [DVPA] a notice of motion or [OSC] must be filed on a Request for Order (form FL-300), unless another Judicial Council form has been adopted" This language could be confusing to DVPA litigants, because the language "other than an action under the [DVPA]" could be read to mean that requests for orders modifying DVPA orders are an exception to this rule.	The committee added language to clarify that the form may be used in a DVPA action. Some courts have indicated that they allow motions for modification in DVPA actions to be filed on the Request for Order (DV-100).
		NLSLA believes that DVPA litigants should have a separate, simple-language form - consistent with the other DVPA forms - to make modifications to DVPA orders. However, if it is approved that requests for orders modifying DVPA orders must be made with an FL-300, we recommend modifying the language of this portion of the Rule in order to clarify this issue.	The committee will consider adopting a separate DVPA form that requests modification of orders in DV cases. In the meantime, this form may be used to modify DVPA orders.
		III. Form FL-300 Request for Order  A. Comments re Form FL-300 Request for Order:	
		1. This form is inappropriate for use as a	The committee will consider adopting a separate

**SPR11-38** 

All comments are verbatim unless indicated by an asterisk (\*).

Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
		Request to Modify an order in a DVPA case. First, this form is not consistent with the rest of the DVPA forms as this form is not in plain language or format. The policies, which are the reason for the plain language DVPA forms, apply equally to those forms modifying a DVPA order. The vast majority of DVPA litigants is self-represented and will have difficulty with these forms. Some of the courts in which NLSLA practices in Los Angeles use the DV-100 form both as an initial request form and a form to request an amended order. In the alternative, NLSLA suggests that the Committee create a simple language form to modify orders in DVPA cases.	DVPA form that requests modification of orders in DV cases.  The committee suggests checking the "Modification" box and the "Other" box and then
		suggests that the form be modified to have a check box in the caption specifically referring to "Modification of Domestic Violence Order."  3. Item 3.a. refers to form FL-310 as well as FL-320, both of which have been revoked.	The reference to FL-310 has been deleted. Form FL-320 was changed to <i>Responsive Declaration</i> for <i>Request for Order</i> and circulated for comment as part of the Family Law Attorney's Fees and Cost (SPR 11-35) rules package. It is now included in this package to take effect when the FL-300 changes.
		4. The new box on the bottom of page 1 is a	The committee has made this change.

**SPR11-38** 

which reads, "Application for Order and Supporting Declaration" should read instead: "Request for Orders." Then, on Page 3, above Item 10 Facts in Support, the Committee should insert the following heading: "Supporting Declaration." This modification would at least partially clarify the two purposes of the form by dividing it into two sections.  6. NLSLA notes an inconsistency in that the FL-300 is a Request for Order (singular), but the FL-305 is titled Temporary Court Orders (plural).  IV. Form FL-306 Application and Order for Reissuance of Order to Show Cause	C	Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
which reads, "Application for Order and Supporting Declaration" should read instead: "Request for Orders." Then, on Page 3, above Item 10 Facts in Support, the Committee should insert the following heading: "Supporting Declaration." This modification would at least partially clarify the two purposes of the form by dividing it into two sections.  6. NLSLA notes an inconsistency in that the FL-300 is a Request for Order (singular), but the FL-305 is titled Temporary Court Orders (plural).  IV. Form FL-306 Application and Order for Reissuance of Order to Show Cause  1. NLSLA finds the repeated use of separate  The committee revised the form to clarif				should contain an introductory phrase, such as: "To the party responding to this Request for	
FL-300 is a Request for Order (singular), but the FL-305 is titled Temporary Court Orders (plural).  IV. Form FL-306 Application and Order for Reissuance of Order to Show Cause  1. NLSLA finds the repeated use of separate  The committee revised the form to clarif				which reads, "Application for Order and Supporting Declaration" should read instead: "Request for Orders." Then, on Page 3, above Item 10 Facts in Support, the Committee should insert the following heading: "Supporting Declaration." This modification would at least partially clarify the two purposes of the form by	
Reissuance of Order to Show Cause  1. NLSLA finds the repeated use of separate  The committee revised the form to clarif				FL-300 is a Request for Order (singular), but the FL-305 is titled Temporary Court Orders	Agree
Order to be confusing. We suggest eliminating or modifying this format in order to minimize than a <i>Request for Order</i> , a <i>Temporary</i> this confusion. Without a specific explanation  Restraining Order in juvenile court, as we have a suggest eliminating order to show cause that is issued on a formation than a <i>Request for Order</i> , a <i>Temporary</i> this confusion. Without a specific explanation				boxes for Order to Show Cause and Request for Order to be confusing. We suggest eliminating or modifying this format in order to minimize this confusion. Without a specific explanation of the Committee's reason for proposing this	Restraining Order in juvenile court, as well as a Request for Order in family court. The revisions

**SPR11-38** 

All comments are verbatim unless indicated by an asterisk (\*).

	Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
			alternative format.  V. Form 315 Application or Response re Separate Trial  1. NLSLA suggests that the Committee modify this form to replace the term "application" with the term "request." This change is consistent with the changes to the FL-300 and is more simple language.	The committee has made this change.
17.	Maralee Nelder, CFLS Attorney at Law Grass Valley	AM	FL 300 Notice block at the bottom of page 1 about the timing of filing responsive pleadings raises a query. Doesn't CCP 1005 (b) specify responsive / opposing pleadings be filed 9 court days in advance of the hearing, rather than 9 calendar days?	The committee has made this change.
18.	Orange County Bar Association	A	No narrative comments submitted.	No response required.
19.	Sonoma County Bar Association Family Law Section Best Practices Committee by Greg Jilka Santa Rosa	A	No narrative comments submitted.	No response required.
20.	Sonoma County Bar Association Family Law Section Carla Boyd Terre Santa Rosa	AM	1. FL-320, Item 5d. What is currently Item 5.d should be changed to its own item number (item 6) and the other numbers below should be renumbered accordingly. What is currently item 5d also applies to requests for child support, and	1. The committee and task force recommend deleting item 5d in its entirety. The committee and task force agree that a completed <i>Income and Expense Declaration</i> (form FL-150) is required for child support, spousal or partner support, and

**SPR11-38** 

All comments are verbatim unless indicate	d by ar	asterisk (	(*).
---	---------	------------	------

	Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
			spousal support. By only listing the need to file FL-150 under attorney's fees, the form may confuse self-represented litigants.	needs-based attorney's fees and costs, but the committee and task force do not recommend including a reference to the <i>Income and Expense Declaration on Responsive Declaration to Request for Order</i> (form FL-320) because it does not fit the current format of form FL-320.
				In addition, information about filing form FL-150 is already included in other rule and form proposals currently being considered for adoption by the Judicial Council, including: the <i>Request for Attorney's Fees and Costs Order Attachment</i> (form FL-319) (in item 7b of the "Notice to Responding Party" section) and <i>Information Sheet for Request for Order</i> (form FL-300-INFO).
			2. FL-320, Item 5d. What is currently Item 5d should then read: "If child support, spousal support, partner support, and/or attorney's fees are at issue, then you must also complete, file, and serve the following documents:"	2. As described above, the committee and task force do not recommend adding additional language on the form describing which documents to attach. The committee and task force recommend eliminating item 5d in its entirety.
21.	The State Bar of California Standing Committee on the Delivery of Legal Services (SCDLS) Office of Legal Services By Sharon Ngim Program Developer and Staff Liaison, San Francisco  [This position is only that of the State Bar of California's Standing Committee on the	AM	Combining the Notice of Motion forms with the Order to Show Cause forms makes sense and provides a simpler vehicle to make requests of the court. Simplification of this process will provide more clarity to the method in which orders in a family law case are obtained. For both represented and self-represented litigants, the forms are clear and instructions in the rules are helpful to understand what is required when	No response required.

**SPR11-38** 

All comments are verbatim unless indicated by an asterisk (\*).

Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
Delivery of Legal Services. This position has not been adopted by the State Bar's Board of Governors or overall membership, and is not to be construed as representing the position of the State Bar of California. Committee activities relating to this position are funded from voluntary sources.]		filing these pleadings.  Highlighting the need to file a Response to the Request for Order will be helpful as many people are not aware of the need to file a Responsive Declaration in these matters.	No response required.
		The proposed witness list form helps litigants access their right to live testimony. The form is straightforward for use by both a represented and self-represented person. To help avoid harassment of witnesses, it would be helpful to place a warning at the top of this form indicating that it is a crime to harass or dissuade a person from testifying in court.  In addition, to the comments above, technical changes are suggested below:	The committees removed the column which requested contact information for the witnesses and therefore feel that the notice is not necessary.
		• Replace "Visitation" with "Parenting Time" throughout forms FL-300 and FL-315.	The committee decided to use "visitation (parenting time)."
		Form FL-300 • In title area of the form, add a box for Motion in the top row, next to the Modification box. Both Modification and Motion boxes should be on line of Request for Order. Hearings are calendared, cases prepped for hearing, legal research performs review and stats are maintained according to these hearing types, to not specifically identify these would create extra	The comments include a number of suggestions for adding a number of different boxes to be checked in the area towards the top of the form. The committee will review whether to adopt a cover sheet to be completed by the attorney or litigant, which would help identify the types of motions and orders to show cause that courts need to identify for more efficient case processing. In

**SPR11-38** 

Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
		work for court staff and judicial officers as they try to identify the hearing through document review.	the meantime, courts can ask litigants to identify motions in the "Other box".
		• In item 2, replace "relief" with "order", as "relief" is not always requested.	The committee has made this change.
		• In item 2(a), replace two entries of Dept: Room: as one entry labeled Dept/Room:	Some courts may still need both.
		• Expand box around 2(a) to include the information in 2(b) so that all the information regarding the hearing, including the location, is together. There is inconsistency in the forms in this area.	All of the family law forms use this format and it conforms to the <i>Judicial Council Forms Manual</i> .
		• Section under party signature line is unclear and it appears that this information should be completed by the court, and as such, the checkbox in front of "COURT ORDER" should be removed. We suggest the wording above "COURT ORDER" read, "THIS SECTION FOR COURT USE"; this should be completed by the Court, if completed incorrectly it will need to be crossed out, or could be overlooked and not crossed out when it should be.	The wording above the court order has been removed to accommodate courts that have court personnel complete the court order section. The check box in front of the court order remains since the form will sometimes be used as a notice of motion and no court orders will be required.
		Form FL-300-INFO • In item 1, add the following language: "Check all boxes that apply to the order you are	The committee has made this change.

