

I. Critical Hearings

A. Initial Hearing

1. Purpose of Hearing
2. Preliminary Considerations
3. At the Initial Hearing
4. Possible Hearing Outcomes
5. Title IV-E Findings and Orders
6. Forms for use at Hearing

B. Jurisdiction

1. Purpose of Hearing
2. Preliminary Considerations
3. At the Jurisdiction Hearing
4. Possible Hearing Outcomes
5. Forms for use at Hearing

C. Disposition Hearing

1. Purpose of Hearing
2. Preliminary Considerations
3. At the Disposition Hearing
4. Possible Hearing Outcomes
5. Title IV-E Findings and Orders
6. Forms for use at Hearing

D. Review Hearings

1. Purpose of Hearing
2. Preliminary Considerations
3. At the Review Hearing
4. Possible Hearing Outcomes
5. Title IV-E Findings and Orders
6. Forms for use at Hearing

E. Selection and Implementation Hearing

1. Purpose of Hearing
2. Preliminary Considerations
3. At the Selection and Implementation Hearing
4. Possible Hearing Outcomes
5. Title IV-E Findings and Orders
6. Forms for use at Hearing

F. Postpermanency Hearing

1. Purpose of Hearing
2. Preliminary Considerations
3. At the Postpermanency Hearing
4. Possible Hearing Outcomes
5. Title IV-E Findings and Orders
6. Forms for use at Hearing

G. Nonminor Dependent Hearings

1. Purpose of Hearing
2. Preliminary Considerations
3. At the Nonminor Dependent Hearing
4. Title IV-E Findings and Orders
5. Forms for use at Hearing

II. Definitions

I. Critical Hearings

A. INITIAL HEARING

1. PURPOSE OF HEARING:

The initial petition hearing is the first court hearing held after the Social Services Agency (Agency) files a petition seeking to declare a child a dependent of the court. The court must determine whether the child should be detained or can remain with or be released to the care and custody of a parent or guardian. In some cases, a petition is filed with the court and the child is not taken into protective custody, nor is there a recommendation to do so. In most cases, however, the Agency will have filed a petition to detain the child and that child will have been taken into protective custody. If the child has been taken into custody, the court shall hold a hearing, which shall be referred to as the “detention hearing” to determine whether the child should be further detained. (WIC 315)

In order to detain the child, the court must find *that there is a substantial danger to the child’s physical health or the child is suffering from severe emotional damage AND there are no reasonable means to protect the child without removal.* (WIC 319)

The court will also appoint counsel at the initial petition hearing and make certain inquiries and orders as discussed in this section. The court will also review and assess the evidence proffered by the Agency and any additional relevant evidence presented by the parties and their counsel. (WIC 315, 319; California Rules of Court 5.676)

2. PRELIMINARY CONSIDERATIONS:

a. Timelines

If the child has not been removed from parental custody, the initial petition hearing must take place within 15 judicial court days of the date the petition was filed. When a child has been detained, a petition must be filed within 2 judicial court days of the protective custody hold and a hearing to determine if the child is to remain detained must be held no later than the end of the next court day after the petition is filed. (WIC 313, 315; California Rules of Court 5.670)

The “Date the Child entered Foster Care (DEFC):” WIC §362.49- the earlier of the first finding of abuse or neglect (jurisdictional finding) or 60 days after the child is physically removed from the home.

b. Notice

Notice of the date, time and location of the hearing with a copy of the petition shall be served as soon as possible after the petition is filed and no less than 24 hours in advance of the hearing if the child is detained. (WIC 290.2) If the whereabouts of the parent are unknown, the Agency must conduct due diligence to locate and notice the parent. Failure to notice or providing insufficient notice could mean the jurisdictional and subsequent findings are subject to reversal. (In re Claudia S. (2005) 131 Cal.App.4th 236; In re Arlyne A. (2000) 85 Cal.App.4th 591)

Notice shall be give to the following persons whose address is known or becomes known prior to the initial petition hearing:

- The mother.
- The fathers, presumed and alleged.
- The legal guardians.
- The child if the child is 10 years of age or older.
- Any sibling, if that sibling is the subject of dependency proceedings, and that sibling’s attorney and caregiver.
- If there is no known parent residing in the state, the adult relative residing nearest the court.
- Counsel for the parties, if known.
- The Agency.

c. Appointment of Counsel

i. Child’s Counsel

In a dependency proceeding, the court must appoint counsel for the child absent a finding that the child would not benefit from counsel. (WIC 317) Generally, child’s counsel has the responsibility to represent the “child’s interests,” specifically to investigate the facts; interview, examine and cross-examine witnesses; and make recommendations to the court regarding the child’s welfare.

In California, there is a “hybrid model” of representation wherein child’s counsel must determine and report to the court the child’s wishes, but must assess and advocate for the child’s well-being. Regardless of the child’s stated wishes, counsel cannot advocate for

return to a parent if, to the best of their knowledge, return would pose a threat to the child's safety and protection, though in such cases, counsel must advise the court of the child's desires. (WIC 317 (e); California Rules of Court 5.660)

If possible, prior to the initial hearing, child's counsel should interview his or her client if over the age of four, to discuss, in developmentally appropriate terms:

- The nature of the confidential attorney/client relationship;
- The purpose of the hearing and what the court must decide;
- The nature of the allegations if appropriate;
- The client's preferences for placement and visitation if removed from parent;
- Potential permanent connections for the child for concurrent planning purposes;
- Right to remain in school of origin and related educational needs pursuant to Education Code § 48850-§48859;
- Medical and healthcare issues;
- Any pending delinquency issues and;
- Any immigration issues.

ii. Parent's Counsel

The court must appoint counsel for indigent parents at their first appearance unless the parent client waives his or her right to counsel. (WIC 317(a)) Prior to the initial hearing, parent's counsel should meet with her client and explain and address the following:

- The nature and potential consequences of the proceedings;
- The purpose of the hearing and what the court must decide;
- The nature of the allegations contained in the petition;
- The status of the parent's ability to maintain the child/children in his or her home;
- Potential connections and alternate caretakers for the child;
- Any services or conditions which have been utilized or may be available to alleviate the need for detention;
- The parentage of the child(ren);
- Whether the parent or child has Indian heritage; and
- Current contact information to provide to the Agency and the court.

d. Report

The social worker must submit a report for the initial hearing detailing:

- The reasons for removal;
- The need for continued detention;

- Documentation that reasonable efforts were made to prevent or eliminate the need for removal of the child from the home (WIC 319);
- The services already provided to the family;
- Any services available to prevent the need for further detention;
- Whether there is a previously noncustodial parent or relative willing and able to care for the child; and
- What efforts have been made and continue to be made to place the child with siblings or half-siblings who have also been detained. (WIC 319)

e. Burdens of Proof and Evidentiary Issues

The report is admissible evidence and may often serve as the sole evidence before the court at the initial hearing.

After reviewing the report and any other evidence proffered, the court must make a determination whether the Agency can support its recommendation by *prima facie evidence*. Prima facie evidence is that “which suffices for the proof of a particular fact, until contradicted and overcome by other evidence.” (In re Raymond G. (1991) 230 Cal.App.3d 964)

3. AT THE INITIAL HEARING:

The court’s initial inquiries will focus on the issues of parentage and Indian heritage.

a. Parentage

The court must make inquiries as to the identity and whereabouts of any and all parents, presumed, biological or alleged. Additionally, if given sufficient information, the court may make a determination as to paternity status. (WIC 316.2) The parentage inquiry must occur and include questions such as the mother’s marital status, the existence of any declarations of paternity, and whether the man presenting himself or named as a father qualifies as a presumed father under Family Code 7611. Parentage inquiries include but are not limited to (California Rule of Court § 5.635(b)):

- Whether the parent lived with the child;
- Whether the man believes himself to be the biological father;
- Whether the man was present at the child’s birth;
- Whether the man was placed on the child’s birth certificate;
- Whether the parent has held the child out to the community as his or her child;
- Whether the man is married to or made efforts to marry the mother; and
- Whether there are any preexisting paternity orders.

In addition to the court's inquiry above, the parent(s) may voluntarily complete and submit the Judicial Council Form JV-505, "Statement Regarding Parentage." The court may also utilize the JV-500, "Parentage Inquiry," to seek out parentage information from the local child support agency.

The court may order paternity testing upon the request of a party or upon its own motion if it determines the test necessary to make appropriate findings regarding the child's parentage.

The legal designation a person receives affects the rights afforded to that person and can impact the procedural path of the case. There are four different categories of parentage:

- **Alleged Father:** A man is an alleged father if he appears at a hearing and claims to be the child's father, or is named by the child's mother as the father.
- **Biological Father:** A man is the biological father if his paternity is proved by blood test but he has not achieved presumed father status. (*In re Zacharia D.* (1993) 6 Cal.4th 435) This category includes persons adjudicated to be fathers in a prior family law or child support case, either on the basis of blood test or default judgment only.
- **"Kelsey S." Father:** A man is a "Kelsey" father if he is the biological father and he promptly attempts to fulfill parental responsibilities, but is unable to establish presumed father status through no fault of his own. (*Adoption of Kelsey S.* (1992) 1 Cal.4th 816)
- **Presumed Father:** A man qualifies as the presumed father under any of the following circumstances:
 1. He was married to the child's mother at the time of the child's birth (or the child was born within 300 days of separation). (Fam. Code § 7540)
 2. He and the mother are over 18 years old, are not married to anyone else and complete and file a Voluntary Declaration of Paternity. (Fam. Code § 7573)
 3. He married the child's mother after the child's birth and is either named on the child's birth certificate or has a voluntary or court-ordered child support obligation. (Fam. Code § 7611(c))
 4. He has lived with the child and held himself out as the child's father, (Fam. Code § 7611(d)) or
 5. He and the mother have signed a voluntary declaration of parentage under Family Code § 7570.

b. ICWA Considerations

The court must make inquiries regarding Indian heritage. The court has an affirmative duty to ascertain whether the child is an Indian as defined in the Indian Child Welfare Act (ICWA). (25 U.S.C. 1901 et seq.) Any information suggesting that the child may be an Indian child under the ICWA triggers statutory notification requirements for all subsequent hearings unless and until the court properly determines that ICWA does not apply. The parents must complete the JV-130

form, "Parental Notification of Indian Status," to report any Indian heritage. (California Rule of Court § 5.664)

Whenever there is reason to believe that a child involved in a dependency proceeding may be an Indian child, the Agency must send notice of any upcoming proceedings to the parent, Indian custodian, all tribes of which the child might be a member or be eligible for membership, and to the Bureau of Indian Affairs if no tribe can be identified. The obligation to send notice continues until it is determined that the child is not an Indian child. The juvenile court may determine that ICWA does not apply if, 60 days after notice has been sent, no determinative response has been received from any of the parties notified. Notice must be sent by registered mail with a return receipt requested and the return receipts must be lodged in the court file.

In addition to the mandated notice requirements, inquiry about Indian heritage is critical to connect the family with tribal services or contacts and identify appropriate Indian placements and Indian experts for purposes of future findings.

c. Determination of Detention Status

After the initial inquiries regarding parentage and ICWA status, the court must determine whether the child should remain with or be released to the parent's care and custody.

The court must order the child released to the parent unless the Agency has made a prima facie showing that:

- the child falls within WIC 300;
- continuance in the parent's custody is contrary to the child's welfare; and
- ANY of the following
 - There is a substantial danger to the child's physical health or the child is suffering from severe emotional damage and there are no reasonable means to protect the child without removal;
 - There is substantial evidence the parent is likely to flee with the child; or
 - The child left a previous court-ordered placement; or
 - The child is unwilling to return home and has been physically or sexually abused by someone living there (WIC 319); or

4. POSSIBLE INITIAL HEARING OUTCOMES:

a. Return Home

If the court does not find that there is a substantial risk to the child in either remaining in or returning home, or that there are services which could prevent or eliminate the need for removal, the court must allow the child to remain with or be released to the parent. (WIC 319; California Rule of Court § 5.678)

b. Detention

If the court finds that there is a substantial risk to the child and there are no further services which could prevent or eliminate the need for removal and detains the child from the custodial parent(s) under WIC 319, the court must order the temporary care and custody of the child be vested in the agency.

In a dependency proceeding, the court must then place the child:

- With a non-custodial/non-offending parent (WIC 309);
- With an assessed relative or Non Related Extended Family Member (NREFM) (WIC 319(e)(f));
- In a licensed foster home; or
- In an emergency shelter.

If the child is detained, the court must consider the issue of visitation between the child and other persons, including siblings, determine if contact pending the jurisdiction hearing would be beneficial or detrimental to the child, and make appropriate orders. (California Rule of Court § 5.670(g))

Unless the matter is dismissed for a lack of prima facie evidence and stipulation of all counsel, the court will set the jurisdictional hearing at the conclusion of the initial hearing. The parties then will be given the option to waive the amount of time within which the jurisdiction hearing must be held. It is not advisable to waive this time requirement unless doing so is necessary to talk to potential witnesses, prepare for trial, or ensure your client is participating in services.

