### Supreme Court of California

350 McAllister Street, San Francisco, California 94102-3688 www.courtinfo.ca.gov/invitationstocomment/

# INVITATION TO COMMENT

#### SP11-03

Title

Citation Procedure: Copies of Decisions Only

Available in Computerized Databases

Proposed Rules, Forms, Standards, or Statutes Amend Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.1115

Proposed by

Supreme Court of California

Action Requested

Review and submit comments by Monday,

June 20, 2011

Proposed Effective Date

January 1, 2011

Contact

Heather Anderson, 415-865-7691 heather.anderson@jud.ca.gov

#### **Discussion**

The rules in title 8, division 5 of the California Rules of Court, which govern the publication and citation of appellate opinions, are adopted by the Supreme Court under section 14 of article VI of the California Constitution and published in the California Rules of Court at the direction of the Judicial Council. Rule 8.1115, which is among the rules in this division, addresses citation of opinions. Subdivision (c) of this rule currently requires that if a party cites either an unpublished opinion or an opinion from any court that is available only in a computer-based source of decisional law, the party must furnish a copy of that decision to the court and to all parties.

Most courts and many parties now have access to computer-based sources of decisional law through which they can access the types of opinions identified in rule 8.1115(c). It therefore typically is not necessary for a party to provide either the court or other parties with copies of these opinions. In addition, requiring copies of these opinions adds to the cost of litigation in the trial court, the cost of preparing records and other documents in appellate proceedings, and the size of court files and the costs associated with storing these files.

To reduce these costs, this proposal would amend rule 8.1115(c) to instead provide that copies of these opinions need be provided only if requested by the court or a party. This amendment would maintain the ability of a court or party to obtain a copy of such an opinion from the citing party if necessary but would eliminate the routine provision of copies in all cases. To reflect this new procedure, the rule also would be revised to change the timeframe for providing copies.

Rule 8.1115 of the California Rules of Court would be amended, effective January 1, 2012, to read:

1 Title 8. Appellate Rules 2 3 **Division 5. Publication of Appellate Opinions** 4 5 Rule 8.1115. Citation of opinions 6 7 (a) - (b) \* \* \*8 9 **Citation procedure** (c) 10 11 On request of the court or a party, a copy of an opinion citable under (b) or of a 12 cited opinion of any court that is available only in a computer-based source of 13 decisional law must be furnished to the court and all parties or the requesting party. 14 The copy must be furnished by attaching it to the document in which it is cited or, 15 if the request is made too late to attach it to the document or the citation will be 16 made orally, by letter within a reasonable time in advance of citation after the 17 request is made. 18 (d) \* \* \* 19 20

## **Item SP11-03** Response Form

Databases (amend Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.1115)	
	agree with proposed changes
	gree with proposed changes if modified
	o not agree with proposed changes
Comments:	
Name	Title.
	Title:
	n:
	emmenting on behalf of an organization
Address:	
City, State,	Zip:
are not comm	Comments ay be submitted online, written on this form, or prepared in a letter format. If you nenting directly on this form, please include the information requested above and number for identification purposes. Please submit your comments online or email, omments. You are welcome to email your comments as an attachment.
Internet:	http://www.courtinfo.ca.gov/invitationstocomment/
Email: Mail:	invitations@jud.ca.gov  Ms. Camilla Kieliger  Judicial Council, 455 Golden Gate Avenue
Fax:	San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 865-7664, Attn: Camilla Kieliger

The proposals have not been approved by the Supreme Court and are not intended to represent the views of the court. These proposals are circulated for comment purposes only.

**DEADLINE FOR COMMENT:** 5:00 p.m., Monday, June 20, 2011