**SPR11-38** 

All comments are verbatim unless indicate	d by ar	asterisk (	(*).
---	---------	------------	------

	Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
			requesting. Mark the Modification box if you are requesting a change to an existing order." (See suggestion re: Modification above.)	
			• Remove item 2; this section should be for court use only. (See suggestion above.)	The committee has made this change.
			• In last bullet under Notice by Mail, to be consistent with the rest of the information sheet, the form number should be added after <i>Proof of Service by Mail</i> (FL-335).	The committee has made this change.
			• In last bullet under Notice by Mail, pursuant to new rule 5.94(c), the last line should read "with the clerk of the court <u>five court days</u> before the hearing date."	The committee has made this change.
			Form FL-321 • Under heading labeled "Witness List", add box for "Other" and a line to specify the party, in the event another party (such as Minor's Counsel) intends to call witnesses.	The committee has made this change.
			• Contact information is not requested in witness lists for civil or criminal cases – why is this an optional requested item on the Family Law form?	The committee has deleted the column requesting contact information.
22.	Superior Court of Amador County Janet Davis, Court Manager	AM	The new FL-300 is to take the place of both the OSC and the NOM. There is no place on the proposed FL-300 that is an order to show cause	The committee has added the language from the <i>Order to Show Cause</i> ordering the party to attend the hearing, back into the form.

**SPR11-38** 

Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
		or 'orders the party to attend the court hearing.' Below is the language from the current FL-300. It was my understanding if the court does not have jurisdiction of a party the order to show cause process is used to order the party to attend and allows the court to make orders upon valid proof of service.	
		Accordingly the revised form FL-306 for reissuance is confusing in that it has many references to an "order to show cause" but there is no language on the proposed revised FL-300 that sets forth or contains the language it is an "order to show cause." From what I can determine it is only a "Request for Order." The references to order to show cause on the FL-306 is confusing since there is no order to show cause. Even the Proposed Revised FL-305 (temporary orders) does not contain order to show cause language.	The committee revised the form to clarify FL-306/JV-251 is designed to be used to reissue an order to show cause that is issued on a form other than a <i>Request for Order</i> , a <i>Temporary Restraining Order</i> in juvenile court as well as a <i>Request for Order</i> in family court.
Superior Court of Contra Costa County Kathleen Shambaugh Business Operations Administrator Martinez	AM	Combining the Order to Show Cause and Notice of Motion forms is a very good idea; I have a few suggestions:  1. Add "Other" in the box for Petitioner and Respondent. These forms are used to request custody orders and support modifications by respondents in DCSS cases. In those cases, the	The committee has made this change.
	Superior Court of Contra Costa County Kathleen Shambaugh Business Operations Administrator	Superior Court of Contra Costa County Kathleen Shambaugh Business Operations Administrator	or 'orders the party to attend the court hearing.' Below is the language from the current FL-300. It was my understanding if the court does not have jurisdiction of a party the order to show cause process is used to order the party to attend and allows the court to make orders upon valid proof of service.  Accordingly the revised form FL-306 for reissuance is confusing in that it has many references to an "order to show cause" but there is no language on the proposed revised FL-300 that sets forth or contains the language it is an "order to show cause." From what I can determine it is only a "Request for Order." The references to order to show cause on the FL-306 is confusing since there is no order to show cause. Even the Proposed Revised FL-305 (temporary orders) does not contain order to show cause language.  Superior Court of Contra Costa County Kathleen Shambaugh Business Operations Administrator Martinez  AM Combining the Order to Show Cause and Notice of Motion forms is a very good idea; I have a few suggestions:  1. Add "Other" in the box for Petitioner and Respondent. These forms are used to request custody orders and support modifications by

**SPR11-38** 

All comments are verbatim unless indi	icated by an asterisk (*).
---------------------------------------	----------------------------

Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
		2. FL-300 – text box that begins "You must," change to: "If you want to file a response to this request, you must file a responsive declaration"	The committee has made changes to address this comment.
		Also, add that there is no filing fee to file a responsive declaration with the court.	The committee has made this change.
		3. Address box at the top of all forms: many self-represented litigants are confused about the address box; they fail to complete it because it says "Attorney or party without attorney." Could you change the caption to read, "Name and address of party <b>OR</b> Name, address and State Bar number of attorney."	The address box has been changed to read:
		4. Add "Other" to all of the caption boxes at the top of the other pages in the forms.	The committee has made this change.
		5. FL-300 –INFO: Section 3: delete "In a paternity or child support case filed by the local child support agency." Litigants do use these forms to request custody orders in governmental cases and to request child support modifications in governmental cases.	The committee has clarified that litigants in child support cases may use the form, but that DCSS is not required to use it
		6. FL-300-INFO: third paragraph from the top: add information about court filing fees and fee waivers after the information about asking for a court date and time.	The committee has made this change.

# **SPR11-38**

	Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
			7. FL-305, section 3 add a checkbox for "Other."	The committee has made this change.
24.	Superior Court of Los Angeles County Los Angeles	AM	These changes are generally excellent. We have comments on two of the forms.	
			Form FL-300 (Request for Order).	
			The form should include an additional box on the front page under "Request for Order" for "Discovery" and a box for "Bifurcation." We have historically treated discovery and other motions differently from OSC's because we have research attorneys assisting some of our courtrooms with discovery and other motions. It would be very helpful to us to have these two boxes since these kinds of requests would be the majority of the motion-type requests we receive. It will save a great deal of our staff time to add these boxes so that the request can be routed to the appropriate person.	The comments include a number of suggestions for adding a number of different boxes to be checked in the area towards the top of the form. The committee will review whether to adopt a cover sheet to be completed by the attorney or litigant, which would help identify the types of motions and orders to show cause that courts need to identify for more efficient case processing. In the meantime, courts can ask litigants to identify discovery motions and bifurcations in the "Other box".
			The material which is in item 6 should be at the top as item 2 and sufficient space should be left to fill in the appointment information. Where it is now located, it appears that the requirement for mediation applies only if one is asking for orders before the hearing date.	The committee moved the information about custody mediation to item 2 on the Request for Order, but put the actual court order that the parties attend mediation as item 7 under the "court order" section.
			The instructions indicate that the applicant is to	The committee has made the changes to the

**SPR11-38** 

Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
		fill out items 1 through 3. In our court, the filing window assigns the hearing dates and times. The instructions should state that the applicant should fill out items 1 AND 4 (assuming that item 6 becomes item 3). The form itself could indicate "For court use only" in the 2 box.	information sheet.
		The bottom part of the form (below "Do not complete this form") is confusing: it is not clear that it is to be used in connection with seeking ex parte relief. There is no place to indicate that the request is being made on an ex parte basis (a defect in the current forms, too) and the language suggests that the applicant is to fill out items 4 and 5. In Los Angeles, the court fills in the information about the shortened time since it is a Court Order. We do not want this information filled in by the applicant.	The committee deleted the language "Do not complete
		It would be helpful to have another box at the top of the form for use for ex parte requests for example "Request for Temporary Order."	The committee has added a box for "Request for Temporary Emergency Orders."
		The language preceding items 4 and 5 (or 5 and 6 if item 7 is moved up) also suggests that items 4 and 5 would only be completed if temporary orders are made when often the only temporary orders made as a result of an ex parte request are those for shortened time. We suggest substituting "To be completed only by court" for the language "Do not complete"	The committee deleted the language before the court order, but decided to leave it blank rather than add "For Court Use Only" in order to accommodate the practice in some courts that requires the litigant or attorney to complete this section before filing.

**SPR11-38** 

Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
		Item 7 should refer to the FL 305 which is the attachment on which the temporary orders would be indicated.	The committee has made this change.
		The notice about ADA accommodation is missing.	The committee has made this change.
		Draft Information Sheet for Request for Order (FL-300 INFO)	
		The first instruction should be to complete items 1 and 3, not items $1-3$ . The court fills in the hearing date, time, department and room.	The committee has made this change.
		On the right side, top of the page, the second bullet point states "Other types of cases for which there are other Judicial Council forms." We propose that this be changed to "Other types of cases when there is a specific Judicial Council form for that type of relief."	The committee changed the sentence to read: "Other types of cases when there is a specific Judicial Council form just for those types of cases"
		Make it clear that notice is given <u>after</u> the hearing date is obtained. Specifically, add "Then" before the heading "Notice (Service) by Mail."	The committee has made changes that address this comment.
		Either the term "court date" or "hearing" should be used but not both as self-represented litigants may be confused by the use of the different terms for the same meaning.	"Court date" and "hearing" do not always have the same meaning. Court date only refers to the date of the hearing.

