### DEBORAH L. HAWKINS, LL.M.

ATTORNEY AT LAW\* SBN 127133

Certified Specialist, Appellate Law
The State Bar of California Board of Legal Specialization
1637 E. Valley Parkway PMB 135
Escondido, California 92027
Telephone: 760-294-2181

email: dhawkins8350@gmail.com

\*Admitted to Practice California, Virginia, District of Columbia

May 9, 2022

Jorge E. Navarrete, Clerk California Supreme Court Room 1295 350 McAllister Street San Francisco, California 94102

Re: People v. Strong, California Supreme Court No. S266606/Court of Appeal No. C091162

Dear Mr. Navarette:

Over the weekend, counsel for Mr. Strong has received a personal communication from Mr. Jonathan Demson followed by a letter to this Court by Mr. Demson, questioning counsel's view that Mr. Strong's interest would not be served by dividing Mr. Strong's oral argument time with amici. Mr. Demson's personal communication to counsel is yet another in a series of disparaging communications that counsel has received from amici since this Court granted review in this matter on March 10, 2021, all of them questioning counsel's work and counsel's decisions in this matter and, in some cases, demanding that counsel be accountable to one or more amici.

Mr. Demson also takes the position that counsel for Mr. Strong is required to justify counsel's decisions about this case to amici, and Mr. Demson questions counsel's legal judgment about the issues in this case. Counsel for Mr. Strong does not interpret California Rules of Court, rule 8.524 in this manner, nor does counsel interpret this court's appointment order as requiring counsel to justify her decisions to amici or to be accountable to them. Counsel was appointed to represent Mr. Strong, and counsel's duty is to protect his interests.

Jorge E. Navarette, No. S266606 May 9, 2022, page 2

At this point, by taking the extraordinary step of requesting amici's own argument time, amici have taken their private criticisms of counsel's work in this case into a public forum. Counsel for Mr. Strong does not feel that it is appropriate or necessary to justify counsel's decisions in this forum.

However, counsel will say that counsel is aware that amici's position in this case is derived from an examination of counsel's petition for review filed on January 20, 2021, and that examination by amici resulted in a significant misunderstanding of the doctrine of collateral estoppel which one of the amici, by telephone, has informed counsel is "the answer" in this case. The continued stream of criticism and communications from amici, both private and now public, rests upon this fundamental misunderstanding.

Amici appear to be unaware that the collateral estoppel doctrine functions differently in civil and criminal law, and that the process of rendering judgment differs somewhat on the civil side from the criminal side. To the best of counsel's knowledge, the amici in this case have never worked in civil litigation. Counsel for Mr. Strong began her legal career in the litigation section at Hunton & Williams, now Hunton, Andrews, Kurth, and has had experience with the differences in the application of the doctrine. Counsel notes that almost all of the authorities in the briefs of amici are civil, not criminal, cases.

Clearly, by taking the extraordinary step of making amici's heretofore private criticisms of counsel for Mr. Strong public and by demanding accountability to amici in that public forum, amici have shown the greatest disrespect for counsel. Amici have never considered that their reasoning which is based upon their examination of counsel's original petition is flawed.

Counsel for Mr. Strong respectfully awaits the outcome of this Court's decision concerning amici's extraordinary request. Counsel feels that Mr. Strong's interests are best served by a presentation focused on the substantive criminal law of California rather than upon the differences between collateral estoppel on the civil side and the criminal side.

Respectfully submitted,

Deborah Hawkins

Deborah L. Hawkins Counsel for Appellant

Christopher Strong

Case Name: People v. Strong Case No. S266606

#### **DECLARATION OF SERVICE**

I, the undersigned, say: I am over 18 years of age, employed in the County of San Diego, California, and not a party to the subject cause. My business address is 1637 E. Valley Parkway PMB 135, Escondido, California 92027.

