1	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
2	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
3	
4	HON. BERNARD J. KAMINS, JUDGE DEPT. 101
5	
6	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,)
7	PLAINTIFF,
8	VS.
9)
10	DEFENDANT.)
11	
12	
13	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT
14	AUGUST 21, 2017
15	
16	APPEARANCES:
17	FOR THE PLAINTIFF: ANH TRUONG DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY 200 N. MAIN STREET
18	ROOM 966 LOS ANGELES, CA. 90012
19	(213) 978-4090
20	FOR THE DEFENDANT: ANGELINA Z. BRADLEY, ESQ.
21	MANAGING ATTORNEY, CAST 5042 WILSHIRE BLVD.
22	SUITE 586 LOS ANGELES, CA. 90036
23	(213) 365-1906 X131
25	
26	DEBBIE WOLLMAN OFFICIAL REPORTER
27	DEBBIE WOLLMAN OFFICIAL REPORTER
28	CSR NO. 7313

1 CASE NUMBER PEOPLE V. 2 CASE NAME VAN NUYS, CALIF. 08/21/17 3 4 DEPT. 101 HON. BERNARD J. KAMINS DEBBIE WOLLMAN 5 REPORTER 6 (THE PEOPLE ARE REPRESENTED BY ANH TROUNG, DEPUTY CITY 7 ATTORNEY; THE DEFENDANT IS REPRESENTED BY ANGELINA 8 9 BRADLEY, ESQ.) 10 11 THE COURT: 12 13 MS. BRADLEY: YOUR HONOR, ANGELINA BRADLEY FOR 14 MR. TRUONG: YOUR HONOR, DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY 15 ANH TRUONG ON BEHALF OF THE PEOPLE. 16 THE COURT: AS YOU BOTH KNOW, ON THE LAST COURT 17 APPEARANCE ON THIS, BOTH SIDES STIPULATED THAT THEY 18 AGREED I SHOULD GRANT THE MOTION. THERE HAVE BEEN TWO 19 PROBLEMS. NUMBER ONE, VENTURA HASN'T SENT THEIR FILE, 20 AND NUMBER 2, THE CHARGE HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH BEING 21 A VICTIM OF SEXUAL TRADE. IT WAS A DRUNK DRIVING. A 22 LONG DRUNK DRIVING PROCEDURE WHERE SHE ACTUALLY WENT 23 TO TRIAL ON IT. SO THAT WOULD NOT FALL UNDER THE LAW 24 TO CONSOLIDATE AND TO DISMISS IT. 25 26 MS. BRADLEY: YOUR HONOR, IF WE MAY. IT IS

ACTUALLY RELATED TO THE TRAFFICKING. IT WAS HER

TRAFFICKER WHO GAVE HER THAT CALL THAT DEMANDED SHE BE

27

28

THERE. GIVEN THE SITUATION SHE WAS IN, UNDER THREAT 1 OF PHYSICAL AND EMOTIONAL BEATINGS AS WELL, SHE 2 RESPONDED IMMEDIATELY TO TRY TO GET BACK INTO --3 THE COURT: IN VENTURA COUNTY? 4 MS. BRADLEY: YES. AND THE VENTURA COUNTY DA 5 DID ACTUALLY SUBMIT A LETTER STIPULATING THAT THEY DO 6 FIND THAT STORY CREDIBLE AND DIRECTLY RELATED. 7 THE COURT: GIVE US THE FILE. OUR OWN CLERK 8 CALLED, RIGHT THE SAME DAY. 9 MR. TRUONG: THIS LEGISLATION IS ALL NEW. 10 EVERYBODY IS TRYING TO FIGURE IT OUT. 11 THE COURT: ANYTHING. EVEN THE FRONT PAGE OF 12 THE FILE. 13 MR. TRUONG: WE HAD COMMUNICATION FROM LAURIE 14 JACK, THE JUDICIAL SECRETARY FROM VENTURA SUPERIOR 15 COURT, JUDGE HIRSCH, IN DEPARTMENT 10, AND SO THEY HAD 16 SEEN THE PETITION. THEY HAD WONDERED WHAT WAS GOING 17 ON, AND WE SAID WE HAD STIPULATED TO CONSOLIDATE INTO 18 ONE HEARING. THEY SAID OKAY, WE ARE NOT GOING TO TAKE 19 ANY ACTION. LET US KNOW WHAT HAPPENS. IT'S DATED 20 AUGUST 15TH. 21 THE COURT: OKAY. IS IT A FORMAL CORRESPONDENCE 22 23 OR AN E-MAIL? MR. TRUONG: E-MAIL. 24 THE COURT: DO YOU HAVE A COPY OF THAT? 25 MR. TRUONG: YES, YOUR HONOR. 26 THE COURT: ANYTHING I CAN USE. ANY PORT IN THE 27

28 STORM IS THE OLD SAYING.

MR. TRUONG: THE VENTURA DA IS ESSENTIALLY SUBMITTING TO THE COURT'S RULING.

THE COURT: THEY DIDN'T KNOW WHAT TO DO IN OTHER WORDS.

MR. TRUONG: THEY DIDN'T SEE ANY INDICATION IN
THE DUI REPORT TO TRAFFICKING. IN THE CONTEXT OF THIS
LEGISLATION, THERE ARE REASONS WHY THE VICTIM AT THAT
POINT IN TIME WOULD NOT BE TALKING ABOUT THEIR
TRAFFICKING SITUATION.

