AMENDMENTS TO THE CALIFORNIA RULES OF COURT Adopted by the Judicial Council on September 14–15, 2017, effective January 1, 2018

1	Rule 2.893. Appointment of interpreters in court proceedings
2 3	Rule 4.102. Uniform bail and penalty schedules—traffic, boating, fish and game, forestry, public utilities, parks and recreation, business licensing
4	Rule 4.130. Mental competency proceedings
5	Division 5. Sentencing Determinate Felony Sentencing Law
6	Rule 4.403. Application
7	Rule 4.405. Definitions 13
8	Rule 4.406. Reasons
9	Rule 4.408. Criteria Listing of factors not exclusive; sequence not significant 17
10	Rule 4.409. Consideration of eriteria relevant factors
11	Rule 4.410. General objectives in sentencing
12	Rule 4.411. Presentence investigations and reports
13	Rule 4.411.5. Probation officer's presentence investigation report
14 15	Rule 4.412. Reasons—agreement to punishment as an adequate reason and as abandonment of certain claims
16 17	Rule 4.413. Probation eligibility when probation is limited Grant of probation when defendant is presumptively ineligible for probation
18	Rule 4.415. Criteria affecting the imposition of mandatory supervision
19	Rule 4.420. Selection of term of imprisonment
20	Rule 4.421. Circumstances in aggravation
21	Rule 4.423. Circumstances in mitigation
22	Rule 4.425. Criteria Factors affecting concurrent or consecutive sentences 29
23	Rule 4.428. Criteria Factors affecting imposition of enhancements
24	Rule 4.433. Matters to be considered at time set for sentencing
25 26	Rule 4.435. Sentencing on revocation of probation, mandatory supervision, and postrelease community supervision
27	Rule 4.437. Statements in aggravation and mitigation
28	Rule 4.447. Limitations on enhancements Sentencing of enhancements
29 30	Rule 4.451. Sentence consecutive to or concurrent with indeterminate term or to term in other jurisdiction
31	Rule 4.452. Determinate sentence consecutive to prior determinate sentence 38
32	Rule 8.25. Service, filing, and filing fees
33	Rule 8.36. Substituting parties; substituting or withdrawing attorneys

1	Rule 8.100. Filing the appeal	. 39
2	Rule 8.137. Settled statement	40
3	Rule 8.212. Service and filing of briefs	47
4	Rule 8.248. Prehearing conference	48
5	Rule 8.256. Oral argument and submission of the cause	49
6	Rule 8.264. Filing, finality, and modification of decision	49
7	Rule 8.272. Remittitur	50
8	Rule 8.278. Costs on appeal	. 52
9	Rule 8.336. Preparing, certifying, and sending the record	. 52
10 11	Rule 8.380. Petition for writ of habeas corpus filed by petitioner not represented by an attorney.	•
12	Rule 8.384. Petition for writ of habeas corpus filed by an attorney for a party	. 53
13 14	Rule 8.452. Writ petition to review order setting hearing under Welfare and Institutions Code section 366.26	. 54
15 16 17	Rule 8.456. Writ petition under Welfare and Institutions Code section 366.28 to review order designating or denying specific placement of a dependent child after termination of parental rights	
18	Rule 8.495. Review of Workers' Compensation Appeals Board cases	. 55
19	Rule 8.500. Petition for review	. 55
20	Rule 8.508. Petition for review to exhaust state remedies	. 57
21	Rule 8.512. Ordering review	. 57
22	Rule 8.528. Disposition	. 58
23	Rule 8.532. Filing, finality, and modification of decision	. 59
24	Rule 8.540. Remittitur	. 59
25	Rule 8.600. In general	60
26	Rule 8.630. Briefs by parties and amicus curiae	61
27	Rule 8.634. Transmitting exhibits; augmenting the record in the Supreme Court	62
28	Rule 8.882. Briefs by parties and amici curiae	62
29	Rule 8.887. Decisions	63
30	Rule 8.931. Petitions filed by persons not represented by an attorney	64
31	Rule 8.972. Petitions filed by persons not represented by an attorney	64
32	Rule 8.1005. Certification for transfer by the appellate division	65
33	Rule 8.1007. Transmitting record to Court of Appeal	65

1	Rule 8.1018. Finality and remittitur
2	Rule 10.40. Appellate Advisory Committee
3	Rule 10.62. Court Facilities Advisory Committee
4 5	Rule 10.67. Judicial Branch Workers' Compensation Program Advisory Committee
6	Rule 10.102. Acceptance of gifts
7	Rule 10.104. Limitation on contracting with former employees
8 9	Rule 10.452. Minimum education requirements, expectations, and recommendations
10 11	Rule 10.471. Minimum education requirements for Supreme Court and Court of Appeal clerks/executive officers administrators
12 13	Rule 10.472. Minimum education requirements for Supreme Court and Court of Appeal managing attorneys, supervisors, and other personnel
14	Rule 10.481. Approved providers; approved course criteria
15	Rule 10.1004. Court of Appeal administrative presiding justice
16	Rule 10.1008. Courts of Appeal with more than one division
17	Rule 10.1020. Reviewing court clerk/executive officer administrator
18	Rule 10.1028. Preservation and destruction of Court of Appeal records
19	Standard 4.35. Court use of risk/needs assessments at sentencing
20	

1	Rule	2.893.	Appointment of interpreters in court proceedings
2 3	<u>(a)</u>	Appli	ication_
4			
5			rule applies to all trial court proceedings in which the court appoints an
6		_	reter for a Limited English Proficient (LEP) person. This rule applies to
7		_	en language interpreters in languages designated and not designated by the
8		Judici	al Council.
9 10	<u>(b)</u>	Dofin	ition <u>s</u>
11	<u>(b)</u>	Denn	<u>Itions</u>
12		As us	ed in this rule:
13		110 00	<del>ou m ms ruis.</del>
14		<u>(1)</u>	"Designated language" means a language selected by the Judicial Council for
15			the development of a certification program under Government Code section
16			<u>68562;</u>
17			
18			"Certified interpreter" means an interpreter who is certified by the Judicial
19			Council to interpret a language designated by the Judicial Council under
20			Government Code section 68560 et seq.;
21		(2)	6D 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
22 23			"Registered interpreter" means an interpreter in a language not designated by
23 24			the Judicial Council, who is qualified by the court under the qualification procedures and guidelines adopted by the Judicial Council, and who has
25			passed a minimum of an English fluency examination offered by a testing
26			entity approved by the Judicial Council under Government Code section
27			68560 et seq.;
28			<del></del>
29		<u>(4)</u>	"Noncertified interpreter" means an interpreter who is not certified by the
30			Judicial Council to interpret a language designated by the Judicial Council
31			under Government Code section 68560 et seq.;
32			
33			"Nonregistered interpreter" means an interpreter in a language not designated
34			by the Judicial Council who has not been qualified under the qualification
35			procedures and guidelines adopted by the Judicial Council under Government
36			Code section 68560 et seq.;
37		(6)	"Provisionally qualified" manns on interpretor who is neither cortified nor
38 39			"Provisionally qualified" means an interpreter who is neither certified nor registered but has been qualified under the good cause and qualification
40			procedures and guidelines adopted by the Judicial Council under Government
41			Code section 68560 et seq.;
42			

1 2 3		<u>(7)</u>	"Temporary interpreter" means an interpreter who is not certified, registered, or provisionally qualified, but is used one time, in a brief, routine matter.				
4	<u>(c)</u>	App	Appointment of certified or registered interpreters				
5 6 7			court appoints a certified or registered court interpreter, the judge in the eeding must require the following to be stated on the record:				
8 9		<u>(1)</u>	The language to be interpreted;				
10 11		<u>(2)</u>	The name of the interpreter;				
12 13 14		<u>(3)</u>	The interpreter's current certification or registration number;				
15 16 17		<u>(4)</u>	A statement that the interpreter's identification has been verified as required by statute;				
18 19		<u>(5)</u>	A statement that the interpreter is certified or registered to interpret in the language to be interpreted; and				
20 21 22 23		<u>(6)</u>	A statement that the interpreter was administered the interpreter's oath or that he or she has an oath on file with the court.				
24	<u>(d)</u>	App	ointment or use of noncertified or nonregistered interpreters				
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32		<u>(1)</u>	When permissible  If after a diligent search a certified or registered interpreter is not available, the judge in the proceeding may either appoint a noncertified or nonregistered interpreter who has been provisionally qualified under (d)(3) or, in the limited circumstances specified in (d)(4), may use a noncertified or nonregistered interpreter who is not provisionally qualified.				
33 34 35 36 37		<u>(2)</u>	Required record In all cases in which a noncertified or nonregistered interpreter is appointed or used, the judge in the proceeding must require the following to be stated on the record:				
38 39			(A) The language to be interpreted;				
40 41 42 43			(B) A finding that a certified or registered interpreter is not available and a statement regarding whether a <i>Certification of Unavailability of Certified or Registered Interpreter</i> (form INT-120) for the language to be interpreted is on file for this date with the court administrator;				

1				
2		<u>(C)</u>	A fin	ding that good cause exists to appoint a noncertified or
3			nonre	egistered interpreter;
4				•
5		(D)	The r	name of the interpreter;
6				<del>-</del>
7		<u>(E)</u>	A sta	tement that the interpreter is not certified or registered to interpret
8		<del>~ /</del>		e language to be interpreted;
9				
10		(F)	A fin	ding that the interpreter is qualified to interpret in the proceeding
11		<u>\</u>		quired in $(d)(3)$ or $(d)(4)$ ; and
12			us rec	$\frac{1}{2}$
13		(G)	A sta	tement that the interpreter was administered the interpreter's oath.
14		<u>(O)</u>	<u> </u>	tement that the interpreter was demanded and the interpreter 5 outsi.
15	<u>(3)</u>	$p_{rov}$	isional	<u>l qualification</u>
16	<u>(3)</u>	1 1000	isionai	<u>qualification</u>
17		(A)	A no.	ncertified or nonregistered interpreter is provisionally qualified if
18		<u>(A)</u>		residing judge of the court or other judicial officer designated by
19			_	residing judge:
20			me pi	testanig Juage.
20			(i)	Finds the managetified on nannacistaned intermediate to be
			(i)	Finds the noncertified or nonregistered interpreter to be
22				provisionally qualified following the <i>Procedures to Appoint a</i>
23				Noncertified or Nonregistered Spoken Language Interpreter as
24				Either Provisionally Qualified or Temporary (form INT-100-
25				INFO); and
26			(**)	
27			<u>(ii)</u>	Signs an order allowing the interpreter to be considered for
28				appointment on Qualifications of a Noncertified or Nonregistered
29				Spoken Language Interpreter (form INT-110). The period
30				covered by this order may not exceed a maximum of six months.
31		(D)	_	
32		<u>(B)</u>	_	opoint a provisionally qualified interpreter, in addition to the
33				ers that must be stated on the record under (d)(2), the judge in the
34			proce	eding must state on the record:
35				
36			<u>(i)</u>	A finding that the interpreter is qualified to interpret the
37				proceeding, following procedures adopted by the Judicial Council
38				(see forms INT-100-INFO, INT-110, and INT-120);
39				
40			(ii)	A finding, if applicable, that good cause exists under (f)(1)(B)
41				for the court to appoint the interpreter beyond the time
42				ordinarily allowed in (f); and

1 2 3 4			(iii)	If a party has objected to the appointment of the proposed interpreter or has waived the appointment of a certified or registered interpreter.
5		(4) <u>Te</u>	mporary i	use
6			-	est of an LEP person, a temporary interpreter may be used to
7			-	densome delay or in other unusual circumstances if:
8		<u>F-</u>		went the transfer of the transfer trans
9		<u>(A</u>	) The iu	adge in the proceeding finds on the record that:
10		12.2	<u> </u>	the processing made on the reverse man.
11			(i)	The LEP person has been informed of their right to an
12			(1)	interpreter and has waived the appointment of a certified or
13				registered interpreter or an interpreter who could be
14				provisionally qualified by the presiding judge as provided in
15				(d)(3):
16				(SVS):
17			(ii)	Good cause exists to appoint an interpreter who is not certified,
18			(11)	registered, or provisionally qualified; and
19				iogicion, or provinciant, quantiton, una
20			(iii)	The interpreter is qualified to interpret that proceeding,
21			()	following procedures adopted by the Judicial Council (see
22				forms INT-100-INFO and INT-140).
23				======================================
24		<u>(B</u>	) The us	se of an interpreter under this subdivision is limited to a single
25		<del></del>		routine matter before the court. The use of the interpreter in this
26				nstance may not be extended to subsequent proceedings without
27				following the procedure set forth in this subdivision.
28				
29	<u>(e)</u>	Appoint	tment of i	ntermediary interpreters working between two languages
30	<del></del>			de English
31				<del></del>
32		An inter	preter who	o works as an intermediary between two languages that do not
33			_	relay interpreter) is not eligible to become certified or registered.
34				interpreter can become provisionally qualified if the judge finds
35				nalified to interpret the proceeding following procedures adopted
36			_	uncil (see forms INT-100-INFO, INT-110, and INT-120). The
37				elow do not apply to relay interpreters.
38			.,	

		appointment of provisionally qualified noncertified and ered interpreters
<u>(1)</u>	unde	er (d)(3) may not interpret in any trial court for more than any four month periods, except in the following circumstances:
	(A)	A noncertified interpreter of Spanish may be allowed to interpret for no more than any two six-month periods in counties with a population greater than 80,000.
	(B)	A noncertified or nonregistered interpreter may be allowed to interpret more than any four six-month periods, or any two six-month periods for an interpreter of Spanish under (f)(1)(A), if the judge in the proceeding makes a specific finding on the record in each case in which the interpreter is sworn that good cause exists to appoint the interpreter, notwithstanding the interpreter's failure to achieve Judicial Council certification.
(2)	date	ept as provided in (f)(3), each six-month period under (f)(1) begins on the a presiding judge signs an order under (d)(3)(A)(ii) allowing the certified or nonregistered interpreter to be considered for appointment.
(3)	at the	interpreter is provisionally qualified under (d)(3) in more than one court e same time, each six-month period runs concurrently for purposes of rmining the maximum periods allowed in this subdivision.
<u>(4)</u>	nonr	inning with the second six-month period under (f)(1), a noncertified or registered interpreter may be appointed if he or she meets all of the twing conditions:
	<u>(A)</u>	The interpreter has taken the State of California Court Interpreter Written Exam at least once during the 12 calendar months before the appointment;
	<u>(B)</u>	The interpreter has taken the State of California's court interpreter ethics course for interpreters seeking appointment as a noncertified or nonregistered interpreter, or is certified or registered in a different language from the one in which he or she is being appointed; and
	<u>(C)</u>	The interpreter has taken the State of California's online court interpreter orientation course, or is certified or registered in a different language from the one in which he or she is being appointed.

1		
2	<u>(5)</u>	Beginning with the third six-month period under (f)(1), a noncertified or
3	<del></del>	nonregistered interpreter may be appointed if he or she meets all of the
4		following conditions:
5		
6		(A) The interpreter has taken and passed the State of California Court
7		Interpreter Written Exam with such timing that he or she is eligible to
8		take a Bilingual Interpreting Exam; and
9		ance a Diningual interpreting Exam, and
10		(B) The interpreter has taken either the Bilingual Interpreting Exam or the
11		relevant Oral Proficiency Exam(s) for his or her language pairing at
12		least once during the 12 calendar months before the appointment.
13	(6)	
14	<u>(6)</u>	The restrictions in (f)(5)(B) do not apply to any interpreter who seeks
15		appointment in a language pairing for which no exam is available.
16		
17	<u>(7)</u>	The restrictions in (f)(4) and (5) may be waived by the presiding judge for
18		good cause whenever there are fewer than 25 certified or registered
19		interpreters enrolled on the Judicial Council's statewide roster for the
20		language requiring interpretation.
21		
21 22		Advisory Committee Comment
		Advisory Committee Comment
22 23 24		as (c) and (d)(2). When a court reporter is transcribing the proceedings, or an
22 23 24 25	electronic re	ns (c) and (d)(2). When a court reporter is transcribing the proceedings, or an ecording is being made of the proceedings, a judge may satisfy the "on the record"
22 23 24 25 26	electronic requirement	ns (c) and (d)(2). When a court reporter is transcribing the proceedings, or an ecording is being made of the proceedings, a judge may satisfy the "on the record" to by stating the required details of the interpreter appointment in open court. If there is
22 23 24 25 26 27	electronic re requirement no court rep	ns (c) and (d)(2). When a court reporter is transcribing the proceedings, or an ecording is being made of the proceedings, a judge may satisfy the "on the record" to by stating the required details of the interpreter appointment in open court. If there is porter and no electronic recording is being made, the "on the record" requirement may
22 23 24 25 26 27 28	electronic re requirement no court rep be satisfied	ns (c) and (d)(2). When a court reporter is transcribing the proceedings, or an ecording is being made of the proceedings, a judge may satisfy the "on the record" to by stating the required details of the interpreter appointment in open court. If there is corter and no electronic recording is being made, the "on the record" requirement may by stating the required details of the interpreter appointment and documenting them in
22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29	electronic re requirement no court rep be satisfied writing—su	ns (c) and (d)(2). When a court reporter is transcribing the proceedings, or an ecording is being made of the proceedings, a judge may satisfy the "on the record" to by stating the required details of the interpreter appointment in open court. If there is corter and no electronic recording is being made, the "on the record" requirement may by stating the required details of the interpreter appointment and documenting them in each as in a minute order, the official clerk's minutes, a formal order, or even a
22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	electronic re requirement no court rep be satisfied writing—su	ns (c) and (d)(2). When a court reporter is transcribing the proceedings, or an ecording is being made of the proceedings, a judge may satisfy the "on the record" to by stating the required details of the interpreter appointment in open court. If there is corter and no electronic recording is being made, the "on the record" requirement may by stating the required details of the interpreter appointment and documenting them in
22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29	electronic re requirement no court rep be satisfied writing—su handwritten	ecording is being made of the proceedings, a judge may satisfy the "on the record" by stating the required details of the interpreter appointment in open court. If there is corter and no electronic recording is being made, the "on the record" requirement may by stating the required details of the interpreter appointment and documenting them in the as in a minute order, the official clerk's minutes, a formal order, or even a document—that is entered in the case file.
22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33	electronic rerequirement no court rep be satisfied writing—su handwritten  Subdivision nonregistere	ns (c) and (d)(2). When a court reporter is transcribing the proceedings, or an ecording is being made of the proceedings, a judge may satisfy the "on the record" to by stating the required details of the interpreter appointment in open court. If there is sorter and no electronic recording is being made, the "on the record" requirement may by stating the required details of the interpreter appointment and documenting them in uch as in a minute order, the official clerk's minutes, a formal order, or even a document—that is entered in the case file.  In (d)(4). This provision is intended to allow for the one-time use of a noncertified or ed interpreter who is not provisionally qualified to interpret for an LEP person in a
22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34	electronic rerequirement no court rep be satisfied writing—su handwritten  Subdivision nonregistere courtroom e	ns (c) and (d)(2). When a court reporter is transcribing the proceedings, or an ecording is being made of the proceedings, a judge may satisfy the "on the record" to by stating the required details of the interpreter appointment in open court. If there is sorter and no electronic recording is being made, the "on the record" requirement may by stating the required details of the interpreter appointment and documenting them in each as in a minute order, the official clerk's minutes, a formal order, or even a document—that is entered in the case file.  In (d)(4). This provision is intended to allow for the one-time use of a noncertified or each interpreter who is not provisionally qualified to interpret for an LEP person in a event. This provision is not intended to be used to meet the extended or ongoing
22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35	electronic rerequirement no court rep be satisfied writing—su handwritten  Subdivision nonregistere courtroom e	ns (c) and (d)(2). When a court reporter is transcribing the proceedings, or an ecording is being made of the proceedings, a judge may satisfy the "on the record" to by stating the required details of the interpreter appointment in open court. If there is sorter and no electronic recording is being made, the "on the record" requirement may by stating the required details of the interpreter appointment and documenting them in uch as in a minute order, the official clerk's minutes, a formal order, or even a document—that is entered in the case file.  In (d)(4). This provision is intended to allow for the one-time use of a noncertified or ed interpreter who is not provisionally qualified to interpret for an LEP person in a
22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36	electronic rerequirement no court rep be satisfied writing—su handwritten  Subdivision nonregistere courtroom e interpretation	ecording is being made of the proceedings, a judge may satisfy the "on the record" by stating the required details of the interpreter appointment in open court. If there is borter and no electronic recording is being made, the "on the record" requirement may by stating the required details of the interpreter appointment and documenting them in uch as in a minute order, the official clerk's minutes, a formal order, or even a document—that is entered in the case file.  In (d)(4). This provision is intended to allow for the one-time use of a noncertified or ed interpreter who is not provisionally qualified to interpret for an LEP person in a event. This provision is not intended to be used to meet the extended or ongoing on needs of LEP court users.
22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37	electronic rerequirement no court rep be satisfied writing—su handwritten  Subdivision nonregistere courtroom e interpretation	ns (c) and (d)(2). When a court reporter is transcribing the proceedings, or an ecording is being made of the proceedings, a judge may satisfy the "on the record" to by stating the required details of the interpreter appointment in open court. If there is sorter and no electronic recording is being made, the "on the record" requirement may by stating the required details of the interpreter appointment and documenting them in uch as in a minute order, the official clerk's minutes, a formal order, or even a document—that is entered in the case file.  In (d)(4). This provision is intended to allow for the one-time use of a noncertified or end interpreter who is not provisionally qualified to interpret for an LEP person in a event. This provision is not intended to be used to meet the extended or ongoing on needs of LEP court users.  In (b)(7) and (d)(4). When determining whether the matter before the court is a "brief,"
22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38	electronic rerequirement no court rep be satisfied writing—su handwritten  Subdivision nonregistere courtroom e interpretation  Subdivision routine matter	ns (c) and (d)(2). When a court reporter is transcribing the proceedings, or an ecording is being made of the proceedings, a judge may satisfy the "on the record" to by stating the required details of the interpreter appointment in open court. If there is corter and no electronic recording is being made, the "on the record" requirement may by stating the required details of the interpreter appointment and documenting them in uch as in a minute order, the official clerk's minutes, a formal order, or even a document—that is entered in the case file.  In (d)(4). This provision is intended to allow for the one-time use of a noncertified or each interpreter who is not provisionally qualified to interpret for an LEP person in a event. This provision is not intended to be used to meet the extended or ongoing on needs of LEP court users.  In (b)(7) and (d)(4). When determining whether the matter before the court is a "brief, ter" for which a noncertified or nonregistered interpreter who has not been
22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39	electronic rerequirement no court rep be satisfied writing—su handwritten  Subdivision nonregistere courtroom e interpretation  Subdivision routine matt provisionall	ns (c) and (d)(2). When a court reporter is transcribing the proceedings, or an ecording is being made of the proceedings, a judge may satisfy the "on the record" to by stating the required details of the interpreter appointment in open court. If there is corter and no electronic recording is being made, the "on the record" requirement may by stating the required details of the interpreter appointment and documenting them in in the as in a minute order, the official clerk's minutes, a formal order, or even a document—that is entered in the case file.  In (d)(4). This provision is intended to allow for the one-time use of a noncertified or end interpreter who is not provisionally qualified to interpret for an LEP person in a event. This provision is not intended to be used to meet the extended or ongoing on needs of LEP court users.  In (b)(7) and (d)(4). When determining whether the matter before the court is a "brief, ter" for which a noncertified or nonregistered interpreter who has not been by qualified may be used, the judicial officer should consider the complexity of the
22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38	electronic rerequirement no court rep be satisfied writing—su handwritten  Subdivision nonregistere courtroom e interpretation  Subdivision routine matter provisionall matter at issue	ns (c) and (d)(2). When a court reporter is transcribing the proceedings, or an ecording is being made of the proceedings, a judge may satisfy the "on the record" to by stating the required details of the interpreter appointment in open court. If there is corter and no electronic recording is being made, the "on the record" requirement may by stating the required details of the interpreter appointment and documenting them in uch as in a minute order, the official clerk's minutes, a formal order, or even a document—that is entered in the case file.  In (d)(4). This provision is intended to allow for the one-time use of a noncertified or each interpreter who is not provisionally qualified to interpret for an LEP person in a event. This provision is not intended to be used to meet the extended or ongoing on needs of LEP court users.  In (b)(7) and (d)(4). When determining whether the matter before the court is a "brief, ter" for which a noncertified or nonregistered interpreter who has not been
22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40	electronic rerequirement no court rep be satisfied writing—su handwritten  Subdivision nonregistere courtroom e interpretation  Subdivision routine matter provisionall matter at issue	ns (c) and (d)(2). When a court reporter is transcribing the proceedings, or an ecording is being made of the proceedings, a judge may satisfy the "on the record" to by stating the required details of the interpreter appointment in open court. If there is corter and no electronic recording is being made, the "on the record" requirement may by stating the required details of the interpreter appointment and documenting them in in the as in a minute order, the official clerk's minutes, a formal order, or even a document—that is entered in the case file.  In (d)(4). This provision is intended to allow for the one-time use of a noncertified or end interpreter who is not provisionally qualified to interpret for an LEP person in a event. This provision is not intended to be used to meet the extended or ongoing on needs of LEP court users.  In (b)(7) and (d)(4). When determining whether the matter before the court is a "brief, ter" for which a noncertified or nonregistered interpreter who has not been by qualified may be used, the judicial officer should consider the complexity of the sate and likelihood of potential impacts on the LEP person's substantive rights, mind the consequences that could flow from inaccurate or incomplete interpretation of