The Welfare and Institutions code contemplates two separate hearings for jurisdiction and disposition. (See Jurisdiction and Disposition chapters) In some court systems, these hearings are held together and a “combined” report is submitted. This practice can be prejudicial to the parent because a combined report is likely to contain information that is relevant to disposition but not jurisdiction.

c. Appellate Considerations

Are initial hearing orders reviewable on appeal?

- Issues arising from the initial hearing are not reviewable on appeal. (*In re Richard D.* (1972) 23 Cal.App.3d 592, 595)

- Review must be sought by writ petition (see *In re William M.* (1970) 3 Cal.3d 16, 24-25) or if appropriate, a petition for rehearing. (WIC 252)
- However, the merits of otherwise moot or waived claims can be reached if they contain "matters of broad public interest that are likely to recur" (*In re Mark C.* (1992) 7 Cal.App.4th 433, 440; *In re Jody R.* (1990) 218 Cal.App.3d 1615, 1621-1622) or "issues capable of repetition yet evading review." (*In re Raymond G.* (1991) 230 Cal.App.3d 964, 967)

5. TITLE IV-E FINDINGS AND ORDERS

The detention findings and orders must be made on the record and included in the written order. (Dependency—California Rule of Court 5.674(b))

It is best practice that the court state on the record and the written order include all title IV-E findings and orders for the prepermanency hearing, permanency hearing, and postpermanency hearing and that the judicial officer hearing the matter sign the written order.

The court may choose to make the findings and orders for the prepermanency hearing, permanency hearing, and postpermanency hearing on the record through reference to and adoption of social worker's or probation officer's recommended findings and orders setting forth the name and date of the report and the page number(s) on which the recommendations are found. A copy of the recommended findings and orders adopted by the court must be attached to the written minute order or included on the face of the written minute order.

Initial Hearings

-Continuance in the home is contrary to the child's welfare.

-Temporary placement and care is vested with the agency.

-Reasonable efforts have been made to prevent removal.

6. FORMS FOR USE AT INITIAL HEARING

- JV-100: Dependency Petition
- JV-130: Parental Notification of Indian Status
- JV-500: Parentage Inquiry
- JV-505: Statement Regarding Parentage
- JV-410: Findings and Orders after Detention Hearing

B. JURISDICTION HEARING

1. PURPOSE OF HEARING:

At the jurisdiction hearing, the court must make a factual determination as to whether the child comes within one or more of the provisions set forth in Welfare and Institutions Code sections 300.

2. PRELIMINARY CONSIDERATIONS:

a. Timelines

If the child is detained from the previously custodial parent, the hearing must be set within 15 court days of the date that the order for detention was made, unless all parties agree to waive this time requirement. If the child is not detained, the hearing must be held within 30 days of the date the petition was filed. (WIC 334; California Rules of Court 5.670)

b. Notice

All parties must be noticed at this determinative phase of the proceedings. Reasonable efforts must be made to locate and notify the parents in order for jurisdictional findings to be made. Notice must contain time, date, place and nature of the proceeding and any potential consequences of failure to attend.

Notice must be provided to the parents, child (if 10 or older), attorneys of record, and dependent siblings and their caregivers and attorneys. If there is reason to believe an Indian child may be involved, notice of the action and the tribe's right to intervene must be served on any known Indian custodian and tribe or, if unknown, on the Bureau of Indian Affairs. (WIC 291; California Rules of Court 5.664) The notice must contain time, date, place, nature of hearing and any recommendations to deny reunification services and those potential consequences. (WIC 358)

c. The Petition

An original WIC 300 petition is filed as to whether a child comes within the provisions of the Welfare and Institutions Code. In a dependency proceeding, the circumstances necessitating the filing of a petition may be due to the conduct of one or both parents. Proof by a *preponderance of evidence* must be adduced to support a finding that the minor is a person described by WIC 300. (WIC 355(a); California Rules of Court 5.534)

The specific provisions of section 300 can be summarized as follows:

300 (a)

Non-accidental, serious physical harm, inflicted by a parent or guardian.

300(b)

Neglect causing serious physical harm or illness or substantial risk thereof. This provision does not include allegations of intentional physical injury, abuse inflicted by a parent or infliction of emotional harm.

The serious physical harm or risk of harm is caused by:

- Parent's failure or inability to adequately supervise or protect; or
- Parent's failure to adequately supervise or protect from custodian with whom child is left; or
- Parent's failure to provide adequate food, clothing, shelter or medical treatment; or
- Parent's inability to provide regular care because of substance abuse, mental illness or developmental disability.

300(c)

Child is suffering or is at risk of suffering serious emotional damage as evidenced by severe anxiety, depression, withdrawal, or untoward aggressive behavior toward self or others, either as

a result of parent's conduct or because the parent or guardian is not capable of providing appropriate mental health treatment for the child.

300(d)

The child has suffered sexual abuse or there is a risk of sexual abuse by the parent or member of the household; or

The parent has failed to protect the child from sexual abuse when the parent knew or should have known that the child was at risk of sexual abuse.

300(e)

The child is under the age of five and has suffered "severe physical abuse" by the parent or person known to the parent.

Severe physical abuse is defined as:

- Single act of abuse causing physical trauma which, if left untreated, would cause disfigurement, disability or death; or
- Single act of sexual abuse causing significant bleeding, deep bruising, or significant swelling; or
- More than one act of abuse each of which causes significant bleeding, deep bruising, significant swelling, bone fractures or unconsciousness; or
- Failure to provide adequate food

300(f)

The parent has caused the death of another child. To sustain under this provision:

- A criminal conviction is not required; or
- The death can be caused by a failure to protect by the parent

300(g)

The child has been left without any provision for support; or

The parent has been institutionalized or incarcerated and cannot arrange for adequate care of the child; or

A relative or caretaker with whom the child has been left is unable or unwilling to provide care, the whereabouts of parent are unknown, and reasonable efforts to locate parents have been unsuccessful.

300(h)

The child has been freed for adoption for 12 months, or

An adoption petition for the child has been denied.

300(i)

The child has been subjected to an act or acts of cruelty by the parent or a member of the household, or

The parent failed to protect the child from an act of cruelty when the parent knew or should have known that the child was in danger of being subjected to an act of cruelty.

300(j)

A child's sibling has been abused or neglected as defined in subdivisions (a), (b), (d), (e) or (i) and there is substantial risk that the child will be abused or neglected in the same way.

In a WIC 300 proceeding, the court must assess circumstances surrounding the original abuse or neglect, the age and gender of each child, the nature of the abuse of the sibling and the mental condition of the parent.

d. Report

In a dependency proceeding, the evidence contained in the Agency's report is governed generally by WIC 355 and 355.1.

The social worker's report is admissible hearsay for purposes of jurisdiction, as long as the preparer of the report is available for cross-examination. (In re Malinda S (51 Cal.3d 368))

- However, if any party to the hearing raises a timely objection to the admission of specific hearsay evidence contained in the social study, the specific hearsay evidence shall not be sufficient by itself to support a jurisdictional finding or any ultimate fact upon which a jurisdictional finding is based, unless one or more of the exceptions set out in WIC 355 applies. (WIC 355(c)(1); California Rules of Court 5.684)

- The social worker’s report must be provided to all parties and their counsel within a reasonable time before the hearing. (WIC 355(b)(3))

-The court may grant a continuance not to exceed 10 days, if the report is not provided within a reasonable time before the jurisdiction hearing.

The report must contain enough evidence to support each of the allegations.

e. Burden of Proof and Evidentiary Issues

i. Burden of Proof

- The Agency bears the burden to prove by a preponderance of the evidence that the allegations in the petition are true and that the child is therefore described by section 300 and 602. (WIC 355 (a); California Rules of Court 5.534) At any hearing in which agency has burden, “after the presentation of evidence on behalf of the agency and the child has been closed,” the court (on motion of child, parent or on its own) “shall order whatever action the law requires of it” if it finds that the burden has not been met. (WIC 350(c))

This may include dismissal. If the motion is denied, the parent may offer evidence. (WIC §350(c))

ii. Rebuttable Presumptions

If a rebuttable presumption under WIC 355.1 (a) or (d) is applicable, a presumption affecting the burden to produce evidence is created. (WIC 355.1) In this event, the opposing party must produce evidence to rebut the presumption established under WIC 355.1 (a) or (d).

3. AT THE JURISDICTION HEARING:

a. Petitions sustained

Petitions may be sustained after an admission, submission or plea of no contest.

b. Negotiation of the Petitions or Settlement

If the evidence in the report is enough to sustain some of the allegations, petitions can be amended so that parties will submit.

The court may not dismiss allegations unless it finds it is in the interests of justice to do so.

c. Objections or Argument

When the evidence contained in the reports is insufficient to support some or all of the allegations, it may be advantageous to proceed with the hearing by making an objection to specific allegations, or making arguments to the court regarding the lack of evidence presented and allow the court to make decisions without introducing further evidence.

d. Evidence or Contested Hearings

When the evidence is disputed or additional evidence is needed for the court to make a determination as to the sufficiency of the petitions, the case may be set for a contested hearing. The case may also be set for contested hearing if issues related to WIC 355 or the “child hearsay exception” arise. (See *In re Cindy L* (1997) 17 Cal.4th 15; *In re Lucero L.* (2000) 22 Cal.4th 1227)

- If a party makes an objection to hearsay contained in the jurisdictional report and provides the Agency with a reasonable period of time to meet the objection. The Agency must make the hearsay declarant available for cross-examination or the hearsay evidence is not sufficient to solely support a jurisdictional finding. If one of the exceptions does apply, the attorney who wants to cross-examine the declarant is responsible to procure the witness’ presence in court.

4. POSSIBLE JURISDICTION HEARING OUTCOMES:

a. Dismissal

After hearing the evidence, the court is required pursuant to WIC 356 to make findings as to whether the child is a person described by WIC 300. If the child is found not to be a person described by WIC 300, the petition must be dismissed.

b. Sustained Petitions

If the child is found to be a person described by WIC 300, findings must be noted in the order. After making a finding that the child is described under WIC 300, the court will then conduct and/or set a disposition hearing. (WIC 358(a))

In a dependency proceeding, if the Agency's recommendation for disposition is that no reunification services be provided to a parent, the parent or child has a right to a mandatory continuance for a period not to exceed 30 days to respond to and prepare for the dispositional recommendation. (WIC 358(a)(3))

c. Appellate Considerations

- Jurisdictional findings are not appealable until after the disposition order is entered (See Disposition chapter).

5. FORMS FOR USE AT HEARING

- JV-190: Waiver of Rights-Juvenile Dependency
- JV-412: Findings and Orders After Jurisdiction Hearing

C. DISPOSITION HEARING

i. PURPOSE OF HEARING:

A disposition hearing is held following a jurisdictional finding that the child is described by WIC 300. (WIC 358) At the hearing, the court shall hear evidence on the issue of the proper disposition for the child and must determine whether the child should be adjudicated a dependent or ward of the court. The court may determine that the child does not need to be adjudicated a dependent or ward, but prior to dismissal, may issue orders designed to protect the child's interests. (WIC 360)

The court may adjudicate the child a dependent or a ward and make decisions as to parental custody, placement and visitation, who shall receive reunification services and what services are appropriate. *In a dependency proceeding, the court cannot order the child removed from the parent unless the court finds by clear and convincing evidence that there is or would be a substantial danger to the child's physical or emotional well-being if not removed and that there are no reasonable means to protect the child without removal. (WIC 361(c))*

ii. PRELIMINARY CONSIDERATIONS:

a. Timelines

If the child has not been detained, the dispositional hearing must be set within 30 days of the jurisdictional hearing.

If the child has been detained, the court may continue the disposition hearing for no more than 10 judicial days after jurisdictional findings are made, unless the Agency recommendation is for non

reunification for a parent. In that case, the hearing shall be continued for a period not to exceed 30 days.

b. Notice

Notice must be provided to the parents, child (if 10 or older), attorneys of record, and dependent siblings and their caregivers and attorneys. If there is reason to believe an Indian child may be involved, notice of the action and the tribe's right to intervene must be served on any known Indian custodian and tribe or, if unknown, on the Bureau of Indian Affairs. (WIC 291; California Rules of Court 5.664) The notice must contain time, date, place, nature of hearing and any recommendations to deny reunification services and those potential consequences. (WIC 358)

c. Report- Social Study

If the social study is not distributed to all parties at least 48 hours before the disposition hearing, the court must grant any party's request for a continuance. The report must address all issues contained in WIC 358.1, including the following:

- Whether the Agency has considered providing child family preservation services by developing a case plan as a possible solution to the family's problems without removing the children;
- The basis for any recommendation to deny reunification services (WIC 361.5);
- A reunification case plan that is designed to identify and resolve problems so that the child can safely return to the family home (WIC 358, 358.1);
- The identified concurrent plan for the child should reunification fail, and the willingness of the caregiver to provide legal permanency if needed (WIC 358(b), 358.1(b)(i));
- Whether the parents have been informed of their right to relinquish the child for adoption (WIC 358.1(g));
- Recommendations regarding visitation with the parents, siblings, and grandparents (WIC 358.1);
- A description of the relationship between dependent siblings detailing the strength of existing bonds, the children's expressed desires to live with or visit each other, the Agency's efforts to place siblings who have been separated together, and the nature and frequency of visitation between any siblings placed apart (WIC 358.1);
- An assessment of the appropriateness of any relative or NRFEM placement (WIC 358.1(h), 361.3); and
- Identification of a responsible adult available to make educational decisions for the child, if recommending limitation of the parent's educational rights. (WIC 358.1)

d. Burden of Proof and Evidentiary Issues

The report or “social study,” and any hearsay contained within it, is admissible as competent evidence at disposition. (WIC 281, 355(b)(1), 358(b)) Testimony is not a prerequisite to the social study’s admission, although a party may always request that the preparer be present for cross-examination. (WIC 281, 358(b)) Additionally, parties have the right to subpoena and cross-examine witnesses, and to present relevant evidence. (WIC 341)

In a dependency proceeding, the Agency must present *clear and convincing* evidence to support removal of a child from the custody of a parent with whom the child resided prior to the court’s intervention. (WIC 361(c))

Clear and convincing evidence is required even if the child is to be removed from the custodial parent to be placed with the previously non-custodial parent.