# **SPR11-38**

Family Law: Request for Order in Lieu of Notice of Motion or Order to Show Cause and Witness List for Use in Family Law Proceedings (adopt Cal. Rules of Court, rule 5.92; revise forms FL-300, FL-305, FL-306, FL-315, FL-320, FL-340, and FL-347; adopt FL-300-INFO and FL-321; revoke FL-301 and FL-310)

	Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
			The "Notice" section should contain the time requirement for service.	The committee has made this change.
25.	Superior Court of Monterey County Minnie Monarque Director of Civil & Family Law Division	A		The committee has deleted the column requesting contact information.
26.	Superior Court of Orange County Family Law Judicial Panel Santa Ana	AM	The "Request for Order" form does not provide for a box to be checked for law and motion matters. The form must include such a box or scheduling issues will arise which will result in increased continuances. Law and motion matters are to be accompanied by points and authorities pursuant to the CCP. There should be some reference to this requirement on the form as there is for the FC 4320 factors.	The comments include a number of suggestions for adding a number of different boxes to be checked in the area towards the top of the form. The committee will review whether to adopt a cover sheet to be completed by the attorney or litigant, which would help identify the types of motions and orders to show cause that courts need to identify for more efficient case processing. In the meantime, courts can ask attorneys and litigants to identify law and motion matters in the "Other box".  Rule 5.119 of the California Rules of Court state that: "No memorandum of points and authorities

# **SPR11-38**

Family Law: Request for Order in Lieu of Notice of Motion or Order to Show Cause and Witness List for Use in Family Law Proceedings (adopt Cal. Rules of Court, rule 5.92; revise forms FL-300, FL-305, FL-306, FL-315, FL-320, FL-340, and FL-347; adopt FL-300-INFO and FL- 321; revoke FL-301 and FL-310)

All comments are verbatim unless indicated by an asterisk (\*).

	Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
				need be filed with an application for a court order unless required by the court on a case-by-case basis."
27.	Superior Court of Orange County Family Law Operations Staff Santa Ana	AM	"Visitation" should be replaced with "Parenting Time" throughout forms FL-300 and FL-315  Form FL-300 -in title area of form, add a box for Motion in the top row, next to the Modification box. Both Modification and Motion boxes should be on line of Request for Order. Hearings are calendared, cases prepped for hearing, legal research performs review and stats are maintained according to these hearing types; to not specifically identify these would create extra work for court staff and judicial officers as they try to identify the hearing through document review.	The committee has changed "Visitation" to "Visitation (Parenting time)"  The comments include a number of suggestions for adding a number of different boxes to be checked in the area towards the top of the form. The committee will review whether to adopt a cover sheet to be completed by the attorney or litigant, which would help identify the types of motions and orders to show cause that courts need to identify for more efficient case processing. In the meantime, courts can ask attorneys and litigants to identify motions in the "Other box".
			-in item 2, "relief" should be replaced with "order"; it is not always "relief" being requested.	The committee has made this change.
			-in item 2(a), replace two entries of "Dept: Room:" as one entry labeled "Dept/Room:"	Some courts may still need both.
			-box around 2(a) should be expanded to include the information in 2(b) so that all the information regarding the hearing, including the location, is together. There is inconsistency in the forms in this area.	All of the family law forms use this format and it conforms to the <i>Judicial Council Forms Manual</i> .

**SPR11-38** 

Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
		-section under party signature line is unclear. Seems that this information should be completed by the court, and as such, the checkbox in front of "COURT ORDER" should be removed. Suggest wording above COURT ORDER read: "THIS SECTION FOR COURT USE"; this should be completed by the court, if completed incorrectly it will need to be crossed out or could be overlooked and not crossed out when it should be.	The committee deleted the language before the court order, but decided to leave it blank rather than add "For Court Use Only" in order to accommodate the practice in some courts that requires the litigant or attorney to complete this section before filing.
		Form FL-300-INFO -in item 1, add the following language: "Check all boxes that apply to the order you are requesting. Mark the Modification box if you are requesting a change to an existing order." (See suggestion re: Modification above.)	The committee has made this change.
		-remove item 2; this section should be for court use only. (See suggestion above).	The committee has made this change.
		-in last bullet under Notice by Mail, to be consistent with the rest of the information sheet, the form number should be added after Proof of Service by Mail (FL-335)	The committee has made this change.
		-in last bullet under Notice by Mail, pursuant to new rule 5.94(c), the last line should read "with the clerk of the court five court days before the hearing date"	The committee has made this change.

**SPR11-38** 

All comments are verbatim unless indicate	d by ar	asterisk (	(*).
---	---------	------------	------

	Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
			Form FL-320  1. FL-320 and FL-340. Replace "Visitation" with "Parenting Time" throughout forms FL-320 and FL-340.  2. FL-320, Items 5a-c. Add checkboxes to items 5a, 5b, and 5c.  3. FL-320, Item 5d. Change "You must also complete, file, and serve the following documents" to "I have completed, filed and	<ol> <li>The committee and task force agree to add a reference to "parenting time" next to "visitation" in forms FL-320 and FL-340.</li> <li>The committee and task force agree to make this change.</li> <li>The committee and task force recommend deleting item 5d in its entirety. This information is included on the Paguest for Atternative Faces and</li> </ol>
			documents" to "I have completed, filed and served the following documents:"  Form FL-321 -under heading labeled Witness List, add box for Other and a line to specify the party, in case another party (such as Minor's Counsel) intends to call witnesses.	included on the <i>Request for Attorney's Fees and Costs Order Attachment</i> (form FL-319), and it is unnecessary to include it in both places.  The committee has made this change
			-contact information is not requested in witness lists for Civil or Criminal cases - why is this an optional requested item on the Family Law form?	The committee has deleted the column requesting contact information.
28.	Superior Court of Riverside County Superior Court Staff Michael Capelli, General Counsel Riverside	AM	It appears that the proposed rule is trying to combine two processes into one, but in the end it has not simplified the process. The following changes are suggested:  Rule 5.92. Application for court order;	

# **SPR11-38**

All comments are verbatim unless indicated by an asterisk (*).
--

Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
		response	-
		<b>5.92(a)(1)(A)</b> Typo re. CCP § 413.10 (not 4.13.10)	The committee has made this change.
		Also, suggest following revision:	
		(a) Application for order; procedures (1) In a family law proceeding, other than an action under the Domestic Violence Prevention Act, local child support agency actions under the Family Code, or a contempt proceeding relating to family law, a notice of motion or order to show cause must be filed on a <i>Request for Order</i> (form FL-300), unless another Judicial Council form has been adopted or approved for the specific motion or order to show cause.	
		If the request for order seeks court orders pending a hearing or [is seeking]s an initial orders that the other party attend the hearing, the Request for Order (form FL-300) and attachments as appropriate to the case must be filed with the court before service on the other party. and a copy of the Temporary Orders (form FL-305) endorsed by the clerk must be served [Service will be carried out] in the manner specified for the service of a summons in Code of Civil Procedure section 4.13.10 et seq., unless the other party has made an	

**SPR11-38** 

Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
		appearance in the action, in which case service may be made on the attorney of record.	
		<b>5.92(a)(1)(B):</b> Modify the paragraph to clarify its applicability to orders sought after the initial order, but before judgment. Also, clarify what is meant by a "permanent order" in a custody visitation proceeding.  "If the <i>Request for Order</i> (form FL-300) is filed to modify existing orders, or after entry of a judgment of dissolution of marriage, nullity of marriage, legal separation of the parties, or paternity, or after a permanent order (defined as :) in any other proceeding in which the visitation, custody, or support of a child was at issue, it must be served as specified in Family	This paragraph references Family Code section 215 which specifies that it applies to post judgment or permanent orders. It does not apply to prejudgment orders. The committee believes that any attempt at defining a permanent order must be circulated for comment
		Code section 215."  5.92 (a)(5)(B) Make this provision consistent with 5.92(a)(4), concerning the moving parties obligations by clarifying that certain documents are "required" to be completed and served because they are relevant to the relief requested. Suggest:	The committee has made this change.
		(B) Income and Expense Declaration (form FL-150) or Financial Statement (Simplified) (form FL-155) and Property Declaration (form FL-160), when completed declarations are among	

**SPR11-38** 

Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
		the papers relevant to relief requested.	
		[(i) If the request for order seeks	
		court orders pending a hearing, the	
		Temporary Orders (form FL-305) must	
		accompany the Request for Order (FL-300).]	
		(B) If the <i>Request for Order</i> (form FL-300) is filed <b>[to modify existing orders, or]</b> after entry	This paragraph references Family Code section 215 which specifies that it applies to post
		of a judgment of dissolution of marriage, nullity	judgment or permanent orders. It does not apply
		of marriage, legal separation of the parties, or	to prejudgment orders. The committee believes
		paternity, or after a permanent order in any other proceeding in which the visitation,	that any attempt at defining a permanent order must be circulated for comment
		custody, or support of a child was at issue, it	must be circulated for comment
		must be served as specified in Family Code	
		section 215.	
		(2) The <i>Request for Order</i> (form FL-300) must	This is existing language.
		set forth facts sufficient to notify the other party	
		of the declarant's contentions in support of the	
		relief requested.	
		(3) No memorandum of points and authorities	This is existing language.
		need be filed with an application for a court	
		order unless required by the court on a case-by-	
		case basis.	
		(4) A completed <i>Income and Expense</i>	The committee has made this change.
		Declaration (form FL-150) or Financial	
		Statement (Simplified) (form FL-155) and	
		Property Declaration (form FL-160) must be	

# **SPR11-38**

Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
		attached to Request for Order (FL-300) when relevant to the relief requested, [filed with the court when relevant to the relief requested in the Request for Order (FL-300), unless a current Income and Expense Declaration (form FL-150) or Financial Statement (Simplified) (form FL-155) and Property Declaration (form FL-160) is on file with the court.]	
		(5) The moving party must file the documents with the court and serve a copy on the person against whom relief is requested, along with a blank copy of the following:	
		(A) Responsive Declaration to Request for Order (form FL-320);	
		(B) Income and Expense Declaration (form FL-150) or Financial Statement (Simplified) (form FL-155) and Property Declaration (form FL-160), when completed declarations are among the papers required to be served relevant to relief requested.	The committee has made this change.
		Other Comments: Seeking clarification if using the new Request for Order (FL-300) for that purposes in DV cases when parties are asking to modify their custody, visitation and support orders, in a DVPA case. If so, I would modify the FL-300-	

**SPR11-38** 

Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
		INFO sheet as follows:	
		To request a domestic violence protective order under the Domestic Violence Protection Act. You can use the Request for Order (FL-300) if you have child custody, visitation or support that you need modified in your domestic violence protective order case.	The committee has changed Rule 5.92 and the FL-300 INFO to clarify that the FL-300 may be used to modify custody, visitation or support orders in DVPA cases.
		It is further suggested that information on live testimony be provided on either the FL-300-INFO sheet or somewhere on the FL-300 form so litigants will know if the need to complete a FL-321.	The committee has made this change.
		In addition to the forms already identified, the FL-336 would also need to be updated to reflect the new verbiage of "Request for Order (FL-300)" on the second page where it gives instructions for setting aside the court order.	The committee will seek to revise the FL-336 as a technical change.
		On the FL-300-INFO form, it is suggested that number 2 be removed:	The committee has made this change.
		2. Complete the court order section on page 1 of the form (items 4–6) only when you are asking the court for temporary orders to go into effect before the hearing.	
		On the FL-300 form, it is suggested as follows:	The committee has made these changes.