1 2	Rule		2. Uniform bail and penalty schedules—traffic, boating, fish and game, stry, public utilities, parks and recreation, business licensing
3	* * *	k	
4 5	4. 4. 4		
6	Note	٠.	
7			y obtain copies of the Uniform Bail and Penalty Schedules by contacting:
8			ustice Services
9			ouncil of California
10			n Gate Avenue
11			sco, CA 94102-3688
12		) 865-	
13	or	) 003-	<del>7011</del>
14			ts.ca.gov/7532.htm
15	WWM	v.cour	s.ca.gov/1332.nim
16	D1 -	1 102	
17			amended effective January 1, 2018; adopted as rule 850 effective January 1, 1965;
18	-		renumbered as rule 4.102 and amended effective January 1, 2001; previously
19			fective January 1, 1970, January 1, 1971, July 1, 1972, January 1, 1973, January 1, 1975, July 1, 1970, July 1, 1980, July 1, 1981, January 1, 1982, July 1, 1984, July 1,
20		-	l, 1975, July 1, 1979, July 1, 1980, July 1, 1981, January 1, 1983, July 1, 1984, July 1 ary 1, 1989, January 1, 1990, January 1, 1993, January 1, 1995, January 1, 1997,
21			1, January 1, 2007, July 1, 2013, and January 1, 2016.
22	July	1, 200	s, January 1, 2007, July 1, 2013, una January 1, 2010.
23			
24	Rula	4 130	). Mental competency proceedings
25	ituit	T.15	. Mental competency proceedings
26	(a)	Ann	lication
27	(4)	· ·PP	
28		<u>(1)</u>	This rule applies to proceedings in the superior court under Penal Code
29		<del>(1)</del>	section 1367 et seq. to determine the mental competency of a criminal
30			defendant.
31			de l'elitable.
32		<u>(2)</u>	The requirements of subdivision (d)(2) apply only to a formal competency
33		<u>(=)</u>	evaluation ordered by the court under Penal Code section 1369(a).
34			- And and the state of the season and the season of the se
35		<u>(3)</u>	The requirements of subdivision (d)(2) do not apply to a brief preliminary
36		(2)	evaluation of the defendant's competency if:
37			evaluation of the defendant beompetency in
38			(A) The parties stipulate to a brief preliminary evaluation; and
39			111) The purites supulate to a citer premimary evaluation, and
40			(B) The court orders the evaluation in accordance with a local rule of court
41			that specifies the content of the evaluation and the procedure for its
42			preparation and submission to the court.
43			* *

1		(Sub	d (a) ai	mended effective January 1, 2018.)
2 3	(b)-	(c) * *	* *	
4		_	•	
5	(d)	Exa	minat	ion of defendant after initiation of mental competency proceedings
6 7		(1)	* * *	<b>k</b>
8		(1)		
9		(2)	Anv	court-appointed experts must examine the defendant and advise the
10		(-)	-	t on the defendant's competency to stand trial. Experts' reports are to be
11				nitted to the court, counsel for the defendant, and the prosecution. The
12				rt must include the following:
13				<del></del>
14			(A)	A brief statement of the examiner's training and previous experience as
15			<del></del>	it relates to examining the competence of a criminal defendant to stand
16				trial and preparing a resulting report;
17				
18			<u>(B)</u>	A summary of the examination conducted by the examiner on the
19				defendant, including a current diagnosis under the most recent version
20				of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, if
21				possible, of the defendant's mental disorder and a summary of the
22				defendant's mental status;
23				
24			<u>(C)</u>	A detailed analysis of the competence of the defendant to stand trial
25				using California's current legal standard, including the defendant's
26				ability or inability to understand the nature of the criminal proceedings
27				or assist counsel in the conduct of a defense in a rational manner as a
28				result of a mental disorder;
29				
30			<u>(D)</u>	A summary of an assessment—conducted for malingering or feigning
31				symptoms, if clinically indicated—which may include, but need not be
32				limited to, psychological testing;
33				
34			<u>(E)</u>	<u>Under Penal Code section 1369</u> , a statement on whether treatment with
35				antipsychotic or other medication is medically appropriate for the
36				defendant, whether the treatment is likely to restore the defendant to
37				mental competence, a list of likely or potential side effects of the
38				medication, the expected efficacy of the medication, possible
39				alternative treatments, whether it is medically appropriate to administer
40				antipsychotic or other medication in the county jail, and whether the
41				defendant has capacity to make decisions regarding antipsychotic or
42				other medication. If an examining psychologist is of the opinion that a
43				referral to a psychiatrist is necessary to address these issues, the

1		psychologist must inform the court of this opinion and his or her
2		recommendation that a psychiatrist should examine the defendant;
3		
4	<u>(F)</u>	A list of all sources of information considered by the examiner,
5		including legal, medical, school, military, regional center, employment,
6		hospital, and psychiatric records; the evaluations of other experts; the
7		results of psychological testing; police reports; criminal history;
8		statement of the defendant; statements of any witnesses to the alleged
9		crime; booking information, mental health screenings, and mental
10		health records following the alleged crime; consultation with the
11		prosecutor and defendant's attorney; and any other collateral sources
12		considered in reaching his or her conclusion; and
13		
14	<u>(G)</u>	A recommendation, if possible, for a placement or type of placement or
15		treatment program that is most appropriate for restoring the defendant
16		to competency.
17		
18	(3) ***	·
19		
20	(Subd (d) ar	mended effective January 1, 2018.)
21		
22	(e)–(f) * * *	
23		
24	Rule 4.130 amend	led effective January 1, 2018; adopted effective January 1, 2007.
25		
26		
27	Div	vision 5. Sentencing-Determinate Felony Sentencing Law
28		
29	Rule 4.403. App	olication
30		
31	These rules apply	y to criminal cases in which the defendant is convicted of one or more
32	offenses punisha	ble as a felony by (1) a determinate sentence imposed under Penal Code
33	part 2, title 7, ch	apter 4.5 (commencing with section 1170) and (2) an indeterminate
34	sentence impose	d under section 1168(b) only if it is imposed relative to other offenses
35	with determinate	e terms or enhancements.
36		
37	Rule 4.403 amend	led effective January 1, 2018; adopted as rule 403 effective July 1, 1977;
38	previously amende	ed and renumbered effective January 1, 2001; previously amended effective
39	July 1, 2003, Janu	ary 1, 2007, and January 1, 2017.
40		

1 2		Advisory Committee Comment		
3	The sentencing rules do not apply to offenses carrying a life term or other indeterminate sentences			
4	for which sentence is imposed under section 1168(b).			
5	101 **	men sentence is imposed under section 1100(o).		
6	The o	operative portions of section 1170 deal exclusively with prison sentences; and the mandate to		
7		adicial Council in section 1170.3 is limited to criteria affecting the length of prison sentences,		
8	sente	nces in county jail under section 1170(h), and the grant or denial of probation.		
9				
10				
11	Rule	e 4.405. Definitions		
12				
13	As u	sed in this division, unless the context otherwise requires:		
14	(1)			
15	(1)	* * *		
16	(2)	"Daga tames" is the determinate terms in missen terms on accounts is illuminate section		
17 18	(2)	"Base term" is the determinate <u>term in prison term or county jail under section</u> 1170(h) selected from among the three possible terms prescribed by statute; or the		
19		determinate term in prison term or county jail under section 1170(h) prescribed by		
20		law statute if a range of three possible terms is not prescribed; or the indeterminate		
21		term in prison prescribed by statute.		
22		term in prison preserved by summe.		
23	(3)	* * *		
24	( )			
25	(4)	"Aggravation," or "circumstances in aggravation," "mitigation," or "circumstances		
26		in mitigation" means factors that the court may consider in its broad sentencing		
27		discretion in imposing one of the three authorized terms of imprisonment referred		
28		to in section 1170(b) authorized by statute and under these rules.		
29				
30	<del>(5)</del>	"Mitigation" or circumstances in mitigation" means factors that the court may		
31		consider in its broad discretion in imposing one of the three authorized terms of		
32		imprisonment referred to in section 1170(b) or factors that may justify the court in		
33		striking the additional punishment for an enhancement when the court has		
34		discretion to do so.		
35	(6)(5	") "Sometimes above" manuse the collection of any disposition of the cose that does not		
36 37	<del>(0)</del> (3	(1) "Sentence choice" means the selection of any disposition of the case that does not		
38		amount to a dismissal, acquittal, or grant of a new trial.		
39	<del>(7)</del> (6	(i) "Section" means a section of the Penal Code.		
40	( , ) <u>(0</u>			
41	<del>(8)</del> (7	') "Imprisonment" means confinement in a state prison or county jail under section		
42	1170(h).			
43				

(9)(8) "Charged" means charged in the indictment or information. 1 2 3 (10)(9) "Found" means admitted by the defendant or found to be true by the trier of fact 4 upon trial. 5 6 (11)(10) "Mandatory supervision" means the period of supervision defined in section 7 1170(h)(5)(A), (B). 8 9 (12)(11) "Postrelease community supervision" means the period of supervision governed 10 by section 3451 et seq. 11 12 (12) "Risk/needs assessment" means a standardized, validated evaluation tool designed 13 to measure an offender's actuarial risk factors and specific needs that, if successfully addressed, may reduce the likelihood of future criminal activity. 14 15 (13)–(16)\*\*\*16 17 18 Rule 4.405 amended effective January 1, 2018; adopted as rule 405 effective July 1, 1977; 19 previously renumbered effective January 1, 2001; previously amended effective July 28, 1977, 20 January 1, 1991, July 1, 2003, January 1, 2007, May 23, 2007, and January 1, 2017. 21 22 **Advisory Committee Comment** 23 24 "Base term" is the term of imprisonment selected under section 1170(b) from the three possible 25 terms. (See section 1170(a)(3); People v. Scott (1994) 9 Cal.4th 331, 349.) Following the United 26 States Supreme Court decision in Cunningham v. California (2007) 549 U.S. 270, the Legislature 27 amended the determinate sentencing law to remove the presumption that the court is to impose the 28 middle term on a sentencing triad, absent aggravating or mitigating circumstances. (See Sen. Bill 29 40; Stats. 2007, ch. 3.) It subsequently amended sections 186.22, 186.33, 1170.1, 12021.5, 30 12022.2, and 12022.4 to eliminate the presumptive middle term for an enhancement. (See Sen. 31 Bill 150; Stats. 2009, ch. 171.) Instead of finding facts in support of a sentencing choice, courts 32 are now required to state reasons for the exercise of judicial discretion in sentencing. To comply 33 with those changes, these rules were also amended. In light of those amendments, for clarity, the 34 phrase "base term" in (4) and (5) was replaced with "one of the three authorized prison terms." 35 This language was subsequently changed to "three authorized terms of imprisonment" to 36 incorporate county jail sentences under section 1170(h) in light of more recent legislative 37 amendments to the determinate sentencing law. (See Assem. Bill 109; Stats. 2011, ch. 15.) It is an 38 open question whether the definitions in (4) and (5) apply to enhancements for which the statute 39 provides for three possible terms. The Legislature in SB 40 amended section 1170(b) but did not 40 modify sections 1170.1(d), 12022.2(a), 12022.3(b), or any other section providing for an

enhancement with three possible terms. The latter sections provide that "the court shall impose the

1170.1(d).) It is possible, although there are no cases addressing the point, that this enhancement

middle term unless there are circumstances in aggravation or mitigation." (See, e.g., section

41

42

l	triad	with the presumptive imposition of the middle term runs afoul of Cunningham. Because of
2	this c	ppen question, rule 4.428(b) was deleted.
3		
4	<u>"Enh</u>	ancement." The facts giving rise to an enhancement, the requirements for pleading and
5	provi	ng those facts, and the court's authority to strike the additional term are prescribed by
6	statu	tes. See, for example, sections 667.5 (prior prison terms), 12022 (being armed with a firearm
7	or us	ing a deadly weapon), 12022.5 (using a firearm), 12022.6 (excessive taking or damage),
8	1202	2.7 (great bodily injury), 1170.1(e) (pleading and proof), and 1385(c) (authority to strike the
9	addit	ional punishment). Note: A consecutive sentence is not an enhancement. (See section
10	<del>1170</del>	.1(a); People v. Tassell (1984) 36 Cal.3d 77, 90 [overruled on other grounds in People v.
11	Ewol	dt (1994) 7 Cal.4th 380, 401].)
12		
13	<del>"Sen</del>	tence choice." Section 1170(c) requires the judge to state reasons for the sentence choice.
14	This	general requirement is discussed in rule 4.406.
15		
16	<del>"Imp</del>	risonment" in state prison or county jail under section 1170(h) is distinguished from
17	confi	nement in other types of facilities.
18		
19	<del>"Cha</del>	rged" and "found." Statutes require that the facts giving rise to all enhancements be charged
20	and f	ound. See section 1170.1(e).
21		
22	<b>Item</b>	(13), see sections 17.5(a)(9) and 3450(b)(9).
23		
24	<b>Item</b>	(15), see section 1229(e).
25		
26		
27	Rule	2 4.406. Reasons
28		
29	(a)	How given
30		
31		If the sentencing judge is required to give reasons for a sentence choice, the judge
32		must state in simple language the primary factor or factors that support the exercise
33		of discretion or, if applicable, state that the judge has no discretion. The statement
34		need not be in the language of the statute or these rules. It must be delivered orally
35		on the record. The court may give a single statement explaining the reason or
36		reasons for imposing a particular sentence or the exercise of judicial discretion, if
37		the statement identifies the sentencing choices where discretion is exercised and
38		there is no impermissible dual use of facts.
39		

(Subd (a) amended effective January 1, 2018; previously amended effective January 1,

40

41

42

2007.)