ICWA Considerations:

If the child has been determined to be an Indian child within the meaning of the ICWA, the court must receive expert opinion and evidence on the issue of appropriate disposition for that child, including whether the child should be removed from the parent. Persons most likely considered an expert include members of the tribe, or lay or professional persons with substantial education and experience in Indian social and cultural standards.

ICWA requires the court to apply a different legal standard to remove an Indian child from a parent’s custody, namely that, “Clear and convincing evidence that continued custody with the parent or Indian custodian is likely to cause serious emotional or physical damage, including the testimony of a qualified expert witness, is required to place a child in foster care and to order a guardianship.” (25 U.S.C. §1911 D.3(a))

iii. AT THE DISPOSITION HEARING:

After finding that a child is described under WIC 300, the court shall hear evidence on the question of the proper disposition of the child. (WIC 358(a))

In a dependency proceeding, after receiving and considering evidence on the proper disposition of the case, the court must determine whether to adjudicate the child a dependent of the court.

(WIC 360) There may be circumstances where the court determines that although the child is a person described by WIC 300, it is not necessary to adjudicate that child a dependent of the court because there is an alternate plan which alleviates the need for the child to become a dependent of the court.

If the court finds that adjudication is necessary, the court must then determine whether the child can legally be removed from the parent's care and custody. If the child is removed, the court must determine the appropriate placement of the child and order services to appropriate parties. In determining an appropriate placement, the court must order a concurrent plan to consider reunification *and* permanence for the child as a part of the child's case plan. (§ 16501.1(f))

iv. **POSSIBLE DISPOSITION HEARING OUTCOMES:**

a. Court Declines Adjudication

In a dependency proceeding, the court has the discretion to set aside the jurisdictional findings and dismiss the petition when the interests of justice and the child so require. (WIC 390) After finding the child is described by WIC 300, the court also has the discretion, without adjudicating the child a dependent, to place the child with the parent and order the Agency to provide informal supervision for a period of 6 to 12 months. (WIC 360(b)) If, during the period of supervision, the family is unable or unwilling to cooperate with services, the Agency may file a petition alleging that informal supervision was ineffective in ameliorating the need for services. At a hearing on that petition, the court may either dismiss the petition or set a new disposition hearing. (WIC 360(c))

b. Entry of Legal Guardianship (with or without adjudication)

The court may enter an order establishing a legal guardianship either in addition to or in lieu of adjudicating the child a dependent so long as the parent and child (if old enough to meaningfully comment) consent and the court finds that guardianship is in the child's best interest. The parent must indicate that he or she does not want reunification services and understands that none will be provided. (WIC 360(a)) A guardian may not be appointed until the court has read and considered the assessment required under section WIC 361.5(g), which includes an analysis of the eligibility and appropriateness of the prospective guardian. (WIC 360(a), 361.5(g), 16010(b)) Appointment of a guardian pursuant to section WIC 360 is not subject to the criminal history restrictions and exemption requirements of WIC 361.4.

c. Child Is a Dependent

Once the child is a dependent, the court must determine who will have custody of the child and what limitations, if any, on the parent's control are necessary to protect the child. (WIC 360(a)) The court may permit the child to remain in the parent's custody with services provided by the Agency. If clear and convincing evidence dictates removal from the parent, the court may order that the child be released to the noncustodial parent, or place the child under the care and custody of the Agency. (WIC 361, 361.2, 362)

1. Maintenance in Home of Parent (supervision with family preservation services)

The court may allow a dependent or delinquent child to remain in the custody of one or both parents while subject to the supervision of the Agency. The parents can be required to participate in services, (which may include counseling and educational programs) and may be required to ensure their child's regular attendance at school. (WIC 362(b), (c), and (d))

2. Removal from a Parent -

i) Grounds for Removal

Clear and convincing evidence that, at the time of the dispositional hearing, any of the following conditions exist:

a) There is or would be a substantial danger to the child's physical or motional well-being if returned to the custodial home; *and*

b) There are no reasonable means to protect the child without removal. (WIC 361(c)(1))

The court must consider, as a possible reasonable means to protect, the options of removal of the abusive person from the home, or continued custody by a nonoffending parent who has a viable plan to protect the child from future harm. (WIC 361(c)(1)) The court cannot remove a child from a custodial parent absent clear and convincing evidence that:

- A substantial risk of future physical harm to the child exists; or

- The parent is unwilling to have physical custody of the child (WIC 361(c)(2)); or
- The child is suffering severe emotional damage, evidenced by extreme anxiety, depression, withdrawal, or untoward aggressive behavior directed at himself or others *and* there are no reasonable means to protect the child's emotional health without removal (WIC 361(c)(3)); or
- The child or a sibling has been or is at substantial risk of sexual abuse by the parent, a member of the household, or a person known to the parent, *and* there are no reasonable means to protect the child without removal, *or* the child does not wish to return home (WIC 361(c)(4)); or
- The child has been left without support, an incarcerated or institutionalized parent cannot arrange for the care of the child, or a relative with whom the child was left is no longer willing or able to provide care and support and the whereabouts of the parent are unknown after reasonable location efforts have failed. (WIC 361(c)(5))

ii) Placement

When it is determined that a child's safety requires removal from the custodial parent, placement options include the home of a previously noncustodial parent, the home of an approved relative or nonrelative extended family member, a foster home, or a licensed community care facility. (WIC 361.2)

In a dependency proceeding, when a child is removed from the home, there is a strong preference to place the child with their siblings whenever possible, so long as placement is not shown to be detrimental to any of the children. The Legislature has mandated that the Agency make diligent efforts to ensure placement of siblings together and to provide for frequent sibling contact when siblings are not together, or that it explain to the court why such arrangements are not appropriate. (WIC 306.5, 361.2(i), 16002)

- a) With a previously non-custodial, non-offending parent

If a parent who was not residing with the child at the time the events resulting in dependency occurred comes forward and requests custody, the court must release to that parent absent a finding that placement would be detrimental to the child's safety or physical or emotional well being. A finding of detriment to the child sufficient to deny placement with a previously non-custodial parent must be based on ***clear and convincing evidence***. (WIC 361.2(a))

Upon placing the child with a previously noncustodial parent, the court can terminate jurisdiction with a custody order for that parent (WIC 361.2(b)(1)), or continue jurisdiction with an order for Agency supervision. (WIC 361.2(b)(2))

If services or supervision are not necessary, compliance with the Interstate Compact on the Placement of Children (ICPC) is not required for placement with a parent residing in another state. However, Counsel or the Court may wish to obtain additional information about the noncustodial parent prior to placement of the child. Counsel should be mindful that if ongoing supervision of that parent or services for the family are necessary, compliance with the ICPC is required.

b) With a relative or nonrelative extended family member

Whenever a child is removed from parental custody, the care, custody, and control of the child are placed under the supervision of the Agency. (WIC 361.2(e)) Preferential consideration must be given to a relative's request for placement, meaning that such placements will be considered and investigated first.

Although they do not receive preference for placement, nonrelative extended family members are generally treated the same as relative caregivers under the statutes controlling placement. (WIC 362.7) The Agency is responsible for investigating and advising the court on the appropriateness of potential caregivers. The assessment must include among other factors, an in-home inspection to determine the physical safety of the home and a criminal history check of all of the adults in the home. (WIC 361.3)

c) In foster care

If the child is removed from parental custody and there are no relatives or non-related extended family members with whom to place, the Agency must place the child in foster or group home care. (WIC 361) The Agency should select the least restrictive and most family-like setting which will meet the needs of the child and provide as much consistency as possible regarding visitation, environment, and schooling.

In order to facilitate reunification, placement should be in the parent's home county unless a child is placed with a relative. (WIC 361.2(f)) The court may place a child out-of-county if it finds that the particular needs of the child so require, though the parent must be given notice and an opportunity to be heard. (WIC 361.2(g))

iii) Visitation

When a child is removed from the parent's custody and reunification services are granted, visitation must occur between the child and parents, siblings and grandparents as frequently as possible, "consistent with the well-being of the child." (WIC 361.2; 362.1)

iv) Provision of Reunification Services

a) Eligibility

If a child is removed from the custodial parent, the court must order the agency to provide reunification services to the mother and legally presumed father unless the child has been voluntarily relinquished for adoption, a section 360 guardianship has been entered, or one of the enumerated exceptions under section 361.5(b) has been established. In a dependency proceeding, the court has discretion to order services for a declared biological father upon a finding of benefit to the child. (WIC 361.5(a))

Incarcerated or institutionalized parents must be provided with reunification services unless the court finds by clear and convincing evidence that those services would be detrimental to the child. In determining detriment the court must look at the child's age, bonding between parent and child, nature of the parent's crime or illness, length of

the parent's sentence or nature of treatment, opinion of the child (if older than ten years), and degree of detriment if services are not provided. (WIC 361.5(e)) The Agency must make a good faith effort to provide services unique to each family's need and specially tailored to fit their circumstances. Neither difficulty in providing services nor low prospects of successful reunification excuse the duty to provide reasonable services available to the incarcerated or institutionalized parent. (Mark N. v. Superior Court (1998) 60 Cal.App.4th 996)

Guardians appointed by the probate court must be provided with reunification services pursuant to the same statutes. However, the courts of appeal have determined that guardians appointed by the juvenile court in conjunction with dependency proceedings have no such right. (WIC 361.5(a); *In re Carlos E.* (2005) 129 Cal.App.4th 1408, 1418–1419; *In re Alicia O.* (1995) 33 Cal.App.4th 176, 181.)

b) Time limits on provision of services

Reunification services are limited to 12 months for parents of children who were older than age three at the date they entered foster care. For children younger than three years, services are generally limited to 6 months. A parent may receive an additional six months if a court finds regular participation and substantive progress or a substantial probability of return. (See Chapter on Review Hearings) For any child, services may be extended to a maximum of 24 months from the date that the child was initially detained, but only under certain circumstances (See Chapter on Review Hearings)

c) Case plan with tailored services

A case plan must be developed and must describe the reunification services to be provided to address the issues that lead to the child's dependency or delinquency and those needed to maintain and strengthen the relationships of any siblings placed apart. (WIC 16002, 16501.1(d), (f), (g))

Counsel should ensure services protect their client's interests, including the ability to access entitlements. Services may include but are not limited to:

- Sibling and family visitation;

- Domestic violence prevention, treatment and safe shelter;
- Medical and mental health care;
- Drug and alcohol treatment;
- Parenting education;
- Transitional and independent living services and plan;
- Education; and
- Housing

Additional consideration should be given to appropriate services when representing clients with special needs. These services may include but are not limited to:

- Special education and related services;
- Supplemental security income to help support needed services;
- Therapeutic foster and group home care;
- Residential/in-patient and out patient psychiatric treatment; and
- Regional center services.

v) Grounds for Denial or Bypass

As noted above, it is presumed that the Agency will provide reunification services to a parent. However, both federal and state laws delineate certain circumstances in which reunification services need not be provided. The following subsections of WIC 361.5(b) lay out the statutory bases for denial or “bypass” of reunification in dependency proceedings. If the court finds by clear and convincing evidence that one of the following subsections apply, it may not order reunification services for a parent or guardian unless the court finds by clear and convincing evidence that reunification services are in the best interest of the child. (WIC 361.5(c))

WIC 361.5(b)(1)

The parent's whereabouts remain unknown after a reasonably diligent search has been made. If the parent's whereabouts become known within six months following the denial of reunification services pursuant to this subsection, however, the Agency must seek modification of the disposition orders and the court must order services to be provided as calculated from the date of initial removal. (WIC 361.5(d)). As such, where the court finds this provision applicable, the court must still set and conduct a six month review hearing before proceeding to a selection and implementation hearing.