# **SPR11-38**

Family Law: Request for Order in Lieu of Notice of Motion or Order to Show Cause and Witness List for Use in Family Law Proceedings (adopt Cal. Rules of Court, rule 5.92; revise forms FL-300, FL-305, FL-306, FL-315, FL-320, FL-340, and FL-347; adopt FL-300-INFO and FL-321; revoke FL-301 and FL-310)

	Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
			Add a box at the top for "Request Temporary Orders" Add a line below the Court Order section that states, "You are ordered to appear at the date, time and court location listed in 2(a) and (b)."	The committee has made this change.
29.	Superior Court of Sacramento County Robert Turner, ASO II Finance Division	NI	Comment on SPR11-36, page 52. line 20: Rule 5.92: There needs to be an overlap period in which the old forms and the new forms are both eligible for use.	Rule 1.42 of the California Rules of Court states that the court should not reject a Judicial Council form for filing because "it is not the latest version of the form adopted or approved by the Judicial Council." Courts should continue to accept the old versions of the forms.
			Comment on SPR11-38: Page 4, line 9, Rule 5.92: should be consistent, change the word "application" to "request".	The committee has made this change.
			Page 4, line 11: a: Should be consistent, change the word "application" to "request".	The committee has made this change.
			Page 7: Form FL-300, page 2 of 3, #3.[Notice: text paragraph] word change: Change the word "should" to "must" Change the word "will" to "shall"	The committee changed "should" to "must" as suggested. The Judicial Council style for rules and forms does not include use of the word "shall." "Will" or "must" are used instead.
			Page 8: Form FL-300, page 3 of 3, #10:	The Judicial Council adopted the 10 page

# **SPR11-38**

Family Law: Request for Order in Lieu of Notice of Motion or Order to Show Cause and Witness List for Use in Family Law Proceedings (adopt Cal. Rules of Court, rule 5.92; revise forms FL-300, FL-305, FL-306, FL-315, FL-320, FL-340, and FL-347; adopt FL-300-INFO and FL- 321; revoke FL-301 and FL-310)

Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
		We do not agree with the limitation. There are too many exception pages to consider when determining 10-pg count.	limitation in rule 5.118 of the California Rules of Court effective July 1, 1011.
		Page 9: Form FL-300-INFO, Page 1: These forms must be filed with this Request for Order (for child or spousal support):  • Can't lump child and spousal support together in same instruction as they require different forms.  • This bullet point needs to be broke into two bullets.  Notice (service) Personal Delivery:	The committee has made this change.  The committee has made this change.
		<ul> <li>this bullet "notice by personal delivery" should be placed before "notice by mail" as the majority of service are done by person.</li> <li>Over-reaching; it should simply state "file with the court."</li> <li>Change the word "mails" to "serves"</li> </ul>	The committee has made this change.  The committee has made this change.
		Page 13: Form FL-306/JV-251 The "order to show cause" language has been removed and the form has been revised, yet the language appears in this form. This transition period between the old and new forms, in which both languages need to be presented in this form, will be confusing for SRL.	The committee revised the form to clarify FL-306/JV-251 is designed to be used to reissue an order to show cause that is issued on a form other than a <i>Request for Order</i> , a <i>Temporary Restraining Order</i> in juvenile court as well as a <i>Request for Order</i> in family court.

**SPR11-38** 

	Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
			It doesn't appear all this effort to change the form name, etc, is value-added.  Page 20: Form FL-347, first text paragraph:	The committee believes that once the new form and procedures are fully implemented, the courts will see increased efficiencies in the family law facilitator, self-help services, and the clerk's office and less confusion among self represented litigants.  The word "motion" is changed to "request" in the
			Why is this form included? It seems very random to be included.  No referenced rules.	first paragraph of the FL-347.
30.	Superior Court of San Bernardino County, Staff Debra Meyers, Director	AM	Rules of Court 1. 5.92(a)(1)B) refers to post-judgment activity. But what about some rule clarifying obligation for pre-judgment? The FL-300 INFO attempts to do so, but that language is very unclear.	The committee revised the rule to clarify that prejudgment Request for Order must be served as specified in Code of Civil Procedure section 1010 et.seq. unless they contain temporary emergency orders or an order to appear in court.
			2. 5.92(b) states "complete, file and serve" but our court looks for the completed proof of service prior to filing a response.	The committee has made this change.
			3. 5.92(b)(1) requires Ps&As, but the moving papers do not. From a parity standpoint, that doesn't make sense. (see 5.92(a)(3))	The committee has changed the rule to address this issue.
			4. 5.92 (b)(3) – is this out of order? It seems like mentioning the Responsive Declaration with facts would go first and then the stuff that gets attached, or is it a duplication from	The committee has changed this section to address this issue

**SPR11-38** 

All comments are verbatim un	nless indicated by an asterisk (*).	
------------------------------	-------------------------------------	--

Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
		5.92(b)? Form	
		1. FL-300 #3a Application for Order referenced is being withdrawn, as the	The reference to the "Application for Order" has been deleted.
		information is being included on the FL-300. Also, is a blank form a "supporting attachment"? Literally, that would mean that on the filed & conforming copies, all would need the blank form (which would be a waste).	The committee has made this change.
		2. FL-300 caption – what about adding a check box section for "emergency orders" under proposed 5.151?	A check box for "Temporary Emergency Orders" has been added.
		3. FL-300 #3b/c what about combining and making it an "or".	Some courts prefer to know which form is attached.
		4. FL-300 above #4 – that language doesn't make sense as there is always a court date for an order.	The committee has deleted this language.
		The FL-300-INFO includes a direction to give for judge's signature, but that might be misleading to the litigant if the judge doesn't sign it personally and a clerk has been delegated with certain authority.	The committee changed to "file with the court" in response to another comment.
		5. FL-300 below signature – a. Perhaps it would be helpful to add some language to make it clear that the information in	The committee has made this change.

**SPR11-38** 

Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
		the box is directed to the other party.	
		b. It is 9 COURT days, not calendar days.	The committee has made this change.
		c. CCP 1005, the extra 5 days does not apply to motions: "Section 1013, which extends the time within which a right may be exercised or an act may be done, does not apply to a notice of motion, papers opposing a motion, or reply papers governed by this section. All papers opposing a motion so noticed shall be filed with the court and a copy served on each party at least nine court days, and all reply papers at least five court days before the hearing."	The committee has made this change.
		6. FL-300 INFO Notice issue confusing in a few ways: a. How do you get the court date if you are not filing it until after service? Someone following these instructions might not realize that the service instructions are meant to follow the filing instructions and read it separately. That person could easily believe that you serve it and then file.	The committee has made changes to the FL-300-INFO to address this comment.
		b. How does a person determine whether personal service is required? Language is vague — who decides if you want the court to order the party to court? When would you do that? Under the OSC format, the OSC was an order for the person to attend court. But if it was a	The Request for Order is to be used in lieu of a notice of motion or an order to show cause.  Therefore the service rules are not changed. If it is being used for a notice of motion, service rules for motions apply. If it is being used to obtain temporary emergency orders or to order a party

**SPR11-38** 

Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
		motion, then mail service is permissible. But if the end result is the same – you are putting a matter before the court, wouldn't the motion rules always apply? If the court has personal jurisdiction already over the other party via service of the summons, then wouldn't the papers just need service by mail?	that has not made an appearance in the case to attend a hearing, service rules for a summons apply.
		7. FL-300 INFO – confusing about court's processing. When does a judge need to sign the FL-300 and when not? How will the clerks know which is the correct method and will they then be asked to provide a legal analysis of the paper to determine which ones should be signed and which not? What about the burden on the judicial officer to sign each of these temporary order sections and make that legal determination?	The judicial officer's signature is needed when the form is being used as an order to show cause. The workload should not increase since the judicial officer already signs orders to show cause. The clerk's will know if the court order box is checked and the portion below is completed or a completed <i>Temporary Emergency Court Orders</i> (form FL-305 is attached).
		8. FL-300INFO – use of FL-160 as a requirement for when asking the court for orders concerning the real property. Why add in an extra form if the person is able to adequately describe the property in question in the rest of the paperwork? Would the person need to list all the property in the FL-160, even if not subject to the motion? And if not, then would there be confusion regarding the extent of the property at a later time?	Rule 5.118(b) currently requires the filing of a <i>Property Declaration</i> (form FL-160) when "relevant to the relief requested." However, the committee decided to delete this requirement in the rules in response to this and other comments.
		9. FL-321- suggest more column space for the	More column space was created for the name.

