S246711

SUPREME COURT COPY

STEPHEN P. BERZON HAMILTON CANDER EVE H. CERVANTEZ BARBARA J. CHISHOLM JAMES M. FINBERG EILEEN B. GOLDSMITH MEREDITH A. JOHNSON SCOTT A. KRONLAND ANDREW KUSHNER RESECCA C. LEE DANIELLE E. LEONARD STACEY M. LEYTON AMANDA C. LYNCH MATTHEW J. MURRAY ZOE PALITZ P. CASEY PITTS DANIELT, PURTELL

MICHAEL, RUBIN MEGAN WACHBPRES STEFANIE L. WILSON ALTSHULER BERZON LLP

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

177 POST STREET, SUITE 300

SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94108

(415) 421-7151

FAX (415) 362-8064 www.altshulerberzon.com FRED H. ALTSHULER FOUNDING PARTNER EMERITUS

> PETER D. NUSSEAUM PARTNER EMERITUS

CORINNE JOHNSON FELLOW

RECEIVED

MAY 2 8 2019

May 24, 2019

CLERK SUPREME COURT

Honorable Chief Justice Tani G. Cantil-Sakauye Honorable Associate Justices Supreme Court of California 350 McAllister Street, Suite 1295 San Francisco, California 94102

Re:

ZB, N.A., et al. v. Superior Court (Kalethia Lawson, RPI)

Case No. S246711

(Oral Argument Date: June 5, 2019)

To the Honorable Chief Justice and Associate Justices:

Pursuant to Cal. Rules of Court 8.254 and 8.520(d), Real Party in Interest Kalethia Lawson submits two recent Court of Appeal decisions bearing on the issues addressed in Real Party's briefs.

First, Correia v. NB Baker Electric, Inc. (4th DCA, Feb. 25, 2019) 32 Cal.App.5th 602, 615-25, holds that: (1) Epic [Systems Corp. v. Lewis (2018) 138 S.Ct. 1612] did not overrule Iskanian [v. CLS Transportation Los Angeles, LLC (2014) 59 Cal.4th 348]; (2) "an employee's predispute arbitration agreement to arbitrate PAGA claims is not enforceable without the state's consent"; and (3) "a single representative [PAGA] claim cannot be split into an arbitrable individual claim and a nonarbitrable representative claim"). See Answering Brief of Real Party in Interest Kalethia Lawson, at 10-11, 19-22, 48-50; Real Party in Interest Kalethia Lawson's Answer to Amicus Briefs, at 12-15, 32-34.

Second, Zakaryan v. The Men's Wearhouse, Inc. (2nd DCA March 28, 2019) 33 Cal.App.5th 659, 671, 675, 676, holds that "splitting a PAGA claim in this manner ["with the claim for underpaid wages under section 558 being shunted to arbitration while the claim for the further \$50 and \$100 per-pay-period penalties under section 558 remaining in court"] is both (1) legally impermissible and (2) inconsistent with labor and arbitration law" because it "runs afoul

Honorable Chief Justice and Associate Justices Supreme Court of California

Re: ZB, N.A., et al. v. Superior Court (K. Lawson), #S246711

May 24, 2019

Page 2

of the primary rights doctrine," ignores that "section 558 . . . does not create two separate remedies [but] defines two components of a singular 'civil penalty' that is recoverable in a PAGA action," and improperly "sends the chief issue underlying a PAGA claim -- . . . whether the employer violated labor law . . . – to arbitration" and "offends *Iskanian*'s reasons for barring arbitration because it effectively allows the employee, by contract, to bind the agency to arbitration." *See* Answering Brief of Real Party in Interest Kalethia Lawson, at 22-31, 36-39. Real Party in Interest Kalethia Lawson's Answer to Amicus Briefs, at 9-12, 16-26. *But cf. Zakaryan*, 33 Cal.App.5th at 674-75 (also holding that in a PAGA action, the unpaid wages portion of the section 558 civil penalty remedy must be allocated 75% to the LWDA and 25% to the aggrieved employees).

Respectfully submitted,

Edwin Aiwazian Arby Aiwazian Joanna Ghosh

LAWYERS for JUSTICE PC

Michael Rubin

ALTSHUZER BERZON

Michael Rubin

Attorneys for Real Party in Interest

KALETHIA LAWSON

PROOF OF SERVICE

Case: LAWSON v. ZB, N.A., Case No. S246711

Fourth App. Dist., Division One, Nos. D071279 & D071376 (Consolidated)

San Diego County Superior Court; 37-2016-00005578-CU-OE-CTL

I am employed in the City and County of San Francisco, California. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within action; my business address is 177 Post Street, Suite 300, San Francisco, California 94108. On May 24, 2019, I served the following document(s):

Real Party in Interest Kalethia Lawson's Letter to the Court re: Recent Court of Appeal Decisions, dated May 24, 2019

on the parties, through their attorneys of record, by placing true copies thereof in sealed envelopes addressed as shown below for service as designated below:

By First Class Mail: I am readily familiar with the practice of Altshuler Berzon LLP for the collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service. I placed the envelope, sealed and with first-class postage fully prepaid, for collection and mailing following our ordinary business practices. On the same day that correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States Mail Postal Service in San Francisco, California, for collection and mailing to the office of the addressee on the date shown herein.

ADDRESSEE

James L. Morris

Brian C. Sinclair

Gerard M. Mooney

611 Anton Boulevard, Suite 1400

Costa Mesa, California 92626-1931

Attorneys for Petitioners and Defendants ZB, N.A. and

ZIONSBANCORPORATION

Office of the Attorney General, State of California

600 West Broadway, Suite 1800

San Diego, California 92101-3702

Office of the Attorney General

Bryan J. Schwartz

Logan T. Talbot

Eduard R. Meleshinsky

DeCarol A. Davis

CALIFORNIA EMPLOYMENT

LAWYERS ASSOCIATION

1330 Broadway, Suite 1630

Oakland, CA 94612

Attorneys for Amicus Curiae, CALIFORNIA EMPLOYMENT

LAWYERS ASSOCIATION

Robert A. Olsen

Cynthia E. Tobisman

GREINES, MARTIN, STEIN &

RICHLAND LLP

5900 Wilshire Boulevard, 12th Fl.

Los Angeles, CA 90036

Attorneys for Amicus Curiae, CALIFORNIA NEW CAR DEALERS

ASSOCIATION

Apalla U. Chopra

Andrew Lichtenstein

O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP

400 South Hope Street

Los Angeles, CA 90071

Attorneys for Amicus Curiae, THE EMPLOYERS GROUP

and CALIFORNIA EMPLOYMENT LAW COUNCIL.

Adam J. Karr

Ryan W. Rutledge

Kelly Wood

O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP

610 Newport Center Drive, 17th Floor

Newport Beach, CA 92660

Attorneys for Amicus Curiae, THE EMPLOYERS GROUP and

CALIFORNIA EMPLOYMENT LAW COUNCIL

Clerk of the Court

California Court of Appeal,

Fourth Appellate Dist., Div. 1

750 B Street, Suite 300

San Diego, California 92101

Court of Appeal

Office of the Clerk

San Diego County Superior Court

330 West Broadway

San Diego, CA 92101

Trial Court

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this May 24, 2019 at San Francisco, California.

Jean Perley