WIC 361.5(b)(2)

The parent is suffering from a mental disability that renders him or her incapable of utilizing reunification services. Reunification services can be denied to a parent suffering from a mental disability only if competent evidence from two mental health professionals establishes that the parent is unlikely, even with services provided, to be able to adequately care for the child. (WIC 361.5(c)) Findings must be based on evidence from at least two experts pursuant to WIC 361.5(b)(2).

WIC 361.5(b)(3)

The child or a sibling was previously found to be a dependent due to physical or sexual abuse, was returned to the parent after a period of removal under WIC 361, and has once again been removed due to additional physical or sexual abuse.

WIC 361.5(b)(4)

The parent caused the death of another child through abuse or neglect. The courts of appeal held that it was appropriate to find that a parent “caused” the death of another child, where the juvenile court found that mother’s neglect in failing to protect her son from lethal abuse by her boyfriend rose to the level of criminal culpability. (*Patricia O. v. Superior Court* (1999) 69 Cal.App.4th 933, 942–943)

WIC 361.5(b)(5)

The current petition was sustained under subsection 300(e), in that the conduct of the parent resulted in severe physical abuse of the dependent child before the child’s fifth birthday. (Note: “severe physical abuse” is defined in subsection 300(e))

Unlike the other bypass provisions, the court cannot order reunification unless it finds, based on competent testimony, that the services are likely to prevent reabuse or continued neglect of the child or that failure to try reunification will be detrimental to the child due to a close and positive attachment between the parent and child. (WIC 361.5(c))

WIC 361.5(b)(6)

The child was declared a dependent due to severe physical harm or sexual abuse to the child, a sibling, or half-sibling by a parent and it would not benefit the child to pursue reunification with the offending parent. (Note: “severe physical harm” and “severe sexual abuse” are defined in subsection 361.5(b)(6))

In making the determination whether services would benefit the child, the court must consider all relevant information including the factors listed in subsection WIC 361.5(h).

WIC 361.5(b)(7)

The parent has been denied reunification services for a sibling due to reabuse of the sibling (*see* 361.5(b)(3)), severe physical abuse of the sibling when less than five years old (*see* 361.5(B)(5)), or severe physical or sexual abuse of the sibling (*see* 361.5(b)(6)).

In making the determination whether services would benefit the child, the court must consider all relevant information including the factors listed in subsection WIC 361.5(h).

WIC 361.5(b)(8)

The child was conceived as a result of incest or continuous sexual abuse of a child. (Note: this subsection disqualifies only the perpetrator parent from receiving services).

WIC 361.5(b)(9)

The court sustained a section 300(g) finding that the parent willfully abandoned the child, creating a serious danger to the child, or the child was voluntarily surrendered under the Safe Haven/Safe Surrender statute.

WIC 361.5(b)(10)

The court ordered termination of reunification services as to a sibling *and* the parent has not subsequently made reasonable efforts to treat the problems leading to that sibling’s removal.

WIC 361.5(b)(11)

Parental rights were terminated as to a sibling *and* the parent has not subsequently made reasonable efforts to treat the problems leading to that sibling's removal.

This provision applies even if parental rights were terminated based on the voluntary relinquishment of a sibling. (*In re Angelique C.* (2003) 113 Cal.App.4th 509)

WIC 361.5(b)(12)

The parent was convicted of a violent felony pursuant to Penal Code section 667.5

WIC 361.5(b)(13)

The parent has an extensive history of chronic use of drugs or alcohol *and*

- Resisted prior court-ordered treatment in the three preceding years, or
- Failed to comply with a treatment case plan at least two prior times.

WIC 361.5(b)(14)

The parent waived reunification services.

WIC 361.5(b)(15)

The parent abducted the child or a sibling and refused to disclose the child's whereabouts or return the child.

vi) Orders Regarding Educational Rights

After the court adjudicates the child a dependent, it may make any orders it finds to be within the child's best interest. These may include orders intended to ensure the child's regular attendance at school. (WIC 362 (b), (c), and (d))

The court may limit the parent's right to make decisions regarding the child's education. If it does so, the court must simultaneously appoint a responsible adult to make those decisions. The adult appointed cannot have a conflict of interest and by statutory definition cannot be the child's attorney or social worker, but can be the child's foster parent. (WIC 361(a))

c. Appellate Considerations

- After the court has adjudicated the minor a dependent of the court, your client can appeal any jurisdictional issues, including the truth or falsity of the allegations as well as whether the child should have been declared a dependent.
- This is also the appropriate time to appeal any dispositional orders, including the removal of the child from the parent's care and custody.

v. TITLE IV-E FINDINGS AND ORDERS

-The minor's placement is necessary and appropriate.

-The department has complied with the case plan by making reasonable efforts to return the minor to a safe home and to complete whatever steps are necessary to finalize the permanent plan of the minor.

-The extent of progress made toward alleviating or mitigating the causes necessitating the placement has been: by father, mother and minor.

-The likely date by which the minor may be returned to and safely maintained in the home or placed for adoption, appointed a legal guardian, placed permanently with a relative, or place in an identified placement with a specific goal is ____.

-For a minor 16 years of age or older: The court finds that services set for the in the case plan include those needed to assist the minor in making the transition from foster care to independent living.

vi. FORMS FOR USE AT HEARING

- JV 416: Dispositional Attachment: Dismissal of Petition With or Without Informal Supervision

- JV-417: Dispositional Attachment: In-Home Placement With Formal Supervision
- JV-418: Dispositional Attachment: Appointment of Guardian
- JV-420: Dispositional Attachment: Removal From Custodial Parent—Placement With Previously Noncustodial Parent
- JV-421: Dispositional Attachment: Removal From Custodial Parent—Placement With Nonparent

D. STATUS REVIEW HEARINGS

1. PURPOSE OF HEARING:

After a child is declared a dependent or ward of the juvenile court, if the court orders family maintenance or reunification services to either parent or the legal guardians, the court must set a subsequent hearing to review the status and safety of the child and the progress of the parents or guardians.

In cases where the child has remained placed with the parent under supervision of the Agency, the court's function at this hearing is to determine whether continued Agency supervision is necessary. In a dependency proceeding, *the court shall terminate its jurisdiction at the status review hearing, unless the Agency establishes that conditions still exist which would justify initial assumption of jurisdiction under WIC 300, or that those conditions are likely to exist if supervision is withdrawn. [WIC 364]* Failure of a parent to participate regularly in court ordered treatment programs constitutes prima facie evidence that the conditions that justified initial assumption of jurisdiction still exist and that continued supervision is necessary.

In all cases where the child has been removed from the parent's care and custody and reunification services have been ordered, the court shall review the child's status at a review hearing. At this review hearing, *the court shall order return of the child to the parent unless the court finds that return of the child to the parent would create a substantial risk of detriment to the safety, protection, or physical or emotional well-being of the child.* The failure of a parent or minor to participate regularly and make substantive progress in court-ordered treatment programs shall be considered.

2. PRELIMINARY CONSIDERATIONS:

a. Timelines

Generally, review hearings must be held at intervals of no more than six months and will be calculated using the dates of initial removal or the date the child entered foster care (DEFC).

i. Initial Six Month Review

In a dependency proceeding, the first review hearing is set six months from the disposition hearing, but cannot be later than 12 months from the DEFC. (WIC § 366.1, CRC 5.710)

ii. Twelve Month Review

The court must review the child's status 12 months from the date the child entered foster care.

iii. Eighteen Month Review

The child's status must be reviewed 18 months from the date of initial removal. Initial removal is the date the child was taken into protective custody.

iv. 24 Month Review

The child's status must be reviewed within 24 months of the date of initial removal.

b. Notice

Notice to include the type of status review hearing, any recommended changes in status or custody of the child, and notice of parties' rights to attend the hearing and present evidence, must be served between 15 and 30 days before the hearing. Notice must go to the mother, presumed fathers, legal guardians, the child and dependent siblings, if over the age of 10, the caregiver and all attorneys of record.

c. Report

At least 10 calendar days prior to the status review hearing, the Agency shall file a report with the court regarding:

- The services offered to and progress of the family;
- The continuing necessity and appropriateness of the placement of the child ; and
- The recommendation of the Agency as to continued supervision or return of the child to the parent's custody. Specifically the report should contain:
 - The services provided or offered to the family to eliminate the conditions requiring court supervision or to enable the parent to assume custody;
 - Efforts to achieve other legal permanence should efforts to reunify fail;
 - Efforts to maintain relationships between the child and individuals who are important to the child;
 - The prognosis and a recommendation as to whether the child can be returned to the physical custody of the parent; and
 - If the recommendation is that the child cannot be returned,, the report shall state why return of the child to the parent's custody would be detrimental.
 - If a Permanency Hearing, the appropriate permanent plan for the child.
 - If the child is over the age of 16, the services and a case plan that include those needed to assist the child in making the transition from foster care to independent living.

d. Burden of Proof and Evidentiary Issues

At each review hearing where the child is placed out of home, the court must determine, by a *preponderance of evidence*, whether continued supervision is necessary or whether there is a substantial risk of detriment to the child if returned to the parent's custody (if not already returned). The burden to establish either the need for continued supervision or a substantial risk of detriment to return is on the Agency.

3. AT THE STATUS REVIEW HEARING:

At review hearings, the court must assess the necessity and appropriateness of the child's placement and the extent of compliance, by all parties, with the case plan.

The court must then make the following findings and orders:

- The factual basis for a determination that the child should or should not be returned to the parent's care and custody.

- In finding whether there is detriment to the child, the court shall determine whether the parent and minor has participated regularly and made substantive progress in court-ordered treatment programs.
- If the child is not returned, identify additional services reasonably believed to facilitate the return of the child to the custody of the parent and determine whether the Agency provided reasonable services to the parent and child to aid in the safe return of the child to the parent's custody.

The finding that reasonable services have been provided is an affirmative finding the court must make at any review hearing when the child hasn't returned to a parent's custody. The court should not make this finding if the Agency does not present evidence in its report that such services were in fact provided.

4. POSSIBLE OUTCOMES OF THE STATUS REVIEW HEARING:

The court shall hold periodic status review hearings six months after disposition or DEFC, twelve months after the DEFC, 18 months after the child was initially removed from the parent, and in some cases, 24 months after the child was initially removed, to determine whether the child shall be returned to the parent or whether reunification should continue.

If the court does not return the child to a parent or continue reunification services for either parent, at a status review hearing, it must terminate reunification services and set a selection and implementation hearing under WIC 366.26 *or* order the child into "Another Planned Permanent Living Arrangement (APPLA)." The court can only order the child into APPLA if finds by clear and convincing evidence that there is a compelling reason for determining that a selection and implementation hearing is not in the best interest of the child because the child is not a proper subject for adoption and has no one willing to accept legal guardianship. (WIC 366.21(g), 366.22) (See the Post Permanency Review Hearing Chapter)

Finally, if the child is placed in the home of the parent following any review hearing, the court can continue to hold periodic review hearings until it finds that conditions no longer exist to justify initial jurisdiction or that conditions would not likely exist if supervision was withdrawn. (WIC 364).

At each status review hearing, the court must consider the following outcomes and make the appropriate findings.

a. Six Month Review Outcomes

- Terminate jurisdiction, if the child is in the home and there are no longer grounds to continue jurisdiction.
- Return home to the parent, unless there is a substantial risk of detriment.

- If the child was under the age of three on the date of initial removal, and the court finds by clear and convincing evidence that the parent failed to participate regularly and make substantive progress in the court-ordered treatment plan, the court may schedule a selection and implementation hearing,
- Review and approve the case plan if there is a substantial probability that the child may be returned to his or her parent or that reasonable services were not provided, and make a determination of continued services to one or both parents, and concurrent permanency planning; and/or
- If the child is not returned at this hearing, the court must move expeditiously toward return of the child to a parent or to an alternative permanent plan. (WIC 366.21(e))

b. Twelve month Review Outcomes

- Terminate jurisdiction, if the child is in the home and there are no longer grounds to continue jurisdiction,
- Return home to the parent, unless there is a substantial risk of detriment.

- Review and approve the case plan if appropriate, progress in reunification services and make a determination of continued services to one or both parents if they have consistently and regularly visited the child, has made significant progress in the case plan and have demonstrated an ability to benefit from treatment and provide safely for the child; and/or
- Terminate reunification services and, if the child is not returned to the parent, order an alternate permanent plan unless reasonable services have not been offered or provided
- Extend reunification services if substantial probability of return. In order to find a substantial probability of return, the court must find the parent has done the following:
 - Consistently contacted and/or visited the child;
 - Made significant progress in resolving the problems that led to removal; and
 - Demonstrated the capacity and ability to complete the case plan and to provide for the child's safety and medical, physical, and special needs. (WIC 366.21(g)(1))

c. Eighteen-Month Review Hearing Outcomes

- Return home to the parent, unless there is a substantial risk of detriment, and

- Terminate jurisdiction if there are no longer grounds to continue; and/or
- Terminate reunification services and, if the child is not returned and order a permanent plan other than reunification. (WIC 366.22)
- Reunification services may be extended beyond the mandatory time frame, but only if the court finds that exceptional circumstances so warrant.

d. 24 Month Permanency Review Hearing Outcomes

- Return home to the parent, unless there is a substantial risk of detriment, and
- Terminate jurisdiction if there are no longer grounds to continue; and/or
- Terminate reunification services and, if the child is not returned and order a permanent plan other than reunification. (WIC 366.22) A permanent plan must be ordered by the mandated 24 month time limit (WIC 366.25)

5. Appellate Considerations

After any periodic review hearing, determine if there are issues to raise on appeal and if so, whether your client wishes to pursue this remedy. Remember, if a selection and implementation hearing under WIC 366.26 hearing is set as a result of a status review hearing, you must file a writ petition under California Rules of Court 8.452 as opposed to an appeal. A writ may challenge issues of continued out of home placement and termination of reunification services. Trial attorneys are responsible for filing both the notice of intent to file a writ petition and the writ petition itself.

vii. **TITLE IV-E FINDINGS AND ORDERS**

Prepermanency

The minor’s placement is necessary and appropriate.

