LAW OFFICE OF GILBERT GAYNOR

8383 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 510 Beverly Hills, CA 90211 (805) 636-6209 GILBERTGAYNOR@POST.HARVARD.EDU LICENSED IN NY, CA & DC

January 25, 2021

Jorge E. Navarrete Court Administrator & Clerk of the Supreme Court Supreme Court of the State of California 350 McAllister Street San Francisco, California 94102-4797

RE: People v. Steskal, Case No. S122611

Dear Mr. Navarrete:

I will be presenting argument in this case on behalf of Appellant Maurice G. Steskal on Tuesday, February 2, 2021. At argument, I intend to focus on the following issues, as numbered in the Appellant's Opening and Reply Briefs:

I. Because there was substantial evidence that at the time of the homicide, Maurice Steskal actually but unreasonably believed he had to shoot Deputy Riches to defend himself, the trial court erroneously refused to instruct the jury on the theory of voluntary manslaughter.

VI. Just as with juveniles and the intellectually disabled, the execution of severely mentally ill individuals like Maurice Steskal, whose offenses were the direct result of psychosis or other extreme mental disorders, is cruel and unusual punishment in violation of the federal and state constitutions, and in any event the execution of Maurice Steskal would be unconstitutionally disproportionate to his personal culpability.

VIII. Because the prosecutor improperly argued to the penalty phase re-trial jury that Dr. Pettis's mental health testimony in mitigation was actually evidence that showed Maurice Steskal was homicidally dangerous and deserved to die, and also argued a supposed cover-up agreement not in

evidence, the judgment of death is fundamentally tainted and must be reversed.

Yours very truly,

/s/_____

Gilbert Gaynor Attorney for Maurice G. Steskal

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Supreme Court of California

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Supreme Court of California

Case Name: PEOPLE v. STESKAL (MAURICE GERALD)

Case Number: **S122611**

Lower Court Case Number:

- 1. At the time of service I was at least 18 years of age and not a party to this legal action.
- 2. My email address used to e-serve: gilbertgaynor@post.harvard.edu
- 3. I served by email a copy of the following document(s) indicated below:

Title(s) of papers e-served:

Filing Type	Document Title
LETTER	Steskal Argument Letter 1 25 2021

Service Recipients:

Person Served	Email Address	Туре	Date / Time
California California Appellate Project - Sf Joseph Schlesinger/Mordecai Garelick	filing@capsf.org	e- Serve	1/25/2021 4:15:50 PM
Nicole Ambrosetti and Tiffanie McDowell (288946)	nambrosetti@crowell.com	e- Serve	1/25/2021 4:15:50 PM
Natalie Rodriguez Department of Justice, Office of the Attorney General-San Diego	Natalie.Rodriguez@doj.ca.gov	e- Serve	1/25/2021 4:15:50 PM
Office Office Of The State Attorney General Court Added	sdag.docketing@doj.ca.gov	e- Serve	1/25/2021 4:15:50 PM
Michelle Gillette Court Added 178734	mgillette@crowell.com	e- Serve	1/25/2021 4:15:50 PM
Attorney Attorney General - San Diego Office Holly D. Wilkens, Supervising Deputy Attorney General 88835	Holly.Wilkens@doj.ca.gov	e- Serve	1/25/2021 4:15:50 PM
Gilbert Gaynor Law Office of Gilbert Gaynor 107109	gilbertgaynor@post.harvard.edu		1/25/2021 4:15:50 PM
Kelley Johnson Office of the Attorney General 216387	kelley.johnson@doj.ca.gov	e- Serve	1/25/2021 4:15:50 PM
Attorney Attorney General - San Diego Office Christine Y. Friedman, Deputy Attorney General 186560	Christine.Friedman@doj.ca.gov	e- Serve	1/25/2021 4:15:50 PM

This proof of service was automatically created, submitted and signed on my behalf through my agreements with TrueFiling and its contents are true to the best of my information, knowledge, and belief.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

1/25/2021

Date

/s/Gilbert Gaynor

Signature

Gaynor, Gilbert (107109)

Last Name, First Name (PNum)

Law Office of Gilbert Gaynor

Law Firm