1	<b>(b)</b>	Whe	n reasons required
2		Cant	and a share that as a smaller manying a statement of a manage in alvela layt and not
3 4			ence choices that generally require a statement of a reason include, but are not ed to:
5		11111110	<u>ed to</u> .
6		(1)	Granting probation when the defendant is presumptively ineligible for
7		(1)	probation;
8			<del></del> ,
9		(2)	Imposing a prison sentence or sentence in county jail under section 1170(h)
10		( )	and thereby denying probation Denying probation when the defendant is
11			presumptively eligible for probation;
12			
13		(3)	Declining to commit an eligible juvenile found amenable to treatment to the
14			Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation, Division of Juvenile Justice an
15			eligible juvenile found amenable to treatment;
16			
17		(4)	Selecting one of the three authorized prison terms in prison or county jail
18			<u>under section 1170(h)</u> referred to in section 1170(b) for either <del>an offense</del> <u>a</u>
19			base term or an enhancement;
20			
21		(5)–(	6) * * *
22			
23		<del>(7)</del>	Striking the punishment for an enhancement;
24		(O) ( <b>5</b>	
25		<del>(8)<u>(</u>7</del>	) Waiving a restitution fine;
26		(0)	N
27		<del>(9)</del>	Not committing an eligible defendant to the California Rehabilitation Center;
28 29		(10)(	9) Striking on anhangement or prior conviction allegation Granting relief
30		<del>(10)</del> (	8) Striking an enhancement or prior conviction allegation Granting relief under section 1385(a); and
31			under section 1363 <del>(a)</del> , and
32		(11)(	9) Denying mandatory supervision in the interests of justice under section
33		(11)(	1170(h)(5)(A).
34			1170(11)(3)(11).
35		(Suba	l (b) amended and renumbered effective January 1, 2018; previously amended
36		,	ive January 1, 2001, July 1, 2003, January 1, 2006, January 1, 2007, May 23, 2007,
37			anuary 1, 2017.)
38			
39	Rule	4.406 d	amended effective January 1, 2018; adopted as rule 406 effective January 1, 1991;
40			umended and renumbered effective January 1, 2001; previously amended effective
41	-	•	, January 1, 2006, January 1, 2007, May 23, 2007, and January 1, 2017.
42	-		

1		<b>Advisory Committee Comment</b>	
2			
3	This rule is not intended to expand the statutory requirements for giving reasons, and is not an		
4	independent interpretation of the statutory requirements.		
5			
6	The c	ourt is not required to separately state the reasons for making each sentencing choice so	
7	long a	as the record reflects the court understood it had discretion on a particular issue and its	
8	reaso	ns for making the particular choice. For example, if the court decides to deny probation and	
9	impos	se the upper term of punishment, the court may simply state: "I am denying probation and	
10	impos	sing the upper term because of the extensive losses to the victim and because the defendant's	
11	record	d is increasing in seriousness." It is not necessary to state a reason after exercising each	
12	decisi	on.	
13			
14	The c	ourt must be mindful of impermissible dual use of facts in stating reasons for sentencing	
15		es. For example, the court is not permitted to use a reason to impose a greater term if that	
16		n also is either (1) the same as an enhancement that will be imposed, or (2) an element of the	
17		The court should not use the same reason to impose a consecutive sentence and to impose	
18	-	per term of imprisonment. (People v. Avalos (1984) 37 Cal.3d 216, 233.) It is not improper	
19		the same reason to deny probation and to impose the upper term. (People v. Bowen (1992)	
20	11 Cal.App.4th 102, 106.)		
21			
22	Whenever relief is granted under section 1385, the court's reasons for exercising that discretion		
23	must be stated orally on the record and entered in the minutes if requested by a party or if the		
24	_	edings are not recorded electronically or reported by a court reporter. (Pen. Code,	
25	-	5(a).) Although no legal authority requires the court to state reasons for denying relief, such	
26	<u>a state</u>	ement may be helpful in the appellate review of the exercise of the court's discretion.	
27			
28	ъ.	4.400 CC 14 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
29	Kule	4.408. Criteria Listing of factors not exclusive; sequence not significant	
30	(a)	The anymometica in these myles of some onitonic for the malring of discretionary	
31	(a)	The enumeration in these rules of some criteria for the making of discretionary	
32		sentencing decisions does not prohibit the application of additional criteria	
33 34		reasonably related to the decision being made. The listing of factors in these rules	
35		for making discretionary sentencing decisions is not exhaustive and does not prohibit a trial judge from using additional criteria reasonably related to the	
36		<u>decision being made.</u> Any such additional criteria must be stated on the record by	
37		the sentencing judge.	
38		the sentenenig judge.	
39		(Subd (a) amended effective January 1, 2018; previously amended effective January 1,	
40		2007.)	
41		2007.)	
42	(b)	* * *	
43	(-)		

1 Rule 4.408 amended effective January 1, 2018; adopted as rule 408 effective July 1, 1977; 2 previously renumbered effective January 1, 2001; previously amended effective January 1, 2007. 3 4 **Advisory Committee Comment** 5 6 Enumerations of criteria in these rules are not exclusive. The variety of circumstances presented 7 in felony cases is so great that no listing of criteria could claim to be all-inclusive. (Cf., Evid. 8 Code, § 351.) 9 10 11 Rule 4.409. Consideration of eriteria relevant factors 12 13 Relevant eriteria factors enumerated in these rules must be considered by the sentencing 14 judge, and will be deemed to have been considered unless the record affirmatively 15 reflects otherwise. 16 17 Rule 4.409 amended effective January 1, 2018; adopted as rule 409 effective July 1, 1977; 18 previously renumbered effective January 1, 2001; previously amended effective January 1, 2007. 19 20 **Advisory Committee Comment** 21 22 Relevant eriteria factors are those applicable to the facts in the record of the case; not all eriteria 23 factors will be relevant to each case. The judge's duty is similar to the duty to consider the 24 probation officer's report. Section 1203. 25 26 In deeming the sentencing judge to have considered relevant eriteria-factors, the rule applies the 27 presumption of Evidence Code section 664 that official duty has been regularly performed. (See 28 People v. Moran (1970) 1 Cal.3d 755, 762 [trial court presumed to have considered referring 29 eligible defendant to California Youth Authority in absence of any showing to the contrary, citing 30 Evidence Code section 664].) 31 32 33 Rule 4.410. General objectives in sentencing 34 35 (a) 36 37 Because in some instances these objectives may suggest inconsistent dispositions, 38 the sentencing judge must consider which objectives are of primary importance in 39 the particular case. The sentencing judge should be guided by statutory statements 40 of policy, the criteria in these rules, and the any other facts and circumstances of 41 relevant to the case. 42

1 2	(Subd (b) amended effective January 1, 2018; previously lettered effective July 1, 2003; adopted as part of unlettered subd effective July 1, 1977; former subd (b) amended and		
3 4	relettered as part of subd (a) effective July 1, 2003.)		
5	Rule 4.410 amended effective January 1, 2018; adopted as rule 410 effective July 1, 1977;		
6 7	previously renumbered effective January 1, 2001; previously amended effective July 1, 2003, January 1, 2007, and January 1, 2017.		
8			
9	Advisory Committee Comment		
10			
11	Statutory expressions of policy include:		
12			
13	Welfare and Institutions Code section 1820 et seq., which provides partnership funding for county		
14	juvenile ranches, camps, or forestry camps.		
15			
16	Section 1203(b)(3), which requires that eligible defendants be considered for probation and		
17	authorizes probation if circumstances in mitigation are found or justice would be served.		
18			
19	Section 1170(a)(1), which expresses the policies of uniformity, proportionality of terms of		
20	imprisonment to the seriousness of the offense, and the use of imprisonment as punishment. It		
21	also states that "the purpose of sentencing is public safety achieved through punishment,		
22	rehabilitation, and restorative justice."		
23			
24	Sections 17.5, 1228, and 3450 <del>, which</del> express the policies promoting reinvestment of criminal		
25	justice resources to support community-based corrections programs and evidence-based practices		
26	to improve public safety through a reduction in recidivism.		
<ul><li>27</li><li>28</li></ul>	Other statutory provisions that prohibit the grant of probation in particular cases.		
29	other statutory provisions that promote the grant or production in particular cases.		
30			
31	Rule 4.411. Presentence investigations and reports		
32	True Will I resemble in resuguerons und reports		
33	(a) Eligible defendant-When required		
34	(w) ===g: v: u v: v: u u u v: u u u u u u u u u		
35	If the defendant is eligible for probation or a term of imprisonment in county jail		
36	under section 1170(h), the court must refer the matter to the probation officer for a		
37	presentence investigation and report. Waivers of the presentence report should not		
38	be accepted except in unusual circumstances. Except As provided in subdivision		
39	(b), the court must refer the case to the probation officer for:		
40	<u>, ,,                                 </u>		
41	(1) A presentence investigation and report if the defendant:		
42	<del></del>		

1 2		<u>(A)</u>	Is statutorily eligible for probation or a term of imprisonment in county jail under section 1170(h); or
3			jan under section 1170(n), or
4		<u>(B)</u>	Is not eligible for probation but a report is needed to assist the court
5		<del>\</del>	with other sentencing issues, including the determination of the proper
6			amount of restitution fine;
7			
8		(2) A su	pplemental report if a significant period of time has passed since the
9			nal report was prepared.
10			
11		(Subd (a) a	mended effective January 1, 2018; previously amended effective January 1,
12		2007, and J	January 15, 2015.)
13			
14	<b>(b)</b>	<b>Ineligible</b>	defendant Waiver of the investigation and report
15			
16			e defendant is not eligible for probation or a term of imprisonment in
17		county jail	under section 1170(h), the court should refer the matter to the probation
18			a presentence investigation and report. The parties may stipulate to the
19			the probation officer's investigation and report in writing or in open court
20			d in the minutes, and with the consent of the court. In deciding whether
21			to the waiver, the court should consider whether the information in the
22		-	ald assist in the resolution of any current or future sentencing issues, or
23			st in the effective supervision of the person. A waiver under this section
24			ffect the requirement under section 1203c that a probation report be
25		created wh	en the court commits a person to state prison.
26			
27			mended effective January 1, 2018; previously amended effective January 1,
28		2015.)	
29	( )	C 1	
30	<del>(c)</del>	Suppleme	ntal reports
31		TT1 4	
32			must order a supplemental probation officer's report in preparation for
33			proceedings that occur a significant period of time after the original
34		<del>report was</del>	<del>prepared.</del>
35	(4)	Duumaga	f nuccentance investigation vanout
36 37	<del>(d)</del>	<del>Purpose o</del>	f presentence investigation report
38		Drobation	officers' reports are used by judges in determining the engropriets term
39			officers' reports are used by judges in determining the appropriate term
39 40		_	nment in prison or county jail under section 1170(h) and by the of Corrections and Rehabilitation, Division of Adult Operations in
41		-	n the type of facility and program in which to place a defendant. The
42			also used by courts in deciding whether probation is appropriate,
43			period of mandatory supervision should be denied in the interests of
T.J		whether a	period of mandatory supervision sho <del>uld be defied in the interests of</del>

justice under section 1170(h)(5)(A), and the appropriate length and conditions of probation and mandatory supervision. Section 1203c requires a probation officer's report on every person sentenced to prison; ordering the report before sentencing in probation-ineligible cases will help ensure a well-prepared report.

1 2

Rule 4.411 amended effective January 1, 2018; adopted as rule 418 effective July 1, 1977; previously amended and renumbered as rule 411 effective January 1, 1991; previously renumbered effective January 1, 2001; previously amended effective January 1, 2006, January 1, 2007, and January 1, 2015.

### **Advisory Committee Comment**

Section 1203 requires a presentence report in every felony case in which the defendant is eligible for probation. Subdivision (a) requires a presentence report in every felony case in which the defendant is eligible for a term of imprisonment in county jail under section 1170(h). Because such a probation investigation and report are valuable to the judge and to the jail and prison authorities, waivers of the report and requests for immediate sentencing are discouraged, even when the defendant and counsel have agreed to a prison sentence or a term of imprisonment in county jail under section 1170(h).

When considering whether to waive a presentence investigation and report, courts should consider that probation officers' reports are used by (1) courts in determining the appropriate term of imprisonment in prison or county jail under section 1170(h); (2) courts in deciding whether probation is appropriate, whether a period of mandatory supervision should be denied in the interests of justice under section 1170(h)(5)(A), and the appropriate length and conditions of probation and mandatory supervision; (3) the probation department in supervising the defendant; and (4) the Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation, Division of Adult Operations, in deciding on the type of facility and program in which to place a defendant.

Notwithstanding a defendant's statutory ineligibility for probation or term of imprisonment in county jail under section 1170(h), a presentence investigation and report should be ordered to assist the court in deciding the appropriate sentence and to facilitate compliance with section 1203c.

This rule does not prohibit pre-conviction, pre-plea reports as authorized by section 1203.7.

Subdivision (e) <u>(a)(2)</u> is based on case law that generally requires a supplemental report if the defendant is to be resentenced a significant time after the original sentencing, as, for example, after a remand by an appellate court, or after the apprehension of a defendant who failed to appear at sentencing. The rule is not intended to expand on the requirements of those cases.

The rule does not require a new investigation and report if a recent report is available and can be incorporated by reference and there is no indication of changed circumstances. This is particularly

1 true if a report is needed only for the Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation because the 2 defendant has waived a report and agreed to a prison sentence. If a full report was prepared in 3 another case in the same or another jurisdiction within the preceding six months, during which 4 time the defendant was in custody, and that report is available to the Department of Corrections 5 and Rehabilitation, it is unlikely that a new investigation is needed. 6 7 This rule does not prohibit pre-conviction, pre-plea reports as authorized by section 1203.7. 8 9 10 Rule 4.411.5. Probation officer's presentence investigation report 11 12 **Contents** (a) 13 14 A probation officer's presentence report in a felony case must include at least the 15 following: 16 (1)–(4)\*\*\*17 18 19 Information concerning the victim of the crime, including: 20 21 (A) \*\*\* 22 23 Any physical or psychological injuries suffered by the victim; (B) 24 25 (B)(C) The amount of the victim's monetary loss, and whether or not it is 26 covered by insurance; and 27 28 (C)(D) Any information required by law. 29 (6)–(7)\*\*\*30 31 32 (8) Any available, reliable risk/needs assessment information. The defendant's 33 relevant risk factors and needs as identified by a risk/needs assessment, if 34 such an assessment is performed, and such other information from the 35 assessment as may be requested by the court. 36 37 (9)–(12)\*\*\*38 39 (13) Information pursuant to Penal Code section 29810(c): 40 41 (A) Whether the defendant has properly complied with Penal Code section 42 29810 by relinquishing firearms identified by the probation officer's

I		<u>111</u>	vestigation or declared by the defendant on the Prohibited Persons
2		<u>R</u>	elinquishment Form, and
3			
4		<u>(B)</u> <u>W</u>	Thether the defendant has timely submitted a completed Prohibited
5		<u>Pe</u>	ersons Relinquishment Form.
6			
7		(Subd (a) amen	ded effective January 1, 2018; previously amended effective January 1,
8		1991, July 1, 20	003, January 1, 2007, January 1, 2015, and January 1, 2017.)
9			
10	(b)-	(c) * * *	
11			
12			l effective January 1, 2018; adopted as rule 419 effective July 1, 1981;
13	-	-	nd renumbered as rule 411.5 effective January 1, 1991; previously
14			January 1, 2001; previously amended effective July 1, 2003, January 1,
15	2007	, January 1, 201.	5, and January 1, 2017.
16			
17	n i	4 412 D	
18	Kuie		s—agreement to punishment as an adequate reason and as
19 20		abandonmen	t of certain claims
21	(a)	Dofondant's	agreement as reason
22	(a)	Defendant 8	igi cement as i cason
23		It is an adequa	ate reason for a sentence or other disposition that the defendant,
24		_	d by counsel, has expressed agreement that it be imposed and the
25			torney has not expressed an objection to it. The agreement and lack of
26			t be recited on the record. This section does not authorize a sentence
27		•	erwise authorized by law.
28			·
29	<b>(b)</b>	Agreement to	sentence abandons section 654 claim
30			
31		By agreeing to	a specified term in prison or county jail under section 1170(h)
32		1	d by counsel, a defendant who is sentenced to that term or a shorter
33			any claim that a component of the sentence violates section 654's
34		-	double punishment, unless that claim is asserted at the time the
35		agreement is r	recited on the record.
36			
37			<b>Advisory Committee Comment</b>
38			
39		, ,	subdivision is intended to relieve the court of an obligation to give reasons
40	if the	sentence or other	er disposition is one that the defendant has accepted and to which the

prosecutor expresses no objection. The judge may choose to give reasons for the sentence even

41

42

43

though not obligated to do so.

Judges should also be aware that there may be statutory limitations on "plea bargaining" or on the entry of a guilty plea on the condition that no more than a particular sentence will be imposed. At the time this comment was drafted, Such limitations appeared, for example, in sections 1192.5 and 1192.7.

**Subdivision (b).** This subdivision is based on the fact that a defendant who, with the advice of counsel, expresses agreement to a specified prison term of imprisonment normally is acknowledging that the term is appropriate for his or her total course of conduct. This subdivision applies to both determinate and indeterminate terms.

# Rule 4.413. Probation eligibility when probation is limited Grant of probation when defendant is presumptively ineligible for probation

### (a) Consideration of eligibility

The court must determine whether the defendant is eligible for probation. <u>In most cases</u>, the defendant is presumptively eligible for probation; in some cases, the defendant is presumptively ineligible; and in some cases, probation is not allowed.

(Subd (a) amended effective January 1, 2018; previously amended effective January 1, 2007.)

# (b) Probation in unusual cases when defendant is presumptively ineligible

If the defendant comes under a statutory provision prohibiting probation "except in unusual cases where the interests of justice would best be served," or a substantially equivalent provision, the court should apply the criteria in (c) to evaluate whether the statutory limitation on probation is overcome; and if it is, the court should then apply the criteria in rule 4.414 to decide whether to grant probation.

(Subd (b) amended effective January 1, 2018; previously amended effective July 1, 2003, and January 1, 2007.)

# (c) Facts showing unusual case Factors overcoming the presumption of ineligibility

The following facts factors may indicate the existence of an unusual case in which probation may be granted if otherwise appropriate:

(1) Facts Factors relating to basis for limitation on probation

1		A fact factor or circumstance indicating that the basis for the statutory
2		limitation on probation, although technically present, is not fully applicable to
3		the case, including:
4		(A) The fact factor or circumstance sixing rise to the limitation or
5		(A) The <u>fact</u> <u>factor</u> or circumstance giving rise to the limitation on
6		probation is, in this case, substantially less serious than the
7		circumstances typically present in other cases involving the same
8		probation limitation, and the defendant has no recent record of
9 10		committing similar crimes or crimes of violence; and
10		(R) ***
12		(B) * * *
13	(2)	Facts Factors limiting defendant's culpability
14	(2)	1 actors minting defendant 8 curpatinty
15		A fact factor or circumstance not amounting to a defense, but reducing the
16		defendant's culpability for the offense, including:
17		defendant is emphasintly for the offense, including.
18		(A)–(C) * * *
19		
20	(3)	Results of risk/needs assessment
21	<del>* *</del>	
22		Along with all other relevant information in the case, the court may consider
23		the results of a risk/needs assessment of the defendant, if one was performed.
24		The weight of a risk/needs assessment is for the court to consider in its
25		sentencing discretion.
26		
27	(Sub	d (c) amended effective January 1, 2018; previously amended effective January 1,
28	2007	i.)
29		
30		amended effective January 1, 2018; adopted as rule 413 effective January 1, 1991;
31		renumbered effective January 1, 2001; previously amended effective July 1, 2003, and
32	January 1,	2007.
33		
34 35		Advisory Committee Comment
36	Subdivisio	n (c)(3). Standard 4.35 of the California Standards of Judicial Administration provides
37		additional guidance on using the results of a risk/needs assessment at sentencing.
38	COULD WILL	and the first the feeting of a field flood assessment at sentenents.
39		
40	Rule 4.415	5. Criteria affecting the imposition of mandatory supervision
41		v 1
42	(a)-(b) * *	· *
43	,	

1 Criteria affecting conditions and length of mandatory supervision (c) 2 3 In exercising discretion to select the appropriate period and conditions of 4 mandatory supervision, factors the court may consider include: 5 (1)–(7)\*\*\*6 7 8 (8) The defendant's specific needs and risk factors identified by a risk/needs 9 assessment, if available; and 10 \* \* \* 11 (9) 12 13 (Subd (c) amended effective January 1, 2018.) 14 \* \* \* 15 (d) 16 17 Rule 4.415 amended effective January 1, 2018; adopted effective January 1, 2015; previously 18 amended effective January 1, 2017. 19 20 **Advisory Committee Comment** 21 22 \* \* \* 23 24 Subdivision (c)(8). Standard 4.35 of the California Standards of Judicial Administration provides 25 courts with additional guidance on using the results of a risk/needs assessment at sentencing. 26 27 28 Rule 4.420. Selection of term of imprisonment 29 30 (a)-(b) \* \* \*31 32 To comply with section 1170(b), a fact charged and found as an enhancement may (c) 33 be used as a reason for imposing the upper a particular term only if the court has 34 discretion to strike the punishment for the enhancement and does so. The use of a 35 fact of an enhancement to impose the upper term of imprisonment is an adequate 36 reason for striking the additional term of imprisonment, regardless of the effect on 37 the total term. 38 39 (Subd (c) amended effective January 1, 2018; adopted effective January 1, 1991.) 40 41 A fact that is an element of the crime upon which punishment is being imposed (d) 42 may not be used to impose a greater particular term. 43

1 (Subd (d) amended effective January 1, 2018; adopted effective January 1, 1991; 2 previously amended effective January 1, 2007, May 23, 2007, and January 1, 2008.) 3 4 (e) 5 6 Rule 4.420 amended effective January 1, 2018; adopted as rule 439 effective July 1, 1977; 7 previously amended and renumbered as rule 420 effective January 1, 1991; previously 8 renumbered effective January 1, 2001; previously amended effective July 28, 1977, January 1, 9 2007, May 23, 2007, January 1, 2008, and January 1, 2017. 10 11 12 Rule 4.421. Circumstances in aggravation 13 14 Circumstances in aggravation include factors relating to the crime and factors relating to 15 the defendant. 16 (a)-(b) \* \* \* 17 18 19 Other factors (c) 20 21 Any other factors statutorily declared to be circumstances in aggravation or that 22 reasonably relate to the defendant or the circumstances under which the crime was 23 committed. 24 25 (Subd (c) amended effective January 1, 2018; adopted effective January 1, 1991; 26 previously amended effective January 1, 2007, and May 23, 2007.) 27 28 Rule 4.421 amended effective January 1, 2018; adopted as rule 421 effective July 1, 1977; 29 previously renumbered effective January 1, 2001; previously amended effective January 1, 1991, 30 January 1, 2007, May 23, 2007, and January 1, 2017. 31 32 **Advisory Committee Comment** 33 34 Circumstances in aggravation may justify imposition of the middle or upper of three possible 35 terms of imprisonment. (Section 1170(b).) 36 37 The list of circumstances in aggravation includes some facts that, if charged and found, may be 38 used to enhance the sentence. The This rule does not deal with the dual use of the facts; the 39 statutory prohibition against dual use is included, in part, in the comment to rule 4.420. 40 41 Conversely, such facts as infliction of bodily harm, being armed with or using a weapon, and a 42 taking or loss of great value may be circumstances in aggravation even if not meeting the 43 statutory definitions for enhancements or charged as an enhancement.