-The department has complied with the case plan by making reasonable efforts to return the minor to a safe home and to complete whatever steps are necessary to finalize the permanent plan of the minor.

-The extent of progress made toward alleviating or mitigating the causes necessitating the placement has been: by father, mother and minor.

-The likely date by which the minor may be returned to and safely maintained in the home or placed for adoption, appointed a legal guardian, placed permanently with a relative, or place in an identified placement with a specific goal is ____.

Permanency

- The child's placement is necessary. The child's current placement is appropriate.

- The county agency has complied with the case plan by making reasonable efforts to return the child to a safe home & to complete whatever steps are necessary to finalize the permanent placement of the child.

- The extent of progress made towards alleviating or mitigating the causes necessitating placement has been: by the father _____, by the mother _____.

-. The plan selected below is appropriate:

*a. An immediate return to the home is ordered as the permanent plan; **or***

b. The continuation of reunification services and the setting of a further permanency review hearing are ordered. There is a substantial probability that the child will be returned within

the next six months and (1) the parent has consistently and regularly contacted and visited the child, (2) made significant progress in resolving the problems that led to the child's removal, and

(3) demonstrated the capacity and ability to complete the treatment plan objectives and provide for the child's safety, protection, physical and emotional well-being, and special needs. There is a

compelling reason for determining that a hearing held under Welf. & Inst. Code § 366.26 is not in the best interest of the child. The court informed all parents present at time of the hearing and further

advises all parents that if the child is not returned to the custody of a parent at the next permanency hearing a proceeding under Welf.& Inst. Code § 366.26 may be instituted which could result in the

termination of parental rights and adoption; **or**

c. Reunification services are terminated. Based upon the clear and convincing evidence already presented, a compelling reason exists for determining that a hearing held under Welf. & Inst. Code

§ 366.26 is not in the best interest of the child. The following permanent plan is ordered:

(1) placement with _____, a fit and willing relative, with a specific goal of _____. (Provide the relative's name and select a goal, e.g., kinship adoption; legal guardianship; independent

living with identification of a caring adult to serve as a lifelong connection; assisted adult living with identification of a caring adult to serve as a lifelong connection.); **or**

(2) placement with _____, with a specific goal of _____. (Provide the name of the placement and select a goal e.g., return home; adoption; legal guardianship; placement with a

relative; a less restrictive foster setting; independent living with identification of a caring adult to serve as a lifelong connection; assisted adult living with identification of a caring adult to

serve as a lifelong connection.); **or**

d. Reunification services are terminated. There is clear & convincing evidence that reasonable services were provided or offered to the parents. A hearing is set under Welf. & Inst. Code § 366.26.

- The likely date by which the agency will finalize the permanent plan is ___/___/___ (Use this finding only for a child with a plan of immediate return home under a.).

- The likely date by which the child may be returned to and safely maintained in the home or placed for adoption, appointed a legal guardian, placed permanently with a relative, or placed in an

identified placement with a specific goal is ___/___/___ (Use this finding only when the court continues reunification services under b.).

- The likely date by which the child's specific goal will be achieved is ___/___/___ (Use this finding only for a child with a specific goal under c.).

- The likely date by which the child may be placed for adoption, appointed a legal guardian, or placed permanently with a relative or in an identified placement with a specific

goal is __/__/__. (Use this finding only when the court terminates reunification services under d.).

- For child 16 years of age or older: The court finds that the services set forth in the case plan include those needed to assist the child in making the transition from foster care to independent living.

viii. FORMS FOR USE AT HEARINGS

- JV-430: Findings and Orders After Six-Month Prepermanency Hearing
- JV-431: Six-Month Prepermanency Attachment: Child Reunified
- JV-432: Six-Month Prepermanency Attachment: Reunification Services Continued
- JV-433: Six-Month Prepermanency Attachment: Reunification Services Terminated
- JV- JV-435: Findings and Orders After 12-Month Permanency Hearing
- JV-436: Twelve-Month Permanency Attachment: Child Reunified
- JV-437: Twelve-Month Permanency Attachment: Reunification Services Continued
- JV-438: Twelve-Month Permanency Attachment: Reunification Services Terminated
- JV-440: Findings and Orders After 18-month Permanency Hearing
- JV-441: Eighteen-Month Permanency Attachment: Child Reunified
- JV-442: Eighteen-Month Permanency Attachment: Reunification Services Terminated
- JV-437: Eighteen-Month Permanency Attachment: Reunification Services Continued
- JV-440: Findings and Orders After 24-month Permanency Hearing
- JV-441: Twenty-Four-Month Permanency Attachment: Child Reunified
- JV-442: Twenty-Four-Month Permanency Attachment: Reunification Services Terminated

E. SELECTION AND IMPLEMENTATION HEARING

PURPOSE OF THE SELECTION AND IMPLEMENTATION HEARING:

A selection and implementation hearing (366.26) must be held to determine the permanent plan for a child, once the court has determined that no reunification services will be offered or that reunification services should be terminated. (WIC 366.26) The court can also set a selection and implementation hearing even if a plan of long-term foster care was ordered, but adoption or guardianship later becomes a viable option for the child. The court must then hold a selection and implementation hearing to determine a permanent plan of adoption, guardianship, long-term foster care or Another Planned Permanent Living Arrangement.

By law, if reunification fails, the preferred plan for all children is adoption. The court must order parental rights terminated and adoption as the permanent plan unless it finds the child is not likely to be adopted or that one of the enumerated exceptions to termination of parental rights and adoption applies. (WIC 366.26(c)(1))

1. PRELIMINARY CONSIDERATIONS:

a. Timelines

The Selection and Implementation Hearing must be set within 120 days of the court's order either denying or terminating reunification services. (WIC 361.5(f), 366.21(e), 366.21(g)(2), 366.22(a))

b. Notice

Notice must be served on the mother, all presumed and alleged fathers, the child if 10 or older, the caregivers and attorneys for any dependent siblings, dependent siblings, grandparents whose addresses are known if the parent's whereabouts are unknown, all counsel of record, the child's present caregiver, any CASA volunteer and any de facto parent.

The notice shall contain time, date place and nature of hearing and notice that the court will select and implement a permanent plan for the child.

c. Social Worker's Report

The child welfare agency, together with the state or county adoption agency, is required to file an adoption assessment report which the court must read and consider. (§366.26 (b))

d. Burdens of Proof and Evidentiary Issues

In order for the court to terminate parental rights and order a plan of adoption, the agency must prove by *clear and convincing evidence* that the child is likely to be adopted within a reasonable time. (WIC 366.26(c)(1))

a. ICWA Considerations

If the child is an Indian child, the agency must prove *beyond a reasonable doubt* based on evidence that includes the testimony of qualified expert witnesses, that the parent's continued custody of the child is likely to result in serious emotional or physical damage to the child, before those parental rights can be terminated. (25 U.S.C. §1912(f))

3. AT THE SELECTION AND IMPLEMENTATION HEARING:

After consideration of the social study and any additional evidence proffered, the court shall determine the most appropriate permanent plan for the child based on the child's adoptability and whether there are any exceptions to adoption. The court shall then make findings and orders as to the permanent plan, in the following order of preference: (WIC 366.26(b))

1. Terminate parental rights and order the child placed for adoption;
2. Continue the hearing for a period not to exceed 180 days, upon finding that:
 - a) the child is likely to be adopted and thus adoption is the permanent plan; and
 - b) the child is difficult to place and an appropriate family needs to be located
3. Appoint a legal guardian for the child and order letters of guardianship, or
4. Order that the child be placed in long-term foster care subject to periodic court review.

4. POSSIBLE OUTCOMES AT SELECTION AND IMPLEMENTATION HEARING:

a. Adoptability (WIC 366.26(c)(1)):

- The court must determine whether the child(ren) is likely to be adopted. (WIC 366.26(c)(1)) Factors the court will consider:
 - The agency must establish that the child’s characteristics are such that it is likely that an adoptive family will be found within a reasonable time.
 - The inquiry focuses on the child not on the potential adoptive parents. If so, what if there are no prospective adoptive parents yet identified at this stage of the proceedings?
 - The fact that the child is not yet placed in a preadoptive home or with a relative or foster family, who is prepared to adopt the child, shall not constitute a basis for the court to conclude that it is not likely the child will be adopted.
- If the child is not generally adoptable because of physical or emotional problems but adoption is considered likely because there is a foster parent or other caretaker who is willing to adopt, the child is specifically adoptable and the ability of the potential adoptive family to meet the child’s special needs is relevant to the determination of whether it is likely the child will be adopted.

If the child is not generally adoptable because of the child’s age, membership in a sibling group who remain together, or the child’s physical or emotional problems, the court will consider if the child is specifically adoptable, keeping in mind the following:

- Whether there is an identified prospective adoptive family?
- Does the family meet the definition of prospective adoptive parent under WIC 366.26(n)?
If the family does not adopt, what is the risk that the child will become a legal orphan?
 - What is the status of prospective adoptive family’s commitment to adoption?
 - Has the family filed an adoption application?
 - Is there an approved home study? A “home study” is the process of certifying a family that meets the statutory and regulatory requirements for adopting a child. An “approved home study” means that an adoption agency has determined that the family meets these requirements.
- Can the identified prospective adoptive family meet the child’s special needs?
- How long has the child been placed with the prospective adoptive family and have there been any problems with the placement?
 - If so, is there a risk that the placement will fail before the child is adopted?
- Is the prospective adoptive placement with a relative?
- Has the relative agreed to adopt only because s/he has been threatened with removal if s/he does not adopt the child or otherwise pressured to agree to adoption?

- If so, the relative's unwillingness to adopt but willingness to provide a permanent plan should be explained to the court. A potential alternative permanent plan with this relative is relevant to the question of the relative's commitment to adopting the child.

b. Exceptions to Adoption (WIC 366.26 (c)(1)(A), (B)(1)(i)-(vi):

WIC 366.26(c)(1)(A):

The child is living with a relative who is unable or unwilling to adopt the child because of circumstances what do not include an unwillingness to accept legal or financial responsibility for the child, but who is willing and capable of providing the child with a stable and permanent environment through legal guardianship, and the removal of the child would be detrimental to the emotional well-being of the child.

WIC 366.26(c)(1)(B)(i): The "benefit" exception:

The parent has maintained regular contact with the child and the child would benefit from maintaining the relationship. The court must find that terminating the parent-child relationship would cause the child great harm such that maintaining the relationship would outweigh the benefits of adoption. (*In re Autumn H.* (1994) 27 CA4th 567)

WIC 366.26(c)(1)(B)(ii):

The child is 12 or older and objects to adoption.

WIC 366.26(c)(1)(B)(iii):

The child is in a residential treatment facility, adoption is unlikely or undesirable, and continuation of parental rights will not prevent finding a permanent home when residential treatment is no longer necessary.

WIC 366.26(c)(1)(B)(iv):

The child is living with a relative or foster parent or Indian custodian who is unable or unwilling to adopt for reasons other than unwillingness to accept legal or financial responsibility for the child but who is willing to provide stability and permanence and

removal would be detrimental to the child. This exception does not apply if child is living with non-relative and is under age 6 or is part of a sibling group that includes a child under age 6 and siblings should be placed together.

WIC 366.26(c)(1)(B)(v):

There would be a substantial interference with a sibling relationship. The court must consider various factors about the relationship and must weigh the claimed detriment in terminating the relationship against the benefits of adoption. Detriment considerations apply only to child who is before the court, not to the child's siblings. (*In re Celine R.* (2003) 31 C4 45)

WIC 366.26(c)(1)(B)(vi):

The child is an Indian child and there is a compelling reason for determining that the termination of parental rights would not be in the child's best interest because termination of parental rights would substantially interfere with the child's connection to his or her tribal community or membership rights or the child's tribe has identified guardianship, long-term foster care with a fit and willing relative, tribal customary adoption, or another planned permanent living arrangement for the child.

c. Termination of Parental Rights and Adoption ordered as plan:

- The court must refer the child to the state or county adoption agency for adoptive placement.
- The agency is required to give preference to foster parent's or relative caretaker's application for adoption if would be detrimental to remove child from that home.
- WIC 366.26(n) permits the court at the WIC 366.26 hearing or later to designate the current caretaker as a Prospective Adoptive Parent if the requirements of the subdivision are met.

a. ICWA Considerations

If child is an Indian child, the agency must give adoptive placement preference to:

- Members of the child's extended family
 - Other members of the child's tribe
 - Other Indian families
- The adoption may not be finalized until the parents' appellate rights have been exhausted.

