



JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

770 L Street, Suite 1240 • Sacramento, California 95814-3368
Telephone 916-323-3121 • Fax 916-323-4347 • TDD 415-865-4272

TANI G. CANTIL-SAKAUYE
Chief Justice of California
Chair of the Judicial Council

MARTIN HOSHINO
Administrative Director

CORY T. JASPERSON
Director, Governmental Affairs

May 1, 2017

Hon. James M. Gallagher
Member of the Assembly
State Capitol, Room 2158
Sacramento, California 95814

Subject: Assembly Bill 255 (Gallagher), as amended March 22, 2017 – Support

Dear Assembly Member Gallagher:

The Judicial Council is pleased to support AB 255. AB 255 requires the court, which when determining the county placement of sexually violent predators (SVPs), to consider additional factors if the county of placement is not the county of domicile, including if and how long the person has previously resided or been employed in the county and if the person has next of kin in the county.

The Judicial Council believes AB 255 enhances judicial discretion by requiring the court to consider additional factors for placement when the court determines that the appropriate county of placement for SVPs is not the county of domicile. The council also believes that expanding the factors a court must consider when determining the appropriate placement to include previous residence or employment in the county and if the person has next of kin in the county provides the courts with appropriate guidance when making that determination. In addition, the council believes that SVPs are disproportionately located in rural counties and that relocating SVPs to areas without having any sort of residential, family, or work ties to the community is problematic, especially in rural communities that face unique public safety issues and do not have the capacity to monitor offenders from other counties.

Hon. James M. Gallagher

May 1, 2017

Page 2

For these reasons, the Judicial Council is pleased to support AB 255.

Sincerely,

Mailed on May 3, 2017

Sharon Reilly

Attorney

SR/yc-s

cc: Hon. Kevin Kiley, Coauthor, Member of the Assembly

Hon. Jim W. Nielsen, Principal coauthor, Member of the Senate

Mr. Daniel Seeman, Deputy Legislative Affairs Secretary, Office of the Governor

Mr. Martin Hoshino, Administrative Director, Judicial Council of California



JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS

770 L Street, Suite 1240 • Sacramento, California 95814-3368

Telephone 916-323-3121 • Fax 916-323-4347 • TDD 415-865-4272

TANI G. CANTIL-SAKAUYE

*Chief Justice of California
Chair of the Judicial Council*

MARTIN HOSHINO
Administrative Director

CORY T. JASPERSON
Director, Governmental Affairs

June 2, 2017

Hon. Nancy Skinner, Chair
Senate Public Safety Committee
State Capitol, Room 2059
Sacramento, California 95814

Subject: Assembly Bill 255 (Gallagher), as amended March 22, 2017 – Support
Hearing: Senate Public Safety Committee – June 13, 2017

Dear Senator Skinner:

The Judicial Council is pleased to support AB 255. AB 255 requires the court, which when determining the county placement of sexually violent predators (SVPs), to consider additional factors if the county of placement is not the county of domicile, including if and how long the person has previously resided or been employed in the county and if the person has next of kin in the county.

The council believes AB 255 enhances judicial discretion by requiring the court to consider additional factors for placement when the court determines that the appropriate county of placement for SVPs is not the county of domicile. The council also believes that expanding the factors a court must consider when determining the appropriate placement to include previous residence or employment in the county and if the person has next of kin in the county provides the courts with appropriate guidance when making that determination. In addition, the council believes that SVPs are disproportionately located in rural counties and that relocating SVPs to areas without having any sort of residential, family, or work ties to the community is problematic, especially in rural communities that face unique public safety issues and do not have the capacity to monitor offenders from other counties.

Hon. Nancy Skinner

June 2, 2017

Page 2

For these reasons, the Judicial Council is pleased to support AB 255.

Should you have any questions or require additional information, please contact Sharon Reilly at sharon.reilly@jud.ca.gov or 916-323-3121.

Sincerely,

Mailed June 2, 2017

Cory T. Jaspersen

Director, Governmental Affairs

CTJ/SR/yc-s

cc: Members, Senate Public Safety Committee

Hon. James Gallagher, Member of the Assembly

Hon. Kevin Kiley, Coauthor, Member of the Assembly

Hon. Jim W. Nielsen, Principal coauthor, Member of the Senate

Ms. Mary Kennedy, Chief Counsel, Senate Public Safety Committee

Mr. Eric Csizmar, Consultant, Senate Republican Office of Policy

Mr. Daniel Seeman, Deputy Legislative Affairs Secretary, Office of the Governor

Mr. Martin Hoshino, Administrative Director, Judicial Council of California



JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

770 L Street, Suite 1240 • Sacramento, California 95814-3368
Telephone 916-323-3121 • Fax 916-323-4347 • TDD 415-865-4272

TANI G. CANTIL-SAKAUYE
Chief Justice of California
Chair of the Judicial Council

MARTIN HOSHINO
Administrative Director

CORY T. JASPERSON
Director, Governmental Affairs

June 23, 2017

Hon. Edmund G. Brown, Jr.
Governor of California
State Capitol, First Floor
Sacramento, California 95814

Subject: Assembly Bill 255 (Gallagher) – Request for Signature

Dear Governor Brown:

The Judicial Council respectfully requests your signature on AB 255. AB 255 requires the court, when determining the county placement of sexually violent predators (SVPs), to consider additional factors if the county of placement is not the county of domicile, including if and how long the person has previously resided or been employed in the county and if the person has next of kin in the county.

The council believes AB 255 enhances judicial discretion by requiring the court to consider additional factors for placement when the court determines that the appropriate county of placement for SVPs is not the county of domicile. The council also believes that expanding the factors a court must consider when determining the appropriate placement to include previous residence or employment in the county and if the person has next of kin in the county provides the courts with appropriate guidance when making that determination. In addition, the council believes that SVPs are disproportionately located in rural counties and that relocating SVPs to areas without having any sort of residential, family, or work ties to the community is problematic, especially in rural communities that face unique public safety issues and do not have the capacity to monitor offenders from other counties.

Hon. Edmund G. Brown, Jr.

June 23, 2017

Page 2

For these reasons, the Judicial Council requests your signature on AB 255.

Should you have any questions or require additional information, please contact Sharon Reilly at sharon.reilly@jud.ca.gov or 916-323-3121.

Sincerely,

Mailed on June 23, 2017

Cory T. Jaspersen
Director, Governmental Affairs

CTJ/SR/yc-s

cc: Hon. James Gallagher, Member of the Assembly
Hon. Kevin Kiley, Coauthor, Member of the Assembly
Hon. Jim W. Nielsen, Principal coauthor, Member of the Senate
Mr. Daniel Seeman, Deputy Legislative Affairs Secretary, Office of the Governor
Mr. Martin Hoshino, Administrative Director, Judicial Council of California