1 2

Facts concerning the defendant's prior record and personal history may be considered. By providing that the defendant's prior record and simultaneous convictions of other offenses may not be used both for enhancement and in aggravation, section 1170(b) indicates that these and other facts extrinsic to the commission of the crime may be considered in aggravation in appropriate cases. This resolves whatever ambiguity may arise from the phrase "circumstances in aggravation . . . of the crime." The phrase "circumstances in aggravation or mitigation of the crime" necessarily alludes to extrinsic facts.

Refusal to consider the personal characteristics of the defendant in imposing sentence would also may raise serious constitutional questions. The California Supreme Court has held that sentencing decisions must take into account "the nature of the offense and/or the offender, with particular regard to the degree of danger both present to society." (In re Rodriguez (1975) 14 Cal.3d 639, 654, quoting In re Lynch (1972) 8 Cal.3d 410, 425.) In In In re Rodriguez the court released petitioner from further incarceration because "[H]it appears that neither the circumstances of his offense nor his personal characteristics establish a danger to society sufficient to justify such a prolonged period of imprisonment." (Id. at p. 655, fn. omitted, italics added.) (Footnote omitted, emphasis added.) "For the determination of sentences, justice generally requires . . . that there be taken into account the circumstances of the offense together with the character and propensities of the offender." (Pennsylvania ex rel. Sullivan v. Ashe (1937) 302 U.S. 51, 55, quoted with approval in Gregg v. Georgia (1976) 428 U.S. 153, 189.)

The scope of "circumstances in aggravation or mitigation" under section 1170(b) is, therefore, coextensive with the scope of inquiry under the similar phrase in section 1203.

The 1990 amendments to this rule and the comment included the deletion of most section numbers. These changes recognize changing statutory section numbers and the fact that there are numerous additional code sections related to the rule, including numerous statutory enhancements enacted since the rule was originally adopted.

Former subdivision (a)(4), concerning multiple victims, was deleted to avoid confusion; cases in which that possible circumstance in aggravation was relied on were frequently reversed. Some of the cases that had relied on that circumstance in aggravation were reversed on appeal because there was only a single victim in a particular count.

Old age or youth of the victim may be circumstances in aggravation; see section 1170.85(b). Other statutory circumstances in aggravation are listed, for example, in sections 422.76, 1170.7, 1170.71, 1170.8, and 1170.85.

1 2	Rule 4.423. Circumstances in mitigation		
3 4 5	Circumstances in mitigation include factors relating to the crime and factors relating to the defendant.		
6 7	(a)-(	(b) * * *	
8 9	<u>(c)</u>	Other factors	
10		Any other factors statutorily declared to be circumstances in mitigation or that	
11		reasonably relate to the defendant or the circumstances under which the crime was	
12 13		committed.	
14 15		(Subd (c) adopted effective January 1, 2018.)	
16 17 18 19	previ	4.423 amended effective January 1, 2018; adopted as rule 423 effective July 1, 1977; ously renumbered effective January 1, 2001; previously amended effective January 1, 1991, 1, 1993, January 1, 2007, May 23, 2007, and January 1, 2017.	
20		<b>Advisory Committee Comment</b>	
21 22	Saaa	omment to rule 4.421.	
23	See C	onnient to fule 4.421.	
<ul><li>24</li><li>25</li><li>26</li><li>27</li></ul>	circu	rule applies both to mitigation for purposes of motions under section 1170(b) and to mstances in mitigation justifying the court in striking the additional punishment provided for hancement.	
28 29 30 31 32	taken "no h	e listed circumstances can never apply to certain enhancements; for example, "the amounts were deliberately small" can never apply to an excessive taking under section 12022.6, and aarm was done" can never apply to infliction of great bodily injury under section 12022.7. In ase, only the facts present may be considered for their possible effect in mitigation.	
33 34	See a	lso rule 4.409; only relevant criteria need be considered.	
35 36 37 38	defen	e only the fact of restitution is considered relevant to mitigation, no reference to the idant's financial ability is needed. The omission of a comparable factor from rule 4.421 as a mstance in aggravation is deliberate.	
39 40	Rule	4.425. Criteria Factors affecting concurrent or consecutive sentences	
41 42 43		eria Factors affecting the decision to impose consecutive rather than concurrent ences include:	

1			
2	(a)	Crit	<del>eria</del> <u>Facts</u> relating to crimes
3		Г 1	
4		Fact	s relating to the crimes, including whether or not:
5 6		(1)	The crimes and their objectives were predominantly independent of each
7		(1)	other;
8			other,
9		(2)	The crimes involved separate acts of violence or threats of violence; or
10		(2)	The etimes involved separate dets of violence of timedts of violence, of
11		(3)	The crimes were committed at different times or separate places, rather than
12		( )	being committed so closely in time and place as to indicate a single period of
13			aberrant behavior.
14			
15		(Sub	d (a) amended effective January 1, 2018; previously amended effective January 1,
16		1991	, and January 1, 2007.)
17			
18	<b>(b)</b>	Oth	er <del>criteria</del> <u>facts</u> and limitations
19			
20		•	circumstances in aggravation or mitigation may be considered in deciding
21		whet	ther to impose consecutive rather than concurrent sentences, except:
22		(1)	
23		(1)	A fact used to impose the upper term;
24		(2)	A fact would to otherwise subsure the defendant's contains in missure
<ul><li>25</li><li>26</li></ul>		(2)	A fact used to otherwise enhance the defendant's sentence in prison or
27			county jail under section 1170(h); and
28		(3)	A fact that is an element of the crime may not be used to impose consecutive
29		(3)	sentences.
30			Sentences.
31		Subd	(b) amended effective January 1, 2018; previously amended effective January 1,
32			, January 1, 2007, and January 1, 2017.)
33			
34	Rule	4.425	amended effective January 1, 2018; adopted as rule 425 effective July 1, 1977;
35	previ	iously i	renumbered effective January 1, 2001; previously amended effective January 1, 1991,
36	Janu	ary 1,	2007, and January 1, 2017.
37			
38			
39	Rule	e 4.428	8. Criteria Factors affecting imposition of enhancements
40			
41	<u>(a)</u>	<u>Enh</u>	ancements punishable by one of three terms

If an enhancement is punishable by one of three terms, the court must, in its discretion, impose the term that best serves the interest of justice and state the reasons for its sentence choice on the record at the time of sentencing. <u>In exercising its discretion in selecting the appropriate term, the court may consider factors in mitigation and aggravation as described in these rules or any other factor authorized by rule 4.408.</u>

1 2

If the judge has statutory discretion to strike the additional term for an enhancement in the furtherance of justice under section 1385(c) or based on circumstances in mitigation, the court may consider and apply any of the circumstances in mitigation enumerated in these rules or, under rule 4.408, any other reasonable circumstances in mitigation or in the furtherance of justice.

The judge should not strike the allegation of the enhancement.

(Subd (a) was adopted effective January 1, 2018.)

### (b) Striking enhancements under section 1385

If the court has discretion under section 1385(a) to strike an enhancement in the interests of justice, the court also has the authority to strike the punishment for the enhancement under section 1385(c). In determining whether to strike the entire enhancement or only the punishment for the enhancement, the court may consider the effect that striking the enhancement would have on the status of the crime as a strike, the accurate reflection of the defendant's criminal conduct on his or her record, the effect it may have on the award of custody credits, and any other relevant consideration.

(Subd (b) was adopted effective January 1, 2018.)

Rule 4.428 amended effective January 1, 2018; adopted as rule 428 effective January 1, 1991; previously renumbered effective January 1, 2001; previously amended effective January 1, 1998, July 1, 2003, January 1, 2007, May 23, 2007, January 1, 2008, and January 1, 2011.

## Rule 4.433. Matters to be considered at time set for sentencing

(a) \*\*\*

(b) If the imposition of a sentence is to be suspended during a period of probation after a conviction by trial, the trial judge must identify and state circumstances that would justify imposition of one of the three authorized terms of imprisonment referred to in section 1170(b), or any enhancement, if probation is later revoked.

2		admitted at the trial or other circumstances properly considered under rule 4.420(b).
3		admitted at the trial of other circumstances properly considered under rule 4.420(b).
4		(Subd (b) amonded effective Langary 1 2018; proviously amonded effective July 28 1077
5		(Subd (b) amended effective January 1, 2018; previously amended effective July 28, 1977,
6		January 1, 2007, May 23, 2007, January 1, 2008, and January 1, 2017.)
	(a)	If a sentence of immigramment is to be immosed on if the execution of a sentence of
7	(c)	If a sentence of imprisonment is to be imposed, or if the execution of a sentence of
8 9		imprisonment is to be suspended during a period of probation, the sentencing judge must:
10		must.
11		(1) Determine, under section 1170(b), whether to impose one of the three
12		authorized terms of imprisonment referred to in section 1170(b), or any
13		enhancement, and state on the record the reasons for imposing that term;
14		emancement, and state on the record the reasons for imposing that term,
15		(Subd (c) amended effective January 1, 2018; previously amended effective July 28, 1977,
16		July 1, 2003, January 1, 2007, May 23, 2007, and January 1, 2017.)
17		July 1, 2005, January 1, 2007, 11tay 25, 2007, and January 1, 2017.
18		(2)–(5) * * *
19		
20	(d)	* * *
21	( )	
22	(e)	When a sentence of imprisonment is imposed under (c) or under rule 4.435, the
23	( )	sentencing judge must inform the defendant:
24		
25		(1)–(2) * * *
26		
27		(3) Of any period of mandatory supervision imposed under section
28		1170(h)(5)(A), and (B), in addition to any period imprisonment for a
29		violation of mandatory supervision.
30		• •
31		(Subd (e) amended effective January 1, 2018; previously amended effective July 28, 1977,
32		January 1, 1979, July 1, 2003, January 1, 2007, and January 1, 2017.)
33		
34	Rule	4.433 amended effective January 1, 2018; adopted as rule 433 effective July 1, 1977;
35	previ	ously renumbered effective January 1, 2001; previously amended effective July 28, 1977,
36	Janua	ary 1, 1979, July 1, 2003, January 1, 2007, May 23, 2007, January 1, 2008, and January 1,
37	2017.	
38		
39		

1	Rule	4.435. Sentencing on revocation of probation, mandatory supervision, and
2		postrelease community supervision
3 4	(a)	When the defendant violates the terms of probation, mandatory supervision, or
5	(a)	When the defendant violates the terms of probation, mandatory supervision, or postrelease community supervision or is otherwise subject to revocation of
6		probation supervision, the sentencing judge may make any disposition of the case
7		authorized by statute. <u>In deciding whether to permanently revoke supervision, the</u>
8		judge may consider the nature of the violation and the defendant's past
9		performance on supervision.
10		performance on supervision.
11		(Subd (a) amended effective January 1, 2018; previously amended effective January 1,
12		1991.)
13		1771.)
14	<b>(b)</b>	On revocation and termination of probation supervision under section 1203.2, when
15	()	the sentencing judge determines that the defendant will be committed to prison or
16		county jail under section 1170(h):
17		
18		(1) If the imposition of sentence was previously suspended, the judge must
19		impose judgment and sentence after considering any findings previously
20		made and hearing and determining the matters enumerated in rule 4.433(c).
21		The length of the sentence must be based on circumstances existing at the
22		time probation supervision was granted, and subsequent events may not be
23		considered in selecting the base term or in deciding whether to strike the
24		additional punishment for enhancements charged and found.
25		
26		(2) * * *
27		
28		(Subd (b) amended effective January 1, 2018; previously amended effective July 1, 2003,
		January 1, 2006, January 1, 2007, and January 1, 2017.)
	•	
	July I	, 2003, January 1, 2006, January 1, 2007, and January 1, 2017.
		Advisory Committee Comment
	C-1. 1	
		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
	Prong	<del></del>
	July I	

The restriction of subdivision (b)(1) is based on *In re Rodriguez* (1975) 14 Cal.3d 639, 652:

"[T]he primary term must reflect the circumstances existing at the time of the offense."

42 43

A judge imposing imprisonment on revocation of probation will have the power granted by section 1170(d) to recall the commitment on his or her own motion within 120 days after the date of commitment, and the power under section 1203.2(e) to set aside the revocation of probation, for good cause, within 30 days after the court has notice that execution of the sentence has commenced.

Consideration of conduct occurring after the granting of probation should be distinguished from consideration of preprobation conduct that is discovered after the granting of an order of probation and before sentencing following a revocation and termination of probation. If the preprobation conduct affects or nullifies a determination made at the time probation was granted, the preprobation conduct may properly be considered at sentencing following revocation and termination of probation. (See *People v. Griffith* (1984) 153 Cal.App.3d 796, 801.) While *People v. Griffith* refers only to probation, this rule likely will apply to any form of supervision.

### Rule 4.437. Statements in aggravation and mitigation

### **Advisory Committee Comment**

Section 1170(b) states in part:

"At least four days prior to the time set for imposition of judgment, either party or the victim, or the family of the victim if the victim is deceased, may submit a statement in aggravation or mitigation to dispute facts in the record or the probation officer's report, or to present additional facts."

This provision means that the statement is a document giving notice of intention to dispute evidence in the record or the probation officer's report, or to present additional facts.

The statement itself cannot be the medium for presenting new evidence, or for rebutting competent evidence already presented, because the statement is a unilateral presentation by one party or counsel that will not necessarily have any indicia of reliability. To allow its factual assertions to be considered in the absence of corroborating evidence would, therefore, constitute a denial of due process of law in violation of the United States (14th Amend.) and California (art. I, § 7) Constitutions.

"[I]t is now clear that the sentencing process, as well as the trial itself, must satisfy the requirements of the Due Process Clause. Even though the defendant has no substantive right to a particular sentence within the range authorized by statute, the sentencing is a critical stage of the criminal proceeding at which he is entitled to the effective assistance of counsel . . . . The

1	defendant has a legitimate interest in the character of the procedure which leads to the imposition		
2	of sentence" Gardner v. Florida (1977) 430 U.S. 349, 358.		
3			
4	The use of probation officers' reports is permissible because the officers are trained objective		
5	investigators. Williams v. New York (1949) 337 U.S. 241. Compare sections 1203 and 1204.		
6	People v. Peterson (1973) 9 Cal.3d 717, 727, expressly approved the holding of United States v.		
7	Weston (9th Cir. 1971) 448 F.2d 626 that due process is offended by sentencing on the basis of		
8	unsubstantiated allegations that were denied by the defendant. Cf., In re Hancock (1977) 67		
9	Cal.A	<del>.pp.3d</del>	<del>943, 949.</del>
10			
11	The requirement that the statement include notice of intention to rely on new evidence will		
12	enhance fairness to both sides by avoiding surprise and helping to ensure that the time limit on		
13	prono	ouncing	s sentence is met.
14			
15			
16	Rule	4.447	. Limitations on enhancements Sentencing of enhancements
17			
18	No finding of an enhancement may be stricken or dismissed because imposition of the		
19	term either is prohibited by law or exceeds limitations on the imposition of multiple		
20	enhancements. The sentencing judge must impose sentence for the aggregate term of		
21	imprisonment computed without reference to those prohibitions and limitations, and must		
22	thereupon stay execution of so much of the term as is prohibited or exceeds the applicable		
23	limit. The stay will become permanent on the defendant's service of the portion of the		
24	sentence not stayed.		
25			
26	<u>(a)</u>	Enha	ncements resulting in unlawful sentences
27			
28	A court may not strike or dismiss an enhancement solely because imposition of the		
29	term is prohibited by law or exceeds limitations on the imposition of multiple		
30	enhancements. Instead, the court must:		
31			
32		<u>(1)</u>	Impose a sentence for the aggregate term of imprisonment computed without
33			reference to those prohibitions or limitations; and
34			
35		<u>(2)</u>	Stay execution of the part of the term that is prohibited or exceeds the
36			applicable limitation. The stay will become permanent once the defendant
37			finishes serving the part of the sentence that has not been stayed.
38			<del></del>
39		(Subd	(a) adopted effective January 1, 2018.)

(b) Multiple enhancements

If a defendant is convicted of multiple enhancements of the same type, the court 1 2 must either sentence each enhancement or, if authorized, strike the enhancement or 3 its punishment. While the court may strike an enhancement, the court may not stay an enhancement except as provided in (a) or as authorized by section 654. 4 5 6 (Subd (b) adopted effective January 1, 2018.) 7 8 Rule 4.447 amended effective January 1, 2018; adopted as rule 447 effective July 1, 1977; 9 previously amended and renumbered effective January 1, 2001; previously amended effective 10 July 28, 1977, January 1, 1991, July 1, 2003, and January 1, 2007. 11 12 **Advisory Committee Comment** 13 14 Subdivision (a). Statutory restrictions may prohibit or limit the imposition of an enhancement in 15 certain situations. (See, for example, sections 186.22(b)(1), 667(a)(2), 667.61(f), 1170.1(f) and 16 (g), 12022.53(e)(2) and (f), and Vehicle Code section 23558.) 17 18 Present practice of staying execution is followed to avoid violating a statutory prohibition or 19 exceeding a statutory limitation, while preserving the possibility of imposition of the stayed 20 portion should a reversal on appeal reduce the unstayed portion of the sentence. (See People v. 21 Gonzalez (2008) 43 Cal.4th 1118, 1129–1130; People v. Niles (1964) 227 Cal.App.2d 749, 756.) 22 23 Only the portion of a sentence or component thereof that exceeds a limitation is prohibited, and 24 this rule provides a procedure for that situation. This rule applies to both determinate and 25 indeterminate terms. 26 27 Subdivision (b). A court may stay an enhancement if section 654 applies. (See People v. Bradley 28 (1998) 64 Cal.App.4th 386; *People v. Haykel* (2002) 96 Cal.App.4th 146, 152.) 29 30 31 Rule 4.451. Sentence consecutive to or concurrent with indeterminate term or to 32 term in other jurisdiction 33 34 When a defendant is sentenced under section 1170 and the sentence is to run (a) 35 consecutively to or concurrently with a sentence imposed under section 1168(b) in 36 the same or another proceeding, the judgment must specify the determinate term 37 imposed under section 1170 computed without reference to the indeterminate 38 sentence, must order that the determinate term be served consecutively to or 39 concurrently with the sentence under section 1168(b), and must identify the 40 proceedings in which the indeterminate sentence was imposed. The term under 41 section 1168(b), and the date of its completion or parole date of parole or 42

postrelease community supervision, and the sequence in which the sentences are

deemed <u>or</u> served, will be determined by correctional authorities as provided by law.

Subd (a) amended effective January 1, 2018; previously amended effective January 1, 1979, July 1, 2003, and January 1. 2007.)

(b) When a defendant is sentenced under sections 1168 or 1170 and the sentence is to run consecutively to or concurrently with a sentence imposed by a court of the United States or of another state or territory, the judgment must specify the determinate term imposed under sections 1168(b) or 1170 computed without reference to the sentence imposed by the other jurisdiction, must order that the determinate term be served commencing on the completion of the sentence imposed by the other jurisdiction, and must identify the other jurisdiction and the proceedings in which the other sentence was imposed, and must indicate whether the sentences are imposed concurrently or consecutively. If the term imposed is to be served consecutively to the term imposed by the other jurisdiction, the court must order that the California term be served commencing on the completion of the sentence imposed by the other jurisdiction.

(Subd (b) amended effective January 1, 2018; previously amended January 1, 2007.)

Rule 4.451 amended effective January 1, 2018; adopted as rule 451 effective July 1, 1977; previously renumbered effective January 1, 2001; previously amended effective January 1, 1979, July 1, 2003, and January 1, 2007.

#### **Advisory Committee Comment**

<u>Subdivision (a).</u> The provisions of section 1170.1(a), which use a one-third formula to calculate subordinate consecutive terms, can logically be applied only when all the sentences are imposed under section 1170. Indeterminate sentences are imposed under section 1168(b). Since the duration of the indeterminate term cannot be known to the court, subdivision (a) states the only feasible mode of sentencing. (See *People v. Felix* (2000) 22 Cal.4th 651, 654–657; *People v. McGahuey* (1981) 121 Cal.App.3d 524, 530–532.)

<u>Subdivision (b).</u> On the authority to sentence consecutively to the sentence of another jurisdiction and the effect of such a sentence, see *In re Helpman* (1968) 267 Cal.App.2d 307 and cases cited at note 3, *id.* at page 310, footnote 3. The mode of sentencing required by subdivision (b) is necessary to avoid the illogical conclusion that the total of the consecutive sentences will depend on whether the other jurisdiction or California is the first to pronounce judgment.

#### Rule 4.452. Determinate sentence consecutive to prior determinate sentence

If a determinate sentence is imposed under section 1170.1(a) consecutive to one or more determinate sentences imposed previously in the same court or in other courts, the court in the current case must pronounce a single aggregate term, as defined in section 1170.1(a), stating the result of combining the previous and current sentences. In those situations:

(1) \*\*\*

(2) The judge in the current case must make a new determination of which count, in the combined cases, represents the principal term, as defined in section 1170.1(a). The principal term is the term with the greatest punishment imposed including conduct enhancements. If two terms of imprisonment have the same punishment, either term may be selected as the principal term.

