# IN THE SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA

#### HECTOR ALVARADO

Plaintiff, Appellant and Petitioner

VS.

## DART CONTAINER CORPORATION OF CALIFORNIA

Defendant and Respondent

AFTER A DECISION BY THE COURT OF APPEAL FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT CASE NO. E061645 APPEAL From the Superior Court of Riverside County. Hon. Daniel A. Ottolia. (Super. Ct. No. RIC1211707)

# **NOTICE OF ERRATA RE:** APPELLANT'S ANSWER TO AMICUS BRIEFS

(Service on Attorney General and District Attorney required by Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17209, 17536.5)

#### LAVI & EBRAHIMIAN, LLP

Joseph Lavi (SBN 209776; jlavi@lelawfirm.com) dennis@dennismosslaw.com) Jordan D. Bello (SBN 243190; jbello@lelawfirm.com) 8889 W. Olympic Blvd., Suite 200 Beverly Hills, CA 90211 Tel: (310) 432-0000

#### **DENNIS F. MOSS**

(SBN 77512; 15300 Ventura Blvd., Suite 207 Sherman Oaks, CA 91403 Tel: (310) 773-0323 Fax: (310) 861-0389

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF, APPELLANT AND PETITIONER **HECTOR ALVARADO** 

RECEIVED

JAN 23 2017

Appellant's Answer to Amicus Briefs in the above-referenced case

was filed in this Court on January 17, 2017.

Upon review of the Brief, Counsel for Appellant noticed a

sentence/paragraph that was not properly proofed, and as a consequence

did not make sense; the second full paragraph on page 38 of the brief. As

filed, it reads:

" 'Regular Rate' in California has definitely not contemplated, since

at least the 1950's, through the repeated enactments of the expression by the

IWC before and after the watershed case of Skyline Homes."

The idea that the Paragraph was supposed to convey, is set forth

below in a substitute paragraph.

" 'Regular Rate' in California has definitely not contemplated

fluctuation based on hours worked. Since at least the 1950's, through the

repeated enactments of the expression "regular rate" by the IWC before and

after the watershed case of Skyline Homes, this fact has been clear."

Dated: January 18, 2017

Respectfully Submitted.

DENNIS F. MOSS, Attorney for

Plaintiff, Appellant Hector Alvarado

1

## **PROOF OF SERVICE**

## I, the undersigned, declare:

- 1. That declarant is and was, at all times herein mentioned, a citizen of the United States and a resident of the County of Los Angeles, over the age of 18 years, and not a party to or interested party in the within action; that declarant's business address is 15300 Ventura Boulevard, Suite 207, Sherman Oaks, California 91403.
- 2. That on January 18, 2017 declarant served the APPELLANT'S REPLY BRIEF by depositing a true copy thereof in a United States mail box at Sherman Oaks, California in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid and addressed to the parties listed on the attached service list.
- 3. That there is regular communication by mail between the place of mailing and the places so addressed.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 18 January 2017 at Sherman Oaks, California.

> Adduk Lea Garbe

### **SERVICE LIST**

Clerk, California Court of Appeal Fourth District Court of Appeal Division 2 3389 Twelfth Street Riverside, CA 92501

Attorney General, State of California 1300 "I" Street Sacramento, CA 95814-2919

District Attorney, County of Riverside 2960 Orange Street Riverside, CA 92501

Attorneys for California
Employment Lawyers Association:
Richard Edward Quintilone
Alvin B. Lindsay
Quintilone & Associates
22974 El Toro Road, Suite 100
Lake Forest, CA 92630

Attorney for Respondents and Defendants:
Howard B. Golds, Esq.
Elizabeth A. James, Esq.
BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP
3390 University Avenue, 5th Floor
Riverside, CA 92502

Attorneys for National Association of Manufacturers:
Michael J. Lotito
LITTLER MENSELSON, P.C.
333 Bush Street, 34th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94104

Elizabeth Parry LITTLER MENDENSON, P.C. 1255 Treat Boulevard, Suite 600 Walnut Creek, CA 94597

Attorneys for California
Employment Law Council and
Employers Group:
Zachary Perry Hutton
Paul W. Cane, Jr.
PAUL HASTINGS, LLP
55 2nd Street, 24th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94105