**SPR11-38** 

	Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
			name; does the person need to list both the relationship and the profession?	The committee deleted the column for relationship/profession.
31.	Superior Court of San Diego Michael M. Roddy, Court Executive Officer San Diego	AM	Rule 5.92 – Why call it an "application" for court order when the form says "request" – make it consistent and use "request".	The committee has made this change.
	Sun Brege		Rule 5.92(a)(1)(A): Add the following to the last sentence, " on the attorney of record, if any."	The committee has deleted references to the attorney of record.
			Rule 5.92(b)(1): Add, "A memorandum of points and authorities, if ordered by the court." Why would the respondent be ordered to file Points and Authorities, but not the petitioner?	The committee has made changes that address this issue.
			Rule 5.92(a)(4): Why is Form FL-160, Property Declaration required? Issues – division of property, debt – are generally trial issues, not pendente lite issues.	Rule 5.118(b) of the California Rules of Court currently requires the filing of a <i>Property Declaration</i> (form FL-160) when "relevant to the relief requested." However, the committee has deleted this requirement.
			Form FL-300, general:  1. Would it be possible to add a box on FL-300 for child custody recommending counseling appointment date and time, and also on the FL-306 for re-issuance requests? That information is required in 2c. on the current FL-300.	Item 7 of the FL-300 provides space to put the date, time and location of the mandatory custody services just like the current order to show cause and notice of motion. The reissuance form states that all orders contained in the request for order remain in effect. This would include any order to attend mandatory custody services
			2. This court currently uses a stamp on the FL-	Not all courts use child custody recommending

**SPR11-38** 

Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
		300 advising litigants: "Sanctions pursuant to CCP 177.5, up to \$1500, may be imposed against either party for failure to appear at the child custody recommending counseling, or against the moving party for failing to cancel the appointment if the moving party is unable to serve the other party before the appointment." Can this language be added to FL-300?	counseling. Nor do all courts impose similar sanctions.
		Form FL-300: Add an additional item for"other." (Ex. 8. 0 Other: )	The committee has made this change.
		Form FL-300, Item 6: The term "mediation" should be replaced with "child custody recommending counseling."	Some courts continue to provide mediation services instead of child custody recommending counseling. The form uses the term "mandatory custody services" to encompass both mediation and child custody recommending counseling.
		Form FL-300-INFO, left column: After item 3, add new item 4, "If you are asking the court for orders that will go into effect before the hearing or you are asking the court to order the other party to attend the hearing, ask the court clerk to have the judicial officer sign the "Court Order" portion of the Request for Order and return it and the other forms to you." [this section is being moved from the right column, the first paragraph under Notice (Service) by Personal Delivery with slight changes in wording as well]	The committee changed the form to address this issue.
		Form FL-300-INFO, right column, 2nd bullet:	The committee has made this change.

**SPR11-38** 

Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
		Reword: "Other types of cases for which there are other special Judicial Council forms just for those cases."	
		Form FL-300-INFO, page 1, right hand column: Change this sentence to read, "If you have a question about whether this is the right form for your situation, ask the family law facilitator or find a self-help center." Clerks cannot give legal advice.	The committee believes that telling a self represented litigant which form is appropriate for their case is legal information and not legal advice.
		Form FL-300-INFO, right column, notice instructions: Currently does not flow logically. Suggest it be rearranged as follows:	The committee has changed the FL-300-INFO to address this comment.
		Notice to the other party (Service) [Change title from "Notice (Service) by Mail"]	
		You must inform the other party of your request. After you have filed your Request for Order and other forms with the court and have received a court date and time stamped on your paperwork by the clerk of the court, you must SERVE a copy of all the documents upon the other party.	
		Service By Personal Delivery [changed title by deleting "Notice]	
		If you have asked the court for orders that will go into effect before the hearing or you have	

**SPR11-38** 

	Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
			asked the court to order the other party to attend the hearing (the judicial officer will have signed the "Court Order" portion of the Request for Hearing form):  • Have someone else (who is at least 18) personally give the Request for Order to the other party with any accompanying attachments, and a blank responsive form to the other party.  • After the person personally delivers [original version says "mails" even though service is personal] the forms, he or she should complete a Proof of Service (FL-330).  • You then file the completed Proof of Service with the clerk of the court before the hearing date.	
			General comment: Why are these forms referring to both petitioner/plaintiff and respondent/defendant. This seems unnecessary.	The forms will be used in DCSS cases, which still use the terms plaintiff and defendant.
			FL-320, footer, page 2. The title of the form should match the footer on page 1.	The committee and task force agree to make this change.
			Form FL-321, header: Add: Other Parent/Party	The committee has made this change.
32.	Superior Court of San Francisco, Unified Family Court Hon. Rebecca Wightman, Commissioner (Child Support IV-D)	AM	The proposed rule 5.92 should not exempt Title IV-D, and there should be a corresponding effort to combine/simplify the virtually identical "dual" NOM and OSC forms (FL -680 and FL-683) used in family law child support	Proposed Rule 5.92 has been changed to permit the use of the new Request for Order form in Title IV- D child support cases by parties other than DCSS. The AOC will work with DCSS to combine FL-680 and FL-683 into one Request for

**SPR11-38** 

Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
		proceedings at the same time. The Elkins Task	Order form to be used by DCSS in a future rules
		Force Recommendation is very clear regarding	and forms cycle.
		trying to simplify the forms for motions in	
		family law proceedings. The exact same	
		"confusion" identified by the Elkins Task Force	
		exists with the forms FL-680 and FL-683.	
		While the Elkins Task Force identified the	
		specific forms (FL-300 and FL-301), and urged	
		that they be combined for use in all matters	
		except domestic violence and contempt, it did	
		not exempt the Dept. of Child Support Services	
		(DCSS). This is a golden opportunity to clarify	
		the same "confusion" that cuts across all family	
		law proceedings, including child support. The	
		problem with exempting "cases filed by the	
		local child support agency" in the current	
		proposed rule 5.92 is that it fails to take into	
		consideration the fluid nature of how DCSS	
		becomes involved in a "case": indeed, DCSS	
		often simply substitutes itself into a family law	
		dissolution (instead of opening up a brand new	
		action) – does that mean that they must use the	
		new combined form for those cases (that they	
		did not file themselves)? Conversely, the "cases	
		filed by the local child support agency" (as	
		mentioned in the proposed rule), often become	
		regular family law proceeding type cases,	
		if/when DCSS "closes" its internal case and is	
		no longer enforcing child support. These latter	
		cases, when a motion to modify support and/or a	
		motion for custody/visitation needs to be filed,	

**SPR11-38** 

Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
		will then be heard in regular family law departments. By simply "exempting" the local child support agency in the proposed rule – you are creating a two-tiered system where the message is that it is okay to keep the "confusion" identified by the Elkins Task Force in place. In addition, the confusion as to which form(s) can be used when is only going to increase with the current proposal, given the back-and-forth nature of family law cases – whether "filed" by DCSS (and then heard in a family law department because DCSS is no longer providing enforcement services) or whether DCSS is involved in a case "filed" by the litigant (e.g. Dissos or UPAs, because they "substituted" in as a payee, which is then supposed to be heard in the Title IV-D department). SUGGESTION: Ideally, there should be used by all (this might require a few additional changes & notices, but can certainly be accomplished). Alternatively, at a minimum, the same "combined" Request for Order form (combining FL-680 and FL-683) should be developed for the governmental forms, with a corresponding rule, as is being proposed now.  The bolded instruction just above the check-box that says COURT ORDER is confusing because	The committee deleted the language before the court order, but decided to leave it blank rather
		of the use of the word "you" – which makes it seem as if the litigant is supposed to fill out the	than add "For Court Use Only" in order to accommodate the practice in some courts that

**SPR11-38** 

Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
		bottom part if the litigant is asking for temporary orders, when in fact it is the court (bench officer) that is supposed to fill out that section if temporary orders are being requested in the papers. SUGGESTION(S): (1) Add a checkbox above the solid line for the litigant to check if they are seeking temporary orders that says something to the effect of: $\Box$ I am seeking temporary orders before the hearing. (2) Modify	requires the litigant or attorney to complete this section before filing.  A check box was added to indicate that temporary emergency orders are attached.
		the bolded instruction to indicate the bottom section is to be filled out by and/or for court use only (or something to that effect). These two suggestions combined will both alert court staff/bench officer of the need to fill out the bottom section, and will also prevent litigants from inadvertently filling out the bottom part.	
		The part of the outlined-boxed Notice on the front of the existing forms FL-300 and FL-301 that was moved to just below item #3 c on the second page, should be bolded and put in an outlined-box as well. This information was important enough to highlight on the front page, there is absolutely no reason to treat it any differently just because it has been moved.	The committee has made this change.
		Unless there was a law change, is there a reason why the part of the front page outlined-boxed bolded notice was deleted? (The part regarding not having to pay a fee to file declarations in response on the current FL-300 and FL-301.)	The committee has made this change.

**SPR11-38** 

	Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
			This information was deemed very important to include, and should not be sacrificed just because the forms are being revised. Many pro pers may be discouraged from filing a response unless it is clear that they will not have to pay a fee to respond. This sentence (regarding do not have to pay a fee for filing declarations in response) – whether a modified or shortened version of it – should be put back in.	
33.	Superior Court of Santa Clara County - Family Court Superior Court Judges: Hon. Mary Ann Grilli Hon. Mary E. Arand Hon. L. Michael Clark Hon. Neal Cabrinha San Jose	AM	RULE 5.92(b): Consider moving the reference to Memorandum of Points and Authorities to be the last in the sequence of listed optional documents. 5.92(b)(3) is repetitive of the main section of the proposed rule and should be included in the opening to 5.92(b). The reference to the Income and Expense forms should be moved to 5.92(b)(1). 5.92(b)(2) would be: A memorandum of points and authorities, which are not required in Family Law matters, but may be ordered by the court or submitted in cases substantial legal issues are involved.	The committee has made changes to address this comment.
			As drafted, the rule assumes only the Petitioner as the moving party. Respondents are often the moving party. Thus, the rule needs to be amended as follows: in the second line, insert "responding" before "party". In the second to last line, replace "Respondent" with "responding party".	The committee has made this change.