(3) Discretionary decisions of the judges in the previous cases may not be changed by the judge in the current case. Such decisions include the decision to impose one of the three authorized terms of imprisonment referred to in section 1170(b), making counts in prior cases concurrent with or consecutive to each other, or the decision that circumstances in mitigation or in the furtherance of justice justified striking the punishment for an enhancement. However, if a previously designated principal term becomes a subordinate term after the resentencing, the subordinate term will be limited to one-third the middle base term as provided in section 1170.1(a).

Rule 4.452 amended effective January 1, 2018; adopted as rule 452 effective January 1, 1991; previously renumbered effective January 1, 2001; previously amended effective July 1, 2003, January 1, 2007, May 23, 2007, and January 1, 2017.

## Rule 8.25. Service, filing, and filing fees

## (c) Filing fees

 (4) If the party fails to take the action specified in a notice given under (2)(3), the reviewing court may strike the document, but may vacate the striking of the document for good cause.

(Subd (c) amended effective January 1, 2018; adopted effective October 28, 2011.)

1 Rule 8.25 amended effective January 1, 2018; adopted as rule 40.1 effective January 1, 2005; 2 previously amended and renumbered effective January 1, 2007; previously amended effective 3 January 1, 2009, July 1, 2010, January 1, 2011, October 28, 2011, and July 1, 2012. 4 5 Rule 8.36. Substituting parties; substituting or withdrawing attorneys 6 7 \* \* \* (a)–(b)8 9 Withdrawing attorney (c) 10 11 An attorney may request withdrawal by filing a motion to withdraw. Unless (1) 12 the court orders otherwise, the motion need be served only on the party 13 represented and the attorneys directly affected. 14 15 (2) The proof of service need not include the address of the party represented. But if the court grants the motion, the withdrawing attorney must promptly 16 17 provide the court and the opposing party with the party's current or last 18 known address and telephone number. 19 20 (3) In all appeals and in original proceedings related to a superior court 21 proceeding, the reviewing court clerk must notify the superior court of any 22 ruling on the motion. 23 24 **(4)** If the motion is filed in any proceeding pending in the Supreme Court after 25 grant of review, the clerk/executive officer of the Supreme Court elerk must 26 also notify the Court of Appeal of any ruling on the motion. 27 28 (Subd (c) amended effective January 1, 2018.) 29 30 Rule 8.36 amended effective January 1, 2018; repealed and adopted as rule 48 effective January 31 1, 2005; renumbered effective January 1, 2007. 32 33 Rule 8.100. Filing the appeal 34 35 (a) 36 37 **(b)** Fee and deposit 38 39 Unless otherwise provided by law, the notice of appeal must be accompanied (1) 40 by the \$775 filing fee under Government Code sections 68926 and 41 68926.1(b), an application for a waiver of court fees and costs on appeal 42 under rule 8.26, or an order granting such an application. The fee may be paid 43 by check or money order payable to "Clerk/Executive Officer, Court of

1 2 3 4			Appeal"; if the fee is paid in cash, the clerk must give a receipt. The fee may also be paid by any method permitted by the court pursuant to rules 2.258 and 8.78.
5 6 7 8		(2)	The appellant must also deposit \$100 with the superior court clerk as required under Government Code section 68926.1, unless otherwise provided by law or the superior court waives the deposit.
9 10 11 12		(3)	The clerk must file the notice of appeal even if the appellant does not present the filing fee, the deposit, or an application for, or order granting, a waiver of fees and costs.
13 14 15			(b) amended effective January 1, 2018; previously amended effective August 17, January 1, 2007, July 1, 2009, July 27, 2012, and January 1, 2016.)
16 17	(c)-(	g)	* * *
18 19 20 21 22	1, 20 amen	02; pre ded eff	imended effective January 1, 2018; repealed and adopted as rule 1 effective January viously amended and renumbered as rule 8.100 effective January 1, 2007; previously fective January 1, 2003, August 17, 2003, January 1, 2008, July 1, 2009, July 27, ry 1, 2014, and January 1, 2016.
23 24			<b>Advisory Committee Comment</b>
25 26	Subd	ivision	(a). * * *
27	Subd	ivision	(b). In the interest of consistency, subdivision (b)(1) recommends a preferred
28	word	ing "	Clerk, Court of Appeal" for the name of the payee of checks or money orders for
29 30	the fi	ling fee	e. The provision is not mandatory.
31	Subd	ivision	(c)(2). * * *
32			
33	Subd	ivision	(e). * * *
34			
35	Rule	8.137	. Settled statement
36			
37	<u>(a)</u>	Desc	<u>ription</u>
38			
39 40			tled statement is a summary of the superior court proceedings approved by the ior court. An appellant may either elect under (b)(1) or move under (b)(2) to
41			settled statement as the record of the oral proceedings in the superior court,
42			ad of a reporter's transcript.
43			<u> </u>

1	(Suba	l (a) adopted effective January 1, 2018.)
2		
3	(a)(b) Mot	ion to use When a settled statement may be used
4	(1)	A manufacture of the first of the matter design of the manufacture of
5 6	<u>(1)</u>	An appellant may elect in his or her notice designating the record on appeal under rule 8.121 to use a settled statement as the record of the oral
7		proceedings in the superior court without filing a motion under (2) if:
8 9		(A) The designated and makes the sum who country and we have
9 10		(A) The designated oral proceedings in the superior court were not reported
11		by a court reporter; or
12		(B) The appellant has an order waiving his or her court fees and costs.
13		The appenant has an order warving his or her court lees and costs.
14	(1)(2	)An appellant intending to proceed under this rule for reasons other than those
15	(1) <u>(2</u>	listed in (1) must serve and file in superior court with its notice designating
16		the record on appeal under rule 8.121 a motion to use a settled statement
17		instead of a reporter's transcript or both a reporter's and clerk's transcripts.
18		instead of a reporter 5 dansempt of court a reporter 5 and elenk 5 dansempts.
19		(2)(A) The motion must be supported by a showing that:
20		(2)(22) The motion must be supported by white ming than
21		(A)(i) A substantial cost saving will result and the statement can be
22		settled without significantly burdening opposing parties or the
23		court;
23 24		
25		(B)(ii) The designated oral proceedings were not reported or cannot be
26		transcribed; or
27		
28		(C)(iii) Although the appellant does not have a fee waiver, he or she is
29		unable to pay for a reporter's transcript and funds are not
30		available from the Transcript Reimbursement Fund (see rule
31		8.130(c)). A party proceeding in forma pauperis is deemed
32		unable to pay for a transcript.
33		
34		(3)(B) If the court denies the motion, the appellant must file a new notice
35		designating the record on appeal under rule 8.121 within 10 days after
36		the superior court clerk sends, or a party serves, the order of denial.
37		
38	<u>(3)</u>	An appellant's notice under (1) or motion under (2) must:
39		
40		(A) Specify the date of each oral proceeding to be included in the settled
41		statement;
12		

1		(D)	т1 .	'C 1 4 1 1 1 1 (A)
1		<u>(B)</u>		ify whether each proceeding designated under (A) was reported by
2			a cou	art reporter and, if so, for each such proceeding:
3				
4			<u>(i)</u>	Provide the name of the court reporter, if known; and
5				
6			<u>(ii)</u>	Identify whether a certified transcript has previously been
7				prepared by checking the appropriate box on Appellant's Notice
8				Designating Record on Appeal (Unlimited Civil Case) (form
9				APP-003) or, if that form is not used, placing an asterisk before
10				that proceeding in the notice.
11				
12	<u>(4)</u>	If the	desig	gnated oral proceedings in the superior court were reported by a
13		court	repor	ter:
14			-	
15		(A)	With	in 10 days after the appellant serves either a notice under (1) or a
16		<del>~~</del>	_	on under (2), the respondent may serve and file a notice indicating
17				ne or she is electing to provide a reporter's transcript in lieu of
18				eeding with a settled statement. The respondent must also either:
19			<u> </u>	<u> </u>
20			<u>(i)</u>	Deposit a certified transcript of all of the proceedings designated
21			<u>\-7</u>	by the appellant under (3) and any additional proceedings
22				designated by the respondent under rule 8.130(b)(3)(C); or
23				designated by the respondent under rate 0.150(0)(5)(0), or
24			<u>(ii)</u>	Serve and file a notice that the respondent is requesting
25			<u>(11)</u>	preparation, at the respondent's expense, of a reporter's transcript
26				of all proceedings designated by the appellant under (3) and any
27				additional proceedings designated by the respondent. This notice
28				must be accompanied by either the required deposit for the
29				reporter's transcript under rule 8.130(b)(1) or the reporter's
30				written waiver of the deposit in lieu of all or a portion of the
31				deposit under rule 8.130(b)(3)(A).
32				deposit under faire 8.130(0)(3)(A).
33		<u>(B)</u>	If the	e respondent timely deposits the certified transcript as required
34		<u>(D)</u>		er (i), the appellant's motion to use a settled statement will be
35				hissed. If the respondent timely files the notice and makes the
36				esit or files the waiver as provided under (ii), the appellant's
37				on to use a settled statement will be dismissed and the clerk must
				·
38			-	nptly send the reporter notice of the designation and of the deposit,
39				rer, or both—and notice to prepare the transcript—as provided
40			unde	er rule 8.130(d).
41	,	• .•		
42				d, renumbered and amended effective January 1, 2018; adopted as subd
43	(a); $p$	reviou	sly am	ended effective January 1, 2007, January 1, 2008 and January 1, 2016.)

1		reviewing court determines that the record permits the full consideration of
2		another point or, on motion, the reviewing court permits otherwise.
3		
4	<u>(2)</u>	Contain a condensed narrative of the oral proceedings that the appellant
5		specified under (b)(3).
6		
7		(A) The condensed narrative must include a concise factual summary of the
8		evidence and the testimony of each witness relevant to the points that
9		the appellant states under (1) are being raised on appeal. Subject to the
10		court's approval in settling the statement, the appellant may present
11		some or all of the evidence by question and answer. Any evidence or
12 13		portion of a proceeding not included will be presumed to support the
13		judgment or order appealed from.
14		
15		(B) If one of the points that the appellant states will be raised on appeal is a
16		challenge to the giving, refusal, or modification of a jury instruction,
17		the condensed narrative must include any instructions submitted orally
18		and not in writing and must identify the party that requested the
19		instruction and any modification.
20		
21	<u>(3)</u>	Have attached to it a copy of the judgment or order being appealed.
22	.a. 1	
23	(Suba	(d) adopted effective January 1, 2018.)
21 22 23 24 25 <b>(e)</b>	Doen	ondent's response to proposed statement
26 <u>(C)</u>	Kesp	ondent s response to proposed statement
27	With	in 20 days after the appellant serves the proposed statement, the respondent
28		serve and file either:
29	<u> </u>	<del></del>
30	(1)	Proposed amendments to the proposed statement; or
31	<del>\-/</del>	<del></del>
32	(2)	A notice indicating that he or she is electing to provide a reporter's
33	<del></del>	transcript in lieu of proceeding with a settled statement. The respondent
		must also either:
34 35		
36		(A) Deposit a certified transcript of all the proceedings specified by the
37		appellant under (b)(3) of this rule and any additional proceedings
38		designated by the respondent under rule 8.130(b)(3)(C); or
39		
40		(B) Serve and file a notice that the respondent is requesting preparation, at
41		the respondent's expense, of a reporter's transcript of all proceedings
42		specified by the appellant under (b)(3) of this rule and any additional
43		proceedings designated by the respondent. This notice must be

accompanied by either the required deposit for the reporter's 1 2 transcript under rule 8.130(b)(1) or the reporter's written waiver of 3 the deposit in lieu of all or a portion of the deposit under rule 4 8.130(b)(3)(A). 5 6 (Subd (e) adopted effective January 1, 2018.) 7 8 (c)(f) Settlement, preparation, and certification Review of appellant's proposed 9 statement 10 11 The clerk must set a date for a settlement hearing by the trial judge that is No (1) 12 later than 10 days after the respondent files proposed amendments or the time 13 to do so expires, whichever is earlier, and must give the parties at least five 14 days' notice of the hearing date a party may request a hearing to review and 15 correct the proposed statement. No hearing will be held unless ordered by the 16 trial court judge, and the judge will not ordinarily order a hearing unless there 17 is a factual dispute about a material aspect of the trial court proceedings. 18 19 The trial court judge may order that a transcript be prepared as the record of (2) 20 the oral proceedings instead of correcting a proposed statement on appeal if 21 the trial court proceedings were reported by a court reporter, the trial court 22 judge determines that doing so would save court time and resources, and the 23 court has a local rule permitting such an order. The court will pay for any 24 transcript ordered under this subdivision. At the hearing, the judge must settle 25 the statement and fix the times within which the appellant must prepare, 26 serve, and file it. 27 28 Except as provided in (2), if no hearing is ordered, no later than 10 days after (3) 29 the time for requesting a hearing expires, the trial court judge must review the 30 proposed statement and any proposed amendments filed by the respondent 31 and take one of the following actions: If the respondent does not object to the 32 prepared statement within five days after it is filed, it will be deemed 33 properly prepared and the clerk must present it to the judge for certification. 34 35 (A) If the proposed statement does not contain material required under (d), 36 the trial court judge may order the appellant to prepare a new proposed 37 statement. The order must identify the additional material that must be 38 included in the statement to comply with (d) and the date by which the 39 new proposed statement must be served and filed. If the appellant does 40 not serve and file a new proposed statement as directed, the appellant 41 will be deemed to be in default, and rule 8.140 will apply.

1			<u>(B)</u>	If the	e trial court judge does not issue an order under (A), the judge must
2				eithe	<u>r:</u>
3					
4				<u>(i)</u>	Make any corrections or modifications to the statement necessary
5					to ensure that it is an accurate summary of the evidence and the
6					testimony of each witness relevant to the points that the appellant
7					states under (d)(1) are being raised on appeal; or
8					
9				<u>(ii)</u>	Identify the necessary corrections and modifications, and order
10					the appellant to prepare a statement incorporating these
11					corrections and modifications.
12					
13		(4)	<u>If a l</u>	nearing	g is ordered, the court must promptly set the hearing date and
14			prov	ide the	e parties with at least 5 days' written notice of the hearing date. No
15					0 days after the hearing, the trial court judge must either: The
16			_	_	pulation that the statement as originally served or as prepared is
17			corre	ect is e	quivalent to the judge's certification.
18					
19			<u>(A)</u>		e any corrections or modifications to the statement necessary to
20					re that it is an accurate summary of the evidence and the testimony
21					ch witness relevant to the points that the appellant states under
22				<u>(d)(1</u>	) are being raised on appeal; or
23					
24			<u>(B)</u>		tify the necessary corrections and modifications and order the
25					llant to prepare a statement incorporating these corrections and
26				modi	fications.
27					
28		<u>(5)</u>			purt judge must not eliminate the appellant's specification of
29			grou	nds of	appeal from the proposed statement.
30		48.1			
31			! (f) re	lettered	d, renumbered, and amended effective January 1, 2018; adopted as subd
32		(c).)			
33	(=)	D '	r	<b>4L</b>	supported statement
34	<u>(g)</u>	Kevi	<u>ew 01</u>	tne co	orrected statement
35		(1)	I£41.	. +m! -1	accept in decompositions of the different constant of
36		<u>(1)</u>			court judge makes any corrections or modifications to the
37 38					tatement under (f), the clerk must serve copies of the corrected or tatement on the parties. If under (f) the trial court judge orders the
36 39					o prepare a statement incorporating corrections and modifications,
39 40					nt must serve and file the corrected or modified statement within
40					dered by the court. If the appellant does not serve and file a
42					or modified statement as directed, the appellant will be deemed to
43					ilt and rule 8.140 will apply.
$\tau J$			UC III	uciau	nt and rule 0.170 will appry.

l			
2		<u>(2)</u>	Within 10 days after the corrected or modified statement is served on the
3			parties, any party may serve and file proposed modifications or objections to
4			the statement.
5			
6		(3)	Within 10 days after the time for filing proposed modifications or objections
7		(-)	under (2) has expired, the trial court judge must review the corrected or
8			modified statement and any proposed modifications or objections to the
9			statement filed by the parties. The procedures in (2) or in (f)(3) apply if the
10			trial court judge determines that further corrections or modifications are
11			
			necessary to ensure that the statement is an accurate summary of the evidence
12			and the testimony of each witness relevant to the points that the appellant
13			states under (d)(1) are being raised on appeal.
14			
15		(Subd	(g) adopted effective January 1, 2018.)
16			
17	<u>(h)</u>	<u>Certi</u>	fication of the statement on appeal
18			
19		<u>(1)</u>	If the trial court judge does not order the preparation of a transcript under
20			(f)(2) in lieu of correcting the proposed statement or order any corrections or
21			modifications to the proposed statement under (f)(3), (f)(4), or (g)(3), the
22			judge must promptly certify the statement.
23			
24		<u>(2)</u>	The parties may serve and file a stipulation that the statement as originally
25			served under (c) or as corrected or modified under $(f)(3)$ , $(f)(4)$ , or $(g)(3)$ is
26			correct. Such a stipulation is equivalent to the judge's certification of the
27			statement.
28			
29		<u>(3)</u>	Upon certification of the statement under (1) or receipt of a stipulation under
30		<del>(2)</del>	(2), the certified statement must immediately be transmitted to the clerk for
31			filing of the record under rule 8.150.
32			ining of the record under rate 0.150.
33		(Subd	(h) adopted effective January 1, 2018.)
34		(Suba	(n) adopted effective January 1, 2016.)
	Dula	0 127	amandad affective Ianuary 1, 2019, remailed and adopted as mile 7 affective
35			amended effective January 1, 2018; repealed and adopted as rule 7 effective
36		-	2002; previously amended and renumbered as rule 8.137 effective January 1,
37	2007	; previ	ously amended effective January 1, 2008, and January 1, 2016.
38	ъ.	0.415	
39	Kule	8.212	. Service and filing of briefs
40			
41	(a)	* * *	
42			

**(b)** \*\*\*

2 3

(c) Service

(1) \*\*\*

(2) If a brief is not filed electronically under rules 8.70–8.79, one electronic copy of each brief must be submitted to the Court of Appeal. For purposes of this requirement, the term "brief" does not include a petition for rehearing or an answer thereto.

(A)–(B) \* \* \*

(C) If it would cause undue hardship for the party filing the brief to submit an electronic copy of the brief to the Court of Appeal, the party may instead serve four paper copies of the brief on the Supreme Court. If the brief discloses material contained in a sealed or conditionally sealed record, the party serving the brief must comply with rule 8.46(f) and attach a cover sheet that contains the information required by rule 8.204(b)(10). The <a href="clerk/executive officer of the">clerk/executive officer of the</a> Court of Appeal <a href="clerk">elerk</a> must promptly notify the Supreme Court of any court order unsealing the brief. In the absence of such notice, the <a href="clerk/executive officer of the">clerk/executive officer of the</a> Supreme Court <a href="clerk">elerk</a> must keep all copies of the unredacted brief under seal.

(3) \*\*\*

(Subd (c) amended effective January 1, 2018; previously amended effective January 1, 2004, January 1, 2005, January 1, 2007, January 1, 2008, January 1, 2013, January 1, 2014, and January 1, 2015.)

Rule 8.212 amended effective January 1, 2018; repealed and adopted as rule 15 effective January 1, 2002; previously amended and renumbered effective January 1, 2007; previously amended effective January 1, 2003, January 1, 2004, January 1, 2005, July 1, 2005, January 1, 2008, January 1, 2010, January 1, 2011, January 1, 2013, January 1, 2014, and January 1, 2015.

### Rule 8.248. Prehearing conference

Rule 8.248 amended effective January 1, 2016; repealed and adopted as rule 21 effective January 1, 2003; previously amended and renumbered as rule 8.248 effective January 1, 2007.

#### **Advisory Committee Comment**

Subdivision (a). \* \* \*

**Subdivision (d).** If a prehearing conference is ordered before the due date of the appellant's opening brief, the time to file the brief is not *extended* but *tolled*, in order to avoid unwarranted lengthening of the briefing process. For example, if the conference is ordered 15 days after the start of the normal 30-day briefing period, the rule simply *suspends* the running of that period; when the period resumes, the party will not receive an automatic extension of a full 30 days but rather the remaining 15 days of the original briefing period, unless the period is otherwise extended.

Under subdivision (d) the tolling period continues "until the date [the Court of Appeal] sends notice that the conference is *concluded*" (italics added). This provision is intended to accommodate the possibility that the conference may not conclude on the date it begins.

Whether or not the conference concludes on the date it begins, subdivision (d) requires the <u>clerk/executive officer of the</u> Court of Appeal-clerk to send the parties a notice that the conference is concluded. This provision is intended to facilitate the calculation of the new briefing due dates.

### Rule 8.256. Oral argument and submission of the cause

(a) \* \* \*

#### (b) Notice of argument

The <u>clerk/executive officer of the</u> Court of Appeal-<u>clerk</u> must send a notice of the time and place of oral argument to all parties at least 20 days before the argument date. The presiding justice may shorten the notice period for good cause; in that event, the clerk/<u>executive officer</u> must immediately notify the parties by telephone or other expeditious method.

(Subd (b) amended effective January 1, 2018.)

