COPY

SIXTH DISTRICT APPELLATE PROGRAM

A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Assistant Director

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

Law Office Manager

William M. Robinson

DALLAS SACHER

Yolanda Edwards

STAFF ATTORNEYS

Lori Quick

Jonathan Grossman

Paul Couenhoven

Patrick McKenna

Anna L. Stuart

February 22, 2018

Jorge E. Navarrete, Clerk of Court Supreme Court of California 350 McAllister Street San Francisco, CA 94102 FILED

FEB 2 3 2018

Jorge Navarrete Clerk

Re:

In re John Manuel Guiomar, \$238888

Supplemental Letter concerning New Authority

Deputy

Dear Mr. Navarrete,

Please transmit this letter to the Honorable Chief Justice and to the Associate Justices of the Supreme Court:

* * *

Dear Justices,

In anticipation of oral argument on March 6, 2018 at 1:30 p.m., Petitioner John Manuel Guiomar refers this court to recent authority relevant to his case: *People v. Page* (2017) 3 Cal.5th 1175.

Petitioner contends that his felony conviction for failure to appear (Pen. Code, § 1320.5) is no longer valid because the underlying offense for which he failed to appear, a violation for possessing drugs (Health & Saf. Code, § 11350, subd. (a)), has been declared a misdemeanor "for all purposes" under Proposition 47. (Pen. Code, § 1170.18, subd. (k).) Though section 1320.5 is not expressly mentioned in the text of Proposition 47 (see Pen. Code, § 1170.18, subd. (a)), it can be charged only if the defendant fails to appear on a felony matter.

On this point, *Page*, *supra*, 3 Cal.5th 1175 is helpful. In holding that Proposition 47 applies to taking a motor vehicle (Veh. Code, § 10851, subd. (a)), this Court stated:

The Attorney General's argument misconceives the nature of the list in section 1170.18, subdivision (a). The statute does not say that only those

defendants who were convicted under the listed sections are eligible for resentencing. The statute instead says that those who are eligible (i.e., defendants serving a felony sentence who would have only been guilty of a misdemeanor had Proposition 47 been in effect at the time of their offenses) may "request resentencing in accordance with" the listed sections. (§ 1170.18, subd. (a).) Defendants requesting resentencing for Vehicle Code section 10851 convictions, like defendant here, are asking to be given a misdemeanor sentence "in accordance with" section 490.2, which is on the list; their resentencing thus falls within the terms of section 1170.18, subdivision (a).

(Page, at p. 1184.)

Petitioner is requesting that the resentencing under Proposition 47 include the felony conviction for failing to appear in accordance with redesignating the drug possession case as a misdemeanor. Petitioner could not have been charged with a felony failure to appear today because his underlying drug case would be a misdemeanor. Because his drug conviction has been reduced to a misdemeanor "for all purposes," the felony failure to appear can no longer stand.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Johathan Grossman

Attorney for John Michael Guiomar

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY E-MAIL AND U.S. MAIL

Case Name: In re Guiomar Case No.: S238888

I declare that I am over the age of 18, not a party to this action and my business address is 95 S. Market Street, Suite 570, San Jose, California 95113. On the date shown below, I served the within SUPPLEMENTAL LETTER CONCERNING NEW AUTHORITY to the following parties hereinafter named by:

X BY ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION - I transmitted a PDF version of this document by electronic mail to the party(s) identified on the attached service list using the e-mail address(es) indicated.

Served electronically via email:

Amit Arun Kurleker
Joshua Klein
Attorney General's Office
455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11,000
San Francisco, CA 94102-7004
[attorney for respondent]
SFAGDocketing@doj.ca.gov

Marvin Eugene Mizell
Office of the Attorney General
110 West A. Street, Suite 1100
P.O. Box 85266
San Diego, CA 92101
sdag.docketing@doj.ca.gov

Helen Simkins Irza
Appellate Defenders, Inc.
555 West Beech Street, Suite 300
San Diego, CA 92101
eservice-court@adi.sandiego.com

X BY MAIL - Placing a true copy thereof, enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at San Jose, California, addressed as follows:

William Joseph Arzbaecher, III Central CA Appellate Program 2150 River Plaza Drive, Suite 300 Sacramento, CA 95833

Richard L. Fitzer
Law Offices of Richard Fitzer
6285 East Spring Street, No. 276N
Long Beach, CA 90808

Joshua Aaron Klein Office of the Attorney General 1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor P.O. Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612

California Appellate Project-L.A. 520 South Grand Ave., Ste. 400 Los Angeles, CA 90071

John Guiomar, AS9562 SATF P.O. Box 7100 Corcoran, CA 93212

I declare under penalty of perjury the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 22nd day of February, 2018, at San Jose, California.

riscilla A. O'Harra