**SPR11-38** 

Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
		FORM FL-300- REQUEST FOR ORDER: 1. Page 1, TITLE IN HEADER: The form title should have an additional optional box for "Order" with a box to check. Otherwise, the form reads only as a request and responding parties will not easily understand that there may be temporary orders in the document itself.	The committee changed the form to include a box for "Request Temporary Emergency Court Orders."
		2. Page 1, BOX AT BOTTOM: This box states that you must file a responsive declaration: add an introduction that reads "To answer this Request for Order", you must file a responsive declaration with the court. The balance of the paragraph could remain.	The committee has made this change.
		3. Page 2, APPLICATION FOR ORDER: In section 1.e., it would be helpful if the forms are referred to by name as well as form number. Most attorneys and judges do not memorize the form numbers.	The committee has made this change.
		4. Page 2, section 3, CHILD SUPPORT, there is no reference to requesting guideline child support. This option should not be eliminated. It should, instead, be the first option. Item 3.b. should read: I am requesting guideline child support. Current item 3.b should be moved to 3.c.	The committee has made this change. "I am requesting guideline support" was added as suggested.
		5. Page 2, item 4, add an option for requesting	The suggested language was not added due to

**SPR11-38** 

Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
		guideline temporary spousal support. It would also be helpful in item 4.b. or c., (request to modify or terminate spousal support), if a box is there to indicate if the request is to modify post judgment spousal support.	space limitations. Although the committee believes it would be helpful in some cases, it is not on the existing forms.
		6. Page 3, item 6 and 7, PROPERTY RESTRAINT AND PROPERTY CONTROL: the request sentence is missing There should be an introductory sentence, such as: I am requesting that:	Introductory sentence is not in existing forms or in any other item.
		7. Page 3, item 9: This section should have a heading such as REQUEST FOR ORDER SHORTENING TIME.	This item does not have a heading on the existing form.
		FORM FL-300- INFORMATION SHEET FOR REQUEST FOR ORDER  1. The section on Notice (Service by Personal Delivery) gives the mistaken impression that all that is needed to obtain temporary orders is to "ask the court clerk to have the judicial officer sign the completed Request for Order and other forms and return them to you." Instead, this needs to refer to the procedures to obtain emergency orders found in proposed Rule 5.151.	A reference to the procedures in Rule 5.151 was added.
		2. Forms to be filed with Request: The form indicates that a Simplified Financial Declaration may be submitted with a request for spousal support. The longer form Income and Expense	The committee has made this change.

**SPR11-38** 

Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
		Declaration is required with any request for spousal or partner support.	
		3. The reference to requiring a Property Declaration with a request for use of an asset would be a new requirement. Query, is it necessary to have a property declaration with every request for order that deals with property issues?	Rule 5.118(b) of the California Rules of Court currently requires the filing of a <i>Property Declaration</i> (form FL-160) when "relevant to the relief requested." However, the committee deleted the requirement in the rule in response to this comment.
		4. The form states that the Request for Order is not to be used in a case filed by the Child Support Agency. If a party is filing to establish custody orders within a DCSS action, what forms are they to use? This form should give that information, so that parties do not have to look many other places to find the information.	The committee has changed the rule and the INFO sheet to state that parties other than DCSS may use the Request for Order form.
		5. In the section on Service by Personal Delivery, the form should clearly state that the serving party must not be listed on the forms as a protected party or other type of party.	The committee has made this change.
		6. The form mentions contacting the facilitator, but does not mention the option of obtaining counsel. We should encourage folks to obtain counsel early and often.	The committee agrees that parties should be encouraged to seek representation. However, the reference to the facilitator on the form refers to seeking information about whether the Request for Order is the appropriate form to use.
		FL-305, TEMPORARY COURT ORDERS: 1. Unlike the DV forms, there are no options to	The committee will consider whether this suggestion should be circulated for comment in a

**SPR11-38** 

Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
		have the judicial officer indicate whether the orders are granted, denied, or not requested. Law enforcement has been very pleased with this change in the orders. Query, should this format be used here as well?	future RUPRO cycle.
		1. FL-320, Footer. The footer needs to be the same on both pages of the form.	1. The committee and task force agree to make this change.
		2. FL-320, Item 3. There should be a reference to the need to file an Income and Expense Declaration or the simplified form, if appropriate.	2. The committee and task force do not recommend including a reference to the need to file an <i>Income and Expense Declaration</i> (form FL-150) on form FL-320. The committee and task force agree that a completed <i>Income and Expense Declaration</i> (form FL-150) is required for child support, spousal or partner support, and needsbased attorney's fees and costs, but it does not fit with the current format of form FL-320 to include a reference.
			After reviewing the comments, the committee and task force recommend deleting the list of documents to attach in item 5d. In addition, information about filing an FL-150 is already included in several rule and form proposals currently being considered for adoption or approval by the Judicial Council: the <i>Request for</i>
			Attorney's Fees and Costs Order Attachment (form FL-319) (in item 7b of the "Notice to Responding Party" section), Information Sheet for Request for Order (form FL-300-INFO), and rule

## **SPR11-38**

Family Law: Request for Order in Lieu of Notice of Motion or Order to Show Cause and Witness List for Use in Family Law Proceedings (adopt Cal. Rules of Court, rule 5.92; revise forms FL-300, FL-305, FL-306, FL-315, FL-320, FL-340, and FL-347; adopt FL-300-INFO and FL- 321; revoke FL-301 and FL-310)

Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
			5.92 of the California Rules of Court.
		3. FL-320, Item 4. There should be a reference to the FL-150, the Income and Expense form, which is required.	3. As described above, including a reference to form FL-150 does not fit the current format of form FL-320 and would be duplicative given that the documents necessary for filing are listed in several other places (if those rule and form proposals are adopted).
		4. FL-320, Item 5. It would be helpful if there were boxes that referenced the attached documents.	4. The committee and task force recommend deleting item 5d in its entirety, so adding check boxes is unnecessary. The committee and task force determined that it does not fit the format of form FL-320 to add a list of documents to attach.
		FL-321, WITNESS LIST: There are two suggestions regarding this form:  1. The form should indicate which hearing is referenced by this list. For example, if there are a series of hearings upcoming, it would be very helpful to know which one the list is referencing.	The committee has made this change.
		2. The amount of space for each witness listed is large. The last column heading is "Subject of Testimony and What the Witness Will Say".	The committee has reduced the space for each witness.
		This might be difficult for most self represented parties to complete, and to list everything a witness will say is problematic at best and not required. It may be best to have the column heading read only "Subject of Witness Testimony".	The committee has changed the language to say: "Subject and Brief Description of Testimony" which follows the language of the statute.

**SPR11-38** 

	Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
			FL-347, BIFURCATION OF STATUS: The title of the document refers to bifurcation, but the application refers to a separate trial. The forms should be consistent and one title used between the forms.	The committee will consider whether to change the title of the FL-347 and circulate it for comment in a future RUPRO cycle.
34	Superior Court of Shasta County Stacy Larson, Family Law Facilitator Redding	AM	• In CRC 5.92(a)(1)(A), the third line should be revised to read more smoothly such as, "and appropriate attachments must be filed with the court before"	The committee has made this change.
			• In CRC 5.92(a)(1)(A), the correct CCP code section should be "413.10 et seq." Additionally, the last clause "unless the other attorney of record" indicates that an OSC with temporary orders can be served on a party's attorney of record if the party has appeared in the case. This is a substantial change from the current procedure that requires the OSC to be personally served on the other party. This would seem to dilute the necessity that the other party be personally served a copy of the temporary order, an order that would bind the party himself/herself. This seems unwise as some attorneys may delay in giving their clients this signed temporary order, prejudicing their clients who may well be held accountable by law enforcement for violating the order once it is served on the attorney of record. It may also prejudice the party who obtained the temporary	The committee has changed the Code section to Code of Civil Procedure 413.10.  The committee changed the language concerning service to address this comment.

**SPR11-38** 

Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
		order as the order may not be deemed enforceable if the other party is not proven to have received notice. Additionally, depending on the court's local rules for obtaining temporary orders, this will create difficulty for the processing clerks who must fill in a checklist regarding whether service was valid. The clerks presumably would have to first check whether temporary orders are attached, triggering personal service instead of mail service, then check whether the party was served or whether the attorney for the party was served, and then check whether the attorney who was served was actually the attorney of record at the time of service.  • In CRC 5.92(a)(1)(B), we should add "domestic partnership" and "petition to establish custody and support" to the types of cases to which the rule applies.	This language tracks Family Code section 215. Family Code section 215 applies to <i>Request for Orders</i> filed after a permanent order has been entered for custody, visitation or child support in domestic partnership dissolutions and actions to establish custody and support.
		• In CRC 5.92(a)(1)(B), it is unclear whether motions/OSCs filed that address issues other than custody, visitation, or child support must comply with FC §215 requirement. Similarly, it is unclear whether motions that address other issues in addition to custody/visitation/child support must comply with FC §215.	The language in the rule tracks Family Code section 215.

**SPR11-38** 

Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
		• In CRC 5.92(b)(1) may imply to self-represented litigants that points and authorities are often required, when the opposite is true. We should do one of the following:	The committee changed the rule to clarify that a memorandum of points and authorities is not required to file a request for order or a responsive declaration unless ordered by a court in a particular case.
		Eliminate CRC 5.92(a)(2) and CRC 5.92(b)(1) and include a section under CRC 5.92(c) that clarifies that no P & A is required absent the court directing otherwise. E.g., "No memorandum of points and authorities need be filed with an application for or response to a court order unless required by the court on a case-by-case basis."  Alternatively, we could place this clarification under a new subsection, CRC 5.92(d) Memorandum of Points and Authorities.  Alternatively, we could reword CRC 5.92(b)(1) to read the same as CRC 5.92(a)(2), "No memorandum of points and authorities need be filed with a response to an application for a court order unless required by the court on a case-by-case basis."	The committee made the change suggested in the third alternative below.
		• In CRC 5.92(b)(3) is somewhat redundant with the first paragraph of CRC 5.92(b) as it restates that an FL-320 is required while also adding that the declarant's contentions must be in the response. I would suggest that subsection	The committee has made this change.