- Post adoption contact agreements for parent, sibling contact or birth-relative contact are attached to the adoption petition and become part of the adoption order. (§366.29, Fam. Code §8714 *et seq.*)

d. Adoption Is the Permanent Plan but the Hearing Is Continued

- If the court finds that the child is likely to be adopted but that an adoptive home has not yet been identified because the child is difficult to place, the court should identify adoption as the permanent plan but may delay termination of parental rights for 180 days to give the Agency a chance to find an adoptive family. (WIC 366.26(c)(3))
- At the end of the 180 days, the court may terminate parental rights and order adoption as the permanent plan if an adoptive home has been found.

e. Guardianship is Ordered as the Permanent Plan

- When the court orders a guardianship, it may dismiss the dependency case or retain the child as a dependent unless the guardian is a relative with whom the child has been living for at least 12 months. In that case, the court must dismiss the dependency unless the relative objects or the court finds exceptional circumstances. (WIC366.3(a))
- If the dependency is dismissed, the relative guardian is eligible for Kin-GAP funding. Kin-GAP was designed to provide ongoing funding to relative caregivers, similar to the funding which foster caregivers continued to receive after dependency terminated. (WIC 366.22(c))

f. The child is ordered into Another Planned Permanent Living Arrangement

If the court finds that the child should not be placed for adoption and that legal guardianship shall not be established, the court shall order the child placed in long-term foster care.(WIC 366.26(b)(4))

If the child is in a placement other than the home of a legal guardian and jurisdiction has not been dismissed, the status of the child shall be reviewed by the court every six months. (366.3(d))

g. Appellate Considerations

- The notice of appeal must be filed within 60 days of the order.
- The attorney must have the client's authorization to file the appeal.
- The client should have been advised to file a writ petition pursuant to California Rules of Court 8.452, in order to preserve their rights on appeal at this point in the proceeding. If a writ petition was not filed at the time the 366.36 hearing was set, the client can waive any right to appeal the orders which are made at the 366.26 hearing.
- If a parent files a notice of appeal, child's counsel must determine whether independent counsel on appeal is necessary for the child. (California Rule of Court 5.661)

h. Setting Aside a Termination of Parental Rights Order

- Pursuant to WIC 366.26(i)(1), an order terminating parental rights is final in the juvenile court and may not be modified, changed or set aside except as provided in subdivision WIC 366.26(i)(2):
 - If the child has not been adopted within 3 years and the court determines that the permanent plan is no longer adoption, the child may file a WIC 388 petition to reinstate parental rights.
 - The child may file before 3 years have passed if the adoption agency and the child stipulate that the child is no longer adoptable.
 - A child over 12 must sign the WIC 388 petition in absence of a showing of good cause.
 - The court must set a hearing if it appears that the best interests of child will be promoted by reinstating parental rights.
 - The court must give notice to the agency, the child's attorney or the child, and to the child's tribe.
 - The court can order the agency or child's counsel to give notice to the child's former parents.
 - The court may grant the petition if there is *clear and convincing evidence* that the child is no longer adoptable and that reinstatement of parental rights in the child's best interests.
 - If parental rights are reinstated, but the plan is not reunification with the parents, the court is required to specify the factual basis for its finding that reinstatement is in the child's best interests.

5. TITLE IV-E FINDINGS AND ORDERS

Postpermanency

-The child's placement is necessary. The child's current placement is appropriate.
-The county agency has complied with the case plan by making reasonable efforts, including whatever steps are necessary to finalize the permanent placement of the child.

-The permanent plan selected below is appropriate and ordered:

a. An immediate return to the home; or

b. Adoption as ordered at the hearing held under Welf. & Inst. Code § 366.26; or

c. Legal guardianship as ordered at the hearing held under Welf. & Inst. Code § 366.26 with a specific goal of _____ (Select a goal, e.g., dismissal of dependency or adoption.); or

d. Based upon the clear and convincing evidence already presented, a compelling reason exists for determining that a hearing held under Welf. & Inst. Code § 366.26 is not in the best interest of the child

and the child's permanent plan is:

(1) placement with _____, a fit and willing relative, with a specific goal of _____. (Provide the relative's name and select a goal, e.g., kinship adoption; legal guardianship; independent

living with identification of a caring adult to serve as a lifelong connection; assisted adult living with identification of a caring adult to serve as a lifelong connection.); or

(2) placement with _____, with a specific goal of _____. (Provide the name of the placement and select a goal, e.g., return home; adoption; legal guardianship; placement with

a relative; a less restrictive foster setting; independent living with identification of a caring adult to serve as a lifelong connection; assisted adult living with identification of a caring adult to serve as a lifelong connection.) .

- The likely date by which the agency will finalize the permanent plan is __/__/__. (Use this finding for a child with a plan of immediate return home under D5a or adoption under b.).

- The likely date by which the child's specific goal will be achieved is __/__/__. (Use c finding only for a child with a specific goal under c or d.).

- For child 16 years of age or older: The court finds that the services set forth in the case plan include those needed to assist the child in making the transition from foster care to independent living.

6. FORMS FOR USE AT HEARING

- Form JV-445: Findings and Orders After Postpermanency Hearing—Parental Rights Terminated; Permanent Plan of Adoption
- JV-446: Findings and Orders After Postpermanency Hearing—Permanent Plan Other Than Adoption

F. POSTPERMANENCY HEARING

1. PURPOSE OF HEARING:

A post-permanency review hearing is held at least every six months subsequent to a selection and implementation hearing or any other hearing that places a child into another planned permanent living arrangement to review the status of the child and determine whether the Agency is making reasonable efforts to:

- 1) Implement the child's permanent plan; or**
- 2) Develop and implement a more permanent plan for the child where the permanent plan is long-term foster care.**

If the court has ordered a plan of adoption or guardianship pursuant to WIC 360 or 366.26, the court shall continue to review the child's status until the child is adopted or the court closes the case following the establishment of a legal guardianship. (WIC 366.3(a)) If the child's current permanent plan is not adoption or legal guardianship, the court shall review the status of the child in an effort to implement a more permanent plan. (WIC 366.3(d))

Only on finding compelling reasons that return home, placement for adoption, or appointment of a guardian would not be appropriate permanent plans may a court order another planned permanent living arrangement as the permanent plan for a child in long-term foster care. (WIC 366.3(g))

2. PRELIMINARY CONSIDERATIONS:

a. Timelines

A case review must be held at least once every six months. (WIC 366.3(a)) The review must be conducted by the court if any of the following apply:

- The child has been freed and placed for adoption;**
- The child, parent or guardian requests court review;**
- Twelve months have passed since an order was made placing the child in long-term foster care or since the last WIC 366.26 hearing; or**
- It has been 12 months since the last court review.**

b. Notice

Notice must contain the date, time, place and nature of the hearing, and any recommended changes to the child's custody, status or permanent plan. Notice must be served on the mother, presumed fathers, legal guardians, the child and dependent sibling, if over the age of 10, the child's caregiver and all attorneys of record.

c. Report

Per WIC 366.3(e), the report must address and the court must assess each of the following:

- The continuing necessity for and appropriateness of the placement;
- Whether the child has dependent siblings, and if so, the nature of those relationships and whether developing or maintaining them is appropriate as well as the nature of sibling visitation;
- For children over the age of 10 who have been out of home for six months or longer, identification of important individuals other than siblings, and actions to maintain relationships with identified important individuals;
- The continuing appropriateness of the child's permanent plan;
- The extent of the Agency's compliance with the plan and reasonableness of its efforts to return the child to a safe home and finalize the permanent plan;
- Whether the parent's educational rights, if still intact, should be limited;
- The adequacy of services to the child including those required under WIC 391 for teens nearing emancipation;
- For children age 16 and older, the services to assist them in transition to independent living;
- The extent of the parent's progress in alleviating or mitigating the problems necessitating foster care; and
- The likely date the child may be safely returned home or placed for adoption.

d. Burdens of Proof and Evidentiary Issues

For a child in long-term foster care, continued care is presumed to be in the child's best interests unless a parent seeking further reunification proves by a *preponderance of evidence* that reunification is the child's best alternative. (WIC 366.3(g)) While the court must consider all permanency options including return to the home of a parent, the burden shifts to the parent at this stage of the proceedings to prove changed circumstances and that return would be in the child's best interests.

3.AT THE POST PERMANENCY HEARING:

At each review hearing the court must make a determination as to whether the Agency made reasonable efforts to make and finalize a permanent plan. (WIC 366.3(d)(4),(e)(4),(f)(12))

The parent and/or child have a right to participate and contest any of the proposed orders that the Agency presents to the court.

Parties do have the right to contest recommended findings, the proposed permanent plan, or the efforts at finalizing an appropriate permanent plan. Often as long-term cases become static, it becomes incumbent on the attorneys for parents and children to bring additional evidence and information to the court's attention in order for the court to implement an appropriate plan.

4.POSSIBLE POST PERMANENCY HEARING OUTCOMES:

a.Return Home

At every post-permanency planning review hearing for a child who has a permanent plan other than adoption or legal guardianship, the option to return the child home still exists. WIC 366.3(g) state specifically that the court should consider all permanency options including whether the child should be returned to the home of the parent. The presumption is for continued out-of-home care and unless evidence supporting return is contained in the report, the burden is on the parent to present evidence to the court as to why return would be the best permanent plan for the child.

TIP: At any time between WIC §366.3 hearings, if the parent or any other party seeks return of the child to parental care a petition to modify is required.

b. Reinstatement Reunification Services

The code does allow for a parent to make a showing that provision of services for a six month period would facilitate reunification. (WIC 366.3(e)) In some cases, immediate return home may not be appropriate, but a parent may be able to show enough progress that, with the provision of services, return home within six months would be likely.

c. Set a WIC 366.26 hearing

At any WIC 366.3 hearing in which the plan for the child is long-term foster care, the court may set a WIC 366.26 hearing to implement a plan of adoption or guardianship if it determines that

circumstances have changed since the prior entry of the plan of long-term foster care. At a post-permanency planning review hearing any party can request a new WIC 366.26 hearing be set to consider a more permanent plan.

d. Order Another Planned Permanent Living Arrangement

At a post permanency review hearing, the court may determine that the child should be placed in “another planned permanent living arrangement” only if it finds compelling evidence that neither return to a parent, adoption, or legal guardianship is in the child’s best interest. (WIC 366.3(g)) Although both are referenced in the Welfare and Institutions Code, the terms "long-term foster care" and "another planned permanent living arrangement" are not interchangeable. Long-term foster care (LTFC) is not a permanent plan, as there is no commitment to permanency by the child's caregivers which often results in the "foster care drift" that is so damaging to children in the dependency system. "Another planned permanent living arrangement (APPLA)," however, is envisioned as a permanent plan as the long-term foster or relative caregivers with whom the child is placed commit to raising the child for the rest of his or her childhood and to providing the child with *emotional* permanency. It is the caregiver’s commitment to emotional permanence that distinguishes LTFC from APPLA.

e. Continued Long-Term Placement

An order that a child remain in long-term foster care can only be made if the court finds clear and convincing evidence of a compelling reason not to set a selection and implementation hearing, which may include a finding that the child is not likely to be adopted or that an exception to the termination of parental rights under WIC 366.26(c)(1) continues to apply. In this case, the court must review the child’s status every six months to address continuing efforts to upgrade or finalize a permanent plan. The fact an exception to the termination of parental rights was previously found to exist does not mean the facts continue to support such an exception at the post-permanency planning review hearing stage. For this reason, the report of the social worker’s report should address the continued application of such an exception. When in doubt as to the exception's continued applicability, a new WIC §366.26 hearing should be set if it also appears the child may be likely to be adopted if parental rights are terminated. Setting a new WIC §366.26 hearing ensures that a complete and current assessment as to the continued applicability of any exception to termination of parental rights, is done.

f. Termination of Jurisdiction

The court can terminate jurisdiction over the case if the child is returned home and the child no longer needs supervision, adoption is finalized, or guardianship is established and dependency

jurisdiction is no longer necessary. It would be rare for the court to order a new permanent plan of return home and simultaneously terminate jurisdiction. If a new plan of return home is ordered, a court typically continues to supervise the case for a period in time to ensure that the conditions originally requiring dependency no longer exist or are not likely to re-occur. When a legal guardianship is ordered, however, it is common for dependency jurisdiction to be terminated.

g. Child Reaches Majority

The court can terminate jurisdiction once the child reaches the age of majority if doing so is in the child's best interest. Before the case can be closed, the court must assess whether the Agency has met the requirements of WIC §391 to prepare the child for emancipation and whether the child qualifies and wishes to remain under the court's jurisdiction as a nonminor dependent.

h. Appellate Considerations

Parents and all parties have the right to contest proposed findings and orders and present evidence to the court. As such, the parties have the right to appeal any final orders made as a result of a post permanency review hearing.

