EME CO PY

QUIN DENVIR Attorney at Law 1614 Orange Lane Davis, California 95616

SUPREME COURT FILED

December 28, 2012

DEC 3 1 2012

Frank A. McGuire Clerk

Deputy

Honorable Tani Cantil-Sakauye Chief Justice, California Supreme Court 350 McAllister Street San Francisco, California 94102-4797

Re:

People v. Edwards Case No. S073316

Dear Chief Justice,

In response to the Court's order dated December 19, 2012, appellant offers the following.

In this automatic appeal, appellant has made a Confrontation Clause challenge to the admission of the testimony of Dr. Fukumoto as to the factual findings and the professional opinions of the autopsy surgeon, Dr. Richards, who did not testify. See Appellant's Supplemental Opening Brief, pp. 43-66. In People v. Dungo (2012) 55 Cal.4th 608, the Court held that an autopsy surgeon's factual findings regarding the physical condition of a victim's body were not testimonial and thus were not subject to the Confrontation Clause. Id., at 612. The Court specifically did not address or decide whether the professional opinions of the autopsy surgeon were subject to the Confrontation Clause. Id., at 619. Appellant contends that such professional opinions are testimonial under Williams v. Illinois (2012) ____ U.S. ___, 132 S.Ct. 2221, because they are "sufficiently formal" to qualify as testimony and because their primary purpose was for possible use in a criminal trial. See People v. Dungo, supra, 55 Cal.4th at 644-46 (Corrigan, J., dissenting.) In Merolillo v. Yates (9th Cir. 2011) 663 F.3d 444, the State of California conceded that the defendant's right to confront witnesses was violated by the admission of hearsay testimony regarding the opinion of the autopsy surgeon. Id., at 454. The Court of Appeals found the error prejudicial and reversed the murder conviction. Id., at 455-59.

Appellant has also challenged under the Confrontation Clause the admission of hearsay evidence of a statement by laboratory personnel to a police officer that a list of seven possible suspects had been eliminated as donors of semen and fluids at the Deeble

DEATH PENALT

crime scene. See Appellant's Supplemental Opening Brief, pp. 63-66. The out-of-court statement by lab personnel was obviously in response to a question by Sergeant Jannsen and thus was testimonial under the Confrontation Clause. *E.g., Ocampo v. Vail* (9th Cir. 2011) 649 F.3d 1098, 1107-08 and cases therein cited.

Respectfully submitted

Quin Donver

Quin Denvir

Attorney For Appellant

QD/dln

Cc: See attached Proof of Service

PROOF OF SERVICE

I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of Sacramento County. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within above-entitled action; my business address is Rothschild Wishek & Sands LLP, 901 F Street, Sacramento, California 95814. On the below named date, I served the within

Letter to Honorable Tani Cantil-Sakauye Chief Justice, California Supreme Court

> People v. Edwards Case No. S073316

on the parties in said action as follows:

XXX (By REGULAR MAIL) by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States post office mail box at Sacramento, California, addressed as follows:

Arlene A. Servidal Deputy Attorney General Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266

I, Diana Nawlin, declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 28th day of December, 2012 at Sacramento,

California.

Mana Nawlin

AMENDED PROOF OF SERVICE

I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of Sacramento County. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within above-entitled action; my business address is Rothschild Wishek & Sands LLP, 901 F Street, Sacramento, California 95814. On the below named date, I served the within

SUPREME COURT

FILED

Letter to Honorable Tani Cantil-Sakauye Chief Justice, California Supreme Court

JAN - 4 2013

on the parties in said action as follows:

Frank A. McGuire Clerk

XXX (By REGULAR MAIL) by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a Deputy sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States post office mail box at Sacramento, California, addressed as follows:

Edward Roberts CDC No. P-11700 San Quentin State Prison San Quentin, CA 94974

I, Diana L. Nawlin, declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 2nd day of January, 2013 at Sacramento, California.

Diana L. Nawlin