## In the Supreme Court of the State of California

#### TAMARA SKIDGEL,

Plaintiff and Appellant,

 $\mathbf{v}$ .

## CALIFORNIA UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BOARD,

Defendant and Respondent.

Case No. S250149

First Appellate District, Division Five, Case No. A151224 Alameda County Superior Court, Case No. RG16810609 Hon. Robert B. Freedman, Judge

## SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF OF RESPONDENT CALIFORNIA UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BOARD

XAVIER BECERRA (SBN 118517) Attorney General of California MICHAEL J. MONGAN (SBN 250374) Solicitor General \*JANILL L. RICHARDS (SBN 173817) Principal Deputy Solicitor General CHERYL L. FEINER (SBN 170774)
Senior Assistant Attorney General
GREGORY D. BROWN (SBN 219209)
Super. Deputy Attorney General
HADARA R. STANTON (SBN 227040)
Deputy Attorney General
1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor
P.O. Box 70550
Oakland, CA 94612-0550
Telephone: (510) 879-0858
Fax: (510) 622-2270
Janill.Richards@doj.ca.gov
Attorneys for Respondent
California Unemployment
Insurance Appeals Board

Respondent the California Unemployment Insurance Appeals Board submits this supplemental brief to inform the Court of matters post-dating the parties' briefing in this case. (Cal. Rules of Court, Rule 8.520(d)(1).)

In its answer, the Board recounted legislative activity from 2016 confirming that under current law (Unempl. Ins. Code, § 631), close-family In-Home Supportive Services (IHSS) providers are not eligible for unemployment insurance benefits. (ABM 43-44 [discussing enrolled Assem. Bill. 1930 (2015-2016 Reg. Sess.) and subsequent veto and veto message].)

In the 2019-2020 legislative session, the Legislature attempted to modify section 631's employment exclusion to allow coverage for close-family IHSS work. (Assem. Bill 1993 (2019-2020 Reg. Sess.).)<sup>1</sup> The purpose of the bill was to "expand the definition of employment for UI... purposes to include services performed by an individual in the employ of their father or mother, or services performed by an individual in the employ of their son, daughter, or spouse, if that individual is providing services through the IHSS... program[]."<sup>2</sup> The Department of Finance opposed the bill "because it imposes significant General

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Available at <a href="https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billTextClient.xhtml?bill\_id=201920200AB1993">https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billTextClient.xhtml?bill\_id=201920200AB1993</a> [as of Nov. 25, 2020].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Sen. Comm. on Appropriations, Analysis of A.B. 1993 (July 8, 2020), available at <a href="https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billAnalysisClient.xhtml?bill\_id=201920200AB1993#> [as of Nov. 25, 2020].

Fund costs not included in the 2020 Budget Act."<sup>3</sup> On September 30, 2020, the Governor vetoed the bill.<sup>4</sup> In his veto message, the Governor cited the significant new costs that would result from expanded coverage. In the Governor's view, "[e]xpanding benefits and protections for home health care workers is critical, especially in light of the COVID-19 pandemic but these expansions must be developed through the budget process when new investments can be viewed through the lens of the state's full home health care budget."<sup>5</sup>

The Court may consider this recent legislative history in interpreting section 631, as "later enactments may offer some insight into the legislative intent behind previously enacted laws." (Pacific Lumber Co. v. State Water Resources Control Bd. (2006) 37 Cal.4th 921, 940.)

Unless and until section 631 is amended, close-family IHSS work is not covered by California's unemployment insurance system.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See <a href="http://dof.ca.gov/Legislative\_Analyses/LIS\_PDF/19/AB-1993-20200820105330AM-AB01993.pdf">http://dof.ca.gov/Legislative\_Analyses/LIS\_PDF/19/AB-1993-20200820105330AM-AB01993.pdf</a> [as of Nov. 25, 2020].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See bill history at <a href="https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billHistoryClient.xhtml?bill\_id=201920200AB1993">https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billHistoryClient.xhtml?bill\_id=201920200AB1993</a> [as of Nov. 25, 2020].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See bill status at <a href="https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billStatusClient.xhtml?bill\_id=201920200AB1993">https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billStatusClient.xhtml?bill\_id=201920200AB1993</a> [as of Nov. 25, 2020].

Dated: Dec. 2, 2020 Respectfully submitted,

XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California MICHAEL J. MONGAN Solicitor General

/s/ Janill L. Richards

JANILL L. RICHARDS
Principal Deputy Solicitor General
CHERYL L. FEINER
Senior Assistant Attorney General
GREGORY D. BROWN
Supervising Deputy Attorney General
HADARA R. STANTON
Deputy Attorney General
Attorneys for Respondent
California Unemployment Insurance
Appeals Board

### CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

I certify that the attached SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF OF RESPONDENT CALIFORNIA UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BOARD uses a 13-point Century Schoolbook font and contains 620 words.

Dated: Dec. 2, 2020 XAVIER BECERRA
Attorney General of California

/s/ Janill L. Richards

JANILL L. RICHARDS
Principal Deputy Solicitor General
Attorneys for Respondent
California Unemployment Insurance
Appeals Board

#### STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Supreme Court of California

### PROOF OF SERVICE

# **STATE OF CALIFORNIA**Supreme Court of California

Case Name: SKIDGEL v. CALIFORNIA UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

**BOARD** 

Case Number: **S250149**Lower Court Case Number: **A151224** 

- 1. At the time of service I was at least 18 years of age and not a party to this legal action.
- 2. My email address used to e-serve: janill.richards@doj.ca.gov
- 3. I served by email a copy of the following document(s) indicated below:

Title(s) of papers e-served:

Filing Type	Document Title
BRIEF	Skidgel Supplemental 12-3-2020

Service Recipients:

Person Served	Email Address	Type	Date / Time
Wade Askew Legal Services of Northern California 293236	waskew@lsnc.net	e- Serve	12/2/2020 2:48:59 PM
Anthony Segall Rothner Segall & Greenstone 101340	asegall@rsglabor.com		12/2/2020 2:48:59 PM
Nayantara Mehta National Employment Law Project 244949	nmehta@nelp.org		12/2/2020 2:48:59 PM
Jenna Miara Bet Tzedek	jmiara@bettzedek.org	e- Serve	12/2/2020 2:48:59 PM
Janill Richards Office of the Attorney General 173817	janill.richards@doj.ca.gov		12/2/2020 2:48:59 PM
Jay-Allen Eisen Downey Brand LLP 42788	TrueFilings_JEisen@downeybrand.com		12/2/2020 2:48:59 PM
Elizabeth Bixby Legal Aid At Work	lbixby@legalaidatwork.org		12/2/2020 2:48:59 PM
Hadara Stanton Office of the Attorney General 227040	hadara.stanton@doj.ca.gov		12/2/2020 2:48:59 PM
Stephen Goldberg Legal Services Of Northern California 173499	sgoldberg@lsnc.net		12/2/2020 2:48:59 PM
Jay-Allen Eisen Downey Brand, LLP 42788	jeisen@downeybrand.com		12/2/2020 2:48:59 PM

Daniela Urban Center for Workers' Rights 288301	daniela.urban@rightscenter.org	e- 12/2/2020 Serve 2:48:59 PM
This proof of service was automatically created, subm TrueFiling and its contents are true to the best of my i	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	y agreements with
I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of th	e State of California that the foregoing is	true and correct.
12/2/2020		
Date		
/s/Janill Richards		
Signature		
Richards Janill (173817)		

California Attorney General's Office-Oakland Law Firm

Last Name, First Name (PNum)