5. TITLE IV-E FINDINGS AND ORDERS

Postpermanency

The child's placement is necessary. The child's current placement is appropriate.

-The county agency has complied with the case plan by making reasonable efforts, including whatever steps are necessary to finalize the permanent placement of the child.

-The permanent plan selected below is appropriate and ordered:

a. An immediate return to the home; or

b. Adoption as ordered at the hearing held under Welf. & Inst. Code § 366.26; or

c. Legal guardianship as ordered at the hearing held under Welf. & Inst. Code § 366.26 with a specific goal of _____ (Select a goal, e.g., dismissal of dependency or adoption.); or

d. Based upon the clear and convincing evidence already presented, a compelling reason exists for determining that a hearing held under Welf. & Inst. Code § 366.26 is not in the best interest of the child

and the child's permanent plan is:

(1) placement with _____, a fit and willing relative, with a specific goal of _____. (Provide the relative's name and select a goal, e.g., kinship adoption; legal guardianship; independent

living with identification of a caring adult to serve as a lifelong connection; assisted adult living with identification of a caring adult to serve as a lifelong connection.); or

(2) placement with _____, with a specific goal of _____. (Provide the name of the placement and select a goal, e.g., return home; adoption; legal guardianship; placement with

a relative; a less restrictive foster setting; independent living with identification of a caring adult to serve as a lifelong connection; assisted adult living with identification of a caring adult to serve as a lifelong connection.) .

- The likely date by which the agency will finalize the permanent plan is __/__/__. (Use this finding for a child with a plan of immediate return home under D5a or adoption under b.).

- The likely date by which the child's specific goal will be achieved is __/__/__. (Use c finding only for a child with a specific goal under c or d.).

- For child 16 years of age or older: The court finds that the services set forth in the case plan include those needed to assist the child in making the transition from foster care to independent living.

6.FORMS FOR USE AT HEARING

- JV-446: Findings and Orders After Postpermanency Hearing—Permanent Plan Other Than Adoption

G. NONMINOR DEPENDENT HEARINGS

1. PURPOSE OF THE HEARINGS

The enactment of the Fostering Connections Act makes it possible to access federal funding for foster care services for dependents and wards beyond their 18th birthday, which will provide them with the time and support needed to gradually become fully independent adults. The guiding principle of this extension is to provide each eligible nonminor with the opportunity to make decisions regarding his or her housing, education, employment, and leisure activities, while ensuring the availability of ongoing support and assistance when difficulties are encountered.

The Fostering Connections Act created two new hearing types—one for a nonminor’s request to return to foster care and the other for a nonminor dependent status review—and made extensive amendments to three existing hearing types—the last status review hearing before a court dependent or court ward in a foster care placement attains 18 years of age, the hearing to terminate juvenile court jurisdiction over a ward who is or was subject to an order for a foster care placement, and the hearing to terminate jurisdiction over a nonminor. These hearing are intended to review the nonminor’s status and make sure that the nonminor has the information need to make a thoughtful decision about remaining in foster care, the options available, including the potential benefits of remaining in foster care, the right to exit foster care, and the right to return to foster care.

2. PRELIMINARY CONSIDERATIONS

a. Timeliness

Last Status Review for Child Approaching the Age of Majority:

- **Dependency:** This hearing should be conducted at the last review hearing before the child turns 18 years of age, under WIC 366.21, 366.25 or 366.3., within six months of the previous review hearing.

Nonminor Dependent Status Review Hearing:

This hearing should be conducted as the first hearing following the nonminor’s 18th birthday, and should be conducted no less frequently than every six months.

Hearing to Consider termination of Juvenile Court Jurisdiction Over a Nonminor

This hearing should be conducted prior to terminating juvenile court jurisdiction and may be held during a review hearing required under WIC 366(f), 366.21, 366.22, 366.25, 366.3, or California Rule of Court 5.903.

Hearing of Request by Nonminor for the Juvenile Court to Resume Jurisdiction

If a hearing is granted by the court, this hearing should be held within 15 days from the date the JV-466—*Confidential Information—Request to Return to Juvenile Court Jurisdiction and Foster Care*, was filed with the court that retained general jurisdiction.

b. Notice

The Social Worker must serve written notice of the hearing in the manner provided in WIC 295 to all persons required under WIC 295, except parents or legal guardians of the nonminor dependent:

- The nonminor
- Any known siblings
- The current caretaker of the nonminor
- The attorney of record, if any
- The nonminor's Indian Tribe, if any

c. Reports

Last Status Review for Child Approaching the Age of Majority:

Dependency

At the last review hearing before a child's 18th birthday, in addition to complying with all other statutory and rule1 requirements, the social worker must submit a report that includes all of the following:

- A. The child's plans to remain under juvenile court jurisdiction as a nonminor dependent (NMD), including the criteria in Welfare and Institutions Code section 11403(b)2 that he or she plans to meet;
- B. The efforts made by the social worker to help the child meet the criteria in section 11403(b);
- C. For an Indian child to whom the Indian Child Welfare Act (ICWA) applies, whether he or she plans as a NMD to continue to be considered an Indian child for the purposes of the ongoing application of ICWA;
- D. Whether the child has applied for title XVI Supplemental Security Income (SSI) benefits and, if so, the status of any pending application and whether it is in the child's best interest to continue juvenile court jurisdiction until the SSI decision is issued to ensure that the child receives continued assistance with the application process;
- E. Whether the child has a pending application for Special Immigrant Juvenile Status or other application for legal residency and, if so, whether an active dependency case is required for that application;
- F. The efforts made by the social worker toward completing and providing the child with the written information described in section 391(e)(1) and, to the extent that the child has not been provided with the information, the barriers to providing that information and the steps that will be taken to overcome those barriers by the child's 18th birthday;
- G. The efforts made by the social worker toward completing and providing the child with the documents and services described in section 391(e)(2) and, to the extent that the child has not

received them, the barriers to providing them and the steps that will be taken to overcome those barriers by the child's 18th birthday;

H. When and how the child was informed of the right to have juvenile court jurisdiction terminated when he or she turns 18 years old;

I. When and how the child was provided with information about the potential benefits of remaining under juvenile court jurisdiction as a NMD, and the social worker's assessment of the child's understanding of those benefits; and

J. When and how the child was informed that if juvenile court jurisdiction is terminated, he or she has the right to file a request to return to foster care and have the juvenile court resume jurisdiction over him or her as a NMD.

Nonminor Dependent Status Review Hearing

A. The social worker must submit a report that includes the following information:

1. The continuing necessity for the nonminor's placement and the facts supporting that conclusion;
2. The appropriateness of the current foster care placement;
3. The NMD's plans to remain under juvenile court jurisdiction, including criteria in section 11403(b) that have been met;
4. The efforts made by the social worker or probation officer to help the nonminor meet the criteria in section 11403(b);
5. Verification that the NMD was provided with the information, documents, and services required under section 391(e);
6. Information about the development of the TILCP, including how and when it was created, how the NMD participated, and, for a NMD who elected to have the Indian Child Welfare Act (ICWA) continue to apply, the extent of consultation with the tribal representatives;
7. The efforts made by the social worker or probation officer to comply with the TILCP and efforts to finalize the permanent plan and prepare for independent living;
8. Progress made towards meeting the TILP goals, and modifications to assist the nonminor in attaining the goals;
9. The efforts made by the social worker or probation officer to help maintain relationships between the nonminor and caring and committed adults; and
10. The efforts made by the social worker or probation officer as required under section 366(a)(1)(D) to help establish or maintain the nonminor's relationship with his or her siblings who are under the juvenile court's jurisdiction.

B. The social worker who prepares the report must submit the TILCP and TILP with the report.

C. The report, the TILCP, and the TILP must be filed with the court at least 10 calendar days before the hearing, and copies of all documents must be provided to the NMD, all attorneys of record, and, if applicable, tribal representatives where ICWA continues to apply.

Hearing to Consider termination of Juvenile Court Jurisdiction Over a Nonminor

A. The social worker's report must include the following information:

1. Whether remaining under juvenile court jurisdiction is in the nonminor's best interests and the facts supporting the conclusion reached;
2. The specific criteria in section 11403(b) met by the nonminor that makes him or her eligible to remain under juvenile court jurisdiction as a nonminor dependent;
3. For an Indian nonminor to whom the Indian Child Welfare Act (ICWA) applies, when and how the nonminor was provided with information about the right to continue to be considered an Indian child for the purposes of the ongoing application of ICWA;
4. Whether the nonminor has applied for title XVI Supplemental Security Income (SSI) benefits and, if so, the status of any pending application and whether remaining under juvenile court jurisdiction until a final SSI decision has been issued is in the nonminor's best interests;
5. Whether the nonminor has applied for Special Immigrant Juvenile Status or other application for legal residency and, if so, the status of any pending application and whether an active juvenile court case is required for that application;
6. When and how the nonminor was provided with information about the potential benefits of remaining under juvenile court jurisdiction as a nonminor dependent, and the social worker's assessment of the nonminor's understanding of those benefits;
7. When and how the nonminor was informed that if juvenile court jurisdiction is terminated with the court retaining general jurisdiction, the nonminor has the right to file a request to return to foster care and the juvenile court jurisdiction as a nonminor dependent;
8. When and how the nonminor was informed that if juvenile court jurisdiction is continued, he or she has the right to have juvenile court jurisdiction terminated;
9. For a nonminor who is not present for the hearing:
 - a. Documentation of the nonminor's statement that the nonminor did not wish to appear in court for the scheduled hearing; *or*
 - b. Documentation of the reasonable efforts made to locate the nonminor whose current location is unknown; and
10. Verification that the nonminor was provided with the information, documents, and services as required under section 391(e)(1)–(8); *and*
11. Verification for a nonminor who is under delinquency jurisdiction that the notices and information required under section 607.5 were provided.

B. A completed *Termination of Juvenile Court Jurisdiction—Nonminor* (form JV-365), the nonminor's TILCP if the recommendation is for continuation of juvenile court jurisdiction, the nonminor's most recent TILP, and the nonminor's completed 90-day Transition Plan must be filed with the social worker's or probation officer's report.

C. The social worker's report and all documents must be filed with the court at least 10 calendar days before the hearing, and the social worker or probation officer must provide copies of the report and other documents to the nonminor, the nonminor's parents, and all attorneys of record. If the nonminor is under juvenile court jurisdiction as a nonminor dependent, the social worker is not required to provide copies of the report and documents to the nonminor dependent's parents.

Hearing of Request by Nonminor for the Juvenile Court to Resume Jurisdiction

The social worker, probation officer, or Indian tribal agency case worker must submit a report to the court that includes:

1. Confirmation that the nonminor was previously under juvenile court jurisdiction subject to a foster care placement order at the time he or she turned 18 years old; that the juvenile court

retained general jurisdiction over the nonminor; and that on and after January 1, 2012, the nonminor will not have turned 19 years of age; or commencing January 1, 2013, he or she will not have turned 20 years of age; or commencing on January 1, 2014, he or she will not have turned 21 years old;

2. The condition or conditions under section 11403(b) that the nonminor intends to satisfy;

3. The opinion of the person submitting the report as to whether continuing in a foster care placement is in the nonminor's best interests and a recommendation about the resumption of juvenile court jurisdiction over the nonminor as a nonminor dependent;

4. Whether the nonminor & the placing agency have entered into a reentry agreement for placement in a supervised setting under the placement & care responsibility of the placing agency;

5. The type of placement recommended should the request be granted to return to juvenile court jurisdiction and foster care; and

6. If the placement recommended is in a setting where minor dependents also reside, the results of the background check of the nonminor under section 16504.5.

a. The background check under section 16504.5 is required only if a minor dependent resides in the placement under consideration for the nonminor.

b. A criminal conviction is not a bar to a return to foster care and the resumption of juvenile court jurisdiction as a nonminor dependent.

B. The report and any supporting documentation must be filed with the court and a copy provided to the nonminor and the nonminor's attorney at least two court days before the hearing; and

C. If the court determines that the report and other documentation submitted by the placing agency do not provide all the information listed above in this section and the court is unable to make all the findings and orders required below in sections IX and X, the hearing must be continued for no more than five court days for the placing agency or the nonminor to submit additional information as ordered by the court.

d.Criteria

Nonminor Dependent Status:

“Nonminor dependent” is the term used in the Fostering Connections Act to describe a dependent or ward who:

- Was under an order for a foster care placement on his or her 18th birthday;
- Is currently in supervised foster care under the placement and care of the county welfare department, county probation department, or Indian tribe; and has a Transitional Independent Living Case Plan that includes meeting at least one of the education, training, or work requirements in Welfare and Institutions Code section 11403(b)¹ or being unable to do so because of a medical condition.