(c)-(e) \* \* \*

Rule 8.256 amended effective January 1, 2018; repealed and adopted as rule 23 effective January 1, 2003; previously amended and renumbered effective January 1, 2007.

## Rule 8.264. Filing, finality, and modification of decision

1	(a)	Filing the decision						
2 3 4 5		(1) The <u>clerk/executive officer of the</u> Court of Appeal <del>clerk</del> must promptly file all opinions and orders of the court and promptly send copies showing the filing date to the parties and, when relevant, to the lower court or tribunal.						
6 7 8		(2) ***						
9		(Subd (a) amended effective January 1, 2018.)						
10 11	(b)	* * *						
12	(D)							
13 14	(c)	Modification of decision						
15 16 17 18		(1) A reviewing court may modify a decision until the decision is final in that court. If the elerk's office of the clerk/executive officer is closed on the date of finality, the court may modify the decision on the next day the elerk's office is open.						
19 20 21		(2) * * *						
22 23		(Subd (c) amended effective January 1, 2018.)						
24 25	(d)	Consent to increase or decrease in amount of judgment						
26 27 28 29 30 31 32		If a Court of Appeal decision conditions the affirmance of a money judgment on a party's consent to an increase or decrease in the amount, the judgment is reversed unless, before the decision is final under (b), the party serves and files a copy of a consent in the Court of Appeal. If a consent is filed, the finality period runs from the filing date of the consent. The clerk/executive officer must send one filed-endorsed copy of the consent to the superior court with the remittitur.						
33 34 35		(Subd (d) amended effective January 1, 2018; previously amended effective January 1, 2016.)						
36 37 38	1, 200	le 8.264 amended effective January 1, 2018; repealed and adopted as rule 24 effective January 2003; previously amended and renumbered as rule 8.264 effective January 1, 2007; previously tended effective January 1, 2009, and January 1, 2016.						
39 40	Rule	8.272. Remittitur						
41 42 43	(a)	* * *						

1 2	<b>(b)</b>	Cler	k's du	's duties			
3		(1)	If a C	Court of Appeal decision is not reviewed by the Supreme Court:			
4 5 6 7 8 9			(A)	The <u>clerk/executive officer of the</u> Court of Appeal <del>clerk</del> must issue a remittitur immediately after the Supreme Court denies review, or the period for granting review expires, or the court dismisses review under rule 8.528(b); and			
10 11 12 13			(B)	The clerk/executive officer must send the lower court or tribunal the Court of Appeal remittitur and a filed-endorsed copy of the opinion or order.			
14 15		(2)	After	Supreme Court review of a Court of Appeal decision:			
16 17 18 19			(A)	On receiving the Supreme Court remittitur, the <u>clerk/executive officer</u> of the Court of Appeal <del>clerk</del> must issue a remittitur immediately if there will be no further proceedings in the Court of Appeal; and			
20 21 22 23			(B)	The clerk must send the lower court or tribunal the Court of Appeal remittitur, a copy of the Supreme Court remittitur, and a filed-endorsed copy of the Supreme Court opinion or order.			
<ul><li>24</li><li>25</li><li>26</li></ul>				nended effective January 1, 2018; previously amended effective January 1, anuary 1, 2016.)			
27 28	(c)	* * *					
29 30	(d)	Notio	ce				
31 32 33 34		(1)	the re	remittitur is deemed issued when the clerk/executive officer enters it in ecord. The clerk/executive officer must immediately send the parties e of issuance of the remittitur, showing the date of entry.			
35 36 37 38 39 40 41		(2)	chang maxii Reha send	ithout requiring further proceedings in the trial court, the decision ges the length of a state prison sentence, applicable credits, or the mum permissible confinement to the Department of Corrections and bilitation, Division of Juvenile Justice, the clerk/executive officer must a copy of the remittitur and opinion or order to either the Department of ections and Rehabilitation or the Division of Juvenile Justice.			
42 43		(Suba		nended effective January 1, 2018; previously amended effective January 1,			

1 2 Rule 8.272 amended effective January 1, 2018; repealed and adopted as rule 26 effective January 3 1, 2003; previously amended effective January 1, 2007, January 1, 2008, and January 1, 2016. 4 5 Rule 8.278. Costs on appeal 6 7 (a) 8 9 **Judgment for costs** 10 11 The clerk/executive officer of the Court of Appeal-elerk must enter on the (1) 12 record, and insert in the remittitur, a judgment awarding costs to the 13 prevailing party under (a)(2) or as directed by the court under (a)(3), (a)(4), 14 or (a)(5). 15 16 If the clerk/executive officer fails to enter judgment for costs, the court may 17 recall the remittitur for correction on its own motion, or on a party's motion 18 made not later than 30 days after the remittitur issues. 19 20 (Subd (b) amended effective January 1, 2018.) 21 (c)-(d) \* \* \* 22 23 24 Rule 8.278 amended effective January 1, 2018; adopted effective January 1, 2008; previously 25 amended effective January 1, 2013, and January 1, 2016. 26 27 Rule 8.336. Preparing, certifying, and sending the record 28 (a)-(g) \* \* \* 29 30 31 Supervision of preparation of record 32 33 Each clerk/executive officer of the Court of Appeal clerk, under the supervision of 34 the administrative presiding justice or the presiding justice, must take all 35 appropriate steps to ensure that superior court clerks and reporters promptly 36 perform their duties under this rule. This provision does not affect the superior 37 courts' responsibility for the prompt preparation of appellate records. 38 39 (Subd (h) amended effective January 1, 2018.) 40 41 Rule 8.336 amended effective January 1, 2018; repealed and adopted as rule 32 effective January 42 1, 2004; previously amended and renumbered as rule 8.336 effective January 1, 2007; previously 43 amended effective January 1, 2010, January 1, 2014, January 1, 2016, and January 1, 2017.

1 2

# Rule 8.380. Petition for writ of habeas corpus filed by petitioner not represented by an attorney

#### (a) Required Judicial Council form

A person who is not represented by an attorney and who petitions a reviewing court for writ of habeas corpus seeking release from, or modification of the conditions of, custody of a person confined in a state or local penal institution, hospital, narcotics treatment facility, or other institution must file the petition on *Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus* (form MC-275). For good cause the court may permit the filing of a petition that is not on that form, but the petition must be verified.

(Subd (a) amended effective January 1, 2018; previously amended effective January 1, 2006, January 1, 2007, and January 1, 2009.)

Rule 8.380 amended effective January 1, 2018; repealed and adopted as rule 60 effective January 1, 2005; previously amended and renumbered as rule 8.380 effective January 1, 2007; previously amended effective January 1, 2006, January 1, 2009, January 1, 2014, and January 1, 2016.

# Rule 8.384. Petition for writ of habeas corpus filed by an attorney for a party

# (a) Form and content of petition and memorandum

(1) A petition for habeas corpus filed by an attorney need not be filed on *Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus* (form MC-275) but must contain the information requested in that form and must be verified. All petitions filed by attorneys, whether or not on form MC-275, must be either typewritten or produced on a computer, and must comply with this rule and rules 8.40(b)–(c) relating to document covers and rule 8.204(a)(1)(A) relating to tables of contents and authorities. A petition that is not on form MC-275 must also comply with the remainder of rules 8.204(a)—and 8.204\_(b).

(Subd (a) amended effective January 1, 2018; adopted as part of subd (b) effective January 1, 2006; previously amended and lettered as subd (a) effective January 1, 2009; previously amended effective January 1, 2016.)

1 2	(b)-	(b)–(d) * * *								
3 4		Rule 8.384 amended effective January 1, 2018; adopted as rule 60.5 effective January 1, 2006; previously amended and renumbered as rule 8.384 effective January 1, 2007; previously								
5	amer	amended effective January 1, 2009, January 1, 2014, January 1, 2016.								
6										
7										
8 9	Rule	Rule 8.452. Writ petition to review order setting hearing under Welfare and Institutions Code section 366.26								
10										
11	(a)	Petition								
12										
13		(1) * * *								
14										
15		(2) The petition must be verified.								
16										
17		(2)(3) The petition must be accompanied by a memorandum.								
18										
19		(Subd (a) amended effective January 1, 2018; previously amended effective January 1,								
20		2007, and July 1, 2010.)								
21										
22	(b)-	(i) * * *								
23										
24	Rule	8.452 amended effective January 1, 2018; adopted as rule 38.1 effective January 1, 2005;								
25	previ	iously amended and renumbered effective January 1, 2007; previously amended effective								
26	Janu	ary 1, 2006, July 1, 2010, and January 1, 2017.								
27										
28										
29	Rule	e 8.456. Writ petition under Welfare and Institutions Code section 366.28 to								
30		review order designating or denying specific placement of a dependent child								
31		after termination of parental rights								
32		1 8								
33	(a)	Petition								
34	( )									
35		(1) ***								
36										
37		(2) The petition must be verified.								
38		<del></del>								
39		(2)(3) The petition must be accompanied by a memorandum.								
40		1 ····································								
41		(Subd (a) amended effective January 1, 2018; previously amended effective January 1,								
42		2007, and July 1, 2010.)								
43		····, ································								

(b)-(i) \* \* \* 1 2 3 Rule 8.456 amended effective January 1, 2018; adopted as rule 38.3 effective January 1, 2005; 4 previously amended and renumbered effective January 1, 2007; previously amended effective 5 January 1, 2006, February 24, 2006, July 1, 2010, and January 1, 2017. 6 7 Rule 8.495. Review of Workers' Compensation Appeals Board cases 8 9 **Petition** (a) 10 11 (1)–(2)\*\*\*12 13 The petition must be verified. (3) 14 15 (3)(4) The petition must be accompanied by proof of service of a copy of the petition on the Secretary of the Workers' Compensation Appeals Board in 16 17 San Francisco, or two copies if the petition is served in paper form, and one 18 copy on each party who appeared in the action and whose interest is adverse 19 to the petitioner. Service on the board's local district office is not required. 20 21 (Subd (a) amended effective January 1, 2018; previously amended effective January 1, 22 2007, and January 1, 2016.) 23 24 (b)-(c)\*\*\*25 26 Rule 8.495 amended effective January 1, 2018; repealed and adopted as rule 57 effective January 27 1, 2005; previously amended effective July 1, 2006, and January 1, 2016; previously amended 28 and renumbered as rule 8.494 effective January 1, 2007; previously renumbered as rule 8.495 29 effective January 1, 2009. 30 31 Rule 8.500. Petition for review 32 33 (a)-(d) \* \* \*34 35 Time to serve and file (e) 36 37 (1) A petition for review must be served and filed within 10 days after the Court 38 of Appeal decision is final in that court. For purposes of this rule, the date of 39 finality is not extended if it falls on a day on which the elerk's office of the 40 clerk/executive officer is closed. 41 42 (2)

2 decision is final in that court, the clerk/executive officer of the Supreme 3 Court elerk must accept it and file it on the day after finality. 4 (4)–(5) \*\*\* 5 6 7 (Subd (e) amended effective January 1, 2018; previously amended effective January 1, 8 2007, and January 1, 2009.) 9 10 **(f)** Additional requirements 11 12 The petition must also be served on the superior court clerk and the (1) 13 clerk/executive officer of the Court of Appeal elerk. 14 \* \* \* 15 (2) 16 17 The clerk/executive officer of the Supreme Court elerk must file the petition (3) 18 even if its proof of service is defective, but if the petitioner fails to file a 19 corrected proof of service within 5 days after the clerk gives notice of the 20 defect the court may strike the petition or impose a lesser sanction. 21 22 (Subd (f) amended effective January 1, 2018; previously amended effective January 1, 23 2004, and January 1, 2007.) 24 25 \* \* \* **(g)** 26 27 Rule 8.500 amended effective January 1, 2018; repealed and adopted as rule 28 effective January 28 1, 2003; previously amended effective January 1, 2004, July 1, 2004, and January 1, 2009; 29 previously amended and renumbered effective January 1, 2007. 30 31 **Advisory Committee Comment** 32 33 Subdivision (a). \* \* \* 34 35 Subdivision (e). \* \* \* 36 37 Subdivision (f). The general requirements relating to service of documents in the appellate courts 38 are established by rule 8.25. Subdivision (f)(1) requires that the petition (but not an answer or 39 reply) be served on the clerk/executive officer of the Court of Appeal elerk. To assist litigants, 40 (f)(1) also states explicitly what is impliedly required by rule 8.212(c), i.e., that the petition must 41 also be served on the superior court clerk (for delivery to the trial judge). 42

If a petition for review is presented for filing before the Court of Appeal

1

(3)

#### 1 Rule 8.508. Petition for review to exhaust state remedies 2 3 (a)-(b) \* \* \* 4 5 (c) Service 6 7 The petition must be served on the clerk/executive officer of the Court of Appeal 8 elerk but need not be served on the superior court clerk. 9 10 (Subd (c) amended effective January 1, 2018.) 11 12 Rule 8.508 amended effective January 1, 2018; adopted as rule 33.3 effective January 1, 2004; 13 previously amended and renumbered effective January 1, 2007. 14 15 Rule 8.512. Ordering review 16 17 Transmittal of record (a) 18 19 On receiving a copy of a petition for review or on request of the Supreme Court, 20 whichever is earlier, the clerk/executive officer of the Court of Appeal elerk must 21 promptly send the record to the Supreme Court. If the petition is denied, the 22 clerk/executive officer of the Supreme Court elerk must promptly return the record 23 to the Court of Appeal if the record was transmitted in paper form. 24 25 (Subd (a) amended effective January 1, 2018; previously amended effective January 1, 26 2016.) 27 (b) \*\*\* 28 29 30 Review on the court's own motion (c) 31 32 If no petition for review is filed, the Supreme Court may, on its own motion, (1) 33 order review of a Court of Appeal decision within 30 days after the decision 34 is final in that court. Before the 30-day period or any extension expires, the 35 Supreme Court may order one or more extensions to a date not later than 90 36 days after the decision is final in the Court of Appeal. If any such period ends 37 on a day on which the elerk's office of the clerk/executive officer is closed, 38 the court may order review on its own motion on the next day the elerk's 39 office is open. 40 41 (2)

1									
2		(Subd (c) amended effective January 1, 2018; adopted as subd (d); previously amended							
3		and relettered effective January 1, 2004.)							
4									
5	<b>(d)</b>	* * *							
6									
7	Rule	8.512	amended effective January 1, 2018; adopted as rule 28.2 effective January 1, 2003;						
8	previ	iously 1	renumbered as rule 8.512 effective January 1, 2007; previously amended effective						
9	Janu	ary 1, 2	2004, and January 1, 2016.)						
10									
11	Rule	e 8.528	3. Disposition						
12									
13	(a)	* * *							
14									
15	<b>(b)</b>	Disn	nissal of review						
16									
17		(1)	The Supreme Court may dismiss review. The <u>clerk/executive officer of the</u>						
18			Supreme Court <del>clerk</del> must promptly send an order dismissing review to all						
19			parties and the Court of Appeal.						
20									
21		(2)	When the Court of Appeal receives an order dismissing review, the decision						
22			of that court is final and its clerk/executive officer must promptly issue a						
23			remittitur or take other appropriate action.						
24		<b></b>							
25		(3)	* * *						
26									
27			d (b) amended effective January 1, 2018; previously amended effective January 1,						
28		2017	.)						
29									
30	(c)-(	(f) * :	* *						
31									
32			amended effective January 1, 2018; repealed and adopted as rule 29.3 effective						
33		•	2003; previously amended and renumbered as rule 8.528 effective January 1, 2007;						
34	previ	iously a	umended effective January 1, 2017.						
35									
36			Advisory Committee Comment						
37	c -								
38	Subo	livisio	n (a). * * *						
39	<b>a</b> :								
40			(b). An earlier version of this rule purported to limit Supreme Court dismissals of						
41			ases in which the court had "improvidently" granted review. In practice, however, the						
42		•	lismiss review for a variety of other reasons. For example, after the court decides a						
43	"lead	i" case,	, its current practice is to dismiss review in any pending companion case (i.e., a "gran						

and hold" matter under rule 8.512(c)) that appears correctly decided in light of the lead case and presents no additional issue requiring resolution by the Supreme Court or the Court of Appeal. The Supreme Court may also dismiss review when a supervening event renders the case moot for any reason, e.g., when the parties reach a settlement, when a party seeking personal relief dies, or when the court orders review to construe a statute that is then repealed before the court can act. Reflecting this practice, the Supreme Court now dismisses review—even in the rare case in which the grant of review was arguably "improvident"—by an order that says simply that "review is dismissed."

An order of review ipso facto transfers jurisdiction of the cause to the Supreme Court. By the same token, an order dismissing review ipso facto retransfers jurisdiction to the Court of Appeal. The Court of Appeal has no discretion to exercise after the Supreme Court dismisses review: the <a href="clerk/executive officer of the">clerk/executive officer of the</a> Supreme Court <a href="clerk">clerk</a> must promptly send the dismissal order to the Court of Appeal; when the <a href="clerk/executive officer of the">clerk/executive officer of the</a> Court of Appeal <a href="clerk">clerk</a> files that order, the Court of Appeal decision immediately becomes final.

If the decision of the Court of Appeal made final by (b)(2) requires issuance of a remittitur under rule 8.272(a), the clerk/executive officer must issue the remittitur; if the decision does not require issuance of a remittitur—e.g., if the decision is an interlocutory order (see rule 8.500(a)(1))—the clerk/executive officer must take whatever action is appropriate in the circumstances.

Subdivision (d). \* \* \*

Subdivision (e). \* \* \*

#### Rule 8.532. Filing, finality, and modification of decision

#### (a) Filing the decision

The <u>clerk/executive officer of the</u> Supreme Court <del>clerk</del> must promptly file all opinions and orders issued by the court and promptly send copies showing the filing date to the parties and, when relevant, to the lower court or tribunal.

```
(Subd (a) amended effective January 1, 2018.)
```

```
(b)-(c) * * *
```

Rule 8.532 amended effective January 1, 2018; repealed and adopted as rule 29.4 effective January 1, 2003; previously amended and renumbered effective January 1, 2007.