THE COURT: I WILL GIVE YOU BACK THE ORIGINALS
AS WELL. THE COURT HAS OFFICIAL COPIES OF THE
CORRESPONDENCE BETWEEN THE CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE IN
LOS ANGELES AND THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE IN
VENTURA COUNTY. WHEN WE LAST DID THIS MOTION ON JULY
17TH, I WAS 100 PERCENT ON BOARD. WHEN I DIDN'T SEE
ANY DOCUMENTS FROM VENTURA OR ANY REPLY FROM THEM, IT
CAUSED ME TO GO INTO THE VENTURA COUNTY FILE THAT WAS
HERE. IT'S A XEROX COPY OF THE PROCEDURES. THAT IS
WHEN I SAW THAT IT WAS A DRUNK DRIVING CASE.

AS I SAID, I SAW A DUI I THOUGHT YOU

COULDN'T CONSOLIDATE. THEN I REMEMBER READING

SOMETHING IN THE AFFIDAVIT THAT SHOWED THE CONDITIONS

UNDER WHICH THE DUI OCCURRED, OR SOMETHING TO DO WITH

THE PERSON WHO WAS TAKING ADVANTAGE OF

OBVIOUSLY I WANTED TO FIND ANYWAY I COULD TO GRANT THE

MOTION, BUT I FOUND THAT I COULDN'T IF IT WAS A DUI.

WHAT YOU HAVE DONE, BOTH COUNSEL, IS
REALLY ASSISTED ME IN GRANTING A MOTION THAT I WANTED

TO GRANT. WITHOUT BOTH OF YOUR WORD, I DIDN'T FEEL

LEGALLY I COULD DO IT. NOW THAT I CAN, THIS IS

TOTALLY NEW, AND WHAT I AM GOING TO DO ON BOTH THE

PLEAS ENTERED IN -- THERE IS NO STAMP FOR THIS. I

HAVE TO WRITE IT OUT. PLEASE ENTER IN CASE NUMBER

IN LOS ANGELES, AND IT WAS A NO CONTEST IN

VENTURA, THAT IS NUMBER

ARE HEREBY -- I

HAVEN'T READ THIS YET -- ARE HEREBY SET ASIDE. THE

NEW PLEA IS ENTERED OF NOT GUILTY. THE CASES ARE

DISMISSED PURSUANT TO 236.14H3 AND 1385 OF THE PENAL

CODE, AND THE CASES ARE ORDERED SEALED.

MY STATEMENT WOULD BE THAT THE BENEFITS OF THE NEW DIVERSION SECTIONS WHICH ARE 1001.96 HAS THE FOLLOWING LANGUAGE: THE ARREST UPON WHICH SENTENCING OCCURRED IN THE TWO ABOVE CASES IS DEEMED TO HAVE NEVER OCCURRED. THE DEFENDANT MAY INDICATE IN RESPONSE TO ANY QUESTION CONCERNING HIS OR HER PRIOR CRIMINAL RECORD THAT HE OR SHE WAS NOT ARRESTED OR GRANTED DEFERRED ENTRY OF JUDGEMENT FOR THE OFFENSE EXCEPT AS SPECIFIED. THAT WOULD BE IF YOU ARE GOING TO APPLY TO BE IN LAW ENFORCEMENT, A POLICE OFFICER, OR WORK FOR THE LOTTERY, YOU HAVE TO DISCLOSE IT.

A RECORD PERTAINING TO AN ARREST RESULTING
IN SUCCESSFUL COMPLETION OF THE TERMS OF HER PROBATION
CANNOT BE USED IN ANY WAY THAT COULD RESULT IN DENIAL
OF EMPLOYMENT, BENEFIT OF LICENSE OR CERTIFICATE.

SO NOW YOU DO NOT HAVE TO DISCLOSE THE ARREST, AND YOU CANNOT BE DENIED EMPLOYMENT, LICENSE,

OR CERTIFICATE.

THE COURT WILL ORDER A COPY OF THIS

TRANSCRIPT FOR FUTURE PURPOSES. IF ANYONE GIVES HER

ANY TROUBLE ON IT, BECAUSE IT'S SO NEW, EMPLOYERS

AREN'T GOING TO KNOW, AND PERHAPS AN OFFICIAL COURT

TRANSCRIPT WOULD BE IMPORTANT.

I WROTE OUT WHAT I SAID. NOW YOU HAVE PRESENTED ME WITH SOMETHING TO SIGN TO VACATE PRIOR JUDGMENT. I'VE ALREADY DONE THAT. 8/21/17. OKAY. IF YOU WILL WAIT, THE CLERK WILL GIVE YOU A COPY OF THE MOTIONS YOU HAVE.

(END OF PROCEEDINGS.)

1	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
2	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
3	
4	HON. BERNARD J. KAMINS, JUDGE DEPT. 101
5	
6	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,)
7	PLAINTIFF,
8	VS.
9	
10	DEFENDANT.)
11	'
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	I, DEBBIE WOLLMAN, OFFICIAL REPORTER OF
17	THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, FOR THE
18	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE
19	FOREGOING PAGES, 1-5, INCLUSIVE, COMPRISE A FULL, TRUE
20	AND CORRECT TRANSCRIPT OF THE PROCEEDINGS HELD IN THE
21	ABOVE-ENTITLED MATTER ON 08/21/17.
22	
23	
24	DATED THIS <u>30</u> DAY OF <u>August</u> 2017.
25	
26	
27	Dubie Wallman
28	DEBBIE WOLLMAN, C.S.R. NO. 7313 OFFICIAL REPORTER