On May 9, 2022, I served the attached

Appellant's Letter Response to Amici's Request for Argument Time

of which a true and correct copy of the document filed in the cause is served by TrueFiling or by United States Mail by placing a copy thereof in a separate envelope for each addressee named hereafter, addressed to each such addressee respectively as follows:

Jorge E. Navarrete, Clerk California Supreme Court Room 1295 350 McAllister Street San Francisco, California 94102 (electronic)

Office of The Attorney General 1300 "I" Street P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, California 94244-2550 (electronic)

Jonathan E. Demson Attorney At Law 1158 26<sup>th</sup> Street #291 Santa Monica, California 90403 <u>jedlaw@me.com</u> (electronic)

Michelle May Peterson P.O. Box 387 Salem, MA 01970-0487 <u>may111072@gmail.com</u> (electronic) Case Name: People v. Strong Case No. S266606

A.J. Kutchins
Office of the Public Defender
1111 Broadway, Suite 1000
Oakland, CA 94607
aj.kutchins@ospd.ca.gov
(electronic)

Bill Arzbaecher Staff Attorney Central California Appellate Program 2150 River Plaza Dr. Ste. 300 Sacramento, California 95833 (electronic)

Christopher Strong #AT4834 Salinas Valley State Prison P.O. Box 1050 Soledad, California 93960 (U.S. Mail)

Each document was filed through TrueFiling or deposited in the United States mail by me at Escondido, California, on May 9, 2022.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct, and this declaration was executed at Escondido, California on May 9, 2022.

DEBORAH L. HAWKINS

Deborah Hawkins

### STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Supreme Court of California

## **PROOF OF SERVICE**

# **STATE OF CALIFORNIA**Supreme Court of California

Case Name: PEOPLE v. STRONG

Case Number: **S266606**Lower Court Case Number: **C091162** 

1. At the time of service I was at least 18 years of age and not a party to this legal action.

2. My email address used to e-serve: dhawkins8350@gmail.com

3. I served by email a copy of the following document(s) indicated below:

Title(s) of papers e-served:

Filing Type	Document Title
LETTER	S266606.STRONG.LETTER.MAY2022

Service Recipients:

Person Served	Email Address	Туре	Date / Time
Diane Boggess	Diane.Boggess@doj.ca.gov	e-	5/9/2022
DOJ Sacramento/Fresno AWT Crim		Serve	10:31:33
			AM
Michelle Peterson	may111072@gmail.com	e-	5/9/2022
Attorney at Law		Serve	10:31:33
111072			AM
Eric Christoffersen	Eric.Christoffersen@doj.ca.gov		5/9/2022
Office of the Attorney General		Serve	10:31:33
186094			AM
Attorney Attorney General - Sacramento Office	sacawttruefiling@doj.ca.gov	e-	5/9/2022
Jennifer Poe, Deputy Attorney General		Serve	10:31:33
			AM
Deborah Hawkins	dhawkins8350@gmail.com	e-	5/9/2022
Law Office of Deborah L. Hawkins		Serve	10:31:33
127133			AM
Albert Kutchins	aj.kutchins@ospd.ca.gov	e-	5/9/2022
Office of the State Public Defender		Serve	10:31:33
102322			AM
Jonathan Demson	jedlaw@me.com	e-	5/9/2022
Attorney at Law		Serve	10:31:33
167758			AM
Office Office Of The State Public Defender-Sac	docketing@ospd.ca.gov	e-	5/9/2022
Timothy Foley, Sr. Deputy State Public Defender		Serve	10:31:33
000000			AM
Bill Arzbaecher	barzbaecher@capcentral.org	e-	5/9/2022
		Serve	10:31:33
137439			AM

This proof of service was automatically created, submitted and signed on my behalf through my agreements with TrueFiling and its contents are true to the best of my information, knowledge, and belief.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

5/9/2022		
Date		
/s/Deborah Hawkins		
Signature		
Hawkins, Deborah (127133)		
Last Name, First Name (PNum)		

Deborah L. Hawkins

Law Firm