### SUPREME COURT FILED

JUN 1 9 2018

Jorge Navarrete Clerk

No. S243805

Deputy

### IN THE SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA

#### AMANDA FRLEKIN, ET AL.,

Plaintiffs and Appellants,

v.

APPLE, INC.,

Defendant and Respondent.

On a Certified Question from the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit Case No. 15-17382

# APPLE INC.'S RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO AUGMENT THE RECORD

RICHARD H. RAHM (SBN 130728) rrahm@littler.com LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C. 333 Bush Street, 34th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 433-1940

JULIE A. DUNNE (SBN 160544) jdunne@littler.com LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C. 501 W. Broadway, Suite 900 San Diego, CA 92101

Telephone: (619) 232-0441

\*THEODORE J. BOUTROUS, JR. (SBN 132099)
JOSHUA S. LIPSHUTZ (SBN 242557)
BRADLEY J. HAMBURGER (SBN 266916)
LAUREN M. BLAS (SBN 296823)
GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
333 South Grand Avenue
Los Angeles, CA 90071-3197
Telephone: (213) 229-7000
Facsimile: (213) 229-7520
tboutrous@gibsondunn.com

Attorneys for Defendant and Respondent Apple Inc.

### No. S243805

## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA

#### AMANDA FRLEKIN, ET AL.,

Plaintiffs and Appellants,

v.

APPLE, INC.,

Defendant and Respondent.

On a Certified Question from the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit Case No. 15-17382

# APPLE INC.'S RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO AUGMENT THE RECORD

RICHARD H. RAHM (SBN 130728) rrahm@littler.com
LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C.
333 Bush Street, 34th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94104
Telephone: (415) 433-1940

JULIE A. DUNNE (SBN 160544) jdunne@littler.com LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C. 501 W. Broadway, Suite 900 San Diego, CA 92101

Telephone: (619) 232-0441

\*Theodore J. Boutrous, Jr. (SBN 132099)
Joshua S. Lipshutz (SBN 242557)
Bradley J. Hamburger (SBN 266916)
Lauren M. Blas (SBN 296823)
GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
333 South Grand Avenue
Los Angeles, CA 90071-3197
Telephone: (213) 229-7000
Facsimile: (213) 229-7520
tboutrous@gibsondunn.com

Attorneys for Defendant and Respondent Apple Inc.

Although Apple Inc. does not oppose Plaintiffs' request that the Court consider certain documents filed in the district court, Apple writes to clarify, in response to Plaintiffs' apparent suggestion that Apple's request for judicial notice of the Monkowski Declaration was inappropriate because the declaration was "not include[d] in its supplemental excerpts of record and never mentioned in its briefs to the Ninth Circuit" (see Pltfs. Mot. to Augment at p. 3), that a motion to augment the record is unnecessary in appeals certified to this Court from the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit.

Under Ninth Circuit Rule 10-2, "the complete record on appeal consists of: (a) the official transcript of oral proceedings before the district court . . . if there is one; and (b) the district court clerk's record of original pleadings, exhibits and other papers filed with the district court." Ninth Circuit Rule 30-1.1 subdivision (a) provides that the purpose of the excerpts of record is to "provide each member of the panel with those portions of the record necessary to reach a decision." Ninth Circuit Rule 30-1.5 in turn provides that "[t]he excerpts of record shall not include briefs or other memoranda of law filed in the district court unless necessary to the resolution of an issue on appeal, and shall include only those pages necessary therefor." The plain import of these rules is that all district court filings are properly considered part of the record on appeal, not merely those included in the excerpts of record.

Because the Monkowski Declaration and the other documents submitted by Plaintiffs with their motion to augment the record are properly considered part of the record before the Ninth Circuit on appeal, no augmentation is necessary. Moreover, even without augmenting the record, this Court may properly take judicial notice of official records of the federal courts, including the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California, pursuant to Evidence Code section 452, subdivision (d)(2), which permits this Court to take notice of "[r]ecords of . . . any court of record of the United States."

Dated: June 19, 2018

Respectfully submitted,

GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP

By: Theodore J. Boutrous /ab
Theodore J. Boutrous, Jr.

Attorneys for Defendant and Respondent Apple Inc.

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Ariella Boeck, declare as follows:

I am employed in the County of San Francisco, State of California; I am over the age of eighteen years and am not a party to this action; my business address is 555 Mission Street, Suite 3000, San Francisco, California 94105, in said County and State. On June 19, 2018, I served the within:

# APPLE INC.'S RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO AUGMENT THE RECORD

to each of the persons named in the attached service list at the address(es) shown, in the manner described below.

BY MAIL: I placed a true copy in a sealed envelope addressed as indicated on the attached service list for collection and mailing at my business location, on the date mentioned above, following our ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with this business's practice for collecting and processing correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service. On the same day that correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the U.S. Postal Service in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if the postal cancellation date or postage meter date on the envelope is more than one day after the date of deposit for mailing contained in the proof of service.

## SERVICE LIST

Kimberly A. Kralowec	Attorney for Plaintiffs and
Kralowec Law, P.C.	Appellants Taylor Kalin, Aaron
44 Montgomery Street, Suite 1210	Gregoroff, Seth Dowling and
San Francisco, CA 94104	Deborah Speicher
kkralowec@kraloweclaw.com	
Lee S. Shalov	Attorney for Plaintiffs and
McLaughlin & Stern LLP	Appellants Taylor Kalin, Aaron
260 Madison Avenue	Gregoroff, Seth Dowling and
New York, NY 10016	Deborah Speicher
lshalov@mclaughlinstern.com	•
Peter R. Dion-Kindem	Attorney for Plaintiffs and
Peter R. Dion-Kindem P.C.	Appellants Taylor Kalin, Aaron
2155 Oxnard Street, Suite 900	Gregoroff, Seth Dowling and
Woodland Hills, CA 91367	Deborah Speicher
peter@dion-kindemlaw.com	1
Jeff Holmes	Attorney for Plaintiffs and
Jeff Holmes, Esq.	Appellants Taylor Kalin, Aaron
3311 E. Pico Boulevard.	Gregoroff, Seth Dowling and
Los Angeles, CA 90023	Deborah Speicher
laborlawCA@gmail.com	•
William Turley	Attorneys for Amicus Curiae
David Mara	Consumer Attorneys of California
The Turley & Mara Law Firm	
7428 Trade Street	·
San Diego, CA 92121	
bturley@turleylawfirm.com	
dmara@turleylawfirm.com	
Michael David Singer	Attorneys for Amicus Curiae
Janine Menhennet	California Employment Lawyers
Cohelan Khoury & Singer	Association
605 C Street, Suite 200	
San Diego, CA 92101	
msinger@ckslaw.com	
jmenhennet@ckslaw.com	

Ari J. Stiller	Attorney for Amicus Curiae Bet
Kingsley & Kingsley, P.C.	Tzedek Legal Services
16133 Ventura Boulevard	
Suite 1200	
Encino, CA 94136	
ari@kingsleykingsley.com	

I certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct, that the foregoing document(s), and all copies made from same, were printed on recycled paper, and that this certificate was executed on June 19, 2018 at San Francisco, California.

Ariella Boeck