Rule 8.540. Remittitur

1	(a)	* * *						
2								
3	<b>(b)</b>	Clerk's duties						
4								
5		( )	The clerk must issue a remittitur when a decision of the court is final. The					
6		1	remittitur is deemed issued when the clerk enters it in the record.					
7		<i>(</i> =)						
8			After review of a Court of Appeal decision, the <u>clerk/executive officer of the</u>					
9			Supreme Court elerk must address the remittitur to the Court of Appeal and					
10			send that court a copy of the remittitur and a filed-endorsed copy of the					
11			Supreme Court opinion or order. The clerk must send two copies of any					
12		•	document sent in paper form.					
13		(2)						
14			After a decision in an appeal from a judgment of death or in a cause					
15			transferred to the court under rule 8.552, the clerk must send the remittitur					
16			and a filed-endorsed copy of the Supreme Court opinion or order to the lower					
17 18		•	court or tribunal.					
19		(4)	The clerk must comply with the requirements of rule 8.272(d).					
20		(4)	The clerk must comply with the requirements of the 8.2/2(d).					
21		(Subd)	(b) amended effective January 1, 2018; previously amended effective January 1,					
22			and January 1, 2016.)					
23		2007, 0	and Sunday 1, 2010.)					
24	(c)	* * *						
25	(•)							
26	Rule	8.540 ar	nended effective January 1, 2018; repealed and adopted as rule 29.6 effective					
27			003; previously amended and renumbered as rule 8.540 effective January 1, 2007;					
28		-	nended effective January 1, 2016.					
29	•	,						
30	Rule	8.600.	In general					
31								
32	(a)-(	c) **	*					
33								
34	<b>(d)</b>	Super	vising preparation of record					
35								
36		The cl	erk/executive officer of the Supreme Court-elerk, under the supervision of					
37		the Ch	nief Justice, must take all appropriate steps to ensure that superior court clerks					
38			porters promptly perform their duties under the rules in this part. This					
39		_	ion does not affect the superior courts' responsibility for the prompt					
40		prepar	ration of appellate records in capital cases.					
41								
42		(Subd (	(d) amended effective January 1, 2018.)					
43								

\* \* \* 1 (e) 2 3 Rule 8.600 amended effective January 1, 2018; repealed and adopted as rule 34 effective January 4 1, 2004; previously amended and renumbered effective January 1, 2007. 5 6 Rule 8.619. Certifying the trial record for completeness 7 8 \* \* \* (a)–(g)9 10 (h) **Notice of delivery** 11 12 When the clerk sends the record to the defendant's appellate counsel, the clerk must 13 serve a notice of delivery on the clerk/executive officer of the Supreme Court elerk. 14 15 (Subd (h) amended effective January 1, 2018.) 16 17 Rule 8.619 amended effective January 1, 2018; adopted as rule 35.1 effective January 1, 2004; 18 previously amended and renumbered as rule 8.619 effective January 1, 2007; previously 19 amended effective January 1, 2017. 20 21 Rule 8.630. Briefs by parties and amicus curiae 22 23 \* \* \* (a)–(b)24 25 Time to file (c) 26 27 (1) Except as provided in (2), the times to file briefs in an appeal from a 28 judgment of death are as follows: 29 30 The appellant's opening brief must be served and filed within 210 days (A) 31 after the record is certified as complete or the superior court clerk 32 delivers the completed record to the defendant's appellate counsel, 33 whichever is later. The clerk/executive officer of the Supreme Court 34 elerk must promptly notify the defendant's appellate counsel and the 35 Attorney General of the due date for the appellant's opening brief. 36 37 (B) The respondent's brief must be served and filed within 120 days after 38 the appellant's opening brief is filed. The clerk/executive officer of the 39 Supreme Court <del>clerk</del> must promptly notify the defendant's appellate 40 counsel and the Attorney General of the due date for the respondent's 41 brief. 42

1			(C)	If the clerk's and reporter's transcripts combined exceed 10,000 pages,
2				the time limits stated in (A) and (B) are extended by 15 days for each
3				1,000 pages of combined transcript over 10,000 pages.
4				
5			(D)	The appellant must serve and file a reply brief, if any, within 60 days
6				after the respondent files its brief.
7				
8		(2)	In an	y appeal from a judgment of death imposed after a trial that began
9			befor	re January 1, 1997, the time to file briefs is governed by rule 8.360(c).
10				
11		(3)	The	Chief Justice may extend the time to serve and file a brief for good
12			cause	e.
13				
14		(Suba	l (c) ar	nended effective January 1, 2018; previously amended effective January 1,
15		2007	.)	
16				
17	(d)	(h) *	* *	
18	. ,	` ,		
19	Rule	8.630	amend	ed effective January 1, 2018; repealed and adopted as rule 36 effective January
20				y amended and renumbered as rule 8.630 effective January 1, 2007; previously
21		-		January 1, 2008, January 1, 2011, and January 1, 2016.
22		00		
23	Rule	8.634	l. Tra	insmitting exhibits; augmenting the record in the Supreme Court
24				•
25	(a)	* * *		
26	` /			
27	<b>(b)</b>	Tim	e to fil	le notice of designation
28	. ,			
29		No p	arty n	nay file a notice designating exhibits under rule 8.224(a) until the
30		clerk	/execi	utive officer of the Supreme Court elerk notifies the parties of the time
31				of oral argument.
32		-		
33		(Sub	d (b) ai	mended effective January 1, 2018; previously amended effective January 1,
34		2007		3 7 7 1 3 33
35			,	
36	(c)	* *	*	
37	( )			
38	Rule	8.634	amend	ed effective January 1, 2018; adopted as rule 36.1 effective January 1, 2003;
39				ed effective January 1, 2004; previously amended and renumbered effective
40	-	ary 1, 1		00
41		, ,		
42	Rule	8.882	2. Bri	efs by parties and amici curiae
43				• •

1	(a)-(d) * * *					
2						
3 4	<b>(e)</b>	Service and filing				
5 6		(1)	Copies of each brief must be served as required by rule 8.25 8.817.			
7 8 9		(2)	Unless the court provides otherwise by local rule or order in the specific case, only the original brief, with proof of service, must be filed in the appellate division.			
11 12 13		(3)	A copy of each brief must be served on the trial court clerk for delivery to the judge who tried the case.			
14 15 16		(4)	A copy of each brief must be served on a public officer or agency when required by rule $\frac{8.29}{8.817}$ .			
17 18		<u>(5)</u>	In misdemeanor appeals:			
19 20 21			(A) Defendant's appellate counsel must serve each brief for the defendant on the People and must send a copy of each brief to the defendant personally unless the defendant requests otherwise;			
<ul><li>22</li><li>23</li><li>24</li><li>25</li><li>26</li></ul>			(B) The proof of service under (A) must state that a copy of the defendant's brief was sent to the defendant, or counsel must file a signed statement that the defendant requested in writing that no copy be sent; and			
27 28 29			(C) The People must serve two copies of their briefs on the appellate counsel for each defendant who is a party to the appeal.			
30 31 32 33			(e) amended effective January 1, 2018; adopted as subd (d); previously amended lettered effective January 1, 2009.)			
34 35 36 37	amer		mended effective January 1, 2018; adopted effective January 1, 2009; previously ective January 1, 2009, January 1, 2010, January 1, 2013, March 1, 2014, and 016.			
38 39	Rule	e <b>8.887.</b>	Decisions			
40 41	(a)-	(b) **	*			
42 43	(c)	Opini	ons certified for publication			

1		(1)	* * *			
2		(2)				
3		(2)	When the opinion is certified for publication, the clerk must immediately			
4			send:			
5						
6			(A) ***			
7						
8			(B) One copy to the Court of Appeal for the district. The copy must bear			
9			the notation "This opinion has been certified for publication in the			
10			Official Reports. It is being sent to assist the Court of Appeal in			
11			deciding whether to order the case transferred to the court on the			
12			court's own motion under rules 8.1000–8.1018." The <u>clerk/executive</u>			
13			officer of the Court of Appeal-elerk must promptly file that copy or			
14			make a docket entry showing its receipt.			
15						
16			(c) amended effective January 1, 2018; previously amended effective January 1,			
17		2011,	and March 1, 2014.)			
18						
19			umended effective January 1, 2018; adopted effective January 1, 2009; previously			
20	amer	ided eff	ective January 1, 2011, and March 1, 2014.			
21						
22	Rule	8.931	. Petitions filed by persons not represented by an attorney			
23						
24	(a)	Petit	ions			
25						
26		-	rson who is not represented by an attorney and who petitions the appellate			
27			ion for a writ under this chapter must file the petition on <i>Petition for Writ</i>			
28			demeanor, Infraction, or Limited Civil Case) (form APP-151). For good cause			
29			ourt may permit an unrepresented party to file a petition that is not on form			
30		APP.	151, but the petition must be verified.			
31						
32		(Suba	(a) amended effective January 1, 2018.)			
33						
34	(b)-	(d) * *	*			
35						
36		Rule 8.931 amended effective January 1, 2018; adopted effective January 1, 2009; previously				
37			Sective January 1, 2009, January 1, 2011, January 1, 2014, and January 1, 2016.			
38	Rule	8.972	. Petitions filed by persons not represented by an attorney			
39		_				
40	(a)	Petit	ions			
41						
42		(1)	A person who is not represented by an attorney and who requests a writ under			
43			this chapter must file the petition on a Petition for Writ (Small Claims) (form			

1		SC-300). For good cause the court may permit an unrepresented party to file				
2	a petition that is not on that form, but the petition must be verified.					
3						
4		(Subd (a) amended effective January 1, 2018.)				
5						
6		(2)–(3) * * *				
7						
8	(b)-(d) * * *					
9	. ,					
10	Rule	8.972 amended effective January 1, 2018; adopted effective January 1, 2016.				
11						
12						
13	Rule	8.1005. Certification for transfer by the appellate division				
14		• 11				
15	(a)-(d) * * *					
16	( )					
17	(e)	Superior court clerk's duties				
18		•				
19		(1) If the appellate division orders a case certified for transfer, the clerk must				
20		promptly send a copy of the certification order to the <u>clerk/executive officer</u>				
21		of the Court of Appeal-elerk, the parties, and, in a criminal case, the Attorney				
22		General.				
23						
24		(2) ***				
25						
26		(Subd (e) amended effective January 1, 2018; adopted as subd (f); previously amended and				
27		relettered effective January 1, 2011.)				
28						
29	Rule	8.1005 amended effective January 1, 2018; repealed and adopted as rule 63 effective				
30	January 1, 2003; previously amended and renumbered effective January 1, 2007; previously					
31		ided effective January 1, 2010, and January 1, 2011.				
32						
33	Rule	8.1007. Transmitting record to Court of Appeal				
34						
35	(a)	Clerks' duties				
36	( )					
37		(1) ***				
38						
39		(2) The <u>clerk/executive officer of the</u> Court of Appeal-elerk must promptly notify				
40		the parties when the clerk files the record.				
41		•				

(Subd (a) amended effective January 1, 2018; adopted as subd (b); previously amended effective January 1, 2007, and July 1, 2009; previously amended and relettered effective January 1, 2011.)

(b) \*\*\*

Rule 8.1007 amended effective January 1, 2018; repealed and adopted as rule 65 effective January 1, 2003; previously amended and renumbered as rule 8.1010 effective January 1, 2007; previously amended effective July 1, 2009; previously amended and renumbered effective January 1, 2011.

### Rule 8.1018. Finality and remittitur

(a)–(b) \* \* \*

#### (c) When the Court of Appeal issues a decision

If the Court of Appeal issues a decision on a case it has ordered transferred from the appellate division of the superior court, filing, finality, and modification of that decision are governed by rule 8.264 and remittitur is governed by rule 8.272, except that the clerk/executive officer must address the remittitur to the appellate division and send that court a copy of the remittitur and a filed-endorsed copy of the Court of Appeal opinion or order. If the remittitur and opinion are sent in paper format, two copies must be sent. On receipt of the Court of Appeal remittitur, the appellate division clerk must promptly issue a remittitur if there will be no further proceedings in that court.

(Subd (c) amended effective January 1, 2018; adopted as subd (a); previously relettered as subd (b) effective January 1, 2009; previously amended and relettered as subd (c) effective January 1, 2011; previously amended effective January 1, 2016.)

#### (d) Documents to be returned

When the Court of Appeal denies or vacates transfer or issues a remittitur under (c), the Court of Appeal clerk/executive officer must return to the appellate division any part of the record sent nonelectronically to the Court of Appeal under rule 8.1007 and any exhibits that were sent nonelectronically.

(Subd (d) amended effective January 1, 2018; adopted as subd (c); previously relettered as subd (d) effective January 1, 2009; previously amended effective January 1, 2011, and January 1, 2016.)

```
1
      Rule 8.1018 amended effective January 1, 2018; repealed and adopted as rule 69 effective
 2
      January 1, 2003; previously renumbered as rule 8.1018 effective January 1, 2007; previously
 3
      amended effective January 1, 2009, January 1, 2011, and January 1, 2016.
 4
 5
      Rule 10.40. Appellate Advisory Committee
 6
      (a)-(b) * * *
 7
 8
 9
            Membership
      (c)
10
11
            The committee must include at least one member from each of the following
12
            categories:
13
            (1)–(3) ***
14
15
16
                  Supreme Court clerk/executive officer administrator;
            (4)
17
18
                  Appellate court clerk/executive officer administrator;
            (5)
19
20
            (6)–(11) ***
21
22
            (Subd (c) amended effective January 1, 2018; previously amended effective January 1,
23
            2002, January 1, 2007, and July 1, 2014.)
24
25
      Rule 10.40 amended effective January 1, 2018; adopted as rule 6.40 effective January 1, 1999;
26
     previously amended and renumbered effective January 1, 2007; previously amended effective
27
      January 1, 2002, and July 1, 2014.
28
29
      Rule 10.62. Court Facilities Advisory Committee
30
            * * *
31
      (a)
32
33
            Membership
      (b)
34
35
            The committee must include at least one member from each of the following
36
            categories:
37
38
            (1)
39
40
                 Appellate court clerk/executive officer administrator;
41
            (3)–(7) ***
42
43
```

1 The committee also includes the chair and vice-chair of the Trial Court Facility 2 Modification Advisory Committee, as non-voting members. 3 4 (Subd (b) amended effective January 1, 2018.) 5 6 Rule 10.62 amended effective January 1, 2018; adopted effective February 20, 2014. 7 8 Rule 10.67. Judicial Branch Workers' Compensation Program Advisory 9 **Committee** 10 (a)-(b) \* \* \* 11 12 13 Membership (c) 14 15 The advisory committee consists of persons from trial courts and state judicial 16 branch entities knowledgeable about workers' compensation matters, including 17 court executive officers, appellate court clerks/executive officers, and human 18 resources professionals. 19 20 (Subd (c) amended effective January 1, 2018.) 21 22 Rule 10.67 amended effective January 1, 2018; adopted effective January 1, 2015; previously 23 amended effective July 1, 2016. 24 25 Rule 10.102. Acceptance of gifts 26 27 \* \* \* (a) 28 29 **Delegation of authority (b)** 30 31 The Administrative Director may delegate the authority to accept gifts to the 32 following, under any guidelines established by the Administrative Director: 33 \* \* \* 34 (1) 35 36 (2) The clerk/executive officer administrator of a Court of Appeal, for gifts to 37 that a Court of Appeal; 38 39 The clerk/executive officer of the Supreme Court, for gifts to the Supreme (3) 40 Court; and 41 42 (4) \*\*\* 43

1									
2		(Subd (b) amended effective January 1, 2018; adopted effective January 1, 2004;							
3	previously amended effective January 1, 2007, and January 1, 2016.)								
4	1								
5	Rule	Rule 10.102 amended effective January 1, 2018; adopted as rule 989.7 effective September 13,							
6	1991	1991; previously amended and renumbered as rule 6.102 effective January 1, 2004, and as rule							
7		-	ctive January 1, 2007; previously amended effective January 1, 2016.						
8									
9	Rule	e 10.1	04. Limitation on contracting with former employees						
10									
11	(a)-	(b)	* * *						
12									
13	(c)	Poli	cymaking position						
14									
15		"Pol	licymaking position" includes:						
16									
17		(1)	* * *						
18									
19		(2)	In an appellate court, the clerk/executive officer administrator and any other						
20			position designated by the court as a policymaking position; and						
21									
22		(3)	* * *						
23		. /							
24		(Sub	d (c) amended effective January 1, 2018; previously amended effective January 1,						
25		2016							
26									
27	(d)	* * *	k						
28									
29	Rule	10.10	4 amended effective January 1, 2018; adopted as rule 6.104 effective January 1, 2004;						
30	previ	iously (	amended and renumbered as rule 10.104 effective January 1, 2007; previously						
31	amended effective January 1, 2016.								
32			•						
33	Rul	e 10.4	52. Minimum education requirements, expectations, and						
34		reco	ommendations						
35									
36	(a)-	(c) *	* *						
37	. ,								
38	(d)	Res	ponsibilities of Chief Justice and administrative presiding justices						
39	. ,	-							
40		The	Chief Justice and each administrative presiding justice:						
41									
42		(1)	Must grant sufficient leave to Supreme Court and Court of Appeal justices,						
43		. /	the clerk/executive officer administrator, and the managing attorney to enable						

them to complete the minimum education requirements stated in rules 10.461, 10.471, and 10.472, respectively;

(2) To the extent compatible with the efficient administration of justice, must grant to all justices, the clerk/executive officer administrator, and the managing attorney sufficient leave to participate in education programs consistent with the education recommendations stated in rules 10.469 and 10.479. After a justice has completed any new justice education required under rule 10.461 or after a justice has completed the first year on the bench, the Chief Justice or the administrative presiding justice should grant each justice at least eight court days per calendar year to participate in continuing education relating to the justice's responsibilities;

(3) In addition to the educational leave required under (d)(1)–(2), should grant leave to a justice, clerk/executive officer administrator, or managing attorney to serve on education committees and as a faculty member at education programs when the individual's services have been requested for these purposes by Judicial Council staff, the California Judges Association, or the court. If a court's calendar would not be adversely affected, the court should grant additional leave for a justice, the clerk/executive officer administrator, or the managing attorney to serve on an educational committee or as a faculty member for judicial branch education;

(4) Should establish an education plan for his or her court to facilitate the involvement of justices, the clerk/executive officer administrator, and the managing attorney as both participants and faculty in education activities;

(5) Must ensure that justices, the clerk/executive officer administrator, and the managing attorney are reimbursed by their court in accordance with the travel policies issued by the Judicial Council for travel expenses incurred in attending in-state education programs as a participant, except to the extent that: (i) certain expenses are covered by the Judicial Council; or (ii) the education provider or sponsor of the program pays the expenses. Provisions for these expenses must be part of every court's budget. The Chief Justice or the administrative presiding justice may approve reimbursement of travel expenses incurred by justices, the clerk/executive officer administrator, and the managing attorney in attending out-of-state education programs as a participant; and

(6) \*\*\*

(Subd (d) amended effective January 1, 2018; previously amended effective January 1, 2008, and January 1, 2016.)

1 2 \* \* \* (e) 3 4 **(f)** Responsibilities of Supreme Court and Court of Appeal justices, 5 clerks/executive officers administrator, managing attorneys, and supervisors 6 7 Each court's justices, clerk/executive officer administrator, managing attorney, and 8 supervisors: 9 (1)–(4) \*\*\* 10 11 12 Must ensure that supervisors and other court personnel are reimbursed by 13 their court in accordance with the travel policies issued by the Judicial 14 Council for travel expenses incurred in attending in-state education programs 15 as a participant, except to the extent that: (i) certain expenses are covered by 16 the Judicial Council; or (ii) the education provider or sponsor of the program 17 pays the expenses. Provisions for these expenses must be part of every 18 court's budget. The clerk/executive officer administrator or the managing 19 attorney may approve reimbursement of travel expenses incurred by 20 supervisors and other court personnel in attending out-of-state education 21 programs as a participant. 22 23 (Subd (f) amended effective January 1, 2018; adopted effective January 1, 2008; 24 previously amended effective January 1, 2016.) 25 26 \* \* \* **(g)** 27 28 Rule 10.452 amended effective January 1, 2018; adopted effective January 1, 2007; previously 29 amended effective January 1, 2008, January 1, 2012, and January 1, 2016. 30 31 Rule 10.471. Minimum education requirements for Supreme Court and Court of 32 Appeal clerks/executive officers administrators 33 34 (a) **Applicability** 35 36 All clerks/executive officers of the California Supreme Court and Courts of Appeal 37 elerk/administrators must complete these minimum education requirements. All 38 clerks/executive officers administrator should participate in more education than is 39 required, related to each individual's responsibilities and in accordance with the 40 education recommendations set forth in rule 10.479. 41 42 (Subd (a) amended effective January 1, 2018.)

#### 1 **Hours-based requirement (b)** 2 3 (1) Each clerk/executive officer administrator must complete 30 hours of 4 continuing education every three years beginning on the following date: 5 6 For a new clerk/executive officer administrator, the first three-year (A) 7 period begins on January 1 of the year following his or her hire. 8 9 For all other clerks/executive officers administrators, the first three-10 year period begins on January 1, 2008. 11 12 (2) The following education applies toward the required 30 hours of continuing 13 education: 14 15 (A) 16 17 Each hour of participation in traditional (live, face-to-face) education; (B) 18 distance education such as broadcasts, videoconferences, and online 19 coursework; faculty service; and self-directed study counts toward the 20 requirement on an hour-for-hour basis. Each clerk/executive officer 21 administrator must complete at least half of his or her continuing 22 education hours requirement as a participant in traditional (live, face-23 to-face) education. The clerk/executive officer administrator may 24 complete the balance of his or her education hours requirement through 25 any other means with no limitation on any particular type of education. 26 27 (C) A clerk/executive officer administrator who serves as faculty by 28 teaching legal or judicial education to a legal or judicial audience may 29 apply education hours as faculty service. Credit for faculty service 30 counts toward the continuing education requirement in the same 31 manner as all other types of education—on an hour-for-hour basis. 32 33 (Subd (b) amended effective January 1, 2018; previously amended effective January 1, 34 2012, and January 1, 2014.) 35 36 **Extension of time** (c) 37 (1) \*\*\* 38 39 40 If the Chief Justice or the administrative presiding justice grants a request for 41 an extension of time, the clerk/executive officer administrator, in consultation 42 with the Chief Justice or the administrative presiding justice, must also 43 pursue interim means of obtaining relevant educational content.