**SPR11-38** 

Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
		(3) be integrated in the first paragraph, such as, "To respond to the issues raised in the moving papers. The Responsive Declaration to Request for Order (form FL-320) must set forth facts sufficient to notify support of any relief requested. Unrelated relief must be sought complete the following:"	
		• In FL-300, page one, the caption should include "Other Party:" for the many cases where DCSS files the initial parentage case and now the "Other Parent" wishes to request an order.	The committee added "Other Parent/Party"
		• FL-300, page one, Caption "Request for Order": Since we're already revising the FL-300, it would be helpful to add a few more options in the "Request for Order" caption such as move-away, drug testing, property control/restraint/payments, establish arrears, etc.	The comments include a number of suggestions for adding a number of different boxes to be checked in the area towards the top of the form. The committee will review whether to adopt a cover sheet to be completed by the attorney or litigant, which would help identify the types of motions and orders to show cause that courts need to identify for more efficient case processing. In the meantime, the other attachments that are listed should be included in the "Other" box.
		• FL-300, page one, Caption "Request for Order": Since we're already revising the FL-300, it would be helpful to add a few more options under "Supporting Attachments" such as FL-311, MC-031, MC-030 or MC-020, etc.	These attachments should be listed under the "Other" box.

**SPR11-38** 

Co	ommentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
			• FL-300, page one, Information Box at Bottom of Page: In the box at the bottom of the page, we should change "party" to "parties" to assist litigants in recognizing that they need to serve all parties in the case, including DCSS.	The committee has made this change.
			• FL-300, page two, subsection (1)(a) should be moved one line down to be even with subsections (b) and (c). Otherwise, when we fill in subsection (a) with more than one child, the correlating information in subsection (b) and (c) does not line up properly. Additionally, more space should be provided, so we can enter/type at least three children in subsection (1).	The format conforms to the Judicial Council Forms Manual. The committee has added additional space to allow room for 3 children.
			• FL-300, page two, subsection (3)(a), more space should be provided, so we can enter/type at least three children in subsection (a).	The committee has added additional space to allow room for 3 children.
			• FL-300, page two, subsection (3), we should add a subsection (d), requesting that arrears and/or arrears payment be established or modified.	The committee has added additional space to allow room for 3 children.
			• FL-300, page two, subsection (3), the information box giving notice that financial information must be provided should specify that either FL-150 or FL-155 should be submitted with the Request for Order.	The committee has made this change.
			• FL-300, page two, subsection (4), we should	Parties seeking to establish arrears and/or

**SPR11-38** 

Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
		<ul> <li>add a subsection (d), requesting that arrears and/or arrears payment be established or modified.</li> <li>FL-300, page three, subsection (5), we should place a period at the end of the sentence, e. g., "Costs Order Attachment."</li> </ul>	payments on arrears should use item 8 "Other Relief"  The committee has made this change.
		• FL-300, page three, subsection (7) (a) and (b), we should add a box for "claimant" or "other party."	The committee believes that there are very few instances when a claimant files a motion in a family law proceeding asking the court to give one of the parties control of the property pending trial or that one of the parties make payments on debts. In those few instances where this occurs, the claimant can check the box in item 8 "Other Relief."
		• FL-300, page three, subsection (8): More space is needed for "Other Relief." The requests for other relief are often quite lengthy, and there is not nearly enough space here. Alternatively, we could add a box that additional requests for other relief are continued on Attachment 8(a).	Additional space would require that an additional page be added to the form. Parties can add an attachment as necessary.
		• FL-300, page three, subsection (10), sub-box pertaining to the attached declaration: We should add that they can use MC-031 and, if additional pages are necessary, MC-020 when preparing their supporting evidence/facts.	The form already indicates that they can use <i>Declaration</i> MC-031 as an attachment.

**SPR11-38** 

Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
		• FL-300-INFO, subsection (2): We should replace "court order" with "Court Order" (in quotation marks) to help the litigants find the appropriate section on page 1.	The committee has made this change.
		• FL-300-INFO, subsection (3): We should remind the parties that they must date, print, and sign page 3 of the FL-300.	The committee has made this change.
		• FL-300-INFO, paragraph after subsection (3): We should clarify this section as follows: "For example, if you are check the box 'To be ordered pending the hearing' on page 2, complete the 'Court Order" section on page 1, and"	The committee has changed the form to address this comment.
		• FL-300-INFO, "These forms must be filed with this Request for Order:": This heading is bulky and not conducive to quick reference. We should replace it with a subheading such as "Attached Forms" or "Forms to Attach." An extra bullet should be added for necessary forms in requesting attorney's fees/costs.	The heading was changed to read: "Other forms to file with this Request for Order"
		• FL-300-INFO, "These forms must be filed with this Request for Order:": The fourth bullet is unclear. We should either clarify this or delete it.	The committee has changed the language to address this issue.
		• FL-300-INFO, "Note: Do not use to show cause:": The heading for this section is unclear.	"Improper Uses" is not language that many self represented litigants will understand. The

**SPR11-38** 

All comments are verbatim unless	indicated by an asterisk ('	*).
----------------------------------	-----------------------------	-----

Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
		It should be reworked, as follows: "Improper	committee has changed the section to clarify that
		Uses for FL-300" then "Do not use the Request	parties may use the form to file for
		for Order (FL-300) if you are requesting an	custody/visitation and other orders in a DCSS
		order (1) in a paternity or child support	child support case.
		agency; (2) to set aside paternity; (3) for a	
		domestic violence; or (4) other types of	
		forms." On a separate note, our court	
		commonly allows parties to use the current FL-	
		300 or FL-301 sequence of forms to file into a	
		DCSS paternity action for custody/visitation and	
		other orders. Clarification of when this can and	
		cannot be done should be provided.	
		• FL-300-INFO, "Instructions for giving the	The committee has changed this section to address
		other party notice (Service)": This subheading	this issue.
		is bulky and not conducive to quick reference.	
		It should be reworked as "Required	
		Service/Notice to Other Parties." The first	
		section does not read smoothly. It should be	
		placed in one sentence: "After you file your	
		completed Request for Order (form FL-300) and	
		attachments with the court and obtain a	
		date/time of hearing, you must inform the other	
		party/parties of your request." Then we can	
		have the subheadings for Service by Mail and	
		Service by Personal Delivery. Form numbers	
		should be included each time an italicized form	
		is named. A comma should go after	
		"appropriate attachments" in the first bulleted	
		point under Service by Mail. A section should	
		be added to clarify the need for an address	

**SPR11-38** 

Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
		verification for post-judgment or post-permanent order requests. • FL-300-INFO, "Notice (Service) by Personal Delivery": The third bullet appears incorrect. Personal delivery would not allow for mailing the forms. Additionally, if CRC 5.92(a)(1)(A) goes into effect allowing service upon the attorney in place of the party for temporary orders, etc., a new paragraph should be added to explain this procedure.	
		• FL-305, subdivision (1), (2) a) and (2)(b): A box should be added for "Claimant."	"Claimant" was added to item 1. It was not added to Item 2 because the court will rarely if ever order that a claimant be given possession of the parties property or that a claimant pay the parties debts in a family law proceeding
		• FL-305, subdivision (3): Space should be provided to list the minor children's names, so it is clear the specific children the form is applicable to.	Children's names can be listed as part of the order in the space provided.
		• FL-305, subdivision (3)(a) and (b): A box should be added for "Claimant."	If a claimant is granted custody or visitation or, the order should be in "Other Orders."
		• FL-305, subsection (4): More space is needed for "other Orders" or a box should be added clarifying that additional orders are listed on Attachment 4(a).	The committee added a box indicating that additional orders are listed on an attachment.
		• FL-305: An additional paragraph should be	The committee will consider circulating this

**SPR11-38** 

All comments are verbatim unless indicated by an asterisk (*).
--

Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
Commentator	Position	added that states whether the temporary order replaces all former custody/visitation orders or merely supplements any previous orders that are not inconsistent.  • FL-306, Caption: The FL-300 can be used interchangeably for our current FL-300 OSC and FL-301 Notice of Motion. The self-represented litigants are not going to understand the difference other than the distinction between requesting temporary orders and not request temporary orders. This reissuance form infers in the caption that any FL-300 Request for Order can be reissued; however, subsection (2)	additional language for comment in a future cycle.  The committee revised the form to clarify FL-306/JV-251 is designed to be used to reissue an order to show cause that is issued on a form other than a Request for Order, a Temporary Restraining Order in juvenile court as well as a Request for Order in family court.
		implies that only OSCs may be reissued. The added sections (a) through (c) will be indecipherable to most self-represented litigants. "Order to Show Cause" appears to be encompassed within the "Request for Order," so we should delete this option from the caption and replace it with the Temporary Restraining Order to be consistent with subsection (2). The same is true for all the subsections under subsection (2). Alternatively, we should delete "and Temporary Restraining Order" from subsection (2). On a separate note, we are not currently able to "reissue" a notice of motion. Now that the "Request for Order" appears to encompass the notice of motion, can we do so? This point should be clarified.	

