Supreme Court Case No: \$194501

#### IN THE SUPREME COURT

### OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

### HOPE DICAMPLI-MINTZ,

Plaintiff and Appellant,

SUPREME COURT

FILED

FILED WITH PERMISSION

FEB - 8 2012

Frederick K. Ohlrich Clerk

Deputy

COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA, et al.

v.

Defendants and Respondents.

After a Decision by the Court of Appeal, Sixth Appellate District, Case No. H034160 [Santa Clara County Superior Court, Case No. 1-07-CV-089159]

## **OBJECTION TO COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA'S** REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE

J. Michael Fitzsimmons, State Bar No. 132343 Lisa Jeong Cummins, State Bar No. 118087 lcummins@campbellwarburton.com CAMPBELL, WARBURTON, FITZSIMMONS, SMITH, MENDELL & PASTORE, A Professional Corporation 64 West Santa Clara Street San Jose, CA 95113-1806

Tel: (408) 295-7701 Fax: (408) 295-1423

Attorneys for Plaintiff and Appellant, HOPE DICAMPLI-MINTZ

FEB - 1 2012

CLERK SUPPLIED COURT

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff and Appellant HOPE DiCAMPLI-MINTZ hereby objects to this Court's consideration of certain materials attached to the County of Santa Clara's Request for Judicial Notice, namely: the Declaration of Jenny S. Lillge on the letterhead of Legislative Intent Service, Inc.; materials referred to in the Lillge Declaration that are not attached; and a four-page document entitled "Legislative History Report and Analysis."

This objection has been previously stated by the Consumer Attorneys of California in its *amicus curiae* brief filed in support of Plaintiff and Appellant DiCampli-Mintz (footnote 2 at page 12) and by Plaintiff/Appellant in her Answer to the *amicus curiae* brief of the CSAC Excess Insurance Authority, California League of Cities, and California State Association of Counties (footnote 1 at page 3).

None of the aforementioned materials are properly subject to judicial notice pursuant to *Evidence Code* sections 451, 452, 453 or 459. Thus, they are also not properly noticeable pursuant to *Cal. Rule of Court* 8.252.

The Lillge declaration is not based upon personal knowledge. That declaration also refers to material that is not attached. Additionally, a fourpage document entitled "Legislative History Report and Analysis" is attached but is unsworn.

Plaintiff/Appellant does not object to, and joins in, the County's request to take judicial notice of the *California Law Revision Commission Recommendation and Study Relating to the Presentation of Claims Against Public Entities* (January 1959). Such special study commission reports are appropriately referred to and relied upon as aids in interpretation. See, *Brian W. v. Superior Court* (1978) 20 Cal.3d 618, 622; 7 Witkin, *Summary of California Law*, 10<sup>th</sup> Ed., Constitutional Law, § 123, p. 230.

Dated: January 30, 2012

Respectfully submitted,

CAMPBELL, WARBURTON, FITZSIMMONS, SMITH, MENDELL & PASTORE

By:

Lisa Jeong Curnmins

Attorneys for Plaintiff and Appellant

HOPE DiCAMPLI-MINTZ

# **PROOF OF SERVICE**

1	PROOF OF SERVICE		
2	CASE NAME: DiCAMPLI-MINTZ vs. BUI		
3	COURT: California Court of Appeal Sixth Appellate District #H034160 CASE NO.: Santa Clara County No. 1-07-CV-089159		
4			
5	I am a citizen of the United States. My business address is 64 West Santa Clara Street, San Jose, California 95113. I am employed in the County of Santa Clara where		
6 7	this service occurs. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within action or cause. On the date set forth below, following ordinary business practice, I served the following documents described as:		
8	OBJECTION TO COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA'S REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE		
9	in the manner indicated below, by enclosing a true copy thereof on the following parties in a sealed envelope in the ordinary course of business, as follows:		
10	SEE ATTACHED ATTORNEY LIST		
11			
12	U.S. MAIL: I am readily familiar with my employer's normal business practice for		
13	collection and processing of mail for mailing with the United States Postal Service, and that practice is that all mail is deposited with the United States Postal Service the same day as the day of collection in the ordinary course of		
14 15	business. I caused such documents, with postage thereon fully prepaid, to be placed in the United States Mail at San Jose, California.		
16	<b>FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION:</b> I caused such documents to be transmitted to the facsimile numbers of all parties.		
17 18	CERTIFIED MAIL - RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED: I caused such documents, with postage thereon fully prepaid, to be placed in the United States Mail at San Jose, California.		
19	PERSONAL SERVICE/HAND DELIVERY: I caused such documents to be personally delivered.		
20	<b>EXPRESS SERVICE:</b> I caused such documents to be deposited with an Express		
21	Service Carrier or Express Mail in accordance with carrier's designated practice.		
22	STATE: I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of		
23	California that the foregoing is true and correct.		
24	<b>FEDERAL:</b> I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this cour at whose direction the service was made.		
25	t.		
<ul><li>26</li><li>27</li></ul>	Executed on January 31, 2012, at San Jose, California.  When Hammad		
28			
	PROOF OF SERVICE		

# **ATTORNEY LIST**

1	111111111111111111111111111111111111111	<u></u>
2 3	CASE NAME: DiCAMPLI-MINTZ vs. BUI COURT: California Court of Appeal Sixth Appellate District #H034160 Santa Clara County No. 1-07-CV-089159	
4		
5	Miguel Marquez, County Counsel	Attorneys for Defendant
6	email: ann.ravel@cco.sccgov.org Melissa R. Kiniyalocts, Deputy County Counsel email: melissa.kiniyalocts@cco.sccgov.org Office of the County Counsel 70 West Hedding, East Wing, 9 <sup>th</sup> Floor San Jose, CA 95110-1770 Telephone: 408-299-5900 Facsimile: 408-292-7240	COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA
7		AND ITS SANTA CLARA
8		VALLEY MEDICAL CENTER
9		
10	California Court of Appeal	
11	Sixth Appellate District   333 West Santa Clara Street, Ste. 1060   San Jose, CA 95113	
12	Superior Court of California	
13	County of Santa Clara  191 North First Street	
14	San Jose, CA 95113	
15	Stephen D. Underwood Attorney at Law	Attorneys for CSAC EXCESS INSURANCE AUTHORITY,
16	20 E. Victoria Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101	CALIFORNIA LEAGUE OF CITIES, CALIFORNIA STATE
17	Telephone: 805-979-9857	ASSOCIATION OF COUNTIES
18	Michael M. Youngdahl Jordan Sheinbaum	Attorneys for CSAC EXCESS INSURANCE AUTHORITY,
19	105 E. Anapamu Street, Room 201 Santa Barbara, CA 93101	CALIFORNIA LEAGUE OF CITIES, CALIFORNIA STATE
20	Telephone: 805-568-2950	ASSOCIATION OF COUNTIES
21	John D. Rowell Cheong, Denove, Rowell & Bennett 10100 Santa Monica Boulevard, Ste. 2460 Los Angeles, CA 90067	Attorneys for CONSUMER ATTORNEYS OF CALIFORNIA
22		
23	Telephone: 310-277-5254	
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		