Continued Jurisdiction status:

Although a nonminor may remain under juvenile court jurisdiction as a ward or dependent without meeting the requirements for status as a nonminor dependent, he or she will not be eligible to receive the Federal Aid for Dependent Children–Foster Care funding and some of the other services available to a nonminor dependent.

3. AT THE HEARINGS

Last Status Review for Child Approaching the Age of Majority

Dependency

To confirm that a dependent in a foster care placement has the information needed to make a thoughtful decision about remaining in foster care, the court must ensure that at the last status review hearing held before a dependent turns 18 years old, the child understands the options available, including the potential benefits of remaining in foster care and how that can be accomplished; the right to exit foster care and have juvenile court jurisdiction terminated; and the right to request to have that jurisdiction resumed and to return to foster care. Rule 5.707 of the California Rules of Court states the information that must be included in the social worker’s report and the required findings and orders, which are found on an optional form: *Attachment: Additional Findings and Orders for Child Approaching Majority—Dependency* (form JV-460).

Nonminor Dependent Status Review Hearing

This hearing is focused on the goals and services in the nonminor dependent’s Transitional Independent Living Case Plan (TILCP) and Transitional Independent Living Plan (TILP), including efforts to maintain or obtain permanent connections with caring and committed adults. The hearing is intended to be a collaborative effort involving the nonminor dependent, the social worker or probation officer, the judicial officer, and other participants whom the nonminor dependent may have invited. The use of the *Findings and Orders After Nonminor Dependent Review Hearing* (form JV-462) will ensure compliance with the requirements related to the findings and orders at the review hearing for a nonminor dependent.

Hearing to Consider Termination of Juvenile Court Jurisdiction Over a Nonminor

This hearing must be held to consider the termination of juvenile court jurisdiction over a nonminor who is a ward, transition dependent, or nonminor dependent subject to an order for a foster care placement. The *Findings and Orders After Hearing to Consider Termination of Juvenile Court Jurisdiction Over a Nonminor* (form JV-367) is a mandatory form for use in a hearing under section 391 held on behalf of a nonminor who is appearing before a judicial officer exercising juvenile court jurisdiction under section 300, 450, 601, or 602.

The mandatory form, *Termination of Juvenile Court Jurisdiction—Nonminor* (form JV-365), contains the requirements related to the information and documentation that must be provided to the nonminor.

Hearing of Request by Nonminor for the Juvenile Court to Resume Jurisdiction

This hearing is to determine whether the nonminor may reenter. A former nonminor dependent may file a petition with the juvenile court to reenter under juvenile court jurisdiction if they meet the age requirements, intend to satisfy one of the participation criteria under WIC 11403(b), and agree to live in a supervised setting. The former nonminor dependent must also sign the voluntary reentry agreement. The court may grant the petition for the former nonminor dependent to reenter if the court finds that the youth meets all of the reentry criteria listed in WIC 388(e) or WIC 388.1 and that it is in the best interest of the nonminor dependent to reenter. The following are mandatory forms that will ensure that information needed for the juvenile court to resume jurisdiction is presented in a concise and simple fashion and that the nonminor's contact information will be able to remain confidential when necessary: *How to Ask to Return to Juvenile Court Jurisdiction and Foster Care* (form JV-464-INFO), *Request to Return to Juvenile Court Jurisdiction and Foster Care* (form JV-466), and *Confidential Information—Request to Return to Juvenile Court Jurisdiction and Foster Care* (form JV-468, 470, 472).

4. TITLE IV-E FINDINGS AND ORDERS

Nonminor Dependent Review Hearings

- *The NMD's continued placement is necessary. The NMD's current placement is appropriate.*

- *The agency has made reasonable efforts to comply with the NMD's Transitional Independent Living Case Plan, including efforts to finalize the youth's permanent plan and prepare him or her for independence.*

- *The extent of progress made by the NMD toward meeting the Transitional Independent Living case plan goals has been _____.*
- *The NMD's permanent plan is independence after a period of placement in supervised settings specified in § 11402, with identification of a caring adult to _____ serve as a lifelong connection or other (specify) _____.*

- *The likely date by which it is anticipated the NMD will achieve independence is ___/___/___.*
- *The Transitional Independent Living Case Plan includes appropriate and meaningful independent living skill services that will assist the youth with the _____ transition from foster care to independent living.*

Note: if the nonminor dependent has a case plan of continuing reunification services, the title IV-E findings and orders are different. Please see *Findings and Orders After Nonminor Dependent Review Hearing* (form JV-462) for the correct findings and orders.

Hearing of Request by Nonminor for the Juvenile Court to Resume Jurisdiction

- *Continuing in a foster care placement is in the best interest of the nonminor.*
- *The nonminor and the placing agency have entered into a reentry agreement for placement in a supervised setting under the placement and care responsibility of the placing agency.*

Modification of Jurisdiction to dependency, delinquency, or transition

- *The minor was originally removed from the physical custody of his or her parents or legal guardians on (specify date): __/__/__ and continues to be removed from their custody.*
- *The removal findings, “continuation in the home is contrary to the child’s welfare” and “reasonable efforts were made to prevent removal,” made at that hearing remain in effect.*
- *The agency responsible for the minor’s placement and care is child welfare services department/ ↑probation department.*

5. FORMS FOR USE AT HEARINGS

- JV-281: *Notice of Hearing—Nonminor*
- JV-282: *Proof of Service—Nonminor*
- JV-460: *Additional Findings and orders for Child Approaching the Age of Majority—Dependency*
- JV-462: *Findings and Orders After Nonminor Dependent Status Review Hearing*
- JV-365: *Termination of Juvenile Court Jurisdiction—Nonminor*
- JV-367: *Findings and Orders After Hearing to Consider Termination of Juvenile Court Jurisdiction over a Nonminor*
- JV-466: *Request to Return to Juvenile Court Jurisdiction and Foster Care*
- JV-468: *Confidential Information--Request to Return to Juvenile Court Jurisdiction and Foster Care*
- JV-470: *Findings and Orders Regarding Prima Facie Showing on Nonminor's Request to Reenter Foster Care*
- JV-472: *Findings and Order After Hearing to Consider Nonminor's Request to Reenter Foster Care*

II. DEFINITIONS

- **Due Process:** The conduct of legal proceedings according to rules and principles to protect private rights, including notice and the right to a fair hearing.
- **Juvenile Dependency:** A legal system where children become dependents of the court due to parental abuse or neglect. California's system simultaneously strives to preserve the family unit, while obtaining permanency for children.
- **Welfare and Institutions Code:** A series of laws that govern California's dependency system.
- **Dependency proceedings:** the court is asked to adjudge a minor to be a dependent of the court based on one or more of the grounds of child abuse and neglect specified in Welfare and Institutions code section 300.
- **Delinquency proceedings:** the court is asked to declare a minor a ward of the court based on the minor's behavior which would be considered criminal behavior if the minor were an adult. (Welfare and Institutions code section 602.)
- **Status offender proceedings:** the court is asked to declare a minor a ward of the court based on the minor's refusal to obey reasonable orders of the minor's parents. (Welfare and Institutions code section 601.)
- **Detention Hearing:** The first judicial proceeding in a dependency case where the judge decides whether the child should remain in custody, away from his or her parents, while an investigation into the reasons for the removal is conducted. At this hearing, the court will appoint counsel, advise parents of their rights, explain the court process, order visitation when appropriate, inquire about possible relative caretakers, and inquire into the child's paternity and determine whether the Indian Child Welfare Act might apply. This hearing must be held within three days of the physical removal of the child.
- **Jurisdiction Hearing:** The court decides whether or not the allegations in the petition filed by the Agency are true, and whether the child has been abused or neglected, as described in Welfare and Institutions Code section 300. This hearing must be held within 15 days of the detention hearing.

- **Disposition Hearing:** At this hearing, the court considers what it should do to protect and help the child and his or her family. The court decides whether to dismiss the case, order informal services for the family without making the child a dependent, appoint a guardian with the consent of the parents, declare the child a dependent of the court and leave the child in the home of the parents with family maintenance services, remove the child from the home and order reunification services for the parents, or remove the child from the home and not order reunification services for one of the reasons in WIC section 361.5(b). The court also approves the case plan submitted to the court which outlines the services to be provided to the child and family. This hearing can occur at the same time as the jurisdiction hearing and must occur within 10 court days of the jurisdiction hearing for detained children and within 30 court days for a non-detained child.
- **Status review hearings:** At this hearing, held every six months, the judge reviews the case and the case plan. The judge must decide in family maintenance cases, if the conditions that brought the family within the court's jurisdiction still exist or if such conditions are likely to exist if supervision is withdrawn. In family reunification cases, during the period in which reunification services are being provided, the court must return the child home unless the agency can show that return of the child to the home would create a substantial risk of detriment to the child's safety, protection, or physical or emotional well-being.
- **Permanency Hearing:** The hearing where the court determines the most appropriate permanent plan for the child. This can be at disposition if the court does not order reunification services under WIC section 361.5(b) or at a hearing where the court terminates reunification services. The permanent plans in California in order of preference are: return home, adoption, legal guardianship, permanent placement with a relative, or permanent placement with an identified placement and a specific goal. If the court chooses adoption or legal guardianship, it must set a hearing under WIC 366.26 which is referred to as a .26 hearing or a selection and implementation hearing.
- **366.26 Hearing:** The court implements permanent plans of adoption and legal guardianship, or determines that a planned permanent living arrangement is the most appropriate plan for the child.
- **Post permanency Hearing:** Review hearings after the development of a permanent plan for the child. The court reviews the case and case plan. Must be held no less than every six months.
- **Dismissal Hearing:** The court dismisses the dependency petition, and the court case is over. This can happen because a child is returned home and

supervision is no longer necessary, or because a child has reached the age of majority and the agency has met all the dismissal requirements in WIC section 391.

- **387 petitions:** A petition filed under Welfare & Institutions Code section 387, requesting a child's removal to a more restrictive placement. 387 petitions must be filed to request removal from a parent on a Family Maintenance plan, removal from a relative to foster care, and removal to a higher level of foster care.
- **388 petitions:** A petition filed under Welfare & Institutions Code section 388, requesting a change of a court order. Any interested person can file a 388 petition.
- **Best interest of the child:** One of the fundamental goals of the dependency system; to achieve the best outcome for each individual child.
- **Burden of proof:** A party's responsibility to prove something in dispute
- **Defacto parent status:** A person who is the current or recent caregiver of a child and has been found by the court to have assumed, on a day to day basis, the role of parent to the child, and who has assumed that role for a significant period. Defacto parents have the right to be present at dependency proceedings, to be represented by counsel, and to present evidence.
- **ICWA:** Indian Child Welfare Act. Congress passed these federal laws in 1978 to protect the best interests of Indian children and to promote the stability and security of Indian tribes and families by establishing specific standards that must be met before an Indian child can be removed from his or her family and placed in an adoptive or foster care placement. Congress was concerned about the high rate of Indian children being removed from their homes and placed with non-Indian families and the negative consequences this has on Indian children, families, and tribes. This federal law is codified in California statute and rule of court. Please see the chart entitled "Indian Child Welfare Act (ICWA) Requirements" included in this appendice for further information.
- **Noticing:** Formally providing the date, time, location, and purpose of the hearing. See chart entitled "Notice" included in this appendice.
- **Party:** A participant in the case who has the right to notice and to present evidence to the court.
- **Reasonable efforts:** A legal determination if the agency has provided the family with adequate services, which can include visitation, referrals, and other case management. Reasonable efforts must be made to both reunify the family and finalize a permanent plan for the child.

- **Reunification:** If the court determines there is not a substantial danger to the child, the court can return the child to the physical custody of the parent. (or did you want a definition of a family reunification case vs. a family maintenance case? Definition of the reunification period?)
- **Adoption:** The court terminates the rights of the legal parent, usually the biological parent, and orders that another person is now the legal parent of the child. (do we want to add the term open adoption?)
- **Voluntary relinquishment:** process by which parents give up their rights and allow their child to be adopted.
- **Legal guardianship:** the court suspends, but does not terminate, parental rights, and another adult is appointed to be responsible for the child.
- **Independent living:** Children sixteen and older must be provided with services to help them become self-sufficient when they leave the foster care system.
- **Definitions and roles of:**
 - o **Bench officer:** Judges, Referees, and Commissioners who hear the evidence presented and make decisions about the families who come before the court.
 - o **Guardian ad litem:** A person appointed after a hearing to make decisions about case strategy for an incompetent parent.
 - o **Court Appointed Special Advocate (CASA):** CASA is a program designated by the local presiding juvenile court judge to recruit, screen, select, train, supervise, and support lay volunteers to be appointed by the court to help define the best interest of the child. CASA volunteers visit the child regularly and write reports for the court.
 - o **County counsel:** Attorney who represents the agency in court
 - o **Parent's attorney:** Represents the parent's wishes in court, and ensures the parent is afforded due process in the proceedings.
 - o **Child's attorney:** Represents the child in court; informs the court of the child's wishes and the child's best interests.
 - o **Educational Surrogate:** The responsible adult appointed for a child with exceptional educational needs. The educational rights of the child's parents are limited and vested in this person.