**SPR11-38** 

Comment	ator Po	osition	Comment	Committee Response
			• FL-306, subsection (3)(a): "Other Party" should be capitalized for consistency.	"Other party" conforms to the Judicial Council Forms Manual.
			• FL-306, Order, subsection (4): The same problem with nomenclature is present.  "Request for Order" appears to encompass  "Order to Show Cause," which would indicate that we need to delete all reference in this form to "Order to Show Cause" or all reference to "Request for Order." We also need to add "Temporary Restraining Order" in the caption and in the "Order" at subsection (4) if this form can be used to reissue restraining orders as well. Finally, we should add a clause allowing the judge to shorten time for service or change the method of service at the time of reissuance if he did not formerly do so.	The committee revised the form to clarify FL-306/JV-251 is designed to be used to reissue an order to show cause that is issued on a form other than a <i>Request for Order</i> , a <i>Temporary Restraining Order</i> in juvenile court as well as a <i>Request for Order</i> in family court.
			• FL-306, Order, subsection (4)(a): "Other Party" should be capitalized for consistency.	"Other party" conforms to the Judicial Council Forms Manual.
			• FL-315, subsection (1): A box should be added for "Claimant" or "Other Party."	This form is intended to be used to request that the court bifurcate the trial and grant a status only dissolution. Claimants or other parties should not be making this kind of request.
			• FL-315, subsection (1)(a): We should add "or domestic partnership" at the end of this sentence.	The committee has made this change.
			• FL-315, subsection (1)(b): We should reword	Checking the box to request a trial on the issue of

**SPR11-38** 

Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
		to "Date or dates of separation" to clarify that there may be more than one relevant date.	date of separation would allow the parties to litigate and the court to determine whether there is more than one relevant date.
		• FL-315, subsection (1)(e): We should add a requirement that the party file his/her FL-141 upon completion of this step.	This is an order to provide the declaration of disclosure to the other party.
		• FL-315, subsection (4)(b)(8): We should make "retirement accounts" lowercase for consistency.	Capitalizing "retirement accounts" is appropriate in this context and conforms to the Judicial Council Forms Manual.
		Form FL-320, Caption. The caption has a space for "Other Parent." I agree that we need a space for joined parties, but other forms refer to this party as "Other Party" or the less favorable "Joined Party." We should be consistent. I favor "Other Party" as this would encompass a variety of parties that may be joined.	The committee and task force recommend changing "Other Parent" to "Other Party" in the caption box on form FL-320. The committee and task force agree that it is best to use the term "other party" instead of "joined party" or "other parent" in all of the proposed forms to be consistent.
		• FL-321: FC §217 does not require the parties to state the Relationship/Profession of the anticipated witnesses. This requirement should be deleted or at the very least identified as "optional."	The committee has made this change.
		• FL-321: a period should be placed after "trial" under "WITNESS LIST."	The committee made this change.
		•FL-347, first paragraph: The boxes and designations for "petitioner" and "respondent"	The committee has deleted the second set of boxes.

**SPR11-38** 

Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
		should be deleted as unnecessary and confusing.	_
Superior Court of Ventura County Caron Smith, Family Law Case Coordinating Attorney	AM	True access to justice includes that the people we serve are able to read, understand, and complete the court forms on their own. "Go to Self Help Center or the Facilitator's Office for assistance" works if you secure a spot on the long waiting list. The Ventura Self Help Center is overwhelmed and under staffed. If the Center reaches the limit of people they can assist on a particular day, many litigants receive a packet and are told to come back another day. People must make a difficult choice. Hourly employees have already lost pay waiting in long lines. They cannot afford to ask for more time off, they need the money. It is also possible, that if they ask for more time off they might lose their job. Yet, some have not seen their children for weeks; the other parent will not let them. Some need support immediately; they are not sure if they can pay the rent next month. They try to do the packet on their own. However, it is beyond their understanding.  There is no access to justice for these litigants.  Readability  Access to justice is a principle embraced by the	The committee will consider creating plain language family law forms for the low literacy reader in a future cycle. While it is apparent that a great deal of thought has gone in the alternative form presented, it is very different than the current format for family law forms and therefore the proposed form should be circulated for comment. The committee will consider whether changing one form at a time into this new format would be best the approach or whether all of the appropriate family law forms should be made plain language at the same time.
	Superior Court of Ventura County Caron Smith, Family Law Case	Superior Court of Ventura County Caron Smith, Family Law Case  AM	Superior Court of Ventura County Caron Smith, Family Law Case Coordinating Attorney  AM Access to Justice  True access to justice includes that the people we serve are able to read, understand, and complete the court forms on their own. "Go to Self Help Center or the Facilitator's Office for assistance" works if you secure a spot on the long waiting list. The Ventura Self Help Center is overwhelmed and under staffed. If the Center reaches the limit of people they can assist on a particular day, many litigants receive a packet and are told to come back another day. People must make a difficult choice. Hourly employees have already lost pay waiting in long lines. They cannot afford to ask for more time off, they need the money. It is also possible, that if they ask for more time off they might lose their job. Yet, some have not seen their children for weeks; the other parent will not let them. Some need support immediately; they are not sure if they can pay the rent next month. They try to do the packet on their own. However, it is beyond their understanding.  There is no access to justice for these litigants.

**SPR11-38** 

Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
Commentator	Position	Judicial Council. However, without a commitment to creating useful forms for the low literacy reader, the commitment to access is illusory. This is an opportunity to change how court forms are written in California. Many states and countries make readability of court forms a priority. On October 12, 2010, President Obama signed the Plain Writing Act of 2010. Using plain language in the government is now the law, at least in the federal government.  According to readability experts 43% of the population read at or below the 4th grade level. Readability experts use two readability instruments to initial evaluate written documents. The instruments evaluate the grade level and the reading ease of the text. Reading ease looks at the quantifiable aspects of text and grammar. Reading ease is rated 0 to 100, with 0	Committee Response
		being very difficult; and 100 being very easy.  The Ventura Superior Court rewrote the first page of the proposed "Request for Order." The text of the rewritten first page was evaluated with the readability instruments. The results were:  Grade Level 5.2  Reading Ease; 80.4	

**SPR11-38** 

Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
		The readability instruments were also used to evaluate the first page of the proposed "Request for Orders." The results were:	
		Grade Level 10.2 Reading Ease; 51.5	
		The rewritten form is much more readable to a significant number of self-represented litigants. Equally important, the rewritten page contains all the information that is legally required in an understandable and manageable format.	
		The court is submitting this rewritten page to demonstrate that it is possible to create such court forms. The rewriting of the California forms is a major task. We believe, however, the principles of access to justice mandate that forms and court rules be written in plain language. Millions of people will be helped.	
		Efficient Use of Self Help Services	
		If litigants are able to complete all or substantial part of their forms on their own, self help services could be used more efficiently. If needed, forms could be checked by self help staff. Much of their time, however, could be devoted to assisting litigants with more complex	

**SPR11-38** 

Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
		legal issues. Additionally, litigants would have a better understanding of the legal process.	•
		Changes made to Proposed FL-300	
		To make the explanation of the changes easier, the person who files the "Request for Order" is referred to as the "requestor" and the other side is the "responder."	
		1. Confusing information is removed. Looking at the forms, we asked ourselves: Why is this here? Does it need to be here? Is it legally required to be on the form? Is there a simpler way to say the same thing?	
		2. FL-300, with the name added, is enlarged and moved to the right hand side. This makes it easier to identify the form.	
		3. Words were changed if they presupposed a legal education, or familiarity with the courts. For example, "relief" becomes "orders" and "Department" becomes "courtroom."	
		4. References to codes are removed. Codes do not have to be included, nor does their inclusion educate litigants. Few self-represented litigants will look up the sections. If they do, the section will not likely illuminate anything for them. It is not clear why some codes are included and	

**SPR11-38** 

Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
		others are not. For example, Family Law Code §3622 could be cited to justify or to explain that the Income and Expense Declaration or Financial Statement (Simplified) must be filed if requesting child support.	
		5. In the box at the bottom of the form, the responder is told they "must" file a response. This is not true. No one is required to file a response.	
		6. The first page is made into an order by the court, as does the current FL-300. The proposed form splits the page, the requestor signs the top, and the judicial officer signs the bottom. This split adds confusion and creates many inconsistencies. The judicial officer is the person making orders. In number 6, the responder is ordered to attend mediation, however, in number 2 the responder is not ordered to attend the hearing. Attending the hearing is not an order in the proposed form because it is in the portion signed by the requestor.	
		7. Number 3, "Supporting attachments" should not appear on the first page, First, blank forms, as indicated on the form, are not supporting, nor would they be attached. This section is an order on the current FL-300. Removing the reference to the blank forms would not fix the problem. It	

**SPR11-38** 

Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
		seems the intent is to inform the responder what	
		the requestor has attached. However, the	
		requestor is not signing under penalty of	
		perjury. In addition, the requestor cannot assure	
		that blank forms have been served. They are	
		not the server. Making it an order to the	
		requestor is inconsistent and confusing.	
		Number 1, indicates the form is directed to one	
		person, the responder.	
		FL-300 REQUEST FOR ORDER	
		ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT	
		ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and	
		address):	
		TELEPHONE NO.: FAX NO. (Optional):	
		E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): ATTORNEY	
		FOR (Name): FOR COURT USE	
		ONLY	
		DRAFT	
		Not Approved by the Judicial Council	
		SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA,	
		COUNTY OF	
		STREET ADDRESS:	
		MAILING ADDRESS:	
		CITY AND ZIP CODE:	
		BRANCH NAME:	
		PETITIONER/PLAINTIFF:	
		RESPONDENT/DEFENDANT:	
		REQUEST FOR ORDER	
		MODIFICATION	
		Child Custody Visitation	

## **SPR11-38**

Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
		Child Support Spousal Support Attorney Fees and CostsInjunctive Order Other (specify): CASE NUMBER:	
		COURT ORDER TO:	

	Attorney Fees and CostsInjunctive Order Other (specify): CASE NUMBER:
	COURT ORDER TO:
	Hearing:
	The other side is asking the court to make orders in your family law case. You are ordered to come to the hearing about these orders on:
	Hearing: Date: Time: In courtroom: or Room Court address:
	Custody, Visitation and Mediation:
	If this is about custody or visitation, you are ordered to go a class about mediation and to mediation, to see if we can help you work out an agreement. You are ordered to go:  Class: Date: Time: Room.:
	Mediation: Date: Time: Room
	Time for you to be Served or Given a Copy

**SPR11-38** 

All comments are verbatim unless indicated by an asterisk (*).
--

Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
		You must be served by XXX days before the hearing, unless the judge makes it less days.	_
		• The judge gives the other side untilto serve you.	
		Your Response:	
		If you want to say what you want these orders to be and why, file FL-320 Response to Request for Order. Check FL-320 to see when you must serve the other side.	
		Form Adopted for Mandatory Use Judicial Council of California FL-300 [Rev. January 1, 2012]	
		REQUEST FOR ORDER Family Code, §§ 2045, 2107, 6224, 6226, 6320–6326, 6380–6383 Government Code, § 26826	
		www.courts.ca.gov	
		The form will be emailed since the format of the form cannot be seen.	