1		
2		(3) An extension of time to complete the hours-based requirement does not affect
3		the timing of the clerk/executive officer administrator's next three-year
4		period.
5		1
6		(Subd (c) amended effective January 1, 2018.)
7		(Suca (e) amenaca ejjecure vanuary 1, <b>2</b> 0101)
8	(d)	Record of participation; statement of completion
9	()	
10		Each clerk/executive officer administrator is responsible for:
11		Each cieft and an instance is responsible for.
12		(1)–(3) ***
13		
14		(Subd (d) amended effective January 1, 2018.)
15		(Suba (a) amenaea ejjecuve January 1, 2016.)
16	Dula	10.471 amondo deffective I gravery 1. 2019, adopted effective I gravery 1. 2009, manipular
		10.471 amended effective January 1, 2018; adopted effective January 1, 2008; previously
17	amer	nded effective January 1, 2012, and January 1, 2014.
18	D1.	10.472 Minimum danation make for Community Countries
19	Kui	e 10.472. Minimum education requirements for Supreme Court and Court of
20		Appeal managing attorneys, supervisors, and other personnel
21	( )	
22	(a)	* * *
23	<b>a</b> s	
24	<b>(b)</b>	Content-based requirements
25		
26		(1)–(2) * * *
27		
28		(3) The clerk/ <u>executive officer</u> administrator, the managing attorney, or the
29		employee's supervisor may determine the appropriate content, delivery
30		mechanism, and length of orientation based on the needs and role of each
31		individual employee.
32		
33		(Subd (b) amended effective January 1, 2018.)
34		
35	(c)	Hours-based requirements
36	(-)	
37		(1) ***
38		
39		(2) Each court employee who is not a managing attorney, supervisor, or appellate
39 40		
		judicial attorney must complete 8 hours of continuing education every two
41		years, with the exception of employees who do not provide court
42		administrative or operational services. Those employees are not subject to the
43		continuing education hours-based requirement but must complete any

1 education or training required by law and any other education required by the 2 clerk/executive officer administrator. 3 \* \* \* 4 (3) 5 6 Any education offered by an approved provider (see rule 10.481(a)) and any **(4)** 7 other education, including education taken to satisfy a statutory, rules-based, 8 or other education requirement, that is approved by the clerk/executive 9 officer administrator, the managing attorney, or the employee's supervisor as 10 meeting the criteria listed in rule 10.481(b) applies toward the orientation 11 education required under (b) and the continuing education required under 12 (c)(1) and (2). 13 (5)-(6) \*\*\* 14 15 16 **(7)** The clerk/executive officer administrator, the managing attorney, or the 17 employee's supervisor may require supervisors and other court personnel to 18 participate in specific courses or to participate in education in a specific 19 subject matter area as part of their continuing education. 20 21 (Subd (c) amended effective January 1, 2018; previously amended effective January 1, 22 2012.) 23 24 (d) **Extension of time** 25 26 (1) For good cause, a justice (for that justice's chambers staff), the managing 27 attorney, the clerk/executive officer administrator, or a supervisor, if 28 delegated by the clerk/executive officer administrator, or the employee's 29 supervisor may grant a six-month extension of time to complete the education 30 requirements in this rule. 31 32 (2) If the justice, managing attorney, clerk/executive officer administrator, or 33 supervisor grants a request for an extension of time, the managing attorney, 34 supervisor, or employee who made the request, in consultation with the 35 justice, managing attorney, clerk/executive officer administrator, or 36 supervisor, must also pursue interim means of obtaining relevant educational 37 content. 38 \* \* \* 39 (3) 40 41 (Subd (d) amended effective January 1, 2018.) 42

(e) \* \* \*

Rule 10.472 amended effective January 1, 2018; adopted effective January 1, 2008; previously amended effective January 1, 2012.

## Rule 10.481. Approved providers; approved course criteria

(a) \* \* \*

(b) Approved education criteria

Education is not limited to the approved providers referred to in (a). Any education from another provider that is approved by the Chief Justice, the administrative presiding justice, or the presiding judge as meeting the criteria listed below may be applied toward the continuing education expectations and requirements for justices, judges, and subordinate judicial officers or requirements for clerks/executive officers administrators or court executive officers. Similarly, any education from another provider that is approved by the clerk/executive officer administrator, the court executive officer, or the employee's supervisor as meeting the criteria listed below may be applied toward the orientation or continuing education requirements for managers, supervisors, and other employees or the content-based or continuing education requirements for probate court investigators, probate attorneys, and probate examiners in rule 10.478.

(1)–(2) \*\*\*

(Subd (b) amended effective January 1, 2018; previously amended effective January 1, 2008; and January 1, 2012.)

Rule 10.481 amended effective January 1, 2018; adopted as rule 10.471 effective January 1, 2007; previously amended and renumbered as rule 10.481 effective January 1, 2008; previously amended effective January 1, 2012, and January 1, 2016.

## Rule 10.1004. Court of Appeal administrative presiding justice

**(a)–(b)** \*\*\*

(c) Duties

The administrative presiding justice must perform any duties delegated by a majority of the justices in the district with the Chief Justice's concurrence. In addition, the administrative presiding justice has responsibility for the following matters:

1		
2		(1) Personnel
3		
4		The administrative presiding justice has general direction and supervision of
5		the clerk/executive officer administrator and all court employees except those
6		assigned to a particular justice or division;
7		
8		(2)–(7) ***
9		
10		(Subd (c) amended effective January 1, 2018; previously amended effective January 1,
11		2007.)
12		
13	(d)	* * *
14	` /	
15	Rule	10.1004 amended effective January 1, 2018; repealed and adopted as rule 75 effective
16	Janu	ary 1, 2005; previously amended and renumbered effective January 1, 2007.
17		
18	Rule	e 10.1008. Courts of Appeal with more than one division
19		
20	App	eals and original proceedings filed in a Court of Appeal with more than one division,
21	or tra	ansferred to such a court without designation of a division, may be assigned to
22	divis	ions in a way that will equalize the distribution of business among them. The
23	clerk	<u>executive officer of the Court of Appeal elerk</u> must keep records showing the
24	divis	ions in which cases and proceedings are pending.
25		
26	Rule	10.1008 amended effective January 1, 2018; repealed and adopted as rule 47 effective
27		ary 1, 2005; previously amended and renumbered effective January 1, 2007.
28		
29	Rule	e 10.1020. Reviewing court clerk/executive officer administrator
30		
31	(a)	Selection
32	. ,	
33		A reviewing court may employ a clerk/executive officer administrator selected in
34		accordance with procedures adopted by the court.
35		
36		(Subd (a) amended effective January 1, 2018.)
37		
38	<b>(b)</b>	Responsibilities
39	, ,	
40		Acting under the general direction and supervision of the administrative presiding
41		justice, the clerk/executive officer administrator is responsible for planning,
42		organizing, coordinating, and directing, with full authority and accountability, the
43		management of the elerk's office of the clerk/executive officer and all nonjudicial

1 2		publ	ort activities in a manner that promotes access to justice for all members of the ic, provides a forum for the fair and expeditious resolution of disputes, and				
3		max	ximizes the use of judicial and other resources.				
4 5		(Subd (b) amended effective January 1, 2018.)					
6 7 8	(c)	Duties					
9		Und	er the direction of the administrative presiding justice, the clerk/executive				
10			fficer administrator has the following duties:				
11		<u>01110</u>	<u></u>				
12		(1)	Personnel				
13							
14 15			The clerk/ <u>executive officer</u> administrator directs and supervises all court employees assigned to the clerk/ <u>executive officer</u> administrator or by the				
16			administrative presiding justice and ensures that the court receives a full				
17			range of human resources support;				
18							
19		(2)	Budget				
20							
21			The clerk/ <u>executive officer</u> administrator develops, administers, and monitors				
22			the court budget and develops practices and procedures to ensure that annual				
23			expenditures are within the budget;				
24							
25		(3)	Contracts				
26							
27			The clerk/executive officer administrator negotiates contracts on the court's				
28			behalf in accord with established contracting procedures and applicable laws;				
29		(4)					
30		(4)	Calendar management				
31 32			The electroscopics officer educinistrator analogy and symantices officient				
33			The clerk/executive officer administrator employs and supervises efficient				
34			calendar and caseflow management, including analyzing and evaluating				
35			pending caseloads and recommending effective calendar management techniques;				
36			techniques,				
37		(5)	Technology				
38		(3)	Technology				
39			The clerk/executive officer administrator coordinates technological and				
40			automated systems activities to assist the court;				
41			automated systems activities to assist the court,				
42		(6)	Facilities				
43		(0)	1 wellines				

1		The clerk/ <u>executive officer</u> administrator coordinates facilities, space
2		planning, court security, and business services support, including the
3		purchase and management of equipment and supplies;
4		
5	(7)	Records
6		
7		The clerk/executive officer administrator creates and manages uniform
8		record-keeping systems, collecting data on pending and completed judicial
9		business and the court's internal operation as the court and Judicial Council
10		require;
11		
12	(8)	Recommendations
13		
14		The clerk/executive officer administrator identifies problems and
15		recommends policy, procedural, and administrative changes to the court;
16		
17	(9)	Public relations
18		
19		The clerk/executive officer administrator represents the court to internal and
20		external customers—including the other branches of government—on issues
21		pertaining to the court;
22		
23	(10)	Liaison
24		
25		The clerk/executive officer administrator acts as liaison with other
26		governmental agencies;
27		
28	(11)	Committees
29		
30		The clerk/executive officer administrator provides staff for judicial
31		committees;
32		
33	(12)	Administration
34		
35		The clerk/executive officer administrator develops and implements
36		administrative and operational programs and policies for the court and the
37		clerk's office of the clerk/executive officer; and
38		
39	(13)	Other
40		
41		The clerk/executive officer administrator performs other duties as the
42		administrative presiding justice directs.
13		

1 (Subd (c) amended effective January 1, 2018; previously amended effective January 1, 2 2007.) 3 4 (d) Geographically separate divisions 5 6 Under the general oversight of the clerk/executive officer administrator, an 7 assistant clerk/executive officer administrator of a geographically separate division 8 has responsibility for the nonjudicial support activities of that division. 9 10 (Subd (d) amended effective January 1, 2018.) 11 12 Rule 10.1020 amended effective January 1, 2018; repealed and adopted as rule 76.1 effective 13 January 1, 2005; amended and renumbered effective January 1, 2007. 14 15 Rule 10.1028. Preservation and destruction of Court of Appeal records 16 17 (a)-(b) \* \* \*18 19 (c) **Permanent records** 20 21 The clerk/executive officer of the Court of Appeal elerk must permanently keep the 22 court's minutes and a register of appeals and original proceedings. 23 24 (Subd (c) amended effective January 1, 2018; adopted as subd (b); previously relettered 25 effective January 1, 2013.) 26 27 Time to keep other records (d) 28 29 Except as provided in (2), the clerk/executive officer may destroy all other (1) 30 records in a case 10 years after the decision becomes final, as ordered by the 31 administrative presiding justice or, in a court with only one division, by the 32 presiding justice. 33 34 In a criminal case in which the court affirms a judgment of conviction, the (2) clerk/executive officer must keep the original reporter's transcript for 20 35 36 years after the decision becomes final. 37 38 (Subd (d) amended effective January 1, 2018; adopted as subd (c); previously relettered as 39 subd (d) effective January 1, 2013; previously amended effective January 1, 2017.) 40 41 Rule 10.1028 amended effective January 1, 2018; adopted as rule 70 effective January 1, 2005; previously renumbered effective January 1, 2007; previously amended effective January 1, 2013, 42 43 and January 1, 2017.

1							
2	Standard 4.35. Court use of risk/needs assessments at sentencing						
3 4	<u>(a)</u>	Ann	Application and number				
5	<u>(a)</u>	App	lication and purpose				
6 7		<u>(1)</u>	This standard applies only to the use of the results of risk/needs assessments at sentencing.				
8							
9		<u>(2)</u>	The use of the results of risk/needs assessments at sentencing is intended to:				
10							
11			(A) Prevent biases in sentencing;				
12							
13			(B) Reduce the risk of recidivism by focusing services and resources on				
14			medium- and high-risk offenders, who are most likely to reoffend;				
15							
16			(C) Reduce a defendant's risk of future recidivism by targeting that				
17			defendant's needs with appropriate intervention services through				
18			community supervision programs demonstrated to reduce recidivism;				
19			<u>and</u>				
20 21			(D) A dynamic the logislative dimentity to immunity multiplicate for outcomes by				
22			(D) Advance the legislative directive to improve public safety outcomes by routing offenders into community-based supervision informed by				
23			evidence-based practices.				
24			evidence-based practices.				
25	<u>(b)</u>	Defi	nitions				
26	(2)	2011					
27		<u>(1)</u>	"Risk" refers to the likelihood that a person will reoffend without regard,				
28		<del>~~</del>	unless otherwise specified, to the nature of the original offense or the nature				
29			of the reoffense.				
30							
31		<u>(2)</u>	"Risk factors" refers to the "static" and "dynamic" factors that contribute to				
32			the risk score.				
33							
34		<u>(3)</u>	"Static risk factors" refers to those risk factors that cannot be changed				
35			through treatment or intervention, such as age or prior criminal history.				
36							
37		<u>(4)</u>	"Dynamic risk factors," also known as "needs," are factors that can be				
38			changed through treatment or intervention.				
39		. <del></del> .					
40		<u>(5)</u>	"Results of a risk/needs assessment" refers to both a risk score and an				
41			assessment of a person's needs.				
42							

1 2 3 4 5		<u>(6)</u>	A "risk score" refers to a descriptive evaluation of a person's risk level as a result of conducting an actuarial assessment with a validated risk/needs assessment instrument and may include such terms as "high," "medium," or "low" risk.
6 7 8 9		<u>(7)</u>	"Amenability" or "suitability" refers to the likelihood that the person can be safely and effectively supervised in the community and benefit from supervision services that are informed by evidence-based practices that have been demonstrated to reduce recidivism.
10 11 12 13 14		<u>(8)</u>	A "validated risk/needs assessment instrument" refers to a risk/needs assessment instrument demonstrated by scientific research to be accurate and reliable in assessing the risks and needs of the specific population on which it was validated.
15 16 17 18		<u>(9)</u>	"Supervision" includes all forms of supervision referenced in Penal Code section 1203.2(a).
19	<u>(c)</u>	Valid	<u>dation</u>
20 21 22		The 1	risk/needs assessment instrument should be validated.
23	<u>(d)</u>	Pron	per uses of the results of a risk/needs assessment at sentencing
	1327	1100	and the results of a risk meets assessment at sentenent
24 25 26 27 28 29	<u>, = , </u>	(1)	The results of a risk/needs assessment should be considered only in context with all other information considered by the court at the time of sentencing, including the probation report, statements in mitigation and aggravation, evidence presented at a sentencing proceeding conducted under section 1204, and comments by counsel and any victim.
24 25 26 27 28			The results of a risk/needs assessment should be considered only in context with all other information considered by the court at the time of sentencing, including the probation report, statements in mitigation and aggravation, evidence presented at a sentencing proceeding conducted under section 1204,

1				
2			<u>(B)</u>	Whether an offender can be supervised safely and effectively in the
3				community; and
4				
5			<u>(C)</u>	The appropriate terms and conditions of supervision and responses to
6				violations of supervision.
7				
8		<u>(4)</u>	<u>If a c</u>	court uses the results of a risk/needs assessment, it should consider any
9			<u>limit</u>	ations of the instrument that have been raised in the probation report or
10			by co	ounsel, including:
11				
12			<u>(A)</u>	That the instrument's risk scores are based on group data, such that the
13				instrument is able to identify only groups of high-risk offenders, for
14				example, not a particular high-risk individual;
15				
16			<u>(B)</u>	Whether the instrument's proprietary nature has been invoked to
17				prevent the disclosure of information relating to how it weighs static
18				and dynamic risk factors and how it determines risk scores;
19				
20			<u>(C)</u>	Whether any scientific research has raised questions that the instrument
21				unfairly classifies offenders by gender, race, or ethnicity; and
22				
22 23 24			<u>(D)</u>	Whether the instrument has been validated on a relevant population.
24				
25	<u>(e)</u>	Imp	roper	uses of the results of a risk/needs assessment at sentencing
26				
27		<u>(1)</u>	The 1	results of a risk/needs assessment should not be used to determine:
28				
29			<u>(A)</u>	Whether to incarcerate a defendant; or
30			(D)	
31			<u>(B)</u>	The severity of the sentence.
32		(2)	CE1	
33		<u>(2)</u>		results of a risk/needs assessment should not be considered by the court
34			tor d	efendants statutorily ineligible for supervision.
35	<b>(6</b> )		1 •11	
36	<u>(f)</u>	Ame	enabili	ity to or suitability for supervision
37		(1)		. 1 11
38		<u>(1)</u>		ourt should not interpret a "high" or "medium" risk score as necessarily
39				eating that a defendant is not amenable to or suitable for community-
40				d supervision. Community-based supervision may be most effective for
41				ndants with "high" and "medium" risk scores. A "low" risk score often,
42			<u>but n</u>	not necessarily, indicates that a defendant is amenable to or suitable for

1 2			community-based supervision. Risk scores must be interpreted in the context of all relevant sentencing information received by the court.
3			of an relevant sentenenig information received by the court.
4		<u>(2)</u>	Ordinarily a defendant's level of supervision should correspond to his or her
5		<u>(4)</u>	level of risk of recidivism. In most cases, a court should order that a low-risk
6			defendant receive less supervision and a high-risk defendant more.
7			detendant receive less supervision and a night-risk detendant more.
8		<u>(3)</u>	A court should order services that address the defendant's needs.
9			
10	<u>(g)</u>	Educ	eation regarding the nature, purpose, and limits of risk/needs assessment
11		infor	mation is critical to the proper use of such information. Education should
12			de all justice system partners.
13			
14	Stand	lard 4.	35 adopted effective January 1, 2018.
15			
16			<b>Advisory Committee Comment</b>
17			
18	Subd	livisior	1 (d)(1)–(2). Although the results of risk/needs assessments provide important
19	infor	mation	for use by the court at sentencing, they are not designed as a substitute for the
20	exerc	ise of	judicial discretion and judgment. The information should not be used as the sole basis
21	of the	e court	's decision, but should be considered in the context of all of the information received
22			ing proceeding. If justified by the circumstances of the case, it is appropriate for the
23	court	to imp	bose a disposition not supported by the results of a risk/needs assessment. (See State v.
24	Loon	<i>iis</i> (20)	16) 371 Wis.2d 235, 266 ["Just as corrections staff should disregard risk scores that
25	are in	consis	tent with other factors, we expect that courts will exercise discretion when
26	asses	sing a	risk score with respect to each individual defendant"].)
27			
28	Subd	livisior	1 (d)(4). Court and justice partners should understand any limitations of the particular
29	instru	ıment ı	used to generate the results of a risk/needs assessment. (See State v. Loomis, supra,
30	371 V	Wis.2d	at p. 264 [requiring presentence investigation reports to state the limitations of the
31	instru	ıment ı	used, including the proprietary nature of that instrument, any absence of a cross-
32	valid	ation s	tudy for relevant populations, and any questions raised in studies about whether the
33	<u>instr</u> ı	ıment o	disproportionately classifies minority offenders as having a higher risk of recidivism].)
34	The Y	Wiscon	sin court also required that all presentence investigation reports caution that
35	risk/r	needs a	ssessment tools must be constantly monitored and renormed for accuracy because of
36	chan	ging po	opulations and subpopulations. ( <i>Ibid.</i> ) California courts should similarly consider any
37	such	<u>limitat</u>	ions in the accuracy of the particular instrument employed in the case under review.
38	(See	ibid. [ʻ	Providing information to sentencing courts on the limitations and cautions attendant
39	with	the use	of risk assessments will enable courts to better assess the accuracy of the
40	asses	sment	and the appropriate weight to be given to the risk score"].)
41			
42	Subd	livisior	<b>1 (d)(4)(D).</b> Validating a risk/needs assessment instrument will increase its accuracy
43	and r	eliabili	ty. Validation on a relevant population or subpopulation is recommended to account

for differences in local policies, implementation practices, and offender populations. Ongoing monitoring and renorming of the instrument may be necessary to reflect changes in a population or subpopulation. Revalidation of the instrument is also necessary if any of its dynamic or static risk factors are modified.

Subdivision (e). When the court is considering whether to place a person on supervision at an original sentencing proceeding or after a violation of supervision, the results of a risk/needs assessment may assist the court in assessing the person's amenability to supervision and services in the community. But when the person is ineligible for supervision, or the court has otherwise decided not to grant or reinstate probation, the results of a risk/needs assessment should not be used in determining the period of incarceration to be imposed. (See *State v. Loomis, supra, 371* Wis.2d at p. 256 [holding that risk/needs assessments should not be used to determine the severity of a sentence or whether a defendant is incarcerated]; *Malenchik v. State* (Ind. 2010) 928 N.E.2d 564, 573 ["It is clear that [risk/needs assessment instruments are neither intended] nor recommended to substitute for the judicial function of determining the length of sentence appropriate for each offender"].)

Subdivision (f). Risk/needs assessment instruments generally produce a numerical or descriptive "risk score" such as "high," "moderate," or "low" risk. It is critical that courts and justice partners understand the meaning and limitations of such designations. First, because risk assessments are based on group data, they are able to identify groups of high-risk offenders, not a particular high-risk individual. Second, in some assessment instruments, "risk" refers only to a generalized risk of committing a new offense, not to the seriousness of the subsequent offense (e.g., violent, sex, drug, or theft). Nor does "high risk" necessarily mean "highly dangerous." A high-risk drug offender, for example, may present a high risk that he or she will use drugs again, but does not necessarily present a high risk to commit a violent felony. Third, scientific research indicates that medium- and high-risk offenders may most benefit from evidence-based supervision and programs that address critical risk factors. Courts and probation departments should also consider how presentence investigation reports present risk assessment information. A report that merely refers to the defendant as "high risk" may incorrectly imply that the defendant presents a great danger to public safety and must therefore be incarcerated. Conversely, "low risk" does not necessarily mean "no risk."

Subdivision (g). An instrument's accuracy and reliability depend on its proper administration. Training and continuing education should be required for anyone who administers the instrument. Judges with sentencing assignments should receive appropriate training on the purpose, use, and limits of risk/needs assessments. (See Guiding Principle 4, Stakeholder Training, in Pamela M. Casey et al., *Using Offender Risk and Needs Assessment Information at Sentencing: Guidance for Courts from a National Working Group* (National Center for State Courts, 2011) pp. 21–22.)