



Judicial Council of California
CFCC ACCESS TO VISITATION GRANT APPLICATION
ATTACHMENT A

GRANT APPLICATION REVIEWER RATING AND SCORING SHEET FOR
FISCAL YEARS 2024–25 THROUGH 2026–27

Date of Review: _____, 2023

Lead Applicant Court: Superior Court of California, County of _____

Funds Requested: _____

GRG Group Number: _____ GRG Reviewer Number: _____

SUMMARY OF POSSIBLE SCORES

CATEGORY	Total Possible Score
A. Noncustodial (NCP) Population Served and Need for Proposed Services	10 points
B. Strength of Program Services	20 points
C. Safety and Security Policies and Procedures	20 points
D. Background, Experience, and Strength of Subcontractors	10 points
E. Strength of Court Support and Community Partnerships	10 points
F. Efficient and Effective Use of Grant Funds (Budget)	15 points
G. Program Evaluation and Monitoring	10 points
H. Sustainability	5 points
I. Automatic Bonus Point (multi-court and multi-agency collaborations)	5 points
TOTAL	105 points

Each of the above categories contain questions that are assigned a point value. Grant funding recommendations will not be based on numeric scores alone. The Judicial Council' Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee recommendations will seek to ensure that the program goals represent statewide geographical diversity in service delivery, including population and court size. Under Family Code section 3204(b)(2), the Judicial Council makes the decision regarding the final number and amount of grant funding.

PROPOSAL NARRATIVE		POINTS
Strength of Program Services (Question 6B)	A. Will the program start on time? If not, does the applicant provide a reasonable explanation regarding why?	5 points
<i>Need for Proposed Services</i> (Questions 12,13)	B. Does the proposal support the goals of the grant program by increasing noncustodial parents' access to and visitation with their children? C. Does the proposal describe a particular need for AV services for the target population? D. Does the proposal describe any innovative or unique aspects of their program?	20 points
<i>Program Service Delivery</i> (Question 14)	E. Does the proposal describe how AV services will remove barriers to visitation for families, including: location, eligibility, outreach, and cultural competency?	15 points
<i>Safety and Security Policies /Procedures</i> (Questions 15,16)	F. Does the proposal describe safety and security policies and procedures for compliance with Standard 5.20 that ensures safeguards are in place for serving clients? G. Does the proposal describe safety screening measures and other protocols that identify and protect victims of domestic violence in detail?	10 points
Strength of Partnerships (Questions 17, 18)	A. Does the proposed AV services appear to complement existing court-based programs and services? B. Does the proposal describe the applicant's planned coordination and partnership with other existing government, community-based, or domestic violence services?	10 points

<p><i>Experience</i> (Questions 19, 20)</p>	<p>C. Does the proposal describe the applicants background experience, and training of staff to provide the AV services? If the applicant does not have that expertise, does the applicant describe a plan to obtain the necessary experience and expertise?</p> <p>D. Does the proposal describe the subcontractor’s agency’s experience and expertise in providing AV services? If a subcontractor agency does not have that expertise, how does the applicant describe a plan to obtain the necessary experience and expertise?</p>	<p>10 points</p>
<p>Evaluation (Questions 21, 22)</p>	<p>A. Does the proposal describe how the applicant will evaluate the AV services to determine client satisfaction and how program effectiveness and efficiency will be measured?</p> <p>B. If the program is new, does the proposal describe how outcomes will be measured and evaluated? If the applicant has received an AV grant in the past, does the proposal describe how the applicant has made changes in service delivery?</p>	<p>15 points</p>
<p><i>Sustainability</i> (Question 23)</p>	<p>C. Does the proposal describe a sustainability plan that includes the applicant’s other sources of support, and whether the applicant has sought additional sources of funding?</p>	<p>5 points</p>
<p>Budget (B1–B3)</p>	<p>A. Does the proposal’s budget show funds allocated to minimize administrative costs and support direct services to clients as an efficient use of funds?</p>	<p>10 points</p>

	B. Does the proposal describe how the applicant court and subcontractor plan to monitor compliance with statutory and grant requirements?	
Bonus points <i>(multi-court and multi-agency collaborations only)</i>		5 points
Total Points		105 points

